1	Water Research, Volume 44, Issue 5, March 2010, Pages 1373-1380
2	
3	
4	
5	The impact of conditioning of barley straw on the inhibition of
6	Scenedesmus using chemostats
7	
8	
9	Daniel Murray ( <u>d.murray@cranfield.ac.uk</u> ), Simon A Parsons
10	(s.a.parsons@cranfield.ac.uk), Peter Jarvis (p.jarvis@cranfield.ac.uk) and Bruce
11	Jefferson <sup>*</sup> ( <u>b.jefferson@cranfield.ac.uk</u> )
12	
13	Centre for Water Science, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK
14	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0)1234 754813. Fax: +44 (0)1234 751671
15	

16 Abstract: The current paper investigates the role of barley straw conditioning on 17 inhibiting the alga Scenedesmus. Fresh, pre-rotted and white rot fungi (WRF) augmented 18 straw was tested in a series of chemostat experiments over 15 weeks. All three systems 19 were effective at inhibiting the alga with differences observed in the lag time before 20 inhibition occurred and the rate of alga decline. Lag times of 8, 4 and 1 week(s) were 21 recorded for the fresh, rotted and fungi-treated straws respectively, with a maximum inhibition rate of  $>7 \times 10^4$  cells.week<sup>-1</sup> observed for the fungi pre-treated system. Overall, 22 23 the results indicate that pre-treatment is a viable method to enable barley straw to be used 24 in a more reactive manner. Explanation is postulated that during pre-treatment no 25 alternative sources of nitrogen are available thereby leading to greater bacterial 26 decomposition of straw lignin to release inhibitory substances. The principle of utilising 27 an engineered pre-treatment by inoculating barley straw with WRF to enhance the impact 28 of the straw on algal inhibition has been clearly demonstrated. Further work is required to 29 understand how the straw pre-treatment stage can be reduced to minimise its duration 30 whilst maximising the inhibitory effect of adding barley straw.

31 Key Words: Algal control, Barely straw, Chemostat, Scenedesmus

#### 33 INTRODUCTION

34 Algae are photosynthetic, aquatic plants that are ubiquitous in surface waters. 35 While at low concentrations algae do not pose a significant problem, during periods of 36 seasonal algal blooms surface waters can become very polluted disrupting recreational 37 and significantly impairing drinking water production. Reported bloom use concentrations in the UK can be as high as  $2x10^{6}$  cells.ml<sup>-1</sup>. Usually these blooms occur 38 between February and November and typically may last for a few weeks up to several 39 40 months for taxa including: Microcystis, Aphanizomenon, Asterionella, Melosira, 41 Anabaena, Cyclotella, Pediastrum, Coelastrum and Scenedesmus (Henderson et al., 42 2008a). The impact of such blooms regarding water production is seen both in terms of 43 increased operating costs through reduced filter run times or increased coagulant demand 44 as well as reduction in product water quality. For example, alga can lead to deterioration 45 of water colour, taste and odour as well as an increase in the formation of disinfection by-46 products (Henderson et al., 2008a). While surface water treatment plants can largely cope 47 with blooms through appropriate control of the coagulation process (Henderson et al., 48 2008b) source control remains a key strategy to preserve costs and resources. Included in 49 such source control techniques are bubble curtains, chemical dosing and exposure to ultrasound or barley straw (Purcell et al., 2008). Barley straw has been used in the UK 50 51 since the 1970s at a range of scales ranging from application in household ponds to larger 52 systems such as canals, streams and potable water reservoirs. Reports on the use of barley 53 straw indicate it is highly effective, consistent and produces no associated damage to desired species such as invertebrates and fish (Everall and Lees, 1997; Barrett et al., 54 55 1999). Barley straw is normally applied as loosely bundled bails at key locations in the

reservoir at dose rates from 5 g.m<sup>-3</sup> (Barrett, 1994) up to 40 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> (Gibson, 1990) 56 57 depending on whether localised or overall average concentrations are reported. In 58 laboratory trials where extract from rotted barley straw was applied, effective inhibition occurred at equivalent straw concentrations approximating 1-2 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> (Waybright *et al.*, 59 60 2008). Fresh straw has been shown to be ineffective so addition of the barley straw bails 61 is recommended several months before the blooms are expected so that the barley straw 62 has degraded and can be effective before or during exponential growth phases of the 63 algae.

64 To date most work carried out to understand the application of barely straw in 65 inhibiting algal growth has focussed on demonstrating the efficacy of the technology. This has led to the hypothesis that chemicals produced during decay of the straw are 66 67 responsible for inhibition (Everall and Lees, 1996). Further work has identified these 68 chemicals to be phenolic in nature (Everall and Lees, 1996 & 1997; Waybright et al., 69 2008). These phenols are derived from lignin in the barley straw that are produced as the 70 plant material decays rather than directly from the microbial species responsible for the 71 decay (Pillinger et al., 1993 & 1994; Barrett, 1994). Lignin has a rigid insoluble 72 polymeric molecular structure that is difficult to degrade under most natural conditions. 73 However microorganisms such as white rot fungi (WRF) communities are able to 74 colonise barley straw and degrade lignin. In doing so phenolic compounds may be 75 liberated (Garrotel et al., 1999; Demirbas, 2005; Rogalinski et al., 2008).

To date, little work has focussed on the role of lignin decay and whether it can be enhanced to provide a more controlled response within water treatment applications. The current study complements the previous work and attempts to understand the role of pretreatment with WRF by conducting trials in continuously operated chemostats over timescales appropriate to the applications in question. As part of the trial, barley straw pre-treatment with three common WRF species (*Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Pleurotus ostreatus* and *Trametes versicolor*) has been conducted to establish the potential for engineered enhancement when using barley straw.

84

## 85 MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 86 Algae preparation

87 Cultures of unialgal Scenedesmus were chosen due to their robustness and ease of 88 growth in laboratory conditions. Pure batch cultures of Scenedesmus were produced by 89 adding 5 ml of unialaga Scenedesmus culture to 100 ml Jaworski's media (J. media) in a 90 sterile 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask under aseptic conditions inside a laminar flow cabinet. 91 The flask was then sealed with a cotton wool bung. Cultures were grown on an orbital 92 shaker (Barloworld Scientific, Staffordshire, UK) at 110 rpm at room temperature (18-93 20°C) under the constant light of two 15W tube lights (Hagen Sun-Glo, Maidenhaed 94 Aquatics, Woburn Sands, UK). Cultures were maintained by weekly sub-culturing by 95 transferring 5 ml culture to 100 ml fresh J. media following the same method as above. 96 Cultures were inspected microscopically every 2 weeks to ensure purity and used as 97 required.

98

## 99 Fungi preparation

100The three species of WRF; P. chrysosporium DSMZ 1556, P. ostreatus DSMZ1011833 and T. versicolor DSMZ 11269 were obtained from Deutsche Sammlung von

102 Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). These species 103 were selected as they have been shown to be particularly good producers of the lignin and 104 complex phenol degrading laccase enzyme (Hou *et al.*, 2003). All three species were 105 maintained in pure cultures on malt extract peptone agar.

Fungi were grown by transferring a 1 cm<sup>2</sup> section of the culture onto the agar with an ethanol washed flame sterilised scalpel under aseptic conditions in a laminar flow cabinet. The plate was then sealed with parafilm and kept in a sealed environment chamber (Sanyo MLR-450H, Sanyo, Japan) at 20°C, 100% humidity and in the dark. Fungi were re-cultured until required, which was typically every month or when the plate was completely covered with growth.

112

#### 113 Chemostat preparation

114 Prior to use, the 100 l chemostats were thoroughly cleaned and then filled with 115 dechlorinated tap water and sealed with cling film to prevent invasion by external 116 organisms and chemostats were allowed to stand for 2 days before use. The Scenedesmus 117 cultures were used to seed the chemostats The chemostats were kept in a temperature control room at  $20 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Light was controlled by using two 20W aquarium lights 118 119 equipped with a light timer set to a 16h light:8h dark cycle. These conditions were chosen 120 to coincide with typical bloom conditions seen in the field: long summer time daylight 121 hours and warm temperatures (Everall and Lees, 1996). Maintenance of a stable population of the cultures was carried out by diluting the cultures in the chemostat by 122 10% d<sup>-1</sup> with dechlorinated tap water using a peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow Bredel 123 124 Pumps, UK). No media addition was used as preliminary work had shown that the algae

125 could grow consistently in just tap water. The tanks were kept mixed with aerating 126 aquarium pumps (Maidenhead Aquatics, Woburn Sands, UK). The algae cultures were 127 allowed one month to acclimatise and then blank data was collected for three weeks 128 before straw was added. In total, two tanks were prepared for each of the straw conditions 129 tested, which were: i) fresh barley straw; ii) pre-rotted rotted barley straw and iii) fungal 130 pre-treated barley straw. Four further tanks were kept as controls. A chemostat was also 131 prepared to analyse fungal metabolites (acid digestible fibre (ADF) and ergosterol) and 132 their impact on algae growth. This was prepared and maintained identically to the fresh 133 straw chemostats.

134

### 135 Straw preparation

136 Three sources of barley straw were used:

137 (i) Fresh straw (obtained from a local pet shop).

- 138 (ii) Four month old rotted straw (obtained from a surface water reservoir in139 the Yorkshire region).
- 140 (iii) Fungal-treated straw.

141 Fungal-treated straw was prepared by placing 25 g of fresh straw into a 1.5 l acid washed 142 beaker sealed with tin foil. The straw was then autoclaved at 121 °C and 50 atm for 15 143 mins (PriorClave, London, UK). Once autoclaved the straw was stored in darkness 144 overnight in an ethanol-cleaned sealed environment chamber (Sanyo MLR-450H, Sanyo, 145 Japan) at 100% humidity. The cooled straw was opened under aseptic conditions inside 146 the laminar flow cabinet. Three 1 cm<sup>2</sup> squares of *P. chrysosporium*, *P. ostreatus* or *T.* versicolor were placed at the bottom, middle and top of the straw. Once the fungi were 147 148 added the straw was resealed and placed in the environment chamber where it was kept in

the dark at 20°C and 100% humidity for 2 months until the fungi had thoroughly spread throughout the straw. For each of the three straw systems tested, two 25 g bundles of straw were prepared inside the laminar flow cabinet. Each tank received one 25 g bundle of straw suspended from the top of the tank by plastic string to keep the straw at the water surface.

154

## 155 Algae sample analysis

156 Water samples were collected weekly from the main body of each tank for the 157 duration of the test using a sterile 50 ml syringe. All water samples were analysed using 158 standard methods for dissolved oxygen, temperature and pH. Alkalinity was measured by 159 standard titration with 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> using bromcresol green indicator. Dissolved organic 160 carbon (DOC) was measured using a Shimadzu TOC-5000A TOC Analyzer (Shimadzu, 161 Milton Keynes, UK). Cell counts were obtained using a Spectramax plus 384 96 plate 162 reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA), reading optical density at 687 nm (OD<sub>687</sub>). 163 The OD<sub>687</sub> was compared against a standard curve of cell number against OD<sub>687</sub> to 164 determine the cell count. Cell count numbers were compared against haemocytometer 165 counts to maintain counts within 10% of one another.

166

## 167 Acid digestible fibre (ADF)

168 Samples were collected for ADF analysis from straw after 0, 1, 3, 5 and 9 months. 169 The lignin, cellulose and fibre content of the straw samples were analysed according to 170 the acid–detergent method described by Rowland and Roberts (1994). Briefly, this 171 involved simmering 0.7 g (W1) of a straw sample in a 100 ml solution of certyltrimethyl

172	ammonium bromide (ACROS Chemicals, Geel, Belgium) in a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask.
173	The top of the flask was plugged with an inverted 50 ml volumetric flask to prevent
174	evaporation. Samples were simmered for 1 hour, followed by immediate transfer of the
175	straw to a no. 2 porosity sinter (W2). The straw was then rinsed with 50 ml aliquots of
176	boiling water followed by acetone until no more colour was removed. The sample was
177	filtered through the sinter under vacuum. Once rinsed the samples were dried at 105°C
178	for 2 h, then weighed once cooled (W3). Once weighed the sinter was half-filled with
179	cooled (15°C) 72% $\rm H_2SO_4$ and stirred with a glass rod. The acid was allowed to drain
180	away under gravity and continuously topped up with fresh acid for 3 h. After 3 h the acid
181	was filtered off under vacuum and the sample rinsed with boiling water followed by
182	acetone until colour was no longer removed. The sinter was then dried at 105°C for 2 h
183	and weighed (W4) followed by 2 h at 550°C and then re-weighed (W5).

184 The weights were then used to calculate the following:

185

% Acid digestible fibre =  $(W3 - W2) \times 100 / W1$ 

- 186 % Lignin = (W4 W5) x 100 / W1
- 187 % Cellulose =  $(W3 W4) \times 100 / W1$

## 188 Ergosterol

The fungal population was quantified using ergosterol analysis according to the rapid ultrasonication method described by Ruzicka *et al.* (1995). Samples were taken at 3, 6 and 9 months from both the bulk water in the chemostat and from water extracted from within the straw bundle. Ergosterol was quantified by taking the filtrates from these samples through a 0.7  $\mu$ m filter paper and adding it to a 50 ml polyethylene tube. A duplicate was used for each sample, pre-spiked using a variable volume pipette with 100

195 µg of ergosterol (Sigma-Aldrich Co, Dorset, UK) in a 1 ml n-hexene-propan-2-ol (98:2) 196 solution (Acros Chemicals, Geel, Belgium). After 15 min, a 10 ml mixture of methanol 197 and ethanol at a ratio of 4:1 was added to all samples; samples were then cooled to 4°C 198 for two hours. After 2 h, 20 ml n-hexane-propan-2-ol was added to the samples, or 19 ml 199 in the case of the spiked samples. Samples were ultrasonicated at 150W for 200s using an 200 ultrasound probe (Virsonic 600, VirTis, NY, USA), while kept on ice. The samples were 201 then allowed to settle for 30s and the top 2 ml was transferred to a microfuge tube and 202 centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. The top 1.5 ml was then used to calculate the 203 ergosterol concentration using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Ergosterol quantification was determined using a Shimadzu SCL-10A HPLC (Shimadzu, Milton Keynes, UK) using a Licrosorb Si 60 (10  $\mu$ m) column (Phenomex, Macclesfield, UK) following a 4 x 3.0 mm guard cartridge (Phenomex, Macclesfield, UK). The columns were flushed with n-hexane-propan-2-ol at 1.5 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. For sample analysis 100  $\mu$ l was injected at 1.5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> and absorbance measured at 282 nm.

209 Unless stated otherwise, all chemicals and glassware were from Fisher Scientific210 (Loughborough, UK).

211

## 212 **RESULTS**

Reduction in the concentrations of *Scenedesmus* due to the application of barley straw was observed to follow a three stage profile characterised by: (1) a lag phase where no algal inhibition took place, (2) a declining phase where the concentration of algae decreased over time and (3) a steady state phase where a new stable concentration of algae existed (Fig. 1). The initial lag phase lasted for 4 and 8 weeks for the rotted and fresh straw, respectively, indicating the importance of pre-treatment. The control concentration increased during the equivalent time period showing that the inhibitory effect was not due to the environmental conditions over the three week period.

In the case of fresh straw, *Scenedesmus* decreased from an average of  $1.3-1.5 \times 10^5$ 221 cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> during the lag phase to 8.58 ( $\pm$ 3.43) x10<sup>4</sup> cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> in week 9 and further to 222 4.89 ( $\pm 23.67$ ) x10<sup>4</sup> cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> by week 10 (Fig. 1). In comparison, in the case of rotted 223 straw, the algal population decreased from a lag phase concentration of  $1.4 \times 10^5$  cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> 224 to 8.58 ( $\pm 1.94$ ) x10<sup>4</sup> cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> in week 6 and 2.98 ( $\pm 0.01$ ) x10<sup>4</sup> cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> by week 7. 225 226 Consequently, the new steady state population sizes were established 3 and 4 weeks after the lag phase, indicating that pre-treatment influenced the rate of cell decline. The decline 227 rates of *Scenedesmus* during this phase were approximately  $3 \times 10^4$  and  $4 \times 10^4$  cells.week<sup>-</sup> 228 <sup>1</sup> for the fresh and rotted straw, respectively (Fig. 1). First order kinetics yields a rate 229 constant of 0.45 week<sup>-1</sup> for the fresh straw and 0.52 week<sup>-1</sup> for the rotted straw. Reported 230 231 growth rates of *Scenedesmus* in controlled conditions for maximum growth are around 1  $x10^{6}$  cells.week<sup>-1</sup> with a rate constant approximating 0.5-1.5 d<sup>-1</sup> indicating that 232 suppression of new growth was the controlling factor in the successful use of barley straw 233 234 (Mohammed and Markert, 2006).

The average alga concentration during the inhibited steady state phase were similar for these two treatments, varying between  $3.2-4.5 \times 10^4$  cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> for the rotted straw and  $3.3-4.7 \times 10^4$  cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> for fresh straw. Overall this demonstrates that the application of barley straw at a dose of 0.25 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> produced significant but not complete inhibition of the alga independent of pre-treatment. 240 Further investigation into the role of straw pre-treatment confirmed its importance 241 during the trial with WRF pre-treated straw. The lag phase was observed to last only one week, which was three weeks shorter than that observed with pre-rotted straw. The 242 impact of the straw was very rapid with the population decreasing from 1.24 ( $\pm 0.16$ )  $\times 10^4$ 243 cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> before the addition of straw to 6.32 ( $\pm 0.53$ ) x10<sup>4</sup> cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> within the first 244 week, representing a decline rate of 7.0  $\times 10^4$  cells.week<sup>-1</sup> and a first order rate constant of 245 0.67 week<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). A second reduction to 2.69 ( $\pm 0.20$ ) x10<sup>4</sup> cells.ml<sup>-1</sup> was observed 246 247 after 11 weeks which then returned to the previous steady state value. The steady state value varied between  $6.32 \times 10^4$  and  $78 \times 10^4$  cells.ml<sup>-1</sup>, higher than those experienced 248 previously with the fresh and rotted straw although the applied dose rate was the same. 249 250 However, all three systems produced significant levels of reduction with an overall 251 average inhibition level of 75, 75 and 60% for the fresh, rotten and fungi pre-treated straw, respectively. Similar durations for inhibition were seen until the end of the 252 253 experiments during the current investigation, a maximum of 13 weeks in the case of the 254 fungi pre-treated straw.

No significant differences were observed between the conditions in the control and straw chemostats during all trials. In the first trial pH, temperature, alkalinity and DOC remained constant at 7.5 - 8,  $20^{\circ}C \pm 2$ ,  $45 \text{ mg.l}^{-1}$  and  $4-6 \text{ mg.l}^{-1}$ , respectively. During the second trial, equivalent values of 7.5 - 8,  $20^{\circ}C \pm 2$ ,  $50 \text{ mg.l}^{-1}$  and  $6 \text{ mg.l}^{-1}$ were noted. The only major difference was observed in DO which was 6 and 9 mg.l<sup>-1</sup> for the first and second trial respectively, although both were above any potential oxygen limitation effects.

263

264

## Analysis of straw characteristics during degradation

Analysis of the degradation of straw through ADF and ergosterol analysis 265 revealed that the cellulose component was preferentially consumed by the 266 267 microorganisms that colonised the straw (Fig. 3). To illustrate, initial mass fractions of cellulose, lignin and fibre of the straw were 0.4, 0.1 and 0.5 respectively. As the trial 268 progressed cellulose content decreased to a mass fraction of 0.25 by month 3 and 269 ultimately become non-detectable after month 5 (Fig. 3). The mass fraction of fibre 270 271 remained effectively constant across the trial such that the lignin mass fraction increased 272 commensurately with the decrease in cellulose, reaching a maximum value of around 0.5 273 by month 9. The initial preferential reduction in cellulose has previously been reported 274 with a decrease in mass fraction from 0.4 to 0.15 over 28 days (Ball et al., 2001) which 275 compares to the decrease from 0.4 to 0.24 in the current case. Similar results have also 276 been reported during investigation of the degradation of cellulose-lignin systems in 277 agricultural contexts (Wessén and Berg, 1986).

Ergosterol analysis was used as a surrogate for fungal biomass (Fig 4). This showed that biomass in the straw phase increased from less than 0.01 mg.ml<sup>-1</sup> before the start of the experiment to 0.26 mg.ml<sup>-1</sup> after 9 months compared to a much lower increase observed in the water phase (maximum concentration of 0.034 mg.ml<sup>-1</sup>). The concentration profile in the straw was indicative of exponential growth indicating that the fungal community was not substrate-limited over the course of the trial. Conversion of the data into a first order rate expression yields a rate constant of 0.38 month<sup>-1</sup> which compares to reported growth rates for fungi in other situations of 0.2-2 d<sup>-1</sup> indicating that
fungal growth was relatively slow in the studied environment.

287 Discussion

288 In the current study all three straw systems were effective at inhibiting 289 Scenedesmus resulting in similar levels of inhibition. The inhibition levels reported 290 confirm previous work which found 75% inhibition in a field trial (Barrett *et al.*, 1999) 291 while being higher than the >50% reported for a field trial of mixed algal species (Everall 292 and Less, 1996). The results presented here support postulated theories associated with 293 the mechanisms of algal inhibition from barley straw to the release of phenolic 294 compounds through the degradation of lignin (Pillinger et al., 1993; Barrett, 1994; 295 Everall and Lees, 1996 & 1997; Waybridge et al., 2008; Murray et al., 2009).

296 Exact mechanisms for the release of inhibitory phenolic compounds by WRF are 297 unclear due to the complexity of the system but degradation of lignin by WRF is thought 298 to occur in order for the fungi to access nitrogen rather than for obtaining metabolic 299 energy (Schlegel, 1997). The observed decrease in the cellulose fraction of the straw was 300 believed to be an important precursory stage to lignin degradation as a result of the release of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from cellulose breakdown (Schlegel, 1997). This is because in order for 301 302 lignin to be solubilised, and therefore available for metabolism, non-specific enzymes 303 such as lignin peroxidise and laccase are required (Thiruchelvam and Ramsay, 2007). 304 These enzymes require  $H_2O_2$  to work effectively (Schlegel, 1997).

Although the inhibition achieved for each of the systems was similar, the onset of inhibition and the kinetics of inhibition were very different for each system investigated. The observation is in agreement with previous findings which have indicated that up to 308 12 weeks are required after the addition of fresh barley straw before it is seen to be 309 effective suggesting that key transformations must occur within the straw before it 310 becomes effective at inhibiting algal growth (Gibson et al., 1990; Pillinger et al., 1994; 311 Terlizzi et al., 2002). Explanations for the differences observed in the lag phase for the 3 312 systems are consistent with typical biological systems. A population must firstly colonise 313 the barley straw before the population grows and significant degradation of the straw 314 takes place. The differences in the lag phases represent the differences in the colonisation 315 stages of the straw added to the water before lignin degradation takes place.

316 The differences in the rates of inhibition for different straw systems was less clear 317 although it was likely to reflect different conditions linked to nutrient availability for the 318 colonising microorganisms. Lignin degradation occurs when nitrogen is limiting (Kirk 319 and Farrell, 1987). When fresh straw is added into a reservoir, other soluble nitrogen 320 sources are usually readily available, particularly in reservoirs where algal blooms are 321 likely. Therefore in-reservoir colonisation and breakdown of straw by WRF is likely to be 322 slower due to these alternative nitrogen sources. This helps explain the difference in the 323 lower inhibition rates for reservoir rotted straw than for fungi-augmented straw in these 324 trials. A similar observation to this has been made for studies on fungi growth on copper-325 treated wood where control of nutrients has been shown to greatly enhance fungal activity and kinetics (Humar and Pohleven, 2005). Consequently, controlled pre-326 327 treatment by fungal augmentation in a reactor provides ideal conditions for maximising 328 fungal activity for lignin degradation and hence release of phenolic compounds required 329 to inhibit algal growth. The ergosterol analysis showed that the fungi population grew by 330 440% after three months. Similar findings have been reported using ergosterol analysis 331 on the fungi population grown on wheat straw where exponential growth was observed in 332 terms of an initial lag phase of 21 days and an increase in fungal mass of 300% after 84 333 days (Robertson et al., 2008). In both cases significant fungal growth coincides with a significant decrease in the cellulose mass fraction and the onset of lignin degradation. 334 The maximum concentration of 260  $\mu g_{ergosterol} g^{-1}$  detected in the current study was 335 considerably lower than the 1398 µg<sub>ergosterol</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> reported after 35 days under enriched 336 337 conditions for *P. chrysosporium* but higher than those reported when using just wood block at 23 µg<sub>ergosterol</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> (Niemenmaa et al., 2008). Fungal growth was therefore 338 339 restricted on barley straw (but not by as much as on wood) which further explains the lag 340 phase and the prolonged operation observed in this work.

Comparison with previous investigations confirm the applied dose of 0.25 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> 341 342 used in the current study to be appropriate when applied as either extract (Ball et al., 2001) or whole straw (Murray et al., 2009). In contrast, doses of an extract equivalent to 343 7.2 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> in laboratory trials have been reported to be ineffective at controlling the 344 growth of Scenedesmus but effective against Synura and Microcystis which were 345 346 significantly inhibited within a few weeks (Ferrier et al., 2005). Comparing the findings 347 between the current study and those previously reported suggest that the kinetics of algal 348 inhibition by barley straw to be species specific, and perhaps strain specific for any given 349 algal species. Such a finding was not unexpected as the characteristics of different algae 350 are known to vary widely in terms of their physiology (Andersen, 2005) and the organic 351 matter that surrounds the alga surface (Henderson et al., 2008c). As the inhibition 352 mechanism for barley straw requires the adsorption of the toxin onto the algal surface, 353 both the inherent toxicity of the cell and the transfer of the toxin through the algal organic 354 matter (AOM) layer will be important. Consequently, appropriateness of barley straw in 355 inhibiting different algal species is not expected to follow traditional biological 356 classification based on differences in pigmentation and cell complexity arising as a result 357 of evolution (Bellinger, 1992). Instead, species from all phyla are expected to be 358 susceptible. A parallel can be made with other forms of treatment where effectiveness 359 mirrors specific chemical and physical properties rather than biological classification. For 360 instance, the use of low energy ultrasound has been shown to be effective only for 361 filamentous algae irrespective of phyla (Purcell et al., 2009). Similarly, optimum 362 conditions for coagulation and clarification of algae have been shown to relate to the 363 charge density of the algae and AOM as well as shape factors that cross all phyla 364 (Henderson et al., 2008c). As a result, barley straw appears to fit this pattern such that 365 generalisations cannot be made regarding susceptibility based on biological classification.

366 The key practical implication of these results relates to how responsive the system 367 to be treated needs to be. Current approaches to application of barley straw require long 368 term planning to ensure that the straw is inhibitory during the exponential growth phase 369 of the algal bloom. Having a stock of straw pre-treated by WRF provides a solution that 370 can be more responsive and as such can be applied in situations where blooms are 371 unexpected in terms of location or time. The need to establish and maintain WRF 372 populations remains the critical step and, while pre-treatment reduces the time required 373 for inhibition, the net benefits of developing pre-treatment reactors remains uncertain. 374 Further work is required to optimise the pre-treatment stage to minimise its duration as 375 well as maximise the inhibitory impacts of adding the straw.

376

# 377 Conclusions

378	The principle of utilising an engineered pre-treatment to enhance the impact of
379	barley straw has been demonstrated. The enhancement is seen in terms of both a
380	reduction in the lag time for inhibition of bloom-forming alga and the rate of inhibition.
381	The consequence of this is that pre-treatment enables barley straw to be utilised in a more
382	responsive way to manage unpredicted algal bloom outbreaks.
383	
384	Acknowledgements
385	The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support for this project from
386	Anglian Water, Northumbrian Water, Thames Water and Yorkshire Water.
387	
388	References
389	Andersen, R. A. (2005) Microbiology: Algae and the vitamin mosaic, <i>Nature</i> , <b>439</b> , 33-35.
390	Ball, A. S., Williams, M., Vincent, D. and Robinson, J. (2001) Algal growth control by a
391	barley straw extract. Bioresource Technology, 77, 177-181.
392	Barrett, P. R. F. (1994). Field and laboratory experements on the effects of barley straw
393	on algae. BCPC monograph no59. Comparing glasshouse and pesticide
394	preformance II. London.
395	Bellinger, E. G. (1992) A Key to Common Algae: Freshwater, Estuarine and Some
396	Coastal Species. The Institution of Water and Environmental Management,
397	London.
398	Demirbas, A. (2005) Effect of lignin content on aqueous liquefaction products of
399	biomass. Energy Conservation and Management, 41, 1061-1067.

400	Everall, N. C. and Lees. D. R. (1996) The use of barley straw to control general and blue
401	green algal growth in a Derbyshire reservoir. Water Research, <b>30</b> , 269-276.

- 402 Everall, N. C. and Lees. D. R. (1997) The identification and significance of chemicals
  403 released from decomposing barley straw during reservoir algal control. *Water*404 *Research*, **31**, 614-620.
- Ferrier, M. D., Butler, B. R., Terlizzi, D. E. and Lacouture, R. V. (2005) The effects of
  barley straw (*Hordeum vulgare*) on the growth of freshwater algae. *Bioresource Technology*, 96, 1788-1795.
- 408 Garrote, G., Domínguez, H. and Parajó, J. C. (1999) Hydrothermal processing of
  409 lignocellulosic materials. *Holz als Roh- und Werkstoff*, 57, 191–202.
- Gibson, M. T., Welch, I. M., Barrett, P. R. F. and Ridge, I. (1990) Barley straw as an
  inhibitor of algal growth II: laboratory studies. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 2,
  241–48.
- 413 Henderson, R. K., Chips, M., Cornwell, N., Hitchins, P., Holden, B., Hurley, S., Parsons,
- 414 S. A., Wetherill, A. and Jefferson, B. (2008a) Experiences of algae in UK waters:
  415 a treatment perspective. *Water and Environment Journal*, 22, 184-188.
- Henderson, R. K., Parsons, S. A. and Jefferson, B. (2008b) The impact of variable algal
  functionality on treatment. *Water Research*, 42, 1827-1845.
- Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Parsons, S. A. and Jefferson, B. (2008c) Characterisation of
  algogenic organic matter extracted from cyanobacteria, green algae and diatoms. *Water Research*, 42, 3435-3445.

Hou, H., Zhoua, J., Wang, J., Du, C., Yana, B. (2003) Enhancement of laccase production
by *Pleurotus ostreatus* and its use for the decolorization of anthraquinone dye.

423 *Process Biochemistry*, **39**, 1415-1419.

- Humar, M. and Pohleven, F. (2005) Influence of nitrogen supplement on tehgrowth of
  wood decay fungi and decay of wood. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 56, 34-39.
- 427 Kirk, T. K. and Farell, R. L. (1987) Enzymatic "combustion": the microbial degradation
  428 of lignin. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 41, 465-505.
- Mohammed, M. and Markert, B. (2006) Toxicity of heavy metals on *Scenedesmus quadricauda* (Turp.) de brebisson in batch cultures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 13, 98-104.
- 432 Murray, D., Jefferson, B. and Parsons, S. A. (submitted) Inhibition of three algae species
  433 using chemicals released from barley straw. *Environmental Technology*.
- 434 Niemenmaa, O., Galkin, S., Hatakka, A. (2008) Ergosterol contents of some wood-rotting
- 435 basidiomycete fungi grown in liquid and solid culture conditions. *International*436 *Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, **62** (2), pp. 125-134
- Pillinger, J. M., Cooper, J. A., Ridges, I. and Barrett, P. R. F. (1992) Barley straw as an
  inhibiter of algal growth III: the role of fungal decomposition. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 4, 353–355.
- Pillinger, J. M., Gilmour, I. and Ridge, I. (1995) Comparison of anti algal activity of
  brown rotted and white rotted wood and in situ analysis of lignin. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 21, 1113-1125.

- 443 Purcell, D., Parsons, S. A. and Jefferson, B. (2008) Singing algae to sleep: Understanding
- the use of Ultrasound for Algal Control. IN: *Proceedings of the WQTC*, 16-20<sup>th</sup>
  November 2008, Cincinnati, USA.
- Ruzicka, S., Norman, M. D. P. and Harris, J. A. (1995) Rapid ultrasonication method to
  determine ergosterol concentration in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 27,
  1215-1217.
- Robertson, S. A., Mason, S. L., Hack, E., Abbott, G. D., (2008) A comparison of lignin
  oxidation, enzymatic activity and fungal growth during white-rot decay of wheat
  straw. *Organic Geochemistry*, **39**, 945–951
- 452 Rogalinski, T., Ingram, T. and Brunner, G. (2008) Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass
- 453 in water under elevated temperatures and pressures. *Journal of Supercritical*454 *Fluids*, 47, 54 63.
- 455 Schlegel, H. G. (1997) General microbiology, Cambridge University Press, UK.
- 456 Thiruchelvan, A. T. and Ramsay, J. A. (2007) Growth and laccase production kinetics of

# 457 Trametes vericolor in a stirred tank reactor. *Applied Microbiology and* 458 *Biotechnology*, **74**, 547-554.

- 459 Terlizzi, D. E., Ferrier, M. D., Armbrester, E. A. and Anlauf, K. A. (2002) Inhibition of
- 460 dinoflagellate growth by extracts of barley straw (*Hordeum vulgare*), *Journal of*461 *Applied Phycology*, 14, 275–280.
- Waybright, T. J., Terlizzi, D. E. and Ferrier, M. D. (2008) Chemical characterization of
  the aequious algistatic fraction of barley straw (*Hordeum vulgare*) inhibiting *Microcystis aeruginosa. Journal of Applied Phycology*, In press.

465	Welch, I, M., Barrett, P, R, F., Ridge, I (1990) Barley straw as an algal inhibitor of algal
466	growth I: studies in the chesterfield canal. Journal of Applied Phycology 2, 241-
467	248
468	Wessén, B. and Berg, B. (1986) Long term decomposition of barley straw: Chemical
469	changes and ingrowth of fungal mycelium. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 18, 53-

470 59.