1	Injecting Bio Solids into Grass and Arable Crops,
2	Part I: Design and Evaluation of a Shallow Injector
3	
4	D W M Pullen; R J Godwin; P Grundon; P J Moseley
5	
6	Cranfield University at Silsoe, Silsoe, Bedfordshire MK45 4DT, UK:
7	e-mail of corresponding author: d.pullen@cranfield.ac.uk
8	
9	Abstract
10	
11	Shallow injection of liquid bio solids at depths of 50 to 70 mm into grass and arable crops
12	offers many advantages over existing application methods. However, current shallow injection
13	equipment only places the sludge or slurry into slots leaving it exposed with a high runoff risk.
14	The aim of this study was to determine the benefits and limitations of injecting digested sewage
15	sludge into land growing arable crops using shallow injection techniques. It is documented in
16	two parts. One part describes the agronomic trials undertaken to determine the effect of
17	application technique and timing on combinable crops. This part describes the work undertaken
18	to understand the mechanics of shallow injection and develop an improved injector design.
19	Here, laboratory and field studies were undertaken to compare both existing and new winged
20	shallow injector designs. These showed a winged injector penetrated better with an equal or
21	lower draught than existing designs. The design also incorporated the sludge into the soil with
22	minimal crop damage at rates of at least of 50 m ³ /ha when working 50 mm deep.
23	
24	1. Introduction
25	
26	The injection of sludge or slurry into grassland at shallow depths, that is 50 to 75 mm,
27	is a relatively new development. It causes less soil disturbance and damage than deep injection
28	techniques, that is 400 to 600 mm deep, offering the possibility of injecting into arable crops
29	throughout the growing season. Shallow injection also meets increased environmental demands
30	to use sludge and slurry at low application rates. However, current equipment only places the

sludge and starty at low application rates. The wever, current equipment only places the
 sludge or slurry in a slot at the soil surface. This increases the risk of odour and ammonia
 emissions and of it running along the slot in sloping terrain to lower parts of the field. Current
 shallow injectors also have difficult in penetrating the soil surface in hard conditions.

34

The project aim was to develop the equipment and techniques for injecting digested sewage sludge into land growing arable crops using shallow injection techniques at the rate of 50 m³/ha. The project is documented in two parts. This paper describes the investigation into the design of shallow injection tines. The agronomic studies to determine the effect of different
 application techniques and systems on crop growth are described by Pullen *et al.* (2004).

To met the project aim it was identified the injection tines should penetrate easily, have low draught, cause little crop damage and incorporate the sludge into the soil below the surface to reduce the risk of runoff, minimise odour and ammonia emissions. This study was conducted in the controlled conditions of the Soil Dynamics Laboratory at Silsoe and in the field.

8 9

3 4

5

6

7

2. Literature Review

10

17

The effect of tine geometry on soil disturbance, draught and vertical forces is well documented. Payne and Tanner (1959) showed the angle of inclination of the tine, the rake angle, had a dramatic effect on implement performance. Forward inclined tines, those with small rake angles, minimise draught forces and create more surface disturbance. Increasing the rake angle causes a rapid rise in the draught force and changes the vertical force direction so it opposes penetration. However, surface disturbance is reduced.

18 Soil disturbance is influenced by the tine depth to width aspect ratio. For tines with an 19 aspect ratio between one and seven a soil wedge forms in front of the leg and a crescent-shaped soil prism develops sliding up the lower failure surface. This splits into halves as it moves 20 21 around the soil wedge. The wedge moves up the face and is replenished with soil from the tine 22 base. As the aspect ratio increases the disturbance below a certain depth is replaced by 23 compaction as soil is forced to move around the tine. The depth at which this change occurs is 24 known as the critical depth. This was first described by Zelenin (1950). It depends on the soil 25 and tool characteristics. Critical aspect ratio (McKyes 1985) ranges between one in wet plastic soils to 16 in dry brittle soils. Typically a value of six is common in agricultural soils (Godwin 26 27 & Spoor, 1977) this, however, being dependent upon the rake angle.

Spoor and Godwin (1978) found soil disturbance patterns could be changed by attaching wings or sweeps to rigid deep loosening tines. This produced soil disturbance increases, with lower specific resistance, i.e. draught divided by the total loosened area. Ahmed and Godwin (1983) considered the effect of the wing position from the tine tip for subsoiler tines. They found wing position had no significant effect on the draught or soil disturbance although the draught did reduce as the wing position was moved further back.

35

28

Injecting liquid waste was first studied in the 1970s. Although some variation in injector
 design existed most of these early units used two or three spring shanks with either 50 to 75 mm

wide chisels or sweep-shovels. A discharge pipe was attached to the back of the shank to supply
 the sludge. The volume of soil disturbed was inadequate for containing the quantity of slurry
 discharged.

4

9

Field evaluation of a winged foot (*Fig. 1*) based upon the work of Spoor and Godwin
(1978) was undertaken by Negi *et al.* (1976 & 1978) and showed that under arable conditions,
up to 100 m³/ha of sludge could be incorporated at a working depth of 150 mm, with an injector
tine spacing of 750 mm.

In developing a deep injector for grassland Pullen (1976) found that increasing tine 10 width, by adding wings, improved the incorporation rate without substantially increasing the 11 12 draught or suppressing grass growth. The wings also increased the lateral distribution of slurry. 13 Rolling immediately after injection reduced the quantity that could be applied by 40 per cent. 14 However, it reduced the grass kill and stabilised the slurry in the soil. Based on this study an injector was developed to incorporate between 10 and 100 m³/ha of slurry. It comprised a 15 16 straight disc coulter positioned between 350-450 mm in front of the injection tine and working 17 at a depth of 50-75 mm. The injection tine had a wing 200 mm wide working between 100 and 18 200 mm deep depending on the application rate. This was followed by 225 mm wide roller 19 positioned about 750 mm behind the tip of the main tine (Fig. 2). Field evaluation confirmed 20 the ability of the injector to inject slurry into grassland at the design rate without any long term 21 damage to the grass (Bruce et al., 1979).

22

Warner and Godwin (1988) injecting slurry into dry grassland conditions using the above injector, proved the principles adopted by Negi *et al.* (1976) that for all depths twice the volume of sludge could be incorporated with a winged tine (*Fig. 3*). Warner *et al.* (1991) showed a lowrake angle leading tip dominated surface disturbance, while wing profile was secondary. However, removal of the tip resulted in problems with penetration. Press wheel design had little effect on final surface profiles, but did improve grass response.

29 30

3. Materials and methods

31 32

3.1. Shallow injector designs

From existing designs, it is known that the minimum width of pipe needed to supply sludge without blocking must be between 25 and 30 mm wide. Working at a depth of 50 mm and on spacings of 250 mm, it is possible using a tine of this size to create slots that can hold sludge at the rate of 50 m³/ha. Thus, the problem with current designs is not their ability to meet the design application rate but the way in which it is achieved. To prevent sludge runoff and it being left exposed to the atmosphere it must be incorporated into the new void space created by
 the tine.

3

7

9

As shown on deep injectors (Warner & Godwin 1988) the creation of new voids can be
improved by adding wings (*Fig. 3*). New void space per unit run (*Fig. 4*) is a function of tine
geometry and working depth (Negi *et al.* 1976).

8 The sectional area loosened behind a tine A_i in m² is given by:

$$A_i = d^2 \cot\beta + dW \tag{1}$$

10 where: *d* is the tine working depth (m); β is the angle subtended by the line joining the soil 11 rupture surface and the edge of the tine (deg); *W* is the tine width (m) and the new voids (v) in 12 m³/m created per m length are:

13

14

$$v = A_i \frac{(\gamma_i - \gamma_f)}{\gamma_f}$$
(2)

15 where: γ_i is the initial soil density in kg/m³; and γ_f is the final soil density in kg/m³. Typically 16 $(\gamma_i - \gamma_f)/\gamma_f$ ranges from 0.1 to 0.5 and cot β is 0.59. Table 1 gives the predicted void space 17 created by different width tines at two depths assuming a tine spacing of 250 mm.

18

19 Two design approaches were adopted one based on a single piece tine with a rearward 20 sloping leg and the other on a multi piece tine with a forward sloping leg, see Table 2. The 21 rearward sloping leg of the single piece tine allows for a light construction and was designed to 22 fit a 12 m wide boom applicator that was able to operate off the crop and from the tramlines, 23 Wheeler et al. (2000). To minimise the high draught forces and resistance to penetration caused 24 by rearward sloping tines the leg was only 3.0 mm wide. Five tines of this type were designed (Fig. 5). Three had the same leg profile but were fitted with different wing widths (Fig. 5a). 25 26 Their purpose being to determine if increasing wing width would produce similar benefits to 27 those found on deep injectors.

- The other two tines were similar to the 40 mm wide tine but used different leg profiles (*Fig. 5b & c*). Both profiles included a crop deflector followed by a horizontal section designed to cut the crop and soil surface. Their purpose was to determine whether integration of a crop cutter effected tine performance.
- 33

28

For the multipiece injector (*Fig. 6*) the roles of injection and crop cutting were separated.
 This approach was adopted to allow the replacement of wearing parts and it was expected this
 design might be used on a conventionally tractor mounted implement.

5 This injection tine (*Fig. 6a*) had three components, the supply pipe providing the leg and 6 support for the other parts. To minimise the draught force and soil mixing, the leading edge was 7 designed to work at 45 degrees to the travel direction. It was also fitted with a metal wedge to 8 prevent a soil wedge forming. A tip 10 mm wide at the base aided penetration and the 40 mm 9 wide wings increased soil loosening. These parts could be unbolted and replaced separately. 10 Two deflectors were attached onto the rear of the wings whose role was to mix the soil and 11 sludge below the surface.

Three types of cutter were used with the injection tine (*Fig. 6b*). Cutter I had a short leading cutting edge followed by a section that ran clear of the ground. The role of the latter was to prevent surcharging on the injection tine. Cutter II had a continuous cutting section sharpened along its length. A standard disc was used as the final cutter type.

- 18 3.2. *Experimentation*
 - 3.2.1. Laboratory tests

The tines evaluated are listed in Table 2. They fall into three categories, the single piece tines (*Fig. 5*), a multi piece tine with alternative cutters (*Fig. 6*) and commercial tines (*Fig. 7*). The slipperfoot and both shallow injectors represent best current practise. The winged foot, an early commercial design, consisted of a large cast-iron foot with small wings.

Each tine was evaluated at two depths, nominally 50 and 75 mm, ie a total of 24 treatments were conducted. A randomised replicated block design with three replications was adopted for the experiment.

- 31 3.2.2. *Field evaluation*
- 32 33

34

30

4

12

17

19 20

21

26

- 35 (1) Observation tests in a permanent grass lay.
- 36 (2) Agronomic trials described in part II (Pullen *et al.* 2004).

Three different field tests were conducted:

37 (3) A commercial demonstration trial using the single piece tine, profile III (S5), in winter wheat

to determine whether the injection process would cause any crop damage. The trial area was
 split into three plots each being 100 m by 20 m wide, see Table 3.

3 4

3.3. Procedure

3.3.1. Laboratory tests

Laboratory tests were all conducted in the Soil Dynamics Laboratory at Silsoe. This facility contains a bin which is 20 m long, 1.7 m wide and 1.0 m deep, filled with a Cottenham series sandy loam soil and provides controlled conditions for evaluating soil engaging implements. A hydraulically controlled processor unit prepares the soil and carries the implement under test. The soil properties used in these tests are shown in Table 4.

Each tine was fitted to a mounting bracket that included a supply pipe for the sludge. This bracket was attached to an extended octagonal ring transducer (Godwin 1975) fitted to the soil processor. The transducer allowed simultaneous and independent recording of the horizontal draught force, the vertical force and the moment on the tine during its passage along the bin. All the tests were conducted at a nominal speed of 0.5 m/s.

After each test the surface disturbance created by the injector was measured by placing
 a profile meter (*Fig. 8*) across the surface. Each profile was traced on to paper and subsequently
 digitised.

23

24

25

From the data collected four factors were used to assess tine performance, these being:

- 26 (1) the average draught force (kN) over the test run;
- 27 (2) the average vertical force (kN) over the run;
- 28 (3) the estimated application rate (m^3/ha) ; and
- 29 (4) the specific application resistance (N ha/m³).
- 30

For this study, positive vertical forces are upward and show the magnitude of the force resisting injector tine penetration. The surface disturbance values were used to estimate the new void space created. For this it was assumed the area of soil above the original surface, excluding the area left by the tine leg below the original surface, was the new void space created in the total loosened area. This excludes the existing pore space but provides a method of comparing relative performance of the tines. The application rate was estimated, for a tine spacing of 250 mm, by assuming all the new voids and the total area left by the leg could be filled with sludge.

1	The fourth factor, termed the 'specific application resistance' is defined as the draught
2	force divided by the estimated application rate, that is the draught force needed to inject a unit
3	application rate (N ha/m ³). A good relative performance indicated by a low value.
4	
5	A separate analysis of variance was conducted and where appropriate a least significant
6	difference (lsd _{5%}) at the 5 per cent probability level calculated for each data set.
7	
8	3.3.2. Field tests
9	
10	(1) Observation trial in grass: Simple tests were conducted to find out the performance of the
11	single piece tine with profile III (S5) in grass (Fig. 5c). The tines were fitted to a standard
12	shallow injection frame and sludge supplied from a tanker (Fig. 9). This observation study took
13	place in the late Spring into a permanent grass lay under dry sandy soil conditions.
14	
15	(2) Agronomic trials: Replicated trials were conducted over two years to access the damage and
16	effect on the yields of combinable crops of injecting bio solids into the growing crop (Pullen et
17	al., 2004). As part of these trials the performance of the shallow I (C2), slipperfoot (C1) and the
18	single piece with profile III (S5) was observed under field conditions.
19	
20	(3) Commercial demonstration trial: For this trial the application rig was fitted with the single
21	piece tine with profile III (Fig. 5c) and adapted to allow sludge to be supplied from an umbilical
22	hose (Fig. 10). This is similar to the system currently adopted by many contractors.
23	
24	A 94 kW tractor fitted with flotation tyres pulled the applicator at 1.0 m/s for all
25	treatments. Sludge supplied by road tanker was pumped straight to the applicator through a
26	single 200 m length of 100 mm diameter lay flat hose. A radio link allowed the application
27	tractor to control the pump remotely.
28	
29	The trials were conducted at the end of February on a sandy loam soil planted with winter
30	wheat, variety Brigadier. The application rate was nominally 50 m^3 /ha. All other crop
31	management operations such as spraying, on the whole trial area were identical. At harvest,
32	grain yields across each plot were sampled with a plot combine harvester. Each sample area was
33	nominally 2.2 m wide by 18.0 m long and 12 samples were taken for each plot. A paired t-test
34	was used to determine differences between pairs of plots.
35	
36	4. Results

37

4.1. Laboratory tests

The results are presented in *Fig. 11*. Four graphs are shown, that is the draught force, the vertical force, the estimated application rate and the specific application resistance. Each graph gives mean values and the least significant difference ($lsd_{5\%}$) value. All results were significant at the 99.9 per cent level.

8 Excluding the multipiece tine M1 the draught force (Fig. 11a) of all the different tines 9 increased with operating depth. However the differences were only significant on the 10 commercial tines C1, C2, C3 and C4 and the single piece tine with the 10 mm wing, S1. At 50 mm deep there was no significant difference between the draught of any tine except the 11 12 slipperfoot (C1) and the single piece tine (S5) which were both significantly higher. Draught of 13 the winged foot was also significantly greater than either of the single piece tines S1 & S2 at this 14 depth. The draught of the slipperfoot (C1) and the single piece tine (S5) were both also significantly higher than the other tines at a depth of 75 mm. The winged foot (C4) also had a 15 16 significantly higher draught than any of the other single and multi piece tines. Both shallow 17 tines, C2 & C3, at 75 mm deep had a draught which was significantly greater than S2, S3, M1 18 and M3.

19

1

2

7

The vertical force (*Fig. 11b*), on the slipperfoot, shallow I and II was significantly higher than all the single and multi piece tines except M3. The vertical force of the winged foot (C4) was significantly higher than S1, S2, S3, S4 at both depths and M1 at 50 mm. There was no significant difference between the vertical force of any of the single and multi piece tines except that fitted with a disc. Except for the shallow II injector, changing the working depth had no significant effect on the vertical force.

26

27 Estimated application rates (*Fig. 11c*) ranged from 37 m³/ha for the shallow injector I 28 (C2) at 50 mm deep to 233 m³/ha for winged foot tine at 75 mm. Application rates significantly 29 increased with working depth on S1, S3, S4, M3, C1, C2 & C4. Other treatments were not 30 significantly effected by the change in working depth. At a depth of 50 mm there was no significant difference between the estimated application rate of the slipperfoot, shallow I, 31 32 shallow II, 10, 25 & 40 mm all with profile I, profile II with 40 mm wing, or any of the multi 33 piece tines. The potential application rate for the 25 mm single piece tine was significantly 34 lower than S1, S3, S4, S5, M3, C1, C2 & C4 at 75 mm deep.

35

Comparing the specific application resistance (*Fig. 11d*), for treatments at the same depth, the slipperfoot was significantly higher than all the other times except for the two shallow

injectors, the single piece tine with profile III and the 25 mm winged tine at 75 mm. Also, the 1 2 specific application resistance of the shallow injector at 50 mm deep was significantly higher 3 than S1, S2, S3, S4, M1, M2 & M3 at 50 mm. But, at 75 mm deep the difference was only 4 significant with M3. At 75 mm the specific application resistance of the shallow II tine was 5 significantly higher than treatments S3, S4, M1, M2, M3 & C4. The winged foot tine was not 6 significantly different from any of the experimental tines except S5. Equally there was no significant difference between any of the single or multi piece tines except for the tine with 7 8 profile 3, which was higher than the others. Increasing working depth of any tine from 50 to 75 9 mm had no significant effect on the specific application resistance except for the 25 mm single piece tine, S2. 10

- 11
- 12 4.2. Field tests
- 13

i) Grass tests: The single piece tine with profile III (S5) placed the sludge below the
surface and there was no sludge visible after injection. The test area was not rolled because it
was found this would force the sludge onto the surface however, the turf quickly recovered (*Fig. 12*). Grass damage was small and with tines at 200 mm spacing there was no noticeable
stripping caused by uneven application of the sludge.

19

25

ii) Agronomic trials: During these trials it was observed the operation of the slipperfoot
(C1) and shallow I (C2) were similar. Both created narrow slots with very little soil loosening
along the edges. Also in dry hard conditions it was impossible to get either to penetrate.
Whereas, the single piece tine with profile III (S5) penetrated much better and did not leave an
open slot.

26 iii) Commercial demonstration trial: The yield results showed that no significant
27 difference occurred between pairs of plots, even on the trial area where the crop was subjected
28 to the greatest mechanical damage (*Fig. 13*). The crop quickly recovered from any damage
29 caused during the injection process. *Figure 14* shows the condition of the control and both
30 injected plots both six weeks after injection and at harvest.

- 31
- 32 **5.** Discussion
- 33

Estimates of the application rates suggest most tines could incorporate the design rate of 50 m³/ha when working at 50 mm deep. The agronomic trials (Pullen *et al.* 2004) with the slipperfoot, shallow injector and the single piece tine with profile III confirm application rates of 50 m³/ha at 50 mm deep would also be achieved in the field. The laboratory tests suggest all tines would exceed the design rate as depth increased. The estimated rates also fall within the
range predicted by the theory, see Table 5, except for the single piece tine with the 10 mm wing.
Here, the predicted disturbance under estimates the actual value. This may have been due to the
supply pipe, which was 25 mm wide, masking the 10 mm wide wing.

5

6 The winged foot produced the largest void space in the laboratory tests however its 7 physical size, being 100 mm wide, and the disturbance created (Fig. 151) would make it 8 unsuitable for applying sludge through arable crops because of the potential crop damage. 9 Performance of the two commercial shallow injectors were similar. This is to be expected as the 10 main difference between them is minor. One is single piece while the other is split into two parts 11 that can be replaced separately. The slipperfoot, shallow I and shallow II all left slots in the soil 12 surface (*Fig. 15i, j & k*). Under field conditions the effect was more marked (*Fig. 16a*). While 13 the slot was less obvious with the single and multipiece tines (Fig. 15a, b, c, d, e, f, g & h). A 14 similar performance was obtained in field conditions (Fig. 16b & c). This suggests a tine such as the single piece tine with profile III was more likely to place the sludge/slurry into the new 15 16 void space than the commercial tines. This difference in the placement of the sludge/slurry also 17 probably explains the reduced ammonia releases and odour levels achieved with the single piece 18 tine with profile III, Moseley et al. (1998) and Pahl et al. (2001).

19

23

The draught force on all the commercial tines was more sensitive to changes in working depth than the experimental tines. This is expected as the commercial tines had a wide backward sloping profile. The shape also explains why these tines had increased penetration problems.

Of the single piece tines the three with the same leg profile (S1, S2 & S3) had similar performance. All produced, as expected, the smallest upward force, as the downward force created by the wing compensates for the upward component caused by the leg. The decline in draught force, at 75 mm deep, with increasing wing width can be attributed to the effect of the supply pipe working in less disturbed ground when used with the narrower winged tine. Despite these advantages the tines would only be suitable for working in trash free conditions.

30

Under laboratory and field conditions both single piece tines with integral cutters, S4 & S5, provided better penetration than the commercial tines although, as would be expected, not as good as S1, S2 & S3. Initially there were problems with the single piece tine with profile III (S5) cutting trash in loose conditions in the field but this was overcome by increasing the radii of the corner at the front of the edge leading to the horizontal section to ensure the trash flowed under the cutting surface. Equally increasing the size of the deflectors that moved the soil around the supply pipe prevented this build up.

The draught force of the tine with profile II (S4) was similar to the other single piece 1 2 tines. However, the single piece tine with profile III (S5) had a much higher draught force, being 3 similar to that of the slipperfoot. Further investigation suggests this large difference in the 4 draught force between these two tines (S4 & S5) is caused by the differences in the wing design 5 and not a manufacturing fault as suggested by Moseley et al. (2000). The wing on the single 6 piece tine with profile II (S4) extends under the cutting edge and this creates, as any positive raked tine, a tension soil failure in front of the cutting edge. While tine S5 had a negative raked 7 8 leading edge causing a compressive failure similar to the slipperfoot. Although this design 9 produces a higher draught it does not become blocked with trash, preventing penetration, in field 10 conditions.

11

20

22

27

12 The multi piece tine with any of the cutters had similar loosening performance to the 13 single piece tines. Performance of cutters I & II were similar. The relieved section on cutter I 14 used to reduce the surcharging on the injector had no measurable effect on the draught and vertical forces. Using the disc makes injector penetration more difficult although it is less likely 15 16 to block under cropped conditions. Draught force and loosening were similar to the single piece 17 tines (S3 & S4) because the tine creates the same soil failure pattern. Fitting deflectors to the 18 wings to improve incorporation of the sludge had no noticeable effect and has little benefit, 19 probably becoming blocked in some conditions.

21 6. Conclusions

(1) There is little benefit in fitting narrow wings, ie 10 and 25 mm wide, as their effect would
 be masked by the sludge supply pipe. A wing of 40 mm provides a void space for an estimated
 application rate of 60 m³/ha at 50 mm deep and 110 m³/ha at 75 mm. This matched the
 theoretical estimate.

(2) Either a single piece tine with integral cutter or a multi piece tine fitted with a 40 mm wide
 wing could successfully be used to meet the design specification of injecting sludge at 50 m³/ha
 in arable crops. Both penetrated the ground more efficiently than current commercial tines and
 the wings assisted incorporating the sludge into the soil below the surface reducing odour and
 ammonia emissions.

- 33
- 34 (3) The single piece tine with profile III (S5) had a similar draught as the slipperfoot while the
 35 multi piece tine had a draught between 17 and 24 per cent of that of the slipperfoot.
- 36
- 37

1	
2	Acknowledgements
3	
4	The authors would like to thank Anglian Water Services Ltd who funded this work and
5	Greentrac Ltd who kindly supplied the commercial equipment.
6	
7	
8	References
9	
10	Ahmed M H; Godwin R J (1983). The influence of wing position on subsoiler penetration and
11 12	soil disturbance. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 39 , 287-300
13 14	Bruce A R; Pullen D W M; Godwin R J (1979). Evaluation of prototype slurry injector for Bamfords Ltd. National College of Agricultural Engineering, Silsoe, UK
15 16 17 18	Godwin R J (1975). An extended octagonal ring transducer for use in tillage studies. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 20 (4), 347-352
19 20 21	Godwin R J; Spoor G (1977). Soil failure with narrow tines. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 418-432
22 22 23	McKyes E (1985). Soil Cutting and Tillage. Development in Agricultural Engineering 7. Elsevier. Amsterdam
24 25 26 27	Moseley P J; Misselbrook T H; Pain B F; Earl R; Godwin R J (1998). The effect of injector tine design on odour and ammonia emissions following injection of bio-solids into arable cropping. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 71(4), 385-394
28 29 30 31 22	Moseley P J; Godwin R J; O'Dogherty; Pullen D W M; Earl R (2000). The design of tines for injection of biosolids into soil at shallow depths through growing arable crops. Proceedings of 4 th International Soil Dynamic Conference, Adelaide
33 34 35 36	Negi S; McKyes E; Godwin R J; Ogilvie J R (1976). Development of mechanisation for the efficient injection of liquid slurry wastes into agricultural soils. Report to Agriculture Canada Engineering Research Services
37 38 39 40	Negi S; McKyes E; Godwin R J; Ogilvie J R (1978). Design and performance of a liquid manure injector. Transaction of the America Society of Agricultural Engineering 21(5), 963-971
41 42 43	Pahl O; Godwin R J; Hann M J; Waine T W (2001). Cost-effective pollution control by shallow injection of pig slurry into growing crops. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 80(4), 381-390

Payne P C J; Tanner D W (1959). The relationship between rake angle and the	
performance of simple cultivation implements. Journal of Agricultural Engineerin	g
Research, 4 (4), 312-325	

- **Pullen D W M** (1976). Subsurface injection of slurry into grassland. Unpublished MSc Thesis, Newcastle upon Tyne University, UK
- **Pullen D W M; Godwin R J; Grundon P** (2004). The effect of shallow injecting digested sludge during the growing season into combinable arable crops. Biosystems Engineering ??????
- **Spoor G, Godwin R J** (1978). An experimental investigation into deep loosening of soil by rigid tines. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 23, 243-258
- Wheeler P N; Godwin R J; Pullen D W M; O'Dogherty M J (2000). Development of a boom & injector tine depth control for incorporation of bio solids into arable crops. EurAgrEng 2000 Warwick University, UK
- Warner N L; Godwin R J (1988). An experimental investigation into the factors influencing the soil injection of sewage sludge. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 39, 287-300
- Warner N L; Godwin R J; Hann M J (1991). Modifications to slurry injector tines to reduce surface disturbance and improve slot closure in dry grassland conditions. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 48, 195-207

Zelenin A N (1950). Fizicheskie osnovie teorii rezanyia gruntov. [Basic physics of the theory of soil cutting] NIAE unnumbered translation, National Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Silsoe, UK

CERES Research Repository

Cranfield University at Silsoe (1975-2008)

Injecting bio solids into grass and arable crops. Part I: Design and evaluation of a shallow injector

Pullen, D. W. M.

2004-03

D. W. M. Pullen , R. J. Godwin , P. Grundon and P. J. Moseley, Injecting bio solids into grass and arable crops. Part I: Design and evaluation of a shallow injector, Biosystems Engineering, Volume 87, Issue 3, March 2004, Pages 285-297 http://hdl.handle.net/1826/810 Downloaded from CERES Research Repository, Cranfield University