

A Rejoinder to: “Questioning the Appropriateness of Examining Guanxi in a Wasta Environment: Why Context Should be Front and Center in Informal Network Research – A Commentary on ‘De-Linking from Western Epistemologies: Using Guanxi-Type Relationships to Attract and Retain Hotel Guests in the Middle East’”

Ahmed Shaalan

Birmingham University, Birmingham Business School

Riyad Eid

United Arab Emirates University, UAE

Marwa Tourky

Cranfield University, Cranfield School of Management, UK

Abstract: This rejoinder responds to a commentary on our paper “De-Linking from Western Epistemologies: Using *Guanxi*-Type Relationships to Attract and Retain Hotel Guests in the Middle East”. We highlight the distinction we drew between *guanxi* itself and *guanxi*-type relationships to dispute the assertion that we imposed a Chinese concept onto a Middle Eastern context. Emphasising our own detailed knowledge of *wasta*, we explain why *wasta* was not a suitable umbrella term for the personal networks that operate in all 17 countries where our complex cross-cultural research took place, noting, however, that a discussion of this point would have enriched our study. We also refute a number of other specific criticisms of our methods and approach.

Rejoinder

We thank the anonymous authors for engaging with our work and are grateful to the Editor for the chance to respond. Firstly, we do not accept the central assertion that we imposed the Chinese concept of *guanxi* on a Middle Eastern context. Some aspects of *guanxi* extend beyond China, and we consider it part of our role as researchers to explore universal behavioural aspects that transcend specific cultural settings. While we described *guanxi* to introduce the variables, we drew a clear distinction between *guanxi* itself and *guanxi*-type relationships, and provided on p. 859 an explicit statement about what we meant by the term “***guanxi*-type relationships**”, i.e. the networks of interpersonal ties found in the Middle East. While this distinction could have been more clearly emphasised in places, we consider that the paper as a whole made it abundantly clear.

Moreover, *wasta* cannot be considered a suitable generic term for our study. While our own detailed knowledge of *wasta* is evidenced in prior work by some of the same authors (e.g. Shaalan et al., 2021), the term is culturally inappropriate in some of the 17 countries studied on this occasion (i.e. Iran and Turkey) and excludes other networks such as *Et-Moone* (Abosag and Lee, 2013) and *ma'arifa* (Yahiaoui and Zoubir, 2006). Indeed, Abosag and Naudé's (2014) study, cited by the anonymous authors to back their criticism that we had not focused on *wasta*, is itself about *Et-Moone* rather than *wasta*, and compares *Et-Moone* to *guanxi*. Given this nuanced picture, we felt that the inclusive term “*guanxi*-type relationships” permitted exploration of diverse networks without creating regional cross-cultural complexities. While discussing this reasoning, and mentioning *wasta* and other local networks, would have been a beneficial addition to avoid any confusion over our aims, we do not agree that the discussion of Arab culture in parts of the paper could reasonably be construed as describing people from Turkey and Iran as Arab, as the research setting was clearly defined as the Middle East.

We also reject the assertions that “face” is a solely Chinese construct and that Abosag and Naudé (2014) did not include it because it is a very Chinese concept. Abosag and Naudé (2014) did not state this, and found “social reputation” to be statistically significant in Saudi Arabian as well as Chinese samples. We understand that “social reputation” represents “face”, which has been described as the public image of a person's or one's own prestige and reputation (Tsang, 1998) and as social status attained by playing recognized social roles in a community (Li et al., 2019). Other scholars see face as an important part of Arab culture (e.g. Abuznaid, 2006; Berger et al., 2019), especially since collective cultures are sensitive about losing face (Al-Omari, 2003, 2009; Barakat, 1993). In addition, we refute the statement that *“the term ‘guanxi-type relationships’ has not been utilized in previous research studies nor have the authors cited any study using this specific term”* (p. 2). In fact, we cited *“Exploring guanxi-type relationships in the Arab world”* by Shaalan, Weir, Reast, Johnson, & Tourky (2014).

Regrettably, it is also inaccurate to claim we did not conduct face or content validity for the items. We conducted a lengthy pre-test and pilot test of the questionnaire to ensure face and content validity, as supported by prior scholars (e.g. Blair and Presser, 1992; Churchill, 1995; Reynolds and Diamantopoulos, 1998; Zikmund, 2000). This process is briefly noted on p. 873.

Finally, regarding the concerns that our research design may encourage inappropriate exploration of foreign concepts, we appreciate the flattering suggestion of a global impact, but emphasise we were investigating diverse networks across 17 countries, not one network in one country. Where only one network exists, of course this is an appropriate research focus (e.g. Shaalan et al., 2021); complex cross-cultural research may require a different approach.

We again thank the commentators for their interest in our work to expand knowledge of interpersonal ties and relationship marketing.

References

- Abosag, I., & Lee, J. W. (2013). The formation of trust and commitment in business relationships in the Middle East: Understanding Et-Moone relationships. *International Business Review*, 22(3), 602-614.
- Abosag, I., & Naudé, P. (2014). Development of special forms of B2B relationships: Examining the role of interpersonal liking in developing *guanxi* and *et-moone* relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(6): 887–896.
- Abuznaid, S. (2006). Islam and management: What can be learned? *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 48(1): 125-139.
- Al-Omari, J. (2003). *The Arab Way: How to Work More Effectively with Arab Cultures*. How To Books: Oxford Bloom.
- Al-Omari, J. (2009). *Understanding the Arab Culture: a Practical Cross-Cultural Guide to Working in the Arab World*. How To Books: Oxford Bloom.
- Barakat, H. (1993). *The Arab World: Society, Culture, and State*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Berger, R., Herstein, R., McCarthy, D., & Puffer, S. (2019). Doing favors in the Arab world. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 14(5): 916–943
- Blair, J., & Presser, S. (1992). An experimental comparison of alternative pretest techniques: A note on preliminary findings. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 32(2), 2-5.
- Churchill Jr, G. A. (1995). *Marketing Research Methodological Foundation*, 6th Ed. Orlando, Florida: The Dryden Press.

- Li, P. P., Zhou, S. S., Zhou, A. J., & Yang, Z. (2019). Reconceptualizing and redirecting research on *guanxi*: 'Guan-xi' interaction to form a multicolored Chinese knot. ***Management and Organization Review***, 15(3): 643–677.
- Reynolds, N., & Diamantopoulos, A. (1998). The effect of pretest method on error detection rates: Experimental evidence. ***European Journal of Marketing***, 32(5-6), 480-498.
- Shaalán, A. S., Weir, D., Reast, J., Johnson, D., & Tourky, M. (2014). ***Exploring guanxi-type relationships in the Arab world***. Paper presented at the British Academy of Management Conference, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK.
- Shaalán, A., Eid, R., & Tourky, M. (2022). De-linking from western epistemologies: Using guanxi-type relationships to attract and retain hotel guests in the Middle East. ***Management and Organization Review***, 18(5): 859-891.
- Shaalán, A., Tourky, M., Barnes, B. R., Jayawardhena, C., & Elshaer, I. (2021). Arab networking and relationship marketing: Is there a need for both? ***Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing***, 36(10), 1793-1805.
- Tsang, E. W. (1998). Can *guanxi* be a source of sustained competitive advantage for doing business in China? ***Academy of Management Executive***, 12(2): 64–73.
- Yahiaoui, D., & Zoubir, Y. H. (2006). Human resource management in Tunisia. In P.S. Budhwar, & K. Mellahi, ***Managing Human Resources in the Middle East*** (pp. 233-249). London: Routledge.
- Zikmund, W. (2000). *Business Research Methods*, 6th Ed. New York: Harcourt College Publishers.

A Rejoinder to: “Questioning the Appropriateness of Examining Guanxi in a Wasta Environment: Why Context Should be Front and Center in Informal Network Research – A Commentary on ‘De-Linking from Western Epistemologies: Using Guanxi-Type Relationships to Attract and Retain Hotel Guests in the Middle East’”

Shaalán, Ahmed

2023-11-03

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International

Shaalán A, Eid R, Tourky M. (2023) A rejoinder to: “Questioning the appropriateness of examining guanxi in a wasta environment: why context should be front and center in informal network research – a commentary on ‘de-linking from western epistemologies: using guanxi-type relationships to attract and retain hotel guests in the Middle East’”. *Management and Organization Review*, Volume 19, Issue 5, October 2023, pp. 1046-1048

<https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2023.27>

Downloaded from CERES Research Repository, Cranfield University