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THE ROLE OF TRUST IN THE MARKETING ACTIVITIES OF ENTREPRENEURS ESTABLISHING NEW VENTURES

Haider Ali, Cranfield University, Silsoe
Sue Birley, Imperial College

1. ABSTRACT

The 'credibility gap' is not often cited as a problem by marketers; for this reason marketing texts pay little or no attention to it. Yet, for the entrepreneurial marketer it may well be the most fundamental marketing challenge. Addressing the credibility gap may not have received any previous research interest, but theoretical support for its relevance abounds. Risk and its corollary, making use of trust, have been seen as quintessentially entrepreneurial activities. If they do epitomise entrepreneurship, then surely, studying how entrepreneurs use trust ought to provide valuable insights into what entrepreneurs do.

While there is increasing research into trust, particularly from an organisational behaviour perspective, there is none in an entrepreneurial context. This lack of research provides the reason for the exploratory nature of this investigation.

The search for trust in this investigation focuses on entrepreneurs' usage of elements of the marketing mix. Respondents are asked about what they have done, why they have taken that course of action and what the outcomes have been.

We find that there is a wide panoply of ways in which entrepreneurs use trust, for example 'enthusiasm' and 'shared values'. The latter two are particularly important because they can lead to trust in situations where there may not already be existing relationships (the most likely place for finding trust). We also identify the methods entrepreneurs use to build trust - which they can then use to mitigate customers' perception of risk.
This paper starts with the presentation of a model which summarises some existing research into how trust functions, in the light of our findings adaptations to this model are then made in order to highlight the contribution of this research.

2. **Introduction**

It has been pointed out that the nature of trust varies according to context. However while there are numerous studies on trust in the fields of marketing and organisational behaviour e.g. Moorman, Deshpande & Zaltman (1993) and Mayer et al (1995) this is not the case in entrepreneurship research. Moreover, it has recently been said that ‘entrepreneurial activity can be considered in terms of: reassuring potential principals (customers) of their trustworthiness Shapiro (1987:636).

This paper starts with the presentation of a model of trust based on the existing literature on trust, which is primarily from the fields of marketing and organisation behaviour. Since our interest is the marketing activities of entrepreneurs establishing new ventures, we then present the research question which provided the focus for our research. It should be stressed that the focus of our interest is the establishment of new ventures (start-ups), for this reason we refer to the principal(s) as entrepreneurs - we consider this appellation to appropriate, particularly since our respondents were selected on the basis that they were not going into self-employment, but rather had the intention to grow.

Subsequently we show how the initial model of trust we presented can be adapted in the light of the findings from our research. We hope that the adapted model reflects more realistically the issues surrounding trust facing the entrepreneur. Of course some of the findings may be of relevance to researchers in other managerial fields.

3. **The Trust Model**

The model which is developed below addresses the following issues:

1. On what bases should the customer trust the marketer? We distinguish between the entrepreneurs’ characteristics; the rule of law and how they behave.
2. Specifically in terms of the latter we then consider how customers find out whether the marketer is trustworthy. This is may be through a combination of social learning, self-disclosure or reputation.

3. This research deals with entrepreneurs who are establishing new ventures and focuses on their management of trust in their marketing activities. Thus the model focuses on why others may consider the entrepreneurs themselves to be trustworthy (based on their behaviour). The answer is because of a possible combination of antecedents to trust specifically, ability, integrity, and benevolence.

The following three paragraphs summarise the key issues, which are subsequently considered in more detail.

Why should one individual trust another? This question has been approached from three distinct perspectives: bases of trust, antecedent factors of trust and forms of trust. The fundamental distinctions between these approaches are as follows. The ‘bases of trust’ approach explains that trust may exist due to the rule of law or shared personal characteristics; moreover, trust may develop due to interpersonal interaction.

The ‘forms’ of trust approach shares some common ground with the above, but the essential difference appears to be that it allows for the existence of ‘affect-based trust’ (i.e. people may trust each other because of emotional bonds).

The ‘antecedent factors’ approach appears to be the most holistic of the three since it seeks to identify specific antecedent factors which may account for the existence of trust between two people. The approach has advantages since it is possible to identify different antecedent factors depending on the situation being studied. In organisational behaviour, for example, benevolence, integrity and ability have been identified. The discussion below integrates material from the three different approaches.

3.1. On what bases should the customer trust the marketer?

The first issue Error! Reference source not found. seeks to resolve is why should a customer trust a marketer? This is answered by identifying the bases to trust i.e. a customer could trust the marketer because of the ‘rule of law’ (institutional based trust) and/or because of the trustee as an individual (Luhmann 1979). In the latter
instance, the bases for trusting are specifically the trustees’ inherent characteristics (characteristic based trust) or their previous behaviour, referred to as process based trust by Zucker (1986).

### 3.1.1. Characteristic based trust

Characteristic-based trust only pertains to individuals; it cannot be acquired in the way that the other two forms of trust can be obtained. Its presence or absence is a cognitive factor between the focal individual and the third party. It exists if the third party feels that there is a social similarity between themselves and the focal individual. So, for example, an exchange partner may consider the ethnic background of their suppliers/customers to be an important factor in exchange since common social and cultural norms may make a satisfactory exchange easier to achieve.

It should be noted that characteristic-based trust is a commodity which exists according to the inherent characteristics of an individual and their perception by the wider social environment.

### 3.1.2. Process based trust

The association between trust and long-term relationships (process based trust) is well-documented by Good (1988:33), who emphasises that exchanges requiring trust will not be ahistorical instances, i.e. they will not take place on a one-off basis.

Social exchange theory also shows the association between trust and long-term exchange. According to Blau (1964), social exchange is embedded in trust. ‘Social exchange, therefore entails supplying benefits that create diffuse future obligations...since the recipient is the one who decides when and how to reciprocate a favour, or whether to reciprocate at all so social exchange requires trust ...typically however social exchange relations evolve in a slow process, starting with minor transactions in which little trust is required because little risk is involved and in which both the partners can prove their trustworthiness’ (Blau 1964:454).

Where, at the start of a relationship, individuals are dealing with strangers, they do not know to what extent the other party can be trusted and there is little basis for
trust. Scanzoni (1979) suggests that trust is unlikely to appear early in a relationship because there would be little basis in past experience for its development. In these situations it is posited that parties will exchange on a basis of little risk and therefore little need for trust; as parties prove themselves to be trustworthy, more trust will be placed in them. The following analysis considers the factors at play in the development of interpersonal trust within long-term exchange relationships.

3.1.3. Forms of trust

From the preceding argument it may seem that trust is simply a 'cognitive' construct which may develop through an acquisition of information. According to Luhmann, familiarity is the precondition for trust (i.e. trust requires a degree of cognitive familiarity or knowledge of the object being trusted). The extent of this knowledge lies between total ignorance and complete information. The manifestation of trust on the cognitive level of experience is reached when social actors no longer need or want any further evidence or rational reasons for placing their trust in third parties.

However, the value of research which deals with the 'forms' of trust is that it distinguishes between 'affect-based trust' and 'cognition-based trust' (McAllister 1995). The emotional base of trust is argued to be complementary to the cognitive base. This consists of the emotional bond among those who take part in a relationship. The emotional basis of trust exists in interpersonal trust, emotional trust can also be present in public or institutional trust, since the public can feel indignant about the abuse of power. The emotional content of trust is just as reciprocal and intersubjective as its cognitive base.

The discussion below considers how individuals find out whether or not others are to be trusted. We consider three specific methods, social learning, self-disclosure and reputation.
3.2. How does the customer find out whether the entrepreneur is trustworthy?

There are a number of different methods individuals can use to find out whether potential trustees are, in fact, trustworthy.

3.2.1. Social learning

The diagram shows that one of the methods the trustor can use, through interpersonal interaction, to find out whether someone is trustworthy is ‘social learning’, where trust evolves out of past experience and prior interaction (Rempel et al. 1991). Exchange partners make dispositional attributions of each other in terms of their reliability and dependability. Having identified how a customer can find out that the marketer is trustworthy, the next issue is what does the customer look for in order to identify trustworthiness? This is answered in the next box which identifies ‘ability’, ‘integrity’ and ‘benevolence’ as antecedent factors to trust.

The final box shows that when all of the above have been answered it may be possible to engage in a trusting exchange i.e. the customer’s perceived risk is overcome, this is referred to as the behavioural enactment of trust. In the diagram it is shown to be linked to all three bases to trust i.e. the reason why a customer enters into a trusting exchange is because of their trust in the rule of law, the seller’s characteristics and past behaviour. Of course the extent to which each basis contributes to the overall level of trust in each purchase will vary.

Furthermore, the diagram shows that the demonstration and development of trust between exchange parties is an on-going and iterative process.

3.2.2. Self-disclosure

Self-disclosure theory provides a model for explaining how individuals are to acquire information about the trustworthiness of their interaction partners. Trust develops gradually through repeated encounters involving the potential for rejection or ridicule (Altman and Taylor 1974; Rubin 1973). However, if agents protect themselves from the risks of dealing with one another, they eliminate diagnostic opportunities for
learning about the dispositional qualities of the partner that relate to trust. In order to find out that the other person can be trusted they must be given the opportunity to show that they do not care (Strickland 1958).

3.2.3. Reputation and process-based trust

Process-based trust develops as individuals demonstrate their trustworthiness to exchange partners through concrete actions. Process-based trust cannot be transferred from one individual to another; it is acquired within the marketplace by a particular person, institution or brand name with a reputation. The definition of reputation offered by Zucker is: a symbolic representation of past exchange history; brand name is a deliberately constructed proxy for reputation (Zucker 1986:62).

3.3. On what personal bases (antecedents) do others consider entrepreneurs to be trustworthy?

Mayer et al (1995) provide an explanation as to what the trustor looks for in a trustee. In their model, trust has specific antecedents which are ‘ability’, ‘integrity’ and ‘benevolence’. Benevolence refers to the extent to which the trustee is believed to want to do good to the trustor and thereby qualifies the relationship between the trustor and the trustee. Integrity refers to the trustor perceiving that the trustee adheres to a set of principles that the trustor finds acceptable.

In terms of ability, Mayer et al. (1995) draw the following distinction: an individual may be entrusted to do analytic tasks related to his or her technical area; the individual may not be trusted to initiate contact with an important customer. Therefore trust is domain specific (i.e. people have ability in different areas). Ability they consider to be composed of aptitude, training and experience.

Like previous commentators, Mayer et al. (1995) make the important point that the demonstration of trust requires there to be risk taking by the trustor: 'Behavioural trust is the assuming of risk' (Mayer et al. 1995:724).
3.3.1. Behavioural trust

Behaviourally, to trust, is to act as if the uncertain future actions of others are indeed going to be certain, in situations where the violation of the expectations will yield negative consequences (Lewis and Weigert 1985:971). Such demonstration of trust builds trust in the trustee and as such encourages them to act in a trustworthy manner 'we trust them (trustors) more because trust-implying behaviour contributes to the emotional and cognitive foundation of trust' (Lewis and Weigert 1985:464).

4. Research Agenda

Since entrepreneurship is associated with 'risk' and entrepreneurial activity can involve passing some of that risk onto other people, logically, in these latter instances entrepreneurship must also involve getting those people to trust you. Furthermore, the amount of risk someone is willing to take in another person depends on how much they trust them. There may well be an iterative process of manipulating the levels of risk and trust in a new venture, so that an equilibrium is reached when the entrepreneur only asks others to take as much risk in the venture as the amount of trust they have in him.

Given our view of entrepreneurship as a process which seeks to arrive at an equilibrium, we define the domain of this research was: How do entrepreneurs manage risk and trust?

At the start-up stage of any venture, when entrepreneurs are trying to acquire customers, among other resources, the management of risk and trust is of crucial importance. It is at this time that the venture will have least credibility with the target customers and, if sufficient credibility is not established, the venture will be still-born. For this reason the research focuses on the acquisition of customers. The question driving this research was: how do entrepreneurs explicitly manage customer-perceived risk at the start of a new venture, and does trust play a role?

The question is phrased in such a way that the focus of the study becomes the process of new venture management. Our interest is in what entrepreneurs actually do. Secondly, because we are interested in their motivations for their actions, we restrict
our interest to entrepreneurs’ *explicit* management of CPR. This is an important methodological issue; it means that we will not read into entrepreneurs’ descriptions of their actions as to whether they are managing risk and trust. The identification of customer-perceived risk and the need to use trust must be explicit in entrepreneurs’ own words. One of the reasons for taking this approach is to reduce the chances for the researcher ‘finding’ evidence which may not really be there. This should not deny the value of future research looking into the implicit management of risk and trust.

Having established the research question, which research design should be used to answer it? Material for the development of hypotheses or selection of themes can obviously only come from existing research; for this reason, the following section explains which variables may be relevant and where the search for evidence may prove to be fruitful.

5. **Method**

Since this was an exploratory study which sought to find out in entrepreneurs’ own terms how they used trust in their marketing activities, in-depth interviews were undertaken. In an inductive enquiry, even the basis for representativeness is not known, so there has been no attempt to control for any variables. Instead emphasis has been put on acquiring a diverse sample of respondents who could provide a large amount of rich detail. There were 40 respondents, who were selected on bases identified by Eisenhardt (1989) and Yin (1984), who says that cases can be selected because similar results are predicted (literal replication) or to generate different results for predictable reasons (theoretical replication).

This method of sampling is synergistic with the theory building objective of our research. The existence of some similar cases and some contrasting ones, makes it possible to understand the single case finding, generalisations can then be made from one case to another on the basis of a match to the underlying theory and not to a larger universe (Miles and Huberman 1994). Given this method for sample selection, the findings cannot be generalised to a specific population (Yin 1984), instead, the aim is to
generalise to theoretical propositions: 'the investigator’s goal is to expand and
generalise theories (analytic generalisation) and not to enumerate frequencies', Yin

Indeed it should be noted that random selection of respondents is not to be
preferred. According to Miles and Huberman (1994), theoretical sampling means that:
choices of informants, episodes and interactions are driven by a conceptual question not
by a concern for representativeness...Miles and Huberman (1994:29).

Therefore, because of the lack of existing theory relating risk and trust to
marketing in an entrepreneurial context, this research is inductive, because of the need
to develop theory the sample is a heterogeneous one and it is for this reason that the
research method is principally qualitative.

6. ADAPTING THE TRUST MODEL TO ENTREPRENEURSHIP

The findings below deal with the usage of trust to mitigate CPR. There are four key
constructs which we have identified which could be added to the trust model.
‘Enthusiasm’ we argue should be considered to be one of the personal traits which
contribute to characteristic based trust. ‘Association’ and ‘shared vision’ we consider to
be two separate antecedents to trust i.e. they are two possible reasons why others
should trust the entrepreneur. Finally ‘forgiveness’ is critical for understanding why
trusting behaviour may persist even where trust has been abused, this is important for
entrepreneurs who may find that they abuse trust, without malevolent intent and need to
maintain others’ trust.

6.1. Enthusiasm

The word ‘enthusiasm’, as an important characteristic of a firm’s management, was not
a construct for which respondents were specifically asked and given the attention paid
to it by them, it is considered that it is sufficiently important to be analysed further.

The role of ‘enthusiasm’ is most succinctly put forward by respondent 31, who
had used the services of an experienced associate to find out from large corporates
whether or not they would be interested in developing the venture. While the associate
is described as being competent, the trial was not successful: ‘At this stage a substitute for credibility and expertise is enthusiasm’.

This section investigates the meaning of the term ‘enthusiasm’ and the role it plays in acquiring customers. The question which arises is whether ‘enthusiasm’ is related to risk and trust or whether it is like ‘inertia’, and is a construct which exists outside the realms of risk and trust.

6.1.1. What is enthusiasm?

Unlike concepts such as risk and trust, which have a substantial literature supporting them, there appears to be very little published material on ‘enthusiasm’. For a definition, the dictionary is the only source for a definition: ‘ardent and lively interest or eagerness’, Collins Dictionary (1987). The reference to someone who is enthusiastic being ‘lively’ and ‘eager’ seems to be in line with one respondent’s understanding of the term ‘enthusiasm’: ‘I think that [failure to generate interest] is just because we didn’t have somebody with enough oomph to get there’. I think that [the failure was due to] his approach, which was, ‘can I come and talk to you about’.

6.1.2. What role does enthusiasm play?

From the definition above it can be surmised that enthusiasm demonstrates the marketers’ personal interest in the product. With a multitudinous array of products available to the customer, we argue that it is the enthusiasm of the marketer which tells the customer that the product being promoted is worth further consideration.

In the first instance, prior to making a purchase, enthusiasm thus encourages further interest on the part of the customer. It should be stressed that taking note of a product and finding out more about it do not require trust on the part of the customer, since such activity does not require the taking of a risk. According to one respondent, enthusiasm is an alternative to expertise and credibility. The customer may or may not consider that the entrepreneur has credibility or expertise; however, their willingness to consider what is being sold, to take more than a cursory interest, will depend on their perception of the marketer’s enthusiasm.
The question remains as to whether enthusiasm will also lead to customers making a purchase. The central tenet of existing literature is that risk cannot be undertaken if there is no trust. In this respect there are three ways in which enthusiasm may be categorised:

1. enthusiasm is a component of an antecedent to trust
2. enthusiasm is a component of a basis of trust
3. enthusiasm is neither a component to an antecedent to trust nor a basis of trust
4. enthusiasm may or may not be a component to an antecedent or basis of trust depending on the context.

The section below considers the theoretical reasons as to whether enthusiasm is a component of an antecedent to trust or a basis of trust. Whatever the conclusions reached in that discussion, it can be said here that enthusiasm may play a role in overcoming customers' inertia and lethargy and lead to them becoming more aware of the product/service than they would otherwise have been. In this respect 'enthusiasm' is an important characteristic of the people involved in a new venture, however in this function it is not related to risk and trust.

6.1.3. A link between enthusiasm and trust?

It should be noted that in the organisation behaviour literature there has been no reference made to enthusiasm as an antecedent to trust. The antecedents to which Mayer et al. (1995) refer are ability, integrity and benevolence. Benevolence refers to the extent to which the trustee is believed to want to do good to the trustor and thereby qualifies the relationship between the trustor and the trustee. Integrity refers to the trustor perceiving that the trustee adheres to a set of principles that the trustor finds acceptable.

According to an organisational behaviour-based model of trust (Mayer et al. 1995), there is no justification for arguing that enthusiasm is an antecedent to trust. Nevertheless, this should not discount a role for enthusiasm in a marketing or entrepreneurial context. The reason is due to the inherent difference between the nature
of dyadic ties within an organisation as opposed to dyadic ties between independent individuals or organisations.

For example, within organisations, the interaction between individuals is indiscrete and ongoing: at one extreme, employee contracts may require contact between individuals. Employees do not need to be enthusiastic about their work for contact to take place.

In contrast, in a marketing context, interaction is discrete: what contact there is has to be deliberate and requires pro-active motivation on the part of individuals for it to take place. In this framework, enthusiasm may be important because, if the marketer demonstrates enthusiasm which is shared by the customer, this may be construed as a sharing of values and may over a period of time lead to trust.

Qualifying the level of enthusiasm is important, because where both parties have low levels of enthusiasm then although vision is shared there is no motivation to spend time together. Secondly, where one party has high enthusiasm and the other low enthusiasm there are no shared values. It is only when a high level of enthusiasm is shared that values will be shared and enthusiasm may work as an antecedent to trust.

The enthusiasm of entrepreneurs can only be relevant where they are dealing with small numbers of customers (i.e. business customers or consumers who were placing orders of relatively high value).

Entrepreneurs use enthusiasm to share their vision and values with their target customers. Enthusiasm we would argue is a personal trait, some people in terms of personality are enthusiastic. We would argue that just as the psychology literature on trust identified that people who are perceived as ‘open’ and ‘trusting’ are likely to be trusted, so people who are ‘enthusiastic’ may be perceived as trustworthy.

At the start of a venture entrepreneurs may not only have to contend with CPR, they may also have to overcome customers’ apathy or inertia - in order to do this enthusiasm can be important. Moreover enthusiasm can be a component of characteristic based trust.
6.2. Association

'Association' is a means of acquiring trust. Entrepreneurs can identify which organisations, corporations and individuals already have credibility amongst their target customers and by association confer such credibility onto themselves. Thus association is a means of bringing credibility from the external environment into the organisation.

Branding can be used to distinguish the entrepreneur's offering and also to acquire trust. Unlike established brands, new brands launched by new ventures have little or no track-record and the endeavour is towards developing a reputation. One of the ways in which entrepreneurs can acquire such reputation is to have their brand in close proximity to established brands which already enjoy credibility amongst customers - this is an example of association serving as an antecedent to trust. Customers are willing to trust the brand because it is associated with other credible brands.

In terms of contribution to theory it should be noted that 'association' does not fall into the antecedents to trust already identified in the literature (e.g. Mayer et al. (1995)). 'Association' does not arise out of integrity, benevolence, or ability. It simply arises from being in the right place. For the new venture, therefore, there may be a fourth antecedent to trust, namely 'association'.

6.3. Shared vision

It is important to distinguish between vision and values, we argue that the former are a component of 'ability'.

It has been acknowledged (e.g. Mayer et al. (1995) and Morgan & Hunt (1994)), that an antecedent to trust are 'shared values', which in the Mayer et al (1995) argument fall within the wider category of 'integrity'. Material from respondents suggests that, in an entrepreneurial context, trustors can trust trustees because they both share the same vision. Such vision can relate to what are considered to be appropriate elements of the marketing mix and future trends in the market place. Moreover, unlike shared values which are a component of 'integrity', we argue 'shared vision' is a component of 'ability' (i.e. customers or channel intermediaries trust the entrepreneur
because he demonstrates his ability by presenting a vision of the future which is in accordance with theirs).

There are three cases from the interviews which lend credence to this notion. A leisure venture gained support from a government agency, because they felt that the time had come for this idea. In contrast, a potential publisher had not found support to date, because potential advertisers did not consider that the concept to have any value. For some manufacturers, there are two groups of customers, the retailer and the ultimate consumer. The first person the entrepreneur deals with is the retailer. Retailers’ trust will be obtained if they share the entrepreneur’s vision as to which elements of the marketing mix will secure sales. The distributors of a snack-food product felt that their product would be a very important development in the snack-food industry and agreed to distribute it. They also wrote letters to potential investors and other stakeholders supporting the venture.

Another set of examples which supports the notion of ‘shared vision’ arises from the decision to use branding by some respondents. In some instances entrepreneurs’ decisions regarding branding were influenced by what they felt would conform to the vision of their channel intermediaries.

Entrepreneurs who use shared vision/values also need to consider that this means of getting others to trust you requires others to do the sharing. Entrepreneurs will therefore need to search to find such people.

6.4. Forgiveness

Situations where customers are willing to forgive entrepreneurs fall into two categories:
1. Where the core benefit does not appear to be affected
2. Where customers believe the marketer is acting out of benevolence and integrity and in the long-run will provide a quality product or service.

This shows that the relationship between risk and trust is not as straightforward as some of the existing literature presents it. Evidence from respondents suggests that even where trust has been ‘abused’ the customer is willing to carry on trusting - rather than what existing principles would suggest - that customers would take their custom away.
For entrepreneurs to understand why customers forgive and to what extent they can benefit from the existence of ‘forgiveness’ is a very important issue. This is because lack of resources means that entrepreneurs may well have to make compromises on quality and yet retain the goodwill of their customers.

Bitner (1990) finds that employee explanations, offers to compensate and the appearance of the physical environment can determine how customers perceive the causes of service failure. In particular Bitner (1990) hypothesised that: ‘less control is attributed to the firm when the service failure occurs in an organised service environment than when the event occurs in a disorganised environment’ Bitner et al. (1990:73).

Thus in instances of service failure customers can be forgiving, i.e. even though promises have not been kept, they may still trust the marketer if they feel the failure was beyond the control of the marketer. In the entrepreneurial context the latter may be due to the age of the business.

7. **Conclusions**

This paper has identified the role of ‘enthusiasm’, ‘association’, ‘shared vision’ and ‘forgiveness’, as constructs which are relevant to the understanding of trust in an entrepreneurial context. Of course these constructs may play an important role for an understanding of trust on other contexts as well.

The notion of forgiveness is an important contribution because the abuse of trust need not necessarily lead to a breakdown of trusting behaviour and the situations in which forgiveness comes into play is important for those who rely on using trust.

Enthusiasm is also a trait which people often consider to be important amongst entrepreneurs - this research has provided a possible explanation as to why it can be important: in two separate ways it may help to develop trust. We have also explained why enthusiasm may be useful to entrepreneurs in situations where it does not function as an antecedent to trust. The latter is also an important notion - it is possible for constructs such as enthusiasm to be antecedents to trust in some but not all situations.
Bases of Trust
why should the customer trust the marketer?

Institutional
because of the rule of law
(can lead to emotional trust)

Characteristics-Based
because of who they are
(can lead to emotional trust)

2nd Level of distinction:
between the individual's characteristics and their behaviour

Process-Based
because of how they behave
(can lead to cognitive emotional trust)

Reasons why individuals think others are trustworthy:
- Ability
- Integrity
- Benevolence

You can find out how they behave through the following:
- Social learning
- Self-disclosure
- Reputation

Figure 1 The Trust Model
Figure 2 Trust Model (Revised)

**Bases of Trust**
why should the customer trust the marketer?

**Institutional**
because of the rule of law (can lead to emotional trust)

**1st Level of distinction:**
between the state and the individual

**Characteristic-Based**
Include Enthusiasm amongst existing factors

**2nd Level of distinction:**
between the individual's characteristics and their behaviour

**Process-Based**
because of how they behave (can lead to cognitive & emotional trust)

Reasons why individuals think others are trustworthy

- Association
- Ability (shared vision)
- Integrity
- Benevolence

You can find out how they behave through the following:

- Social learning
- Self-disclosure
- Reputation

Demonstration of trust builds trust

- Trust is maintained where customers forgive the entrepreneur even though quality is compromised
- Trust is not abused where the entrepreneur is able to sell the core benefit - although quality may be compromised in other respects

**Behavioural Enactment**
customer overcomes perceived risk and enters into exchange - demonstrates trust in marketer
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"The Value Chain in Service Operations Strategy"

SWP 23/92 David Parker  
"Nationalisation, Privatisation, and Agency Status within Government: Testing for the Importance of Ownership"

SWP 24/92 John Ward  
"Assessing and Managing the Risks of IS/IT Investments"

SWP 25/92 Robert Brown  
"Stapleford Park: Case Study and Teaching Notes"

SWP 26/92 Paul Burns & Jean Harrison  
"Management in General Practice - 2"

SWP 27/92 Paul Burns & Jean Harrison  
"Management in General Practice - 3"
SWP 28/92 Kevin Daniels, Leslie de Chernatony &
Gerry Johnson
"Theoretical and Methodological Issues
concerning Managers' Mental Models of
Competitive Industry Structures"

SWP 29/92 Malcolm Harper & Alison Rieple
"Ex-Offenders and Enterprise"

SWP 30/92 Colin Armistead & Graham Clark
"Service Quality: The Role of Capacity
Management"

SWP 31/92 Kevin Daniels & Andrew Guppy
"Stress, Social Support and Psychological
Well-Being in British Chartered Accountants"

SWP 32/92 Kevin Daniels & Andrew Guppy
"The Dimensionality and Well-Being
Correlates of Work Locus of Control"

SWP 33/92 David Ballantyne, Martin Christopher,
Adrian Payne and Moira Clark
"The Changing Face of Service Quality
Management"

SWP 34/92 Chris Brewster
"Choosing to Adjust: UK and Swedish
Expatriates in Sweden and the UK"

SWP 35/92 Robert Brown
"Goldsmiths Fine Foods - Case Study and
Teaching Notes"

SWP 36/92 Mike Sweeney
"Strategic Manufacturing Management:
Restructuring Wasteful Production to World
Class"

SWP 37/92 Andy Bailey & Gerry Johnson
"An Integrated Exploration of Strategic
Decision-Making"

SWP 38/92 Chris Brewster
"European Human Resource Management:
Reflection of, or Challenge to, the American
Concept"

SWP 39/92 Ute Hanel, Kurt Volker, Ariane Hegewisch
& Chris Brewster
"Personnel Management in East Germany"

SWP 40/92 Lawrence Cummings
"Logistics goes Global - The Role of Providers
and Users"

SWP 41/92 Roger Seaton & Martin Cordey-Hayes
"Interactive Models of Industrial Technology
Transfer: A Process Approach"

SWP 42/92 Susan Segal-Horn
"The Logic of International Growth for Service
Firms"

SWP 43/92 Mike Sweeney
"Benchmarking for Strategic Manufacturing
Management"

SWP 44/92 Paul Burns
"Financing SMES in Europe: A Five Country
Study"

SWP 45/92 Robert Brown
"The Graduate Enterprise Programme - Has it
been Worthwhile?"

CRANFIELD WORKING PAPERS
List No 7, 1993

SWP 1/93 John Mapes
"The Effect of Limited Production Capacity on
Safety Stock Requirements for Periodic Review
Inventory Systems"

SWP 2/93 Shai Vy'akarnam & Alison Rieple
"Corporate Entrepreneurship: A Review"

SWP 3/93 Cliff Bowman & David Faulkner
"Pushing on a String: Uncertain Outcomes
from Intended Competitive Strategies"

SWP 4/93 Susan Baker & Mark Jenkins
"The Role of Values in the Design and
Conduct of Management Research,
Perspectives on Managerial and Consumer
Cognition"

SWP 5/93 Kevin Daniels, Leslie de Chernatony &
Gerry Johnson
"Validating a Method for Mapping Managers' Mental Models of Competitive Industry Structures"

SWP 6/93 Kevin Daniels & Andrew Guppy
"Occupational Stress, Social Support, Job
Control and Psychological Well-Being"

SWP 7/93 Colin Fletcher, Ruth Higginbotham & Peter
Norris
"The Inter-Relationships of Managers' Work
Time and Personal Time"

SWP 8/93 Mike Sweeney
"A Framework for the Strategic Management
of both Service and Manufacturing Operations"
SWP 9/93 Colin Armistead & Graham Clark
"The 'Coping' Capacity Management Strategy in Services and the Influence on Quality Performance"

SWP 10/93 Ariane Hegewisch
"Equal Opportunities Policies and Developments in Human Resource Management: A Comparative European Analysis"

SWP 11/93 Paula Stanley
"Service to the Courts: The Offender's Perspective"

SWP 12/93 Mark Jenkins
"Thinking about Growth: A Cognitive Mapping Approach to Understanding Small Business Development"

SWP 13/93 Mike Clarke
"Metro-Freight: The Automation of Freight Transportation"

SWP 14/93 John Hailey
"Growing Competitiveness of Corporations from the Developing World: Evidence from the South"

SWP 15/93 Noeleen Doherty, Shaun Tyson & Claire Viney
"A Positive Policy? Corporate Perspectives on Redundancy and Outplacement"

SWP 16/93 Shai Vyakarnam
"Business Plans or Plans for Business"

SWP 17/93 Mark Jenkins, Eric le Cerf & Thomas Cole
"Defining the Market: An Exploration of Marketing Managers' Cognitive Frameworks"

SWP 18/93 John Hailey
"Localisation and Expatriation: The Continuing Role of Expatriates in Developing Countries"

SWP 19/93 Kevin Daniels & Andrew Guppy
"Reversing the Occupational Stress Process: Some Consequences of Employee Psychological Well-Being"

SWP 20/93 Paul Burns, Andrew Myers & Andy Bailey
"Cultural Stereotypes and Barriers to the Single Market"

SWP 21/93 Terry Lockhart & Andrew Myers
"The Social Charter: Implications for Personnel Managers"

SWP 22/93 Kevin Daniels, Gerry Johnson & Leslie de Chernatony
"Differences in Cognitive Models of Buyers and Sellers"

SWP 23/93 Peter Boey & Richard Saw
"Evaluation of Automated Warehousing Policies: Total Systems Approach"

SWP 24/93 John Hailey
"Training for Entrepreneurs: International Perspectives on the Design of Enterprise Development Programmes"

SWP 25/93 Tim Denison & Simon Knox
"Pocketing the Change from Loyal Shoppers: The Double Indemnity Effect"

SWP 26/93 Simon Knox
"Consumers and Grocery Brands: Searching for Attitudes - Behaviour Correspondence at the Category Level"

SWP 27/93 Simon Knox
"Processing Ideas for Innovation: The Benefits of a Market-Facing Approach"

SWP 28/93 Joe Nellis
"The Changing Structure and Role of Building Societies in the UK Financial Services Sector"

SWP 29/93 Kevin Daniels, Gerry Johnson & Leslie de Chernatony
"Similarity or Understanding: Differences in the Cognitive Models of Buyers and Sellers. A Paper outlining Issues in Mapping and Homogeneity"

SWP 30/93 Habte Selassie & Roy Hill
"The Joint Venture Formation Environment in a Sub-Saharan African Country: A Case Study of Government Policy and Host Partner Capability"

SWP 31/93 Colin Armistead, Graham Clark and Paula Stanley
"Managing Service Recovery"

SWP 32/93 Mike Sweeney
"The Strategic Management of International Manufacturing and Sourcing"

SWP 33/93 Julia Newton
"An Integrated Perspective on Strategic Change"

SWP 34/93 Robert Brown
"The Graduate Enterprise Programme: Attempting to Measure the Effectiveness of Small Business Training"
CRANFIELD WORKING PAPERS
List No 8, 1994

SWP 1/94 Keith Goffin
"Repertory Grids in Market Research: An Example"

SWP 2/94 Mark Jenkins
"A Methodology for Creating and Comparing Strategic Causal Maps"

SWP 3/94 Simon Knox
"Re-engineering the Brand"

SWP 4/94 Robert Brown
Encouraging Rural Enterprise in Great Britain - Britain's "Venturecash" Competition

SWP 5/94 Andy Bytheway, Bernard Dyer & Ashley Braganza
"Beyond the Value Chain: A New Framework for Business Modelling"

SWP 6/94 Joe Nellis
"Challenges and Prospects for the European Financial Services Industry"

SWP 7/94 Keith Thompson, Panagiotis Alekos & Nikolaos Haziris
"Reasoned Action Theory applied to the Prediction of Olive Oil Usage"

SWP 8/94 Sanjoy Mukherjee & Ashley Braganza
"Core Process Redesign in the Public Sector"

SWP 9/94 Mike Sweeney
"A Methodology for the Strategic Management of International Manufacturing and Sourcing"

SWP 10/94 Ariane Hegewisch & Henrik Holt Larsen
"European Developments in Public Sector Human Resource Management"

SWP 11/94 Valerie Bence
"Telepoint: Lessons in High Technology Product Marketing"

SWP 12/94 Andy Bytheway
"Seeking Business Improvement: A Systematic Approach"

SWP 13/94 Chris Edwards & Ashley Braganza
"Classifying and Planning BPR Initiatives: The BPR Web"

SWP 14/94 Mark Jenkins & Malcolm McDonald
"Defining and Segmenting Markets: Archetypes and Research Agendas"

SWP 15/94 Chris Edwards & Joe Peppard
"Forging a Link between Business Strategy and Business Re-engineering"

SWP 16/94 Andrew Myers, Andrew Kakabadse, Colin Gordon & Siobhan Alderson
"Effectiveness of French Management: Analysis of the Behaviour, Attitudes and Business Impact of Top Managers"

SWP 17/94 Malcolm Harper
Micro-Credit - The Benign Paradox

CRANFIELD WORKING PAPERS
List No 9, 1995

SWP 1/95 Andy Bytheway
"Information in the Supply Chain: Measuring Supply Chain Performance"

SWP 2/95 John Ward & Joe Peppard
"Reconciling the IT/Business Relationship: A Troubled Marriage in Need of Guidance"

SWP 3/95 Kevin Daniels, Gerry Johnson, & Leslie de Chaunatory
"Collective Frames of Reference, Recognition, and Managers' Mental Models of Competition: A Test of Two Industries"

SWP 4/95 Alison Rieple
"Staffing as a Lever of Strategic Change - The Influence of Managerial Experience, Behaviour and Values"

SWP 5/95 Grafton Whyte & Andy Bytheway
"Factors Affecting Information Systems Success"

SWP 6/95 Andy Bailey & Gerry Johnson
"The Processes of Strategy Development"

SWP 7/95 Valerie Bence

SWP 8/95 Valerie Bence
"The Evolution of a Distribution Brand: The Case of Exel Logistics"

SWP 9/95 Andy Bytheway
"A Review of EDI Research"

SWP 10/95 Andy Bytheway
"A Review of Current Logistics Practice"

SWP 11/95 Joe Peppard
"Broadening Visions of BPR: The Imperative of Strategic Integration"
SWP 12/95 Simon Knox & David Walker
"Empirical Developments in the Measurement of Involvement, Brand Loyalty and their Structural Relationships in Grocery Markets"

SWP 13/95 Ashley Braganza & Andrew Myers
"Issues and Dilemmas Facing Public and Private Sector Organisations in the Effective Implementation of BPR"

SWP 14/95 John Mapes
"Compatibility and Trade-Off Between Performance: An Alternative View"

SWP 15/95 Mike Sweeney & Marek Szwejczewski
"Manufacturing Standards of Performance for Success"

SWP 16/95 Keith Thompson, Nicholas Thompson & Roy Hill
"The Role of Attitudinal, Normative and Control Beliefs in Drink Choice Behaviour"

SWP 17/95 Andy Bytheway
"Information Modelling for Management"

SWP 18/95 Mike Sweeney & Marek Szwejczewski
"Manufacturing Strategy and Performance: A Study of the UK Engineering Industry"

SWP 19/95 Valerie Bence
"St. James’s Hospital and Lucas Engineering Systems Ltd - A Public/Private Sector Collaboration in BPR Project A - Elective Admissions"

SWP 20/95 Valerie Bence
"St. James’s Hospital and Lucas Engineering Systems Ltd - A Public/Private Sector Collaboration in BPR Project B - The Re-Organisation of Purchasing and Supplies"

SWP 21/95 Simon Knox & David Walker
"New Empirical Perspectives on Brand Loyalty: Implications for Segmentation Strategy and Equity"

CRANFIELD WORKING PAPERS
List No 10, 1996

SWP 1/96 Andy Bailey & Gerry Johnson
"Patterns of Strategy Development"

SWP 2/96 Simon Knox & David Walker
"Understanding Consumer Decision Making in Grocery Markets: New Evidence from the Fishbein Model"

SWP 3/96 Kim James, Michael Jarrett & Donna Lucas
"Psychological Dynamics and Organisational Learning: from the Dysfunctional Organisation to the Healthy Organisation"

SWP 4/96 Mike Sweeney & Marek Szwejczewski
"The Search for Generic Manufacturing Strategies in the UK Engineering Industry"

SWP 5/96 John Baker
"Agility and Flexibility: What’s the Difference"

SWP 6/96 Stephen Adamson, Noeleen Doherty & Claire Viney
"30 Years On - What Have We Learned About Careers?"

SWP 7/96 Keith Goffin, Marek Szwejczewski & Colin New
"Supplier Base Management: An Empirical Investigation"

SWP 8/96 Keith Goffin
"Operations Management Teaching on European MBA Programmes"

SWP 9/96 Janet Price, Ashley Braganza & Oscar Weiss
"The Change Initiative Diamond: A Framework to Balance Business Process Redesign with other Change Initiatives"

CRANFIELD WORKING PAPERS
List No 11, 1997

SWP 1/97 Helen Peck
"Towards A Framework of Relationship Marketing: A Research Methodology"

SWP 2/97 Helen Peck
"Towards A Framework of Relationship Marketing: An Initial Case Study"

SWP 3/97 Chris Edwards & Joe Peppard
"A Critical Issue in Business Process Re-Engineering: Focusing the Initiative"

SWP 4/97 Joe Peppard and Don Fitzgerald
"The Transfer of Culturally-Grounded Management Techniques: The Case of Business Re-Engineering in Germany"

SWP 5/97 Claire Viney & Shaun Tyson
"Aligning HRM with Service Delivery"

SWP 6/97 Andy Bailey & Gerry Johnson
"Logical or Processual? Defining Incrementalism"
SWP 7/97 Keith Goffin
“Evaluating Customer Support Requirements at the Product Design Stage”

SWP 8/97 Keith Goffin, Colin New & Marek Szwejczewski
“How Innovative are UK Manufacturing Companies?”

SWP 9/97 Kim James
“Beyond Individual Stress Management Programmes: Towards an Organisational System Approach”

SWP 10/97 Mark Hambly & Richard Reeves
“The Application of Foresight in UK Research and Development”

SWP 11/97 Leslie Falkingham & Richard Reeves
“Context Analysis - A Technique For Analysing Research in a Field, Applied to Literature on The Management of R&D at the Section Level”

SWP 12/97 Ali Jawad & Richard Reeves
“Successful Acquisition of IT Systems”

SWP 13/97 Richard Reeves
“Managing Research and Development”

SWP 14/97 Leslie Falkingham & Richard Reeves
“The Four Schools of Thought in Research and Development Management and the Relationship of the Literature to Practitioners’ Needs”

SWP 15/97 Val Singh
“A Qualitative Study of the Relationship between Gender and Managerial Perceptions of Engineers’ Commitment: Cases from the UK and Sweden”

SWP 16/97 John Fielding
“Dividend Yields, Business Optimism and the Predictability of Long Horizon Returns in the UK”

SWP 17/97 Brenda Porter
“Audit Committees in Private and Public Sector Corporates in New Zealand: An Empirical Investigation”

SWP 18/97 Brenda Porter
“Securing Quality Audit(or)s: Attempts at Finding a Solution in the United States, United Kingdom, Canada and New Zealand”

SWP 19/97 Kim James & Michael Jarrett
“Group Regression and Team Development: Implications for the Too Team Consultant”

CRANFIELD WORKING PAPERS
List No 12, 1998

SWP 1/98 Keith Goffin & Zhang Lihong
“Joint Venture Manufacturing in China - Key Opportunities for Operations Management Research”

SWP 2/98 Francis Buttle
“I Heard it Through the Grapevine: Issues in Referral Marketing”

SWP 3/98 Helen Peck
“The Development and Implementation of Co-Managed Inventory Agreements in the UK Brewing Industry”

SWP 4/98 Val Singh
“Gender and Managerial Meanings of Commitment in High Tech Engineering in the UK and Sweden”

SWP 5/98 Joe Peppard
“IT in Organisations: A Framework for Analysis”

SWP 6/98 Kusum Sahdev & Susan Vinnicombe
“Downsizing and Survivor Syndrome: A Study of HR’s Perception of Survivors’ Responses”

SWP 7/98 Mark Jenkins & Steven Floyd
“Spinning your Wheels or Winning the Race: Knowledge, Resources and Advantage in Formula One Racing”

SWP 8/98 Francis Buttle & A Adlaigan

SWP 9/98 Joe Peppard
“IS/IT Management in the Global Enterprise: A Framework for Strategic Alignment”

SWP 10/98 Keith Goffin & Colin New
“Customer Support and Product Innovation: Three Exploratory Case Studies”

SWP 11/98 Joe Peppard & Patrick Butler
“Consumer Purchasing on the Internet: Processes and Prospects”

SWP 12/98 Haider Ali & Sue Birley
“The Role of Trust in the Marketing Activities of Entrepreneurs Establishing New Ventures”