CRANFIELD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICS

DEPARTMENT OF AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY

PhD THESIS
1990 - 1992

N. KEHAYAS

ASTOVL COMBAT AIRCRAFT DESIGN SYNTHESIS AND OPTIMIZATION

Supervisor: Dr. J.P. Fielding

January 1992
To my wife.
The Royal Aerospace Establishment is gratefully acknowledged for providing full financial support for this research programme.

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor D. Howe for offering me the opportunity to undertake this work and for his guidance throughout the programme.

I am also very grateful to my supervisor Dr. J.P. Fielding for his continued support, invaluable advice and patience.

I am particularly indebted to Mr. A. Cook, Mr. J. Kirk and Mr. C. Lee of the Aerodynamics Department RAE Farnborough, who closely monitored this programme and provided invaluable assistance and information.

Finally, many thanks are due to Dr. L. Oswald, Computer Manager, College of Aeronautics for his considerable help.
ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the development of a Baseline Configuration for an Advanced Short Take-Off and Vertical Landing (ASTOVL) Combat Aircraft, the Design Synthesis and coding of this Baseline Configuration (Code VERTI), the interfacing of the Design Synthesis Code VERTI with the Optimizer code RQPMIN and the optimization of the Baseline Configuration.

The background and the objectives of this Research Programme are initially examined. The evaluation of the ASTOVL Combat Aircraft Baseline Configuration is then described, including all the problems, assumptions, choices and compromises that led to the specific configuration.

The development of the Design Synthesis and the Code VERTI then follow, where the methodology used, the techniques adopted and the code operation are explained. A full description of the Design Synthesis is included as an appendix.

Finally, the interfacing of Code VERTI with the optimizer RQPMIN and the optimization of the Baseline Configuration are presented. The problems and difficulties of the RQPMIN operation are thoroughly discussed. The RQPMIN-VERTI code is used to optimize the initial Baseline Configuration and an optimization example is provided in appendix form. The optimized Baseline Configuration is partly validated against two ASTOVL combat aircraft designs. In addition to the optimization with the aircraft empty mass as objective function, a search for a better objective function is attempted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Flying surface span</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_l</td>
<td>Lift coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN</td>
<td>Interference factor due to aircraft body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KW(B)</td>
<td>Interference factor due to the interaction of the body with the wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KB(W)</td>
<td>Interference factor due to the interaction of the wing with the body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Flying surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_\infty$</td>
<td>Freestream velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>Velocity induced by the wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_T$</td>
<td>Distance between wing and tailplane quarter-chords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Angle of incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Angle formed between wing vortex element and tailplane surface element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td>Downwash angle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subscripts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscript</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a/c</td>
<td>Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fb</td>
<td>Forebody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Tail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. INTRODUCTION

The present work covers the evaluation of a Baseline Configuration for an ASTOVL Combat Aircraft, the Design Synthesis of the Baseline Configuration, the coding of the Design Synthesis, the interfacing of the Design Synthesis code VERTI with the optimizer code RQPMIN and the optimization of the Baseline Configuration using RQPMIN-VERTI.

The ASTOVL Combat Aircraft Baseline Configuration evaluated is an advanced technology, supersonic, short take-off and vertical landing combat aircraft with an internal weapon bay. It is powered by a modern military turbofan with a remote lift system for landing.

The Baseline Configuration was described in the Design Synthesis in terms of geometry, mass and aerodynamics. Using as input general design, aerodynamic, engine performance and sortie data the aircraft was synthesized and its performance was estimated.

The optimization of the Baseline Configuration was achieved by interfacing the optimizer code RQPMIN with the Design Synthesis code VERTI.

Finally, a brief investigation on the most suitable objective function for the optimization was performed.

1.1. Background

The optimization of aircraft designs has a long history and a lot of effort has been spent due to the very substantial benefits resulting. Originally partial optimization was attempted, structural optimization being the best example, but the overall aircraft design optimization came soon afterwards. Aircraft design optimization schemes tend to use simple semi-empirical design approaches (Refs 12, 43, 69 and 77), as the actual optimization demands thousands of iterations for an optimum, but recently attempts are being made to consider the assembly of big finite-element type of optimizers for structures, aerodynamics and other areas into one code (Ref.24).
Research on the optimization of aircraft designs has been undertaken at the Royal Aerospace Establishment (RAE) since the late sixties. This field of research, under the name Multivariate Optimization (MVO), initially directed to civil designs but later including military, is pursued along two lines. The development of better techniques for the design synthesis of various aircraft types and and the development of more efficient optimization codes.

The College of Aeronautics, Cranfield Institute of Technology, has been involved in MVO research since 1975 with a canard-delta fighter design.

1.2. Objectives

This research programme was sponsored by the RAE and the objectives set-out in the research agreement were:

a. The evaluation of the aircraft Baseline Configuration
b. The Design Synthesis of the Baseline Configuration
c. The coding of the Design Synthesis.
d. The interfacing of the Design Synthesis code VERTI with the optimizer code RQPMIN.
e. The optimization of the Baseline Configuration using RQPMIN-VERTI.
2. BASELINE CONFIGURATION

2.1. Introduction

The Baseline Configuration of the aircraft was mainly based on RAE specifications shown in Appendix D and Ref 39, but some flexibility was allowed.

2.2. Description

The Baseline Configuration that has evolved after several exploratory configurations is shown in Fig.1.

The wing is swept back, in a medium-to-high position, and trapezoidal in planform. It has low taper and aspect ratio and is based on a thin aerofoil section of constant thickness-to-chord ratio along the span. The wing dihedral and twist were considered to be zero. The tail is conventional and placed low. The aerofoil section type of the fin and the tailplane are identical to that of the wing.

The engine is a modern military reheated turbofan of the remote-lift type when operating in the landing mode. A system of three ducts, used in landing to provide thrust in the front part of the aircraft, starts just after the turbine exit position and ends in a nozzle at the undersurface of the aircraft after the nose undercarriage bay. It is a hot system without any reheat at the nozzle. It is therefore a simply Remote Lift System (RLS) without any augmentation. The three ducts, one bigger in the middle and two smaller either side (Fig.A7), recombine at the front nozzle. This front nozzle has a limited vectoring capability. At landing the thrust in the rear part of the aircraft is not provided by vectoring the engine rear nozzle, but by a pair of swivelling duct nozzles situated either side after the engine position. These two side duct nozzles are positioned vertically during landing and stowed horizontally in the fuselage during take-off and forward flight. The system of front ducts and nozzle and the side duct nozzles are used only for landing. The engine has a conventional variable geometry rear nozzle for forward flight.

Two S shaped intake diffusers with rectangular intakes are used. The intakes have fixed geometry since the aircraft is specified not to exceed 1.6 Mach. The cross-
section of the intake diffusers slowly changes, especially after they meet, to become circular and meet the engine face. Due to the operation of the RLS nozzle in the front part of the aircraft during landing the intake diffusers incorporate auxiliary inlets in their upper surface (Fig. 1). These are put into operation at landing, when the usual intakes are closed, to avoid hot gas ingestion.

The nose undercarriage bay is located after the radome. The main undercarriage bay lies flat under the engine (gas generator).

The weapons of the aircraft comprising four medium and two short range air-to-air missiles or a number of bombs, are carried internally in a bay, placed between the RLS nozzle and the engine axially and between the two intake diffusers laterally. They are jettisoned by means of ejectors situated on the top of the bay. An internal gun is located in a bay on the left side of the weapons bay.

In addition to the wing fuel tanks, three fuselage tanks have been specified. A central fuel tank located above the internal weapons bay, ahead of the engine and between the intake diffusers and two side tanks in either side of the engine gas generator. The side tanks have the same height as the engine at that position and blend with the side duct nozzles that follow them.

The initial Baseline Configuration defines an aircraft of around 20 metres in length and with a 13 metre wing span. The wing surface area is nearly 40 square metres, the mass almost 19 tons and the engine maximum gross thrust at sea level conditions 185 k Newtons. Figures 6, 7 and 8 show some of the configurations considered during the Baseline Configuration development. Configurations 1 and 2 of Fig. 6 represent early attempts. The engine is very small and the RLS rather crude. The third configuration of Fig. 6 includes a realistic engine size and an augmented RLS (RALs), and the fourth configuration of Fig. 6 returns to a RLS but positioned further ahead. In Fig. 7 various engine and RLS arrangements are presented. The choice of RLS is established but the delivery of the rear part of the thrust at landing is not yet decided. In the first configuration of Fig. 8 the engine side duct nozzles are introduced. The second configuration of Fig. 8 illustrates the problem with the enormous RLS front duct. Configurations 3 and 4 of Fig. 8 indicate a change in of RLS front duct inlet position. The Baseline Configuration reached is shown in Fig. 1.
3. DESIGN SYNTHESIS

3.1. Introduction

The Design Synthesis describes the aircraft Baseline Configuration in mathematical form, by means of semi-empirical and sometimes analytical design relationships.

Initially, using design input data, the sizing of the aircraft basic items is performed. Basic items are all the "standard" parts of the aircraft such as the radome, the cockpit, the undercarriage, the internal weapons bay, the internal gun bay, the intake diffusers, the engine, the remote lift system, the basic wing and the empennage. These parts are determined by input design data, and in this sense are considered basic items within the Design Synthesis. In the optimization, as it will be discussed in Chapter 6.3., many of these basic items may vary.

Next the geometry of the aircraft is evaluated, again with the use of input design data, taking into consideration the related basic items so that the aircraft can contain all the specified basic items. The fuselage geometry is evaluated at several stations along the fuselage with the help of a fairing curve, in order to define an acceptable aerodynamic shape and area rule if required.

From the basic items and the geometry, the mass and the centre of gravity are calculated. The aerodynamics follow based on the geometry and input aerodynamic data.

At the end, having all the aircraft characteristics, the aircraft sortie and point performance are estimated.

The Design Synthesis is fully described in Appendix A. It follows closely the approach of Lovell (Ref.43) applied to the ASTOVL configuration although in a number of cases alterations and additions have been made.

3.2. Basic Items Sizing
The basic items are the main parts of the aircraft and they are contained within the fuselage. Their size is estimated from the Baseline Configuration and in turn from the basic items size detailed fuselage and aircraft dimensions are derived.

3.2.1. Radome

The radome is defined by the radar dish diameter. In addition a linear variation of cross-sectional area with axial distance is assumed.

3.2.2. Cockpit

The geometry of a single-seat combat aircraft cockpit is defined in Ref.43. The cockpit dimensions are calculated using input data related to the cockpit type specifications.

3.2.3. Undercarriage Bays

The geometry of the nose and main undercarriage bays is derived from a number of correlations with a landing reference mass. The landing reference mass is obtained by removing from the aircraft take-off mass the mass of all external stores and 80 % of the fuel mass and then adding a reference load. (This reference load is used in the definition of stressing masses).

The total leg length of both undercarriages is first calculated using a correlation with the reference landing mass and from that using the ratio of the nose to main undercarriage leg length, each leg length is deduced.

The undercarriage bays are evaluated as the sum of the leg and tyre volumes. The leg length is used to define the leg volume and the tyre diameter and width the tyre volume.

3.2.4. Engine

The engine sizing module was provided by the Royal Aerospace Establishment (Farnborough). It defines a datum military reheat turbofan engine which can be scaled up
or down by a scale factor. Within the basic items module
the engine dimensions are scaled while the engine
performance is scaled by the same factor in the engine
performance module (Appendix A). The mass of the side
duct nozzles is included in the engine; the mass of the
front RLS ducts and nozzle is not.

3.2.5. Intake Diffusers and Boundary Layer Diverters

The intake diffusers are assumed to have a given fixed
area ratio with reference to the inlet area of the
engine. The intake diffuser(s) rectangular inlet is then
calculated using a given aspect ratio (ratio of height to
width). A minimum length for the intake diffusers is then
described, which is a function of their width or height.

The boundary layer diverters are assumed to have the same
height with the intake diffusers and a width which is
proportional to its distance from the aircraft nose.

3.2.6. Internal Weapon Bay

The internal weapons bay contains four medium and two
short range air-to-air missiles. The medium range missiles
form two rows, of two missiles each, the one above the
other. The smaller short range missiles are located
either side of the first row of the medium range ones.

Folding fins for the missiles have not been assumed. The
missiles are lowered below the aircraft to be fired by
means of a system of ejectors. The ejectors system is
situated in the upper part of the bay.

The bay lies in the lower section of the fuselage below
the central fuselage fuel tank and between the front RLS
system of ducts nozzle and the engine. It is partially
covered from both sides by the intake diffusers.

3.2.7. Internal Gun Bay

The internal gun bay is considered in three parts; the
gun mechanism, the gun barrel and the ammunition drum.
The gun represented is a typical 25 mm calibre with 150
rounds of ammunition.
The gun mechanism and ammunition drum are located on the left side of the internal weapons bay, while the barrel protrudes forward to exit near the nose undercarriage bay.

3.2.8. Remote Lift System

The remote lift system delivers the necessary thrust for vertical landing.

For the forward part of the thrust a system of three ducts travels the aircraft upper fuselage from the engine after turbine position up to a position behind the cockpit. From there it descends at an angle to the vertical to reach the undersurface of the fuselage just ahead of the internal weapons bay. The three duct system of one bigger and two smaller circular ducts, forms a semi-circular cross-section combination that fits better in the constrained space of the upper fuselage, than the case would have been with one enormous circular duct. The three ducts have separate inlets but combine at the fuselage undersurface to form a nozzle. This nozzle is capable of a limited vectoring.

The rear part of the thrust is delivered through two side duct nozzles, located in either side of the engine, with inlets at the after turbine position. These side duct nozzles which can swivel about a lateral axis, are positioned vertically when in use, and horizontally, stowed in the fuselage, when not.

The RCS mass was determined by assuming RCS nozzles situated at the nose, tail and the tips of the wings of the aircraft. A mass per unit length was given as input data.

3.3. Geometry

3.3.1. Fuselage Stations

Before any geometry calculations are performed, a number of fuselage main stations and sub-stations are defined. Critical, for the geometry definition, positions along the fuselage serve as main stations. The fuselage length between the main stations is divided into sub-stations. Any geometrical calculation for the fuselage or the
Aircraft as a whole is performed at every main station and every sub-station.

The total number of stations is 50; the main stations are 11 and they are situated at: The radar dish, the intake diffuser inlet, the cockpit eye position, the start of the internal weapons bay, the face of the engine (gas generator), the turbine exit, the engine RLS section centre, the engine RLS section exit, the afterburner exit and the nozzle exit. The distance between main stations is arbitrary but the distance between successive sub-stations is constant.

3.3.2. Fairing Curve

A fairing curve is defined that provides a good aerodynamic shape for the fuselage and an area-ruled fuselage if required (Appendix A). This fairing curve determines the nett longitudinal cross-sectional area distribution of the fuselage, or the whole aircraft if area-rule is applied. The nett cross-sectional area referred is the actual cross-sectional area minus the cross-sectional area of the streamtube of the intake diffuser or the engine.

In mathematical form the fairing curve is described by two cubics, for the forward and the rear part respectively (Ref. 43). The shape of the fairing curve is defined in the Design Synthesis by the values of six Independent Variables, as in Ref. 43. Details of the procedure used are given in Appendix A.

3.3.3. Flying Surfaces

The wing geometry is evaluated from input design data for the wing area, the aspect ratio, the taper ratio, the quarter chord sweep angle and the chordwise thickness distribution of the aerofoil. From these the span, the chord at different spanwise positions and the leading, the mid-chord and the trailing sweep angles are calculated.

Following these gross wing calculations and using a first estimate of the fuselage width at the wing position, the nett wing geometry is derived. This includes the wing box, the wing fuel tanks, the ailerons, the flaps and the leading and trailing edge sections.
The geometrical characteristics of the fin and tailplane are determined similarly to the wing ones but volume coefficients are used instead. The volume coefficients are supplied as input data. Other input data provided is the nett aspect ratio, the nett taper ratio, the sweep angles of leading and trailing edges and the thickness-to-chord ratios. Equally the distance between wing quarter-chord and fin and tailplane quarter-chord, given as a fraction of the fuselage length, are provided as input data. Hence, the nett surface areas of fin and tailplane are calculated.

3.3.4. Lateral Cross-Sectional Area of the Flying Surfaces

If area-rule is to be available, it is necessary to be able to calculate the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces - wing, the fin and the tailplane. The lateral cross-sectional area is the subtracted from the nett cross-sectional area defined by the fairing curve, to give the nett cross-sectional area of the area-ruled fuselage.

The lateral cross-sectional area of a flying surface is obtained by integrating across the semi-span the chordwise distribution of the thickness of the aerofoil of the flying surface for a number of axial positions corresponding to the defined fuselage stations.

3.3.5. Geometry of Main Fuselage Stations

The geometry of the eleven main fuselage stations is determined by the principle that the cross-sections of the main stations must contain the basic items present there.

In the simple cases, of the radome and the engine rear nozzle, which have a circular cross-section diameter, the geometry is a circle defined by the radar dish diameter plus clearances and the rear nozzle diameter. For all other main stations a more complicated procedure is needed whose outline is presented below.
First the nett cross-sectional area of the fuselage for that station is obtained from the fairing curve. If area-rule is applied the corresponding flying surface lateral cross-sectional area is subtracted. Then the cross-sectional area of the main station is evaluated in general terms. In this evaluation, utilization factors to account for corners and the dimensions of the contained basic items are used. The ratio of the fairing curve nett cross-sectional area to the cross-sectional area evaluated in general terms is next defined as a scaling factor. One dimension of the cross-section of the main station, height or width, depending which is better defined by the dimensions of the contained basic items, is assumed as a minimum dimension. Using the scaling factor, the other dimension is found and thus the cross-sectional area of the main station is calculated.

3.3.6. Fuselage Cross-Sectional Area

The definition of the fuselage cross-sectional area, is the actual fuselage cross-sectional area in contrast to the nett fuselage cross-sectional area provided by the fairing curve (If area-rule is applied, the nett fuselage cross-sectional area is the one determined by the fairing curve minus the corresponding lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces).

Therefore, to find the fuselage cross-sectional area relative to the cross-sectional area defined by the fairing curve (minus the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces if area rule is required), the corresponding intake diffusers and engine streamtube cross-sectional area must be added.

The fuselage cross-sectional area, as with all geometrical calculations of the fuselage, is evaluated at the 50 specified fuselage stations.

3.3.7. Fuselage Perimeter

A relationship between fuselage cross-sectional area and perimeter is assumed (Ref. 43). This relationship applies to combat aircraft designs similar to our Baseline Configuration. In practice, two relationships are used, one for the fuselage up to the intake diffuser inlet and the other for the rest of the fuselage.
As before, the perimeter is evaluated at the 50 specified fuselage stations.

3.3.8. Fuselage Volume and Surface Area

The fuselage volume is derived by integrating the fuselage cross-sectional area over the length of the fuselage and by means of a numerical integration.

The main fuselage stations are defined in the locations required to define the fuselage internal and external geometry. Therefore, the numerical integration is performed separately for each fuselage part defined by successive main stations and as the intermediate sub-stations are placed at equal intervals, the necessary prerequisite for the application of a simple Simpson's rule type of numerical integration is satisfied.

For the fuselage surface area, the same procedure is followed replacing the fuselage cross-sectional area by the fuselage perimeter.

3.4. Mass and Centre of Gravity (cg)

3.4.1. Mass

The mass prediction was mainly based on Ref.43 with some additional information, especially for the RLS from Refs 28, 50, 60 and 63.

The mass of the basic items is estimated within the basic items modules, while the masses of the fuel tanks, the fuselage, the flying surfaces and the various systems are estimated separately.

For the radar, the associated electronics and the rest of the electronic equipment, the mass is given in the form of input data.

The cockpit mass is included in the fuselage mass and the crew mass is given as input data.

The undercarriage mass, in terms of nose undercarriage, main undercarriage and associated hydraulics, is calculated in relation to the reference landing mass.
The engine mass, made up of the gas generator, the RLS section of the engine, the afterburner, the nozzle, the thrust reverser and the installation mass, are estimated in the RAE engine module. The RLS side duct nozzle mass is considered to be a part of the total engine mass while the RLS front system of ducts and front nozzle is estimated separately.

The intake diffuser and the boundary layer diverter mass are included in the fuselage mass.

The internal gun bay mass is made of the gun mass given as input data and the ammunition mass which is estimated from the number of ammunition rounds carried.

The mass of the RLS front system of ducts is derived in relation to its length and input data of mass per unit length. It includes insulation as well as structural mass.

The fuel tank mass is directly related to the volume of the fuel tanks and the fuel density.

Elaborate methods (Ref. 43) are used for the mass estimation of the fuselage and the flying surfaces mass (Appendix A).

The fuselage mass includes shell and internal structure, windscreen, canopy, intakes and various minor structural items.

The wing mass is made of the wing-box, the leading edge, the trailing edge, the flap, the flaptrack and the aileron mass.

Separately is estimated the air services, the fuel system, the flight controls, the ASTOVL reaction control, the electrical services and the paint mass.

3.4.2. Centre of Gravity

Parallel to the above mass estimation of the parts and components of the aircraft, respective moment arms from the aircraft nose are evaluated. The moment arms are derived from the distance of the item or component from the aircraft nose and the position of its centre of gravity. For the majority of aircraft parts or components
the approach of Ref. 43 was adopted and for the rest that were particular to the ASTOVL Baseline Configuration or a change was thought necessary, appropriate expressions were evaluated.

These moment arms are used in the prediction of the aircraft centre of gravity limits. As the aircraft requirements do not include stores and external fuel tanks and the internal weapon bay is regarded as an integral part of the aircraft, only two cases were considered; full and empty fuel tanks.

3.5. Aerodynamics

Substantial calculations determine the aircraft aerodynamics using the fuselage and the flying surfaces geometry. As a result the total and the zero-lift drag coefficient and the lift-curve slope of the aircraft are evaluated over the specified Mach number range.

The aerodynamics do not contain any flight stability calculations as they were considered beyond the scope of this work and a subject that should be treated on its own right. However, tail volume coefficients were used to give first-order layouts. Full details of the methods used to estimate the configuration aerodynamics are given in Appendix A.

3.5.1. Lift-Curve slope

Initially, the lift-curve slope was evaluated for two Mach number regimes

For the subsonic Mach number regime, Mach ≤ 0.8, the lift-curve slope was derived from the aerofoil lift-curve slope that was suitably developed to provide a finite wing lift-curve slope.

For the supersonic Mach number regime, defined by a critical Mach number above which the leading edge flow is supersonic, linear theory provided the lift curve-slope.

Then the two lift-curve expressions were matched by a cubic variation of the lift-curve slope with respect to the Mach number.
To the lift-curve slope interference effects were added. Interference of the body, the wing-body interaction and the tail were considered. The interference effects due to the body and the wing-body interaction were estimated according to a DATCOM method (Ref. 3). For the tail interference a simplified expression was derived based on finite wing theory. The lift-curve slope evaluation with reference to interference is presented in Appendix C.

3.5.2. Drag

The total aircraft drag is calculated by establishing the contributions of the zero-lift, the wave, the spillage and the lift-dependent drag. The methodology of Ref. 43 is followed with the appropriate adjustments (Appendix A).

3.5.2.1. Zero-Lift Drag

The zero-lift drag in the subsonic Mach number regime, $M \leq 0.8$, is made of contributions from the fuselage, the flying surfaces, the canopy and boundary layer diverter. To this a fuselage afterbody term and a 15% of the total fuselage drag interference and excrescence term are added. The total subsonic zero-lift drag is then scaled as a function of Mach number to give the zero-lift drag at higher Mach numbers.

3.5.2.2. Wave Drag

At first the drag-rise Mach number is estimated and then the wave drag of the aircraft is calculated, comprising wing, fin, tailplane, fuselage and canopy wave drag.

The wave drag is evaluated at Mach numbers 1.0 and 1.3. Between the drag-rise Mach and Mach 1.0 a drag variation with Mach number is assumed. Between Mach 1.0 and 1.3 an empirical relation is used and above Mach 1.3 the drag is considered constant.

3.5.2.3. Spillage Drag

The drag at zero-lift due to spillage of airflow around the outside of the intake cowl is estimated by methods
for the separation drag at subsonic speeds, and for the wave drag at supersonic speeds.

3.5.2.4. Lift-Dependent Drag

The lift-dependent drag is a function of the lift coefficient (CL). Two regions are considered: For $CL \leq CLC - 0.01$ and for $CL \geq CLC + 0.01$ where CLC is the critical lift coefficient and is given as input data. Between these two regions a cubic variation of the lift-dependent drag with the lift coefficient is accepted. The variation is a function of the lift-dependent drag parameters $K_1$ and $K_2$. $K_1$ is estimated by a long method of curve fits to experimental data. After the $K_1$ estimation the difference between $K_1$ and $K_2$ $DK_2$, given as input data, is providing $K_2$. The above method is described by Lovell (Ref. 43) and is followed assuming the experimental data he uses are appropriate to some extent to the present work.

3.6. Performance

3.6.1. Engine performance

The engine performance is given as input data in table form for a specified reference engine and was provided by the RAE (F) (Appendix B - Fig.B4); data for other reference engines may be input using the same format. The engine performance data comprise engine power setting, thrust, air mass flow, fuel mass flow and nozzle exit area for a range of altitudes and Mach numbers. For any altitude and Mach number specified, three modules evaluate the corresponding power setting, thrust, air mass flow fuel mass flow and nozzle exit area. The data evaluation works in two modes; either the power setting or the thrust is specified in addition to the already specified altitude and Mach number.

The evaluation is based on a three point interpolation. The input data table is read and three sets of data before, after and some distance away from the specified altitude and Mach number, in turn, are located. Subsequently these sets of data are interpolated to find the desired data corresponding to the specified altitude and Mach number.

Provision is made if the specified altitude and Mach number coincide with table data to be read directly.
3.6.2. Sortie Performance

The objective of the sortie performance is the calculation of the fuel consumed by the aircraft in a specified sortie.

The sortie is defined as a number of legs. Each leg is assumed to be flown at constant altitude, Mach number and normal load factor (Table 1). The legs involving climb, descent, longitudinal acceleration and other manoeuvres are approximated by adjusting the leg length and the normal load factor to account for them. The error involved has been found to be relatively small and this approach represents to an acceptable degree the real sortie. For landing and take-off separate estimation procedures are used. The mass changes during the sortie (weapons, stores) are taken into consideration by specifying the relevant masses at each leg.

Three iterations perform the sortie calculations. The first estimates the maximum sustained aircraft incidence and lift coefficient with full engine power setting.

The results obtained from this iteration become the input of the second iteration for the estimation of the maximum sustained load factor mid-way the sortie leg. Structural and human limits constrain the resulting values.

The third iteration calculates the incidence, lift coefficient, leg duration, gross thrust and consequently the fuel consumed and the aircraft mass at the end of the leg and the whole sortie.

The fuel reserves for landing are calculated as a percentage (given as input data) of the total fuel.

3.6.3. Point Performance

The point performance calculations comprise the following:

Sustained turn rate
Attained turn rate
Specific excess power
Maximum Mach number
Acceleration time through a Mach number increment
Ride quality factor

3.6.3.1. Sustained Turn Rate

The sustained turn rate is a measure of the continuous turn rate capability of the aircraft.

The aircraft lift coefficient and incidence that can be sustained at the specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting are first determined by an iterative approach similar to the one in the sortie calculations (first sortie iteration). From the obtained lift coefficient and incidence the maximum normal load factor and subsequently the sustained turn rate are derived.

3.6.3.2. Attained Turn Rate

Attained turn rate is the maximum turn rate that can be achieved by the aircraft.

The maximum normal load factor is estimated using the maximum lift coefficient for the Mach number specified provided as input data. The obtained maximum load factor determines in turn the attained turn rate.

The maximum lift coefficient is given as input data in table form and is approximately valid for a range of wing planforms. To remain valid during the wing planform variations of an optimization, the upper and lower bounds of the wing planform terms must be adjusted accordingly (file RQPMIN.DAT). Otherwise a module estimating maximum lift coefficient as function of the wing planform terms must be included.

3.6.3.3. Specific Excess Power

Specific excess power is the excess power available, that is the nett thrust minus the total drag, per unit aircraft weight. Specific excess power is calculated for specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting for 1 g flight.

3.6.3.4. Maximum Mach Number
The maximum Mach number that can be attained in 1 g flight at a specified altitude and engine power setting, is estimated by an iterative process during which the nett propulsive force is varied until it reaches a specified minimum. The corresponding Mach number is the maximum Mach number.

3.6.3.5. Acceleration Time Through a Mach Number Increment

This is the time needed by the aircraft to accelerate from a given Mach number through a specified Mach number increment at a specified altitude and engine power setting in a 1 g flight. The acceleration time is estimated by considering the nett propulsive force per unit mass.

The Mach number unit increment of 0.1 was found to provide an acceptable accuracy of the calculated acceleration time. Consequently the acceleration time is derived by adding the acceleration time for several unit Mach number increments that make the Mach number increment specified.

3.6.3.6. Ride Quality Factor

The ride quality factor of the aircraft evaluated, as a measure of the aircraft response to gusts, can be shown to be proportional to the speed, wing area, and lift-curve slope and inversely proportional to the mass of the aircraft.

3.6.3.7. Take-Off Performance

The take-off distance is estimated using the empirical methods of Ref.43. The total take-off distance is the sum of the ground roll distance and the airborne distance to clear a height of 15 metres. Both distances are functions of the thrust-to-weight ratio, the available thrust, the wing loading and the take-off lift.

3.7. Design Synthesis Code VERTI
3.7.1. Introduction

The ASTOVL Design Synthesis was suitably coded to take the form of a computer program; code VERTI.

Code VERTI was written in FORTRAN 77 and is about 6500 instructions long. It consists of around 1500 variables and 50 subroutines. It uses five input files covering general design, aerodynamic, sortie performance, engine performance and point performance data.

Code VERTI performs the entire Design Synthesis calculations in approximately eight CPU seconds on a VAX 11/750 computer. After an execution an output file is created. This output file contains information about the geometry and the mass of the aircraft and its components, as well as the details of the sortie performance and the point performance of the aircraft.

Code VERTI is itself a subroutine of the optimization code RQP3MIN whose operation is described in Chapter 4. As a subroutine of the optimization code RQP3MIN, VERTI has three types of variables. External Variables (EVs) that are input variables, Independent Variables (IVs) that are given an initial value and then are varied by RQP3MIN, and Dependent Variables (DVs) that represent the majority of the variables and depend on EVs and IVs.

However, code VERTI can be operated on its own in a manual mode. In such a mode only EVs and DVs exist. The operator using his experience, judgement and the results produced varies some or all the EVs after each execution, until an optimum configuration is established according to the requirements - performance or otherwise - specified.

3.7.2. Code Development

Code VERTI was developed on the computers of the College of Aeronautics.

It was decided right from the start to adopt a flexible approach in its making. An approach that would produce a code easy to follow and amenable to alterations and extensions. As a consequence the code is highly modular
comprising a relatively large number of subroutines. The subroutine size depends on the task tackled and it was tried to have one subroutine for each distinct item of the Design Synthesis. Consequently, the subroutines differ in length but none is longer than about 250 instructions.

The subroutines were developed gradually and after they have been tested separately were added to the already finished ones. When a number of subroutines came to cover a significant part of the Design Synthesis, they were tested as a whole in order to establish their proper operation in relation to each other.

3.7.3. Code Architecture

The operation of code VERTI is shown in the simplified flowchart of Fig. 4.

VERTI contains a main segment that reads the input data files, controls the order of execution of subroutines via common blocks, performs some major calculations and produces two output files with the starting point and the final results respectively (when combined with the optimizer). All the variables used in a given subroutine are grouped in a single common block with the same name as the subroutine. The variables used in the main segment calculations are grouped in separate common blocks.

In the subroutines, initially, the basic items geometry is estimated. Then the various fuselage stations, chosen appropriately in order to effectively define the fuselage, are established and the fairing curve providing the nett fuselage - or aircraft, if area rule is applied - cross-sectional area is evaluated. Using the basic items geometry and the fairing curve the fuselage station geometry is estimated. Next the geometry and the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces are calculated. With the fuselage and the flying surface geometry available, the aerodynamics and the mass and centre of gravity (cg) of the aircraft are evaluated. Finally, using the aerodynamics, the mass and the engine performance, the sortie performance and point performance are estimated.

The calculations performed by the main segment involve the final stages of the integration to find the volume
and surface area of the fuselage and the estimation of the mass and the centre of gravity of the aircraft.

3.7.3.1. Subroutines

Each subroutine performs a specific task and is given a name that identifies with the task for easier code use. The common block of the subroutine together with the common blocks of the subroutines that are being called and the common blocks of the main segment if needed are listed in the start of the subroutine. Individual subroutines do not process output statements as all output is handled by the main segment.

All the VERTI subroutines are listed below with a short description of their function.

Sizing of the basic items

RADOME : Radome geometry
COCKPG : Cockpit geometry
UNDCB : Undercarriage bay geometry
ENGSIZ : Engine sizing
INTAKD : Intake diffuser geometry
BLDIV : Boundary layer diverter geometry
IWEPEB : Internal weapon bay geometry
IGUNB : Internal gun bay geometry
RLS : Remote Lift System
STATION : Fuselage station definition

Fairing curve

FAIRCURL : Fairing curve coefficient definition
FAIRCURL2 : Fairing curve cross-sectional area evaluation

Flying surface geometry

WING : Wing geometry
EMPENN : Empennage geometry
FLATCROSS : Lateral cross-sectional area of flying surfaces

Fuselage geometry

FUSSTNA : Fuselage geometry at station A
FUSSTNB : Fuselage geometry at station B
FUSSTNC : Fuselage geometry at station C
FUSSTND : Fuselage geometry at station D
FUSSTNE : Fuselage geometry at station E
3.7.3.2. Input and Output Files

The input files and their contents are as follows:

**Mass and centre of gravity (cg)**

- **FUSSTNF**: Fuselage geometry at station F
- **FUSSTNG**: Fuselage geometry at station G
- **FUSSTNH**: Fuselage geometry at station H
- **FUSSTNJ**: Fuselage geometry at station J
- **FUSSTNI**: Fuselage geometry at station I
- **FUSCRA**: Evaluation of the actual cross-sectional area of the fuselage
- **PERIM**: Evaluation of the perimeter of the fuselage

**Aerodynamics**

- **MASSEFULT**: Fuel tank mass estimation
- **MASSEFUS**: Fuselage mass estimation
- **MASSEFLS**: Flying surface mass estimation
- **MASSEVAR**: Mass estimation of various aircraft systems and components
- **CEGRAV**: Moment arm evaluation

- **LIFT**: Lift-curve slope evaluation
- **LIFTCO**: Critical lift coefficient, lift-dependent factor DK2 and maximum lift coefficient evaluation
- **DRAG**: Drag coefficient estimation

**Performance**

- **ENGPER**: Engine performance evaluation
- **ENGRE**: Engine performance input data reading
- **INTER**: Engine performance data interpolation
- **SORTIE**: Sortie performance evaluation
- **SSTR**: Sustained turn rate estimation
- **SATR**: Attained turn rate estimation
- **SSEP**: Specific excess power estimation
- **SMML**: Maximum Mach number estimation
- **SACA**: Estimation of acceleration time through a Mach number increment
- **RIDE**: Ride quality factor estimation
- **TAKEOFF**: Take-off performance evaluation

**Various**

- **ATMOS**: Evaluation of atmospheric properties
- **INTEGRA**: Numerical Integration
- **BLEND**: Blending two functions

3.7.3.2. Input and Output Files

The input files and their contents are as follows:
VERTI.DAT : General design data. Geometry, mass and aerodynamic design data. Some constraint data.

AERO.DAT : Critical lift coefficient, lift-dependent drag DK2 factor and maximum lift coefficient against Mach number data in table form.

CITENG.DAT : Engine performance table data. Engine power setting, thrust, air mass flow, fuel mass flow and nozzle exit area against Mach number and altitude.

PERF.DAT : Sortie performance data. Leg length, altitude, Mach number, normal load factor, jettisoned mass and initial estimate of thrust for the leg.

POINTP.DAT : Point performance data. Sustained turn rate; altitude, Mach number, engine power setting and equality and inequality constraints. Attained turn rate; altitude, Mach number, engine power setting and equality and inequality constraints. Specific excess power; altitude, Mach number, engine power setting and equality and inequality constraints. Maximum Mach number, altitude, initial speed estimate, engine power setting and equality and inequality constraints. Acceleration time through a Mach increment; altitude, Mach number, engine power setting and equality and inequality constraints. Ride quality factor; altitude, Mach number, engine power setting and equality and inequality constraints.

The output files for the starting point and the final results, and their contents are as follows:

VERTI.RES : Take-off mass, fuel fraction, wing loading, thrust-to-weight ratio. Fuselage length and engine scale factor. Geometry; wing, fuselage, engine, empennage. Aircraft cg position and limits. Mass; fuselage, wing, empennage, engine, undercarriage, fixed role
equipment, systems, fuel, payload and aircraft total, empty and landing. Sortie performance; altitude, Mach number, range, normal load factor, end mass, duration, mid-mass lift coefficient, total drag coefficient, engine power setting, thrust, fuel flow, air flow and fuel used for each leg. Take-off and landing fuel used.

Point performance. Achieved and constraint value, altitude, Mach number and engine power setting for: acceleration time through a 0.6 Mach increment, maximum Mach number, sustained turn rate, attained turn rate, specific excess power and ride quality factor. Achieved and constraint value for take-off distance.
4. OPTIMIZATION

4.1. Introduction

The optimization of the ASTOVL Combat Aircraft Design Synthesis was carried out with the use of the optimization code RQPMIN (Refs 67 and 68), supplied by the RAE.

RQPMIN is a general numerical multivariate optimization code. It is designed to solve the constrained optimization problem, that is to minimize (or maximize) a function, subject to a number of constraints and upper and lower bounds imposed on designated variables.

The operation of RQPMIN is based on the Lagrange-Newton optimization. A stationary point of the Langrangian function is calculated by Newton's method. RQPMIN differs from similar codes in that it does not use a penalty or a criterion function to force global convergence. Instead the concept of pseudo-feasibility is applied. A trial point is rejected if the square root of the sum of the squares of the constraints is greater than the radius of pseudo-feasibility (Skrobanski Ref. 68).

RQPMIN involves in its operation Independent Variables (IVs), External Variables (EVs) and Dependent Variables (DVs) as described in chapter 3.7.1, an Objective Function (OF), Equality Constraints (ECs) and Inequality Constraints (ICs). The variables are the variables of the Design Synthesis code VERTI. The Objective Function and the Constraints are specially specified for RQPMIN. External and Dependent Variables have been defined in the VERTI description; for the rest we have:

An Independent Variable is a variable of the Design Synthesis code VERTI that can be varied by RQPMIN.

An Objective Function is a VERTI function chosen to be optimized by RQPMIN.

An Equality Constraint is a specified equality involving VERTI variables that must be satisfied by the end of the optimization.

An Inequality Constraint is similar to an Equality one with the difference that involves an inequality and becomes active only if this inequality is not satisfied.
RQPMIN can sustain up to 50 IVs and a total of 75 ECs and ICs. It requires that all functions involved are continuously differentiable. It is written in FORTRAN 77 and has a modular structure. A full description of RQPMIN is given by Skrobanski (Ref. 68).

4.2. RQPMIN Operation

The OF, the IVs, the ECs and the ICs are selected by the user and incorporated in the user-created subroutine USERF. A second subroutine, USERD, is created by the user that provides analytical derivatives of the VERTI functions, if they exist. Therefore, RQPMIN operates using the Design Synthesis code VERTI, USERF and USERD as subroutines. In addition, RQPMIN uses an input data file RQPMIN.DAT with all the information required for its operation (Fig. 5).

Initially, RQPMIN checks the three subroutines and the input data file mentioned above, and if errors are not found, errors in USERF, USERD and RQPMIN.DAT, as VERTI has been checked before for its proper functioning, proceeds with the optimization.

During the optimization initialization, RQPMIN calls 20 times VERTI and USERF and evaluates the OF and the ECs and ICs using the initial values of the IVs. Then, a number of feasibility steps start, during which the IVs are varied in order to find a feasible path, subject to the constraints, along which the optimization of the OF is attempted.

If a feasible path cannot be found, RQPMIN stops and provides a message to that effect. New values for the IVs have then to be set. When a feasible path has been located, a series of minimization steps begins until the OF and ECs and ICs reach an optimum within a prescribed tolerance and a convergence is detected. Three types of convergence; A, B and C can be obtained.

Convergence A occurs when RQPMIN has located a point where the distances to the minimum OF and to the minimum of the sum of the squares of the ECs and ICs are smaller than the specified tolerance.
Convergence B occurs when RQPMIN has located a point where the distances to the minimum of the sum of the squares of the ECs and ICs is smaller than the specified tolerance and a smaller value for the OF cannot be reached.

Convergence C occurs when the RQPMIN has located a point at which the estimated distance to the minimum of the sum of the squares of the constraint functions is less than the prescribed tolerance and no independent variables exist.

4.3. RQPMIN interfacing with VERTI

Before any interfacing of RQPMIN with VERTI, the user-created subroutines USERF and USERD were prepared according to the RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67).

The USERF subroutine contains a listing of the IVs, the OF, the ECs and the ICs.

The RQPMIN input data file, RQPMIN.DAT, contains the upper and lower limits and the scale factors of the IVs, the OF, the ECs and the ICs. At the end of RQPMIN.DAT a number of assignment keywords and optimization tolerances follow. The scale factors serve to keep values during the optimization around one.

The selection of IVs, the variables that could be varied by RQPMIN, was largely based on their influence on the overall aircraft configuration. The thrust split although of considerable interest was specified as being fixed. The following 19 variables were selected as the most appropriate IVs:

1. Wing area
2. Wing aspect ratio
3. Wing taper ratio
4. Wing quarter-chord sweep
5. Wing thickness to chord ratio
6. Position of front spar
7. Position of rear spar
8. Position of wing
9. Fin quarter-chord
10. Tailplane quarter-chord
11. Engine scale factor
12. Fuselage length
13. First fairing curve definition parameter
The thrust split, although of considerable influence on ASTOVL design, was kept fixed. Variable thrust split would involve complications in the RLS that were beyond the scope of this work. Furthermore, the RAE requirements did not specify a variable thrust split. As OF the empty mass of the aircraft was chosen. A number of OFs were tested to examine the possibility of a better OF as described in Chapter 5. In addition, two ECs and 22 ICs were selected. They are as follows (OF, ECs and ICs share common numbering sequence):

**ECs**

2 The total fuel carried by the aircraft must equal the fuel consumed plus reserves.

3 The dry thrust at landing must equal 1.15 times the weight of the aircraft at landing - 1.22 including RCS (RAE specifications Appendix D).

**ICs**

4 The loaded aircraft moment arm must be less than the after cg limit.

5 The zero-fuel aircraft moment arm must be greater than the forward cg limit.

6 The length of the aircraft must be bigger than the sum of the lengths of the radome, nose undercarriage, RLS front nozzle (diameter), internal weapon bay, intake diffuser bent and engine.

7 The intake diffuser length must be bigger than a minimum intake diffuser length.

8 The nose undercarriage width must be smaller than the fuselage width at the same position.

9 At fuselage station E the actual cross-sectional area must be greater than the corresponding engine cross-sectional area.

10 At fuselage station J the actual cross-sectional area must be greater than the corresponding engine cross-sectional area.

11 The sustained turn rate at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (first sustained turn rate constraint).

12 The sustained turn rate at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (second sustained turn rate
13 The sustained turn rate at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (third sustained turn rate constraint).

14 The attained turn rate at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value.

15 The specific excess power at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (first specific excess power constraint).

16 The specific excess power at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (second specific excess power constraint).

17 The maximum Mach number at a specified altitude, initial speed and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (first maximum Mach number constraint).

18 The maximum Mach number at a specified altitude, initial speed and engine power setting must be greater than a specified minimum value (second maximum Mach number constraint).

19 The acceleration time through a Mach number increment of 0.6 at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be smaller than a specified maximum value.

20 The ride quality factor at a specified altitude, Mach number and engine power setting must be smaller than a specified maximum value.

21 The take-off distance must be smaller than a specified maximum value.

22 The fuselage volume must be smaller than a specified maximum value.

23 Constraint on the combinations of wing aspect ratio and wing sweep (quarter-chord sweep to the power of 0.8 times aspect ratio must be smaller than 3.2).

24 The side fuel tank moment arm must be smaller than the fuselage length minus the total engine length plus half the gas generator length.

25 The side fuel tank moment arm must be greater than the fuselage length minus the total engine length.

The example presented in Appendix B, in which a convergence is achieved, does not include IV 19 and the related ICs 24 and 25.

Although analytical expressions for VERTI functions did not exist, a void USERD subroutine is included as recommended by the RQPMIN User-Guide.
The RQPMIN results file, RQPMIN.RES, contains information on the IVs, the OF, the ECs and the ICs and various constraint, convergence and code operation parameters. It specifies which constraints have been satisfied and if convergence has been achieved.

The interfacing of RQPMIN with VERTI was gradual. A part of VERTI was at first interfaced with RQPMIN and after a successful combined operation additional VERTI parts were added until the whole VERTI was interfaced.

Equally gradual was the introduction of constraints. Initially, the easier constraints were considered. Especially the thrust-to-weight constraint at landing and the multiple point performance constraints were left to the end.

The choice of assignment keywords posed a few problems as the RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref.67) provides very little information. The same was true for the scale factor choice, both for the IVs and the ECs and ICs. Many runs were tried in order to establish the best values of these parameters and to find suitable solutions.

In total, more than 250 runs were performed. Six versions of the RQPMIN-VERTI combination were tried; each one of increased complexity in terms of constraints. The run times on the VAX 11/750 varied widely. In general, versions with relatively relaxed constraints tend to take longer, as the optimization code RQPMIN has the freedom to move and the optimization is considerable. Usually, with the smallest change in RQPMIN input either in the IVs, the ECs, the ICs, the OF or the scale factors, the convergence is lost.

For the tuning of a RQPMIN-VERTI version, in order to run successfully and a convergence to be reached, more than 30 trial runs were needed.
5. OPTIMIZATION OBJECTIVE FUNCTION DEVELOPMENT

Although it was suggested in Refs 43 and 67 that the aircraft take-off mass or the aircraft empty mass is the best OF, a number of other OFs were tried. This investigation aimed for a better aircraft and this does not always mean an aircraft with lower empty mass. Assuming RQPMIN works properly, there was no need to examine other OFs than the empty mass in order to optimize the empty mass. However, having another OF and not the empty mass may for example give an aircraft with the same empty mass and lower fuel mass, that is a better aircraft. Furthermore, as the empty mass is one of the OFs compared we could not use the empty mass as a measure of comparison, and therefore the take-off mass was used. This is not the only way to compare the results; they are available for further investigation.

The results of the investigation of the various OFs are shown in Table 2. It must be emphasized that these results are not in any way connected to the results shown in Appendix B of the optimization of the Baseline Configuration. The reason is, that for the purpose of the OF investigation a very lightly constrained version of RQPMIN/VERTI was used that could converge easily. The effects of lighter constraints are evident in the difference of results between Table 2 and Appendix B, Table 2 showing a much lighter - optimized - configuration. The results of Table 2 apply only to the OF development; the rest of the thesis refers to the results of Appendix B.

It was thought that as this type of aircraft is "built around the engine" the engine mass might be a better OF. This approach was followed in two ways; one with the engine mass and the other with the engine scaling factor as OF. A greater reduction in aircraft mass, was achieved but only nominally. The aircraft mass reduction was better by the amount of around 80 kg (Table 2 - Columns 7,8). This is an interesting result because the empty mass for both cases, OF engine mass and scale factor (Columns 7,8), is nearly the same with the empty mass of the empty mass OF (Column 2) - a difference of around +0.03 %-, but the aircraft take-off mass is lower mainly due to lower fuel mass.

To exhaust this line of thought several versions of the engine mass OF were run with various combinations of initial conditions, scale factors and convergence tolerances. Again, no breakthrough was observed and the
results remained marginally better and not always (Table 2 - Columns 3-7).

Finally, three other OFs were tested; the wing surface area, the wing mass and the fuel mass. The wing surface area and the wing mass showed marginally better and the fuel mass worse results (Table 2 - Columns 9, 10 and 11).
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FIG. 8 ASTOVL DEVELOPMENT CONFIGURATIONS
SORTIE LEG

1. START-UP, TAXI, SHORT TAKE-OFF (30 sec). CLIMB TO CRUISE ALTITUDE AND MACH NO.

2. CRUISE AT 10000 m, 0.7 M FOR 400 km AT 1.0 g.

3. CRUISE AT 9000 m, 0.6 M FOR 45 mins AT 1.1 g. FIRE 2 AMRAAMS.

4. COMBAT AT 9000 M, 1.4 M, 1.0 TURNS AT 3.0 g. FIRE 2 AMRAAMS.

5. COMBAT AT 1000 m, 0.8 M, 2.0 TURNS AT 8.0 g. FIRE 2 ASRAAMS, ALL AMMO. CLIMB TO CRUISE ALTITUDE AND MACH NO.

6. CRUISE AT 10000 m, 0.7 M FOR 400 km AT 1.0 g.

- HOVER FOR 30 sec OUT OF GROUND EFFECT. VERTICAL LANDING WITH 5 % OF INTERNAL FUEL REMAINING AT ENTRY TO HOVER.

TABLE 1 EXAMPLE SORTIE PROFILE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLUMN</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBJ FUN</td>
<td>INIT CONF</td>
<td>A/C EMPT M</td>
<td>ENG M</td>
<td>ENG M</td>
<td>ENG M</td>
<td>ENG M</td>
<td>ENG M</td>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>MWC</td>
<td>MTGFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINE M</td>
<td>3570.4</td>
<td>2599.7</td>
<td>2598.0</td>
<td>2640.1</td>
<td>2603.3</td>
<td>2602.2</td>
<td>2597.6</td>
<td>2597.5</td>
<td>2609.4</td>
<td>2638.0</td>
<td>2759.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL M</td>
<td>4570.0</td>
<td>3094.1</td>
<td>3033.1</td>
<td>3058.6</td>
<td>3027.0</td>
<td>3029.8</td>
<td>3016.3</td>
<td>3015.7</td>
<td>2948.4</td>
<td>3160.4</td>
<td>2906.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUSELAGE M</td>
<td>2735.0</td>
<td>2192.6</td>
<td>2195.8</td>
<td>2244.5</td>
<td>2211.8</td>
<td>2191.6</td>
<td>2191.0</td>
<td>2190.0</td>
<td>2191.4</td>
<td>2324.6</td>
<td>2330.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WING M</td>
<td>2286.4</td>
<td>999.6</td>
<td>1055.3</td>
<td>1164.0</td>
<td>1064.8</td>
<td>1026.5</td>
<td>1019.5</td>
<td>1019.6</td>
<td>1094.7</td>
<td>1008.9</td>
<td>1607.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP TAIL M</td>
<td>476.0</td>
<td>199.2</td>
<td>199.8</td>
<td>206.0</td>
<td>200.5</td>
<td>198.4</td>
<td>196.5</td>
<td>196.5</td>
<td>195.6</td>
<td>206.1</td>
<td>209.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP FIN M</td>
<td>1812.1</td>
<td>628.4</td>
<td>641.3</td>
<td>656.1</td>
<td>643.4</td>
<td>628.7</td>
<td>619.6</td>
<td>619.9</td>
<td>608.0</td>
<td>627.0</td>
<td>586.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM ABOVE</td>
<td>15449.5</td>
<td>9713.6</td>
<td>9723.3</td>
<td>9969.3</td>
<td>9750.8</td>
<td>9674.6</td>
<td>9640.5</td>
<td>9638.6</td>
<td>9647.5</td>
<td>9965.0</td>
<td>10400.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/C EMPTY M</td>
<td>13830.8</td>
<td>9564.7</td>
<td>9635.4</td>
<td>9893.0</td>
<td>9569.0</td>
<td>9598.8</td>
<td>9569.3</td>
<td>9568.4</td>
<td>9643.8</td>
<td>9750.0</td>
<td>10438.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/C TAKE-OFF M</td>
<td>19301.3</td>
<td>13559.3</td>
<td>13569.0</td>
<td>13852.1</td>
<td>13596.5</td>
<td>13520.1</td>
<td>13486.1</td>
<td>13484.6</td>
<td>13492.7</td>
<td>13810.9</td>
<td>14246.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENG SCALE F</td>
<td>1.50000</td>
<td>1.02348</td>
<td>1.02267</td>
<td>1.04300</td>
<td>1.02520</td>
<td>1.02485</td>
<td>1.02248</td>
<td>1.02239</td>
<td>1.02813</td>
<td>1.04193</td>
<td>1.10076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITER NO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>5700</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>CONST 5</td>
<td>CHANGED</td>
<td>CONST 5</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XTOLU</td>
<td>NOT REL</td>
<td>IN COND</td>
<td>NOT REL</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
<td>XTOLV,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2** OPTIMIZATION OBJECTIVE FUNCTION DEVELOPMENT RESULTS
6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Baseline Configuration

The design of V/STOVL aircraft is a controversial issue where a number of schools of thought propose markedly different approaches.

In this work, extensive literature searches have been performed with the objectives of acquiring an understanding of V/STOVL combat aircraft design and to be informed of the latest developments in this field.

The first objective has been accomplished and numerous useful references have been found (Refs 5, 6, 8, 11, 17, 19, 33, 40, 41, 66, 71 and 74). A particularly useful background was written by Fozard (Ref. 21), giving briefly the full history of V/STOVL, with an emphasis on vectored thrust. Kohlman (Ref. 36) provided a good introduction on V/STOVL, Wilson and Eskey (Ref. 82) helpful comparisons between CTOL and V/STOVL and Sweetman (Ref. 75) one of the best accounts of recent state of affairs on ASTOVL. Ref. 39 (Levine and Inglis) on the US/UK ASTOVL Program, formed the basis, in conjunction with the RAE requirements (Appendix D) and the ASTOVL Concept Evaluation Model (Supplied by the RAE), of the Baseline Configuration evaluation.

Nikolai and Stinton (Refs 54 and 72) provided basic aircraft design information such as tail sizing, Heinemann and Huenecke (Refs 25 and 30) a review of combat aircraft design and Hitch and Lee (Refs 26 and 38) some aspects of computerized aircraft design. Mangold (Ref. 45) gave a few indications for combat aircraft aerodynamics trends and Patel and Dixit (Ref. 56) an interesting approach to reverse design. Ref. 76 was used for comparisons with other recent fighter designs for sizing purposes.

The second objective was not achieved, mainly because most of the recent material is classified. Nevertheless, a few publications gave some hints into certain areas. Fowler (Ref. 20) on ducting, Lumnus (Ref. 44), Nelms (Ref. 50) Nelms, Durston and Lumnus (Refs 51 and 52), Brown (Ref. 7), Stoll and Koenig (Ref. 73) and Burns (Ref. 9) on STOVL aerodynamics, McNally and Brown (Ref. 47), Schrage and Meyer (Ref. 61) and Hartland
(Ref. 23) on operational aspects and Sheridan (Refs 65 and 66), Scott (Ref. 63) and Willis (Refs 79 and 80) on engine and remote lift concepts. Ref. 4 provided considerable detail on control matters.

The Baseline Configuration that was attempted, that of an advanced supersonic STOVL combat aircraft with a Remote Lift System (RLS) without a burning front nozzle (that is a hot RLS) and an internal weapon bay, is an extremely complicated one. This configuration has not been proposed before in the open literature.

The above mentioned complications were to be added to the many that exist in the STOVL field. STOVL configurations are broadly divided into vectored thrust and remote lift concepts (Refs 21, 36 and 39). Some mixed, to a certain degree, concepts exist in the sense that remote lift systems can be vectored. Vectored thrust concepts are straightforward. The engine thrust is vectored for landing and sometimes for take-off and in forward flight. The remote lift concepts encompass a variety of schemes (Refs 21 and 36). From separate engines for landing (and take-off), perhaps a category on its own, to various arrangements for remote lift, either plain or augmented. Remote refers to lift provided by some means to a remote, in relation to the engine, position (Fig. 1).

It was very interesting to find so many views on the subject. The views are not only numerous but equally strong. The opinions are divided between the vectored thrust and the remote lift approaches, with some prominent members of the vectored thrust group (Ref. 21) insisting that remote lift concepts are not feasible. In addition to these main groups, there are many in-between and far-away, including positions such as that the only realistic solution is the propeller! (Ref. 61).

From the engine point of view, vectored thrust is favored. Ward and Lewis (Ref. 78) suggest that a vectored thrust scheme is much more efficient and offers a better performance than a remote lift one. However, for supersonic STOVL combat aircraft, as in our case, vectored thrust is not always the right choice. Vectored thrust requires the engine to be placed near the centre of gravity of the aircraft and this requirement results in aircraft with big fuselages in the middle; a situation in contrast to the area-ruling needed in supersonic aircraft.
Additional problems, to the usual ASTOVL configuration problems, were faced. The requirements of a high thrust-to-weight ratio necessary for vertical landing (giving a long engine) and an internal weapon bay with a very long medium range missile, are conflicting. Several attempts to place the internal weapon bay in such a way as not to have the length of it added to the engine length and consequently a very long aircraft, have failed. The internal weapon bay was considered in different locations overlapped by the engine or split in two parts in the aircraft sides. All these schemes proved unrealistic and could not be justified. As a consequence the weapon bay remained ahead and in line with the engine.

Another major problem was the size of the RLS front duct. With a configuration that dictated a thrust split between the front duct and the side duct nozzles of the RLS of around 50 percent, a RLS front duct of enormous size - cross-section - became necessary.

It was evident that a RLS front duct of this size could not be accommodated in the aircraft fuselage. The resulting fuselage was of unacceptable height. In addition an extremely inefficient use of the upper fuselage, where a substantial part of the RLS front duct was located, was made.

Many alternatives were considered which fall in two categories; the first to have two distinct RLS front ducts running the sides or the lower part of the fuselage and joining at the front nozzle and the second to have a RLS front duct with a non-circular cross-section that could better fit in the upper fuselage.

For the first category a realistic solution could not be found as the internal weapon bay and the large engine posed too many problems; consequently a solution within the second was sought. Many cross-sectional shapes were put into consideration and their advantages and disadvantages were accounted for. Cross-sectional shapes, like the semi-circular, that offered fuselage volume efficiency suffered from substantial pressure losses (Refs 1 and 27). Hence it was decided to have a RLS front duct composed of three circular ducts, one bigger in the middle and two smaller one in either side. Together they formed a semi-circular system of ducts that was much smaller in height than a circular one of the same cross-sectional area (Fig.A7). A penalty was paid in terms of frictional losses but that was much less than the pressure losses of the other cross-sectional shapes.
As the pressure losses of non-circular cross-section ducts were in many cases very difficult to estimate, a consideration of mass against pressure losses between the three circular ducts solution adopted and other single non-circular cross-section ducts did not take place. A more extensive study might show that in some cases mass reduction can outweigh pressure losses.

Finally, the well known V/STOVL combat aircraft problem of engine hot gas ingestion while hovering, was very briefly investigated with reference to the intake diffuser inlet position (Refs 37 and 46). Positioning of the inlet further back from the RLS front nozzle location was impossible because the length of the intake diffuser would become too small for a smooth flow or the length of the already long fuselage too long (Fig.1). Thus the only alternative was to consider auxiliary intakes in the upper surface of the diffusers used in hover (landing). The forward flight intakes were chosen to be fixed, in view of the 1.6 M maximum speed of the aircraft (Ref.62).

To reach the final Baseline Configuration some tens of configurations were put down to paper in the form of sketches. Just a dozen of them in Figures 6, 7 and 8 show how the configuration evolved. These configuration attempts indicate some of the steps taken, the problems and the alterations considered, and the compromises accepted to reach the final Baseline Configuration of Fig.1.

The final Baseline Configuration characteristics could not be validated against operational ASTOVL supersonic combat aircraft, simply because they do not exist. Very recently, a Soviet ASTOVL combat aircraft design, code-named YAK-141, was unveiled. It is in the development phase with two prototypes engaged in flight testing. Very little information is available for the moment and the best source that has been found is Ref.2. However, it does not have the same engine configuration being a "lift/cruise plus lift" type. A similar type as a study and in some detail was found in Ref.14 by Cox and Roskam.

Therefore, a table was constructed to compare our Baseline Configuration with the above mentioned two (Table 3). The poor data for the YAK are not more than rough estimates derived from the information presented in Ref.2.
Cox and Roskam's and our configuration are very similar in payload, mission profile and performance. It is noted that Cox and Roskam's configuration features an internal weapon bay like in our configuration (Fig. 9). In addition, with the exception of the different propulsion system, Cox and Roskam's configuration has a lot of similar features to our configuration; ventral (side) nozzles, arrangement of the intake diffusers, one fin, trapezoidal wing planform (Figs 9 and 10).

YAK's mission profile and the performance are assumed to be similar to the other two; information at the time of writing was not available. Equally, it was not known if the YAK has an internal weapon bay. Judging by the configuration, the lift engines and the twin boom tail arrangement, is it unlikely that room is available for an internal weapon bay. A known YAK feature is the use of reheat at landing. A significant difference to the other two configurations having considerable implications in operational terms.

As the subject of the thesis is design synthesis and optimization of the aircraft (empty) mass the comparison is basically made with reference to mass. Obviously, other aspects, such as operational requirements and logistics, have to be included for a proper assessment. Examining the three configurations (Table 3) we can note the following:

The take-off mass of our configuration (Table 3 - Column 1) at 18.9 tons is nearly identical to the YAK's (Table 3 - Column 3) Cox and Roskam's is much lighter at 14.2 (Table 3 - Column 2). The same applies to landing mass; YAK's and our configuration's is around 15.7 - 15.8 tons and Cox and Roskam's around 11.1. Cox and Roskam configuration's lightness could be partly attributed to the advanced technology it assumes. The cruise/lift and the dedicated lift engine thrust-to-weight ratios are nearly 10 and 25 respectively and the mass estimate for the fuselage (Cox and Roskam Ref.14) suggests extensive use of composites.

The maximum sea level thrust of the engine of our configuration (Table 3 - Column 1) is something like 70 percent greater than the other two - the other two have almost the same thrust. The reason is obvious as they use a dedicated lift engine (the YAK two) for landing and their cruise/lift engine is not driven by the landing thrust-to-weight condition. Consequently, the cruise/lift engine mass of Cox and Roskam (Table 3 - Column 2) is
less than half our configuration's (Table 3 - Column 1) engine mass (data for the YAK not available). The fact that the Cox and Roskam and the YAK have nearly the same dry thrust for a different landing mass is because the YAK uses reheat at landing.

Very interesting is the mass comparison of the dedicated lift engine mass of Cox and Roskam to the mass of the RLS front system of ducts and nozzle of our configuration (Table 3 - Column 1); the dedicated lift engine mass is nearly the same - actually Cox and Roskam's is slightly lower (data for the YAK not available). If this dedicated lift engine is scaled-up to account for the difference in landing mass between the two configurations (Table 3 - Column 2 [2]), it is 67 kg heavier without taking into account that the volume occupied by the RLS system results in increased fuselage mass. This comparison is made with a very optimistic estimation for the mass of the RLS front duct and nozzle (Table 3 - Column 1 [3]). A second estimation (Column 1 [4]) gives for the RLS front system of ducts and nozzle a 42 kg higher mass compared to the scaled dedicated lift engine mass.

All the above observations, with regard to our configuration, are based on the converged example of Appendix B.
6.2. Design Synthesis

6.2.1. Introduction

Although the approach of Lovell (Ref. 43) was in general followed, in many instances changes have been made. A few of the changes were due to what was believed to be an imbalance in the approach as some parts of the design synthesis were evaluated in great detail and others not. The rest were differences in the techniques used and additions related to the specific ASTOVL configuration.

6.2.2. Basic Items

The undercarriage dimensions and mass estimation of Ref. 43 was at first thought to be rather simple. Various possibilities were considered (Ref. 15) but all tended to be at the other extreme; very complicated. Thus it was decided to follow Lovell disregarding any reservations.

In the internal weapon bay the missile ejectors posed problems. Again very little information could be found for their dimensions and configuration (Refs 10, 58). As a consequence the ejectors and their mechanisms were represented by a box occupying the upper part of the internal weapon bay.

Auxiliary intakes in the upper surface of the intake diffusers had to be considered to avoid hot gas ingestion due to the RLS front nozzle. Otherwise, the intake diffusers would have to be very long, in order to be away from the RLS front nozzle, with the result to penalize the pilot's visibility.

The engine dry thrust to weight ratio at landing was put to 1.15 excluding bleed air for the Reaction Control System (RCS). To this a 7% (from Ref. 14) was added for the RCS to bring the total to 1.22. This is a low value and the literature (Refs 14, 17 and 59) suggests something between 1.25 and 1.30. However, as it was requested by the RAE it was left to 1.22. However, it should be pointed that very substantial variations exist for the various thrust losses; a good example is the suckdown losses given as 13% in Ref. 14 and 3% in
Ref. 59. To this a 3% due to the RLS front system of ducts and nozzle and side duct nozzles losses was added, based on RAE information.

The Remote Lift System (RLS) presented many difficulties. Estimates for its mass - mass per unit length - had to be made to account not only for its structural but for its insulation mass as well. The structural mass calculation was based on comparisons with RALS (Remote Augmented Lift Systems) concepts (Refs 60 and 63). Ref. 81 (Willis) particularly, although dealing with ejector RALS systems, contained substantial information on sizes and masses of ducts and nozzles. Ref.16 was also consulted for nozzle information but without success. The insulation mass, being a hot RLS duct with nothing similar in the literature, was a problem. Detail investigation of the RLS front duct insulation was beyond the scope of this work. Therefore, a rough estimate was the only solution.

The position of the RLS front duct nozzle was examined in relation to the engine front/rear thrust split. As very little room for nozzle movement was available due to the configuration (internal weapon bay), a 50 : 50% thrust split was decided that assured a nozzle position between the cockpit front bulkhead and the internal weapon bay (Figs 1 and 2). A constant thrust split represents a limitation of the code, but a variable one would require transition stability considerations that were beyond the scope of this work. In addition, as it is a hot remote lift system (RAE specifications Appendix D), a variable thrust split would have to be very limited because of the front duct size problems that could be produced.

6.2.3. Geometry

A lot of emphasis was placed on the fairing curve definition. It was very well defined as the nett cross-sectional area of the fuselage, or the aircraft if area rule is applied, in order to avoid any confusion with the actual cross-sectional area.

The fairing curve evaluation in Ref.43 presents a problem at the rear end of the fuselage. The problem appears if the fin and tailplane surfaces extend beyond the end of the fuselage and only in the case where area rule is applied. With area rule the fairing curve includes the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area (Ref.53), hence in the fairing curve evaluation with area rule the fifth fairing curve definition parameter that indicates
the cross-sectional area of the fuselage at the end of the fuselage - at the nozzle exit - must include the related flying surface lateral cross-sectional area.

In the fin and tailplane calculations, volume ratios are used. The mean quarter-chord distance between wing and fin in the volume definition of fin, was not estimated by the iterative process of Ref. 43 but given as EV (or IV in combination with RQPMIN); the reason being difficulties with convergence. The tailplane was treated similarly.

The equation of the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces of Ref. 43 was re-evaluated from the chordwise thickness distribution of the wing and the resulted equation was found to be different from the one of Ref. 43. Serghides (Ref. 64) does not include area rule in his design synthesis to make a comparison, therefore the RAE was contacted and after consultation a final third version was adopted.

The fuselage geometry was evaluated with due care for the definitions of the cross-sectional areas in question. A greater number of fuselage stations than in Ref. 43 was considered mainly due to the complicated rear fuselage (RLS side duct nozzles) and the use of area utilization factors has not been extensive.

6.2.4. Mass and Centre of Gravity

In general, densities are not used in mass calculations as in Ref. 43. Instead the actual mass values for items such as electronics are preferred. It was felt that for items far away from the aircraft cg an improved accuracy was necessary this being particularly important on STOVL aircraft.

The internal fuel tank solution of Ref. 43 was not followed. The evaluation of the internal fuel volume capacity of the fuselage by subtracting the basic items volume from the fuselage volume has some drawbacks. The reason is that many parts of the fuselage are not suitable for fuel storage. Instead, three fuselage fuel tanks (described in Chapter 2.2.) were chosen, their location dictated by safety, reliability, aircraft centre of gravity and complexity considerations.
6.2.5. Aerodynamics

Only very minor details have been altered in the drag aerodynamics of Ref. 43. They related to the afterbody drag estimation when VERTI operates in conjunction with RQPMIN. A limit was placed on the equivalent afterbody length which sometimes during a feasible path search by RQPMIN would reach a length several times that of the whole fuselage. These very minor changes are elaborated in Appendix A.

The lift-curve slope estimation of Ref. 43 was enhanced with interference effects. Preliminary calculations showed these effects to amount to around 10%. For body and wing-body interaction interference, the methods of DATCOM (Ref. 3) were used transformed into analytical form. For the tail interference again Ref. 3 was used with the difference that as the downwash term needed considerable information from graphs and charts for its evaluation, a situation unsuitable for a code, an analytical expression was derived for it and is presented, with the rest of the interference evaluation, in Appendix C. This analytical expression was derived from first principles using finite wing theory and assuming that the tailplane is situated at the same height with the wing (Ref. 28).

Stability considerations were not among the requirements for this work. However, some literature was reviewed (Refs 32, 37 and 44) with the purpose to establish if anything referring to stability heavily influences the configuration. Nothing was found.

6.2.6. Performance

The engine performance, provided by the RAE, was in the form of input data table. It has been noted that the fuel consumption, and the reheat thrust in relation to dry thrust, were rather high compared to other modern military turbofans but no further action was taken.

Having the engine performance in the form of an input data table, as opposed to a set of formulae describing the engine performance - a practice of Refs 43 and 64, was thought unsuitable for the size of the code VERTI. The subroutines needed to evaluate the engine performance from the input data table run to nearly 700 instructions in length. This represent around 10 percent of the whole
VERTI code where a formula approach would need 100 to 200 instructions. In addition, although every effort has been made to ensure flexible engine performance subroutines, a universal system of data input is impossible. Consequently, a change in the input data table format would involve re-writing of the engine performance subroutines. The table form, being a RAE requirement, was kept.

The sortie performance evaluation suffers from convergence problems; a situation that occurs, to a lesser extent, in some point performance estimations as well. These convergence problems were faced by adding relaxation if convergence is not achieved after a specified number of iterations. If that failed, a trap was activated giving an end to the iteration process with a value that is the average of the two previous ones.

In the attained turn rate estimation the values obtained are lower than expected. This must be due to the the input aerodynamic data for maximum lift coefficient. Clearly the data used from Ref.43 are not appropriate. Another set of data is needed to properly represent the different wing. Ideally, the solution would be to include in the code a module to estimate maximum lift coefficient using wing characteristics.

The maximum Mach number results seem rather erratic. The method used in their estimation is sound in principle but its application and perhaps the relaxation applied require further investigation.

6.3. Optimization

6.3.1. Background

The optimization code RQPMIN used in this work, has been developed by Skrobanski (Ref.68). It is, as described in Chapter 4.1., a general optimization code, the latest of a series of optimization codes along the lines of the Multivariate Optimization (MVO) research carried-out by the RAE.

MVO research started in the late sixties and a number of reports on the subject have been published since then.
Peckham (Ref. 57) is one of the first reports describing a relatively simple design synthesis of a civil transport aircraft that is then optimized for minimum operating cost. Kirkpatrick and Collingbourne (Ref. 35) concentrate on the problem of flap chord selection encountered in the previous publication. Kirkpatrick and Peckham (Ref. 34) investigated the effects of parameters such as the aerofoil section, the engine performance, new materials and structural savings on the design of a short range transport. Collingbourne's (Ref. 12) is the latest and fullest report on transport aircraft.

MVO work was then continued with military aircraft, with Lovell (Ref. 42) examining its application on the preliminary design of a combat aircraft and Mothershill (Ref. 49) on a twin-engine version. Lovell (Ref. 43) provided the latest developments in combat aircraft design synthesis. Serghides (Ref. 64) considered a canard-delta combat aircraft configuration.

At the same time, comparable research has been under way elsewhere. In the USA notable work has been done by Vanderplaats (Ref. 77) with the development of the code CONMIN. CONMIN is a code for the solution of linear or non-linear constrained optimization problems, very similar to the RAE's MVO techniques. Other work in the USA is that of Sliwa (Ref. 69) on the constrained optimization of a medium range transport aircraft with reference to the application of new technologies. A relatively early work is by Hague and Glatt (Ref. 22) on multivariate search techniques.

Recent reports include Ref. 48 of ONERA which describes an optimization technique based on a generalized projected gradient, Ref. 13 of Cousin and Metcalfe on the British Aerospace (Commercial Aircraft) Synthesis and Optimization Program and Smith and Lee (Ref. 70) giving an overview of the combat aircraft MVO work.

Cousin and Metcalfe's report is very interesting; it does not only deal with the developments that culminated in the BAe design synthesis and optimization code TASOP, but discusses many of the problems of the MVO approach. According to this report attention is focused on modular design, clear structure and grouping of data, well defined logical path, disciplined order to the aircraft evaluation, flexibility to use alternative sub-models and a top-down structured design of the code. All these areas have been pointed-out in the present work before the
publication of Ref. 13, and appropriate solutions were found and incorporated in VERTI.

On another level, Sobieski (Ref. 24) must be mentioned. His efforts relate to large scale optimization; that is the combination of finite element type of optimization codes for aerodynamics and structures to produce a single super-code.

6.3.2. RQPMIN Operation

In the RQPMIN interfacing with VERTI and the RQPMIN-VERTI operation substantial problems were encountered. The information available in the RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67) was sparse. Although it provides guidelines for the set-up of the IVs, the OF, the ECS, the ICs and their scale factors in the user-created subroutine USERF and the input data file RQPMIN.DAT, it does not indicate how the code operates. Equally, very little is given on assignment keywords in RQPMIN.DAT. Where the User-Guide is very informative, is in the diagnostics of the code. A very comprehensive list of warning, error and stop messages is included.

Initially, some exploratory runs were performed to determine the proper values of the scale factors and the assignment keywords. The RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67) suggests scale factor values that keep the corresponding parameters - IVs, OF, ECS and ICs - to be order of magnitude 1 during the optimization. This is a difficult condition because, even if the initial values are of the order 1, as some parameters change considerably during the optimization, the condition is lost. In addition, many times initial parameter values other than of the order of 1 were necessary for a successful optimization.

For the assignment keywords, the main difficulty was that the function of most of them was unknown, but this is not reported in the RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67). For the ones the function is known, such as EC and IC tolerance limits, they are given unsuitable range of values. RQPMIN was designed for mathematical applications and these tolerance limits, that guide as to whether the constraints have been satisfied or convergence achieved, are too small. Even their upper (maximum) value of 0.001 is inappropriate for engineering purposes. Numerous runs with a substantial reduction in the OF, ended unsuccessfully because these tolerances could not be satisfied.
The RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67) refers to three types of convergence but indicates that even if a convergence is reached is not guaranteed that RQPMIN has found a valid solution. Nor does the "no further progress possible" message necessarily means that the run has failed. As the "no further progress possible" message appears in almost every unsuccessful run, it is very difficult to make a decision on what the results of the run represent. The great majority of convergent runs were of type B; rarely A and never C.

It should be mentioned that in addition to the "no further progress" message, in over 200 unsuccessful runs only six times other messages appeared. The warning, error and stop messages listed in the RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67) number around 130.

The convergence and the "no further progress possible" are not the only situations arising in RQPMIN operation. Cases where the code infinitely iterates or does not work at all, appear as well. Furthermore, the "no further progress possible" message can be found with and without the constraints having been satisfied. In one case we had the unusual situation in reaching convergence without the constraints having been satisfied.

Another important point is that the smallest change in the input RQPMIN.DAT or the USERF file can have tremendous consequences. A convergence can be lost by just changing the scale factor of one IV, EC or IC. The only difference can be a small change in the initial (normalized) value of the OF this always remaining within the RQPMIN User-Guide (Ref. 67) recommendations to have a value of around one. The same applies for the IVs, ECs and ICs.

RQPMIN varies during its feasibility steps, the IVs in every direction until it finds a feasible path. When very tight constraints were specified (discussed in the following chapter), only four IVs showed considerable variation during the optimization. They were the engine scale factor, the wing quarter-chord position and the front and rear spar positions. The engine scale factor carries the most of the aircraft mass reduction and the wing quarter-chord position the cg balance.
Other important IVs remain roughly the same. The fuselage length because the aircraft does not have any free space in the axial direction, the wing area because is needed for the point performance constraints and the size and moment arm of the side tanks as the location and size of these tanks is essentially determined by the engine geometry and the fairing curve.

A unexplainable tendency of RQP Min operation was observed, with regard to the wing front and rear spar positions; RQP Min brings the two spars close together. The reason could not be found; a guess might be that it is a way to reduce wing fuel tank volume for cg purposes. However, the question is why RQP Min chooses the wing fuel tanks and not the fuselage fuel tanks and especially why not the fuselage side tanks as they are much more suitable for cg balance. To ease the situation, the fixed moment arm of the side fuel tanks, was changed to an IV that can be varied by RQP Min but with no effect; the size and moment arm of the side fuel tanks remained almost constant.

This trend appeared in earlier versions of RQP Min-Verti with light constraints. The difference is that in those earlier versions it was a temporary trend and the spars returned, at the end of the optimization, near to their original positions. In the last RQP Min-Verti version where we have very tight constraints this does not happen and as a consequence the wing mass is very much increased.

A very important point with reference to the results obtained - optimization of OF - is that RQP Min can give much better optimization - reduction in aircraft empty mass - when convergence is not achieved. This occurs with the constraints being satisfied.

6.3.3. Constraints

The final point performance constraints are shown in Table 4 and all the constraints have been described in Chapter 3.7.3.1.

The constraint driving the configuration is the one for the thrust-to-weight ratio at landing. As a result we have a very large engine and, due to the configuration, a large RLS front duct system. Both add substantially to the aircraft mass. Another significant constraint
deriving from the configuration is the in-line positioning of the internal weapon bay and the engine. Again this adds to the aircraft mass due to a longer fuselage.

From the performance point of view, the important constraints are those for the sustained turn rate, the specific excess power and the maximum Mach number. The second sustained turn rate constraint proved impossible to achieve. The closest to the constraint value of 9.5 degrees per second at 9000 metres, Mach 0.9 and full engine power that could be achieved, was around 4.

In general, these sets of constraints make a convergence very difficult. It seems that RQPMIN needs some freedom in order to converge. To do so the second sustained turn rate constraint had to be put at 2 degrees per second. Although the results given for the second sustained turn rate were between 3 and 4 degrees per second, if these values were considered as constraints instead of the value of 2, convergence could not be achieved. The reduction in aircraft empty mass is of the order of 1 % where in Lovell's (Ref.43) example it is around 16 %.

It is rather evident that some of the performance constraints contradict each other. Information on how sustained turn rate, specific excess power and maximum Mach number vary with altitude, Mach number and engine power setting - the first sustained turn rate constraint is at maximum dry power - should have been consulted, in order to locate the problem, but such information was unavailable.

The rest of the constraints either due to the configuration or the performance were, in most of the cases, all satisfied.

From the purely optimizational point of view, the most difficult constraints are the ECs and this is because the tolerance problem discussed earlier, is much more acute than in the ICs. The reason is that an equality is approached from both sides; an inequality from one. A way to by-pass this difficulty might be to replace every EC with two ICs, thus allowing whatever tolerance is considered appropriate. It is a problem connected with RQPMIN operation. RQPMIN, in its attempts to find a feasible path to an optimization, cannot accommodate the specified constraints.
6.4. Optimization Objective Function (OF) Development

The investigation for a better OF used as a measure of comparison the aircraft take-off mass. The empty mass could not be used being one of the OFs tried and, assuming that RQPMIN operates properly, any other OF would not give a better empty mass. The comparison of the various results is not limited in the use of the aircraft take-off mass; other measures of comparison can be dictated by specific requirements. For example, reduced fuel mass and wing surface area.

In the course of the investigation for a better OF, in addition to the empty mass, five other aircraft parameters - engine mass, engine scale factor, wing surface area, wing mass and fuel mass - were tried as OFs. The results are shown in Table 2.

When the engine mass was put as OF (Table 2 - Column 3), the obtained aircraft take-off mass was nearly the same (a 10 kg increase). A reduction in computing time was noticed, from 7700 to 5700 iterations, but that was not the purpose of the exercise. Therefore, different initial conditions were considered and the maximum number of iterations was reached without a result (Table 2 - Column 4). The maximum number of iterations was raised to 16000 and a type A convergence was reached with worse results - aircraft take-off mass increase by 37 kg (Table 2 - Column 5). Again, initial conditions were altered and smaller tolerances set and a "no further progress possible" message was given (Table 2 - Column 6). Finally, with another set of tolerances, convergence and a better result - aircraft take-off mass decreased by around 80 kg - was achieved. It must be stated that this improvement comes mainly from the fuel mass reduction and the empty mass is only 0.05 % worse than the empty mass value of the empty mass OF case (Table 2 - Column 2).

As the engine mass results were only nominally better, the take-off mass reduction of around 80 kg amounts to 0.04 % of the take-off mass, an insignificant figure from the engineering point of view, other OFs were sought. With the engine scale factor and the wing surface area, the results remained essentially the same, better but to a very small degree (Table 2 - Columns 8 and 9). Since a wing mass increase was observed during all these trials, next the wing mass was put as OF but the aircraft mass
increased (Table 2 - Column 10). The last attempt was with fuel mass but failed (Table 2 - Column 11).

Consequently, an OF that would give a reduced aircraft take-off mass essentially better than the one achieved with the empty mass as OF was not found.

This investigation for a better OF lends itself to an examination of the effectiveness of the optimizer RQPMIN. Considering each of the six OF cases and comparing the values obtained for the optimized parameters with the next best in the rest of the cases, we have:

For the fuel OF a better optimization (better indicates success of the optimization) by 1.4 %, for the wing surface area a better by 0.26 %, for the engine mass a better by 0.03 %, for the engine scale factor a better by 0.01 %, for the engine mass a worse by 0.003 % and for the wing mass a worse by 0.9 %.

From the mathematical point of view, out of six cases of OFs, four were successful and two were unsuccessful optimizations. From the engineering point of view, in at least five of the six cases, the results can be regarded as nominal.
FIG. 9 COX AND ROSKAM'S LIFT-LIFT/Cruise Configuration

1 RADAR AND EQUIPMENT BAY
2 NOSE LANDING GEAR BAY
3 ACES II ZERO/Zero EJECTION SEAT
4 DEDICATED LIFT ENGINE
5 FUEL PUMP
6 ON BOARD OXYGEN GENERATING SYSTEM
7 HEAT EXCHANGER AND AIR-CONDITIONING UNIT
8 FORWARD FUSELAGE FUEL TANK
9 INTERNAL WEAPONS BAY FOR AIM-7S
10 AMMUNITION DRUM
11 HYDRAULIC RESERVOIR
12 AFT FUSELAGE FUEL TANK
13 AVIONICS BAY
14 MAIN LANDING GEAR BAY
15 CRUISE ENGINE
16 ECM POD
17 JET FUEL STARTER
18 CHAFF AND FLARE POD
FIG. 10 COX AND ROSKAM'S LIFT+LIFT/Cruise Configuration - Three-Dimensional View (From Ref. 14)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLUMN</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoA ASTOVL</td>
<td>COX AND ROSKAM</td>
<td>YAK-141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONVERGED (APPEND.B)</td>
<td>(REF.14)</td>
<td>(REF.2) [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAKE-OFF MASS kg</td>
<td>18900</td>
<td>14240</td>
<td>19000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANDING MASS kg</td>
<td>15870</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>15700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.L. THRUST kn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRUISE/LIFT ENGINE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHEAT AT LANDING DRY</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATED LIFT ENG</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASS kg CRUISE/LIFT ENGINE</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATED LIFT ENG</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLS FRONT DUCT AND NOZZLE</td>
<td>229  [3]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(338) [4]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] ESTIMATED
[2] SCALED TO THE CoA ASTOVL (CONVERGED) LANDING MASS
[3] FIRST ESTIMATION - RLS FRONT DUCT MASS 17 kg/m, FRONT NOZZLE MASS 50 kg
[4] SECOND ESTIMATION - RLS FRONT DUCT MASS 25 kg/m, FRONT NOZZLE MASS 75 kg

TABLE 3 ASTOVL COMBAT AIRCRAFT COMPARISON
0.6 m ACCELERATION FROM M=0.8 AT 9000 m, MAX POWER \[ \leq 60 \text{ s} \]

MAX MACH NO AT SEA LEVEL, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 1.1 \]

MAX MACH NO AT 11000 m, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 1.6 \]

SPECIFIC EXCESS POWER AT M=0.9, AT SEA LEVEL, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 300 \text{ m/s} \]

SPECIFIC EXCESS POWER AT M=0.9, AT 9000 m, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 150 \text{ m/s} \]

SUSTAINED TURN RATE AT M=0.9, AT SEA LEVEL, AT MAX DRY POWER \[ \geq 12 \text{ deg/s} \]

SUSTAINED TURN RATE AT M=0.9, AT 9000 m, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 9.5 \text{ deg/s} \]

SUSTAINED TURN RATE AT M=1.4, AT 9000 m, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 6.0 \text{ deg/s} \]

ATTAINED TURN RATE AT M=1.4, AT 9000 m, AT MAX POWER \[ \geq 10.0 \text{ deg/s} \]

RIDE QUALITY FACTOR AT 1000 m, AT MAX POWER \[ \leq 5.0 \]

**TABLE 4** POINT PERFORMANCE CONSTRAINTS
7. CONCLUSIONS

7.1. Baseline Configuration

An ASTOVL Combat Aircraft configuration with a RLS and an internal weapon bay was evaluated based on RAE specifications.

The Baseline Configuration represents an ASTOVL Combat Aircraft along the current trends for this type of aircraft.

The use of a RLS was a requirement but a "cruise/lift plus lift" configuration with a dedicated lift engine might be a better solution for a low configuration mass.

7.2. Design Synthesis

The Baseline Configuration was used to develop the Design Synthesis code VERTI, applicable to ASTOVL Combat Aircraft with a RLS and an internal weapon bay.

Code VERTI provides a fast computation of the aircraft geometry, mass, aerodynamics and performance.

It is modular in form and can be easily modified to incorporate different methods and techniques and to account for other configurations.

It is compatible with the RAE optimization code RQPMIN, but equally it can operate on its own, for preliminary design, technology evaluation and configuration selection studies.

Every effort has been made to strike a good balance between the various parts of the code in relation to the detail in the techniques used, but further consideration is needed.
7.3. Optimization

The interfacing of the code VERTI with the RAE optimization code RQPMIN, and the optimization of the initial configuration, were successfully achieved.

The optimization code RQPMIN had considerable functional problems for which further attention is required. RQPMIN operates successfully only under certain conditions that are not known.

The constraints specified were very tight and the second sustained turn rate could not be satisfied.

The best Objective Function for the reduction of the aircraft take-off mass was found to be either the aircraft empty mass or the engine mass.
8. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

For the configuration it is recommended to evaluate a "cruise/lift plus lift" version, develop a design synthesis based on it, interface it with RQPMIN and compare its performance with the present RLS configuration.

Another area of further configuration work is to examine, if for "RLS" and "cruise/lift plus lift" configurations that are otherwise identical, the RLS front duct and nozzle of the "RLS" configuration are heavier than the dedicated lift engine of the "cruise/lift plus lift" configuration.

For the design synthesis a sensitivity study to balance in terms of detail the various parts of the code is proposed. Additionally, the various iteration processes within the design synthesis should be re-examined to ensure better convergence.

The main recommendations for further work on the optimization concern code RQPMIN. RQPMIN must be fully documented and an investigation to find under what conditions is properly functioning should be undertaken. Furthermore, an adaption of RQPMIN so that an option of larger convergence and constraint tolerances could be useful. As an intermediate step the replacement of the ECs with ICs could be tried.

Finally, in connection with the optimization of the specific configuration of this work, more information should be found on maximum Mach number, specific excess power, sustained turn rate and their interaction, in order to evaluate appropriate constraints.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This appendix is a simple guide for the ASTOVL Combat Aircraft Design Synthesis Code VERTI.

It provides the mathematical formulation for the Design Synthesis of the aircraft Baseline Configuration which forms the basis of the code VERTI. In addition, all the reasoning and the methodology that leads to the development of the code is explained.

In general, the approach of Lovell (Ref. 43) is followed, but in many cases considerable changes have been made and additions included.

The version of Code VERTI described here, is the fully interfaced RQPMIN subroutine (subroutine VERTI5).

The baseline configuration of the aircraft is shown in Fig. A1 and a simplified flowchart for code VERTI is shown in Fig. A15.

The main items that have been added to Lovell's work (Ref. 43) are the internal weapon bay, the internal gun bay, the RLS, the table form engine performance module (table of engine performance supplied by the RAE) and the lift-curve slope interference.

The main changes that have been made to Lovell's work (Ref. 43) are the following:

- The equation of the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces was slightly modified
- Volume accounting was not used for fuselage fuel tanks, but separate fuel tanks were defined
- The application of the area rule was evaluated using cross-sectional areas and not volume of the fuselage
- It was established that a different method is needed in fairing curve development if the tail flying surface areas extend beyond the end of the fuselage
- The engine sizing was performed using information provided by the RAE
- Specific mass values and not mass densities have been used for some items.

In every other respect, this design synthesis is almost identical to Lovell's work (Ref. 43).
2. MAIN SEGMENT OF THE CODE

2.1. INPUT OF DATA

The following five files provide the input data.

VERTI2.DAT contains the general design data.

AERO5.DAT, the aerodynamic data file, contains the critical lift coefficient, the factor DK1 in the lift-dependent drag estimation and the maximum lift coefficient versus Mach numbers that cover the flight envelope.

CITENG5.DAT, the engine performance file, contains the nett and gross thrust, the fuel flow rate, the nozzle exit area and the air flow rate of the engine for a number of altitudes, Mach numbers and engine power settings.

PERF5.DAT, contains all the initial sortie performance data - leg distance, altitude, Mach number, normal load factor, discarded mass (missiles, bombs), flap angle and an estimate of the gross thrust.

POINTP5.DAT, the point performance data file, includes altitude, Mach number, power setting and constraint values for all point performance evaluations - sustained turn rate, attained turn rate, specific excess performance, maximum Mach number, acceleration through a Mach number increment and ride quality factor.

These files are opened, their contents read and stored as variables and then they are closed not to be read again.

2.2. SUBROUTINE CALLS

Initially the basic items subroutines are called to calculate the basic items geometry (Fig.A15). Next is subroutine STATION to define the various fuselage stations and subroutines FAIRCUR1 and FAIRCUR2 to define the nett cross-sectional area of the fuselage. Then the subroutines for the flying surfaces (WING, EMPENN) including subroutine FLATCROSS if area-rule is required.

Having established all the basic geometrical characteristics of the aircraft the station sections subroutines estimate the dimensions of the different aircraft sections along
the fuselage (FUSSTNA-I). The cross-sectional area and perimeter subroutines FUSCRA and PERIM conclude the calculations for the aircraft geometry and then fuselage volume and surface area are estimated.

Having established the aircraft geometry, mass and centre of gravity are then estimated - subroutines MASSEFULT, MASSEFUS, MASSEFLS, MASSEVAR and CEGRAV.

With the geometry calculated, the aerodynamic characteristics can be estimated - subroutines DRAG and LIFT.

Finally, with mass and aerodynamic characteristics available and engine performance read from input file CITENG5.DAT the sortie and point performance of the aircraft are evaluated - subroutines SORTIE, SSTR, SATR, SSEP, SMML, SACA, RIDE and TAKEOFF.

The results include detailed aircraft geometry and mass, the sortie performance and the point performance in conjunction with the constraints (Appendix B - Figs B6 and B7).
3. SIZING OF BASIC ITEMS

The design synthesis commences with the reading of the External Variable (EV) values and the initial Independent Variable (IV) values from the input data file. Subsequent syntheses retain the same EV values, but use IV values provided by the optimizer. This section describes the synthesis of the basic items (those which depend only on EVs), and some of the primary synthesis elements such as the engine intake diffuser and remote lift system.

Basic items of the aircraft are its basic parts that are given in standard form.

Using design data and taking into consideration the specifications that have been provided, the dimensions and mass of the basic items are estimated and subsequently incorporated in the mathematical formulation of the whole aircraft.

The basic items include the radome, the cockpit, the undercarriage, the gross wing, the engine, the intake diffuser and the remote lift system.

3.1. RADOME (SUBROUTINE RADOME)

The nose diameter is defined from a specified radar dish diameter, DAR, and an increment, EDAR, to allow for clearance. A linear variation of cross-sectional area with axial distance, gradient GOFI is assumed.

The cross-sectional area of the fuselage at the radar dish position (Fig.A2, station R), OFI, is:

\[ \text{OFI} = \frac{\pi}{4} (\text{DAR} + \text{EDAR})^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

and the radome length is:

\[ \text{XFR} = \frac{\text{OFI}}{\text{GOFI}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

The volume and the wetted area of the radome, VFR and
WFR, assuming a parabolic shape are

\[ VFR = 0.5 \text{ GOFI} \times \text{XFR}^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

\[ WFR = \frac{4}{3} \text{XFR} \left( \pi \text{ GOFI} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\text{GOFI}}{4 \pi \text{XFR}} \right] - \frac{\text{GOFI}^2}{6 \pi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

Behind the radar dish a fuselage length LAR is allowed for related avionics of mass MAR.

Following these there is a second bay of length LAX1 containing further avionics of mass MAX1.

The front bulkhead of the cockpit is situated at the rear of this bay. Its distance from the aircraft nose XA is :

\[ XA = \text{XFR} + \text{LAR} + \text{LAX1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

3.2. COCKPIT (SUBROUTINE COCKPG)

The arrangement of the cockpit is shown in Fig.A4 and is based on military specifications. The dimensions HC1, HC2, HC3, HC4, HCSEAT, LCFOOT, QCSEAT, QCEYE and EHC5 are specified input design data appropriate for the type of cockpit selected. From these the following are derived :

\[ QCFOOT = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{HC3 \sin QCSEAT + HCSEAT \cos QCSEAT}{HC2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

\[ HCEYE = HC1 \cos QCSEAT + HC3 \sin QCSEAT + HCSEAT \cos QCSEAT \]  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

\[ LCEYE = HC1 \sin QCSEAT + HC2 \cos QCFOOT + LCFOOT \]  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

\[ LCFL = HC2 \cos QCFOOT + LCFOOT + HC3 \cos QCSEAT - \]
\[ \frac{EHC5}{\cos QCSEAT} + \text{HCSEAT} \sin QCSEAT \quad (A9) \]

\[ HC5 = HCEYE - LCEYE \tan QCSEYE \quad (A10) \]

Hence the distance of the eye position from the nose of the aircraft is:

\[ XB = XA + LCEYE \quad (A11) \]

The mass of the cockpit structure is included in the fuselage mass evaluated later, and in addition to this a mass, MCI, is allowed for the instruments oxygen system, pyrotechnics, furnishings and armour and a mass, MCP, for the crew.

3.3. UNDERCARRIAGE (SUBROUTINE UNDCB)

The geometry of the main and nose undercarriages is derived from a number of correlations with a landing reference mass, MTLR (Ref. 43). This is obtained by removing the load of external stores, ammunition and expendable weapons (bombs, missiles) from the take-off mass, MTT, adding a reference load, MTPR, to form a take-off reference mass, MTTR, and then removing a fixed proportion of the internal fuel. The reference load MTPR is a load used for stressing purposes. The reference mass at take-off is

\[ MTTR = MTT - MXT - MXTF - MGABI - MXP - MSWBI + MTPR \quad (A12) \]

and the reference landing mass is

\[ MTLR = MTTR - (1 - RMTLIF) MTGFI \quad (A13) \]

where RMTLIF is the proportion of the remaining fuel.

To find an expression for the mass of the nose and main undercarriages and their associated hydraulics, these were correlated with the landing reference mass to obtain the linear relations (Ref. 43)
MUN = FMUNK MTLR + MUNK \quad (A15)
MUH = FMUHK MTLR + MUHK \quad (A16)

By examining the variation of the sum of the total undercarriage lengths with the reference landing mass the following correlation was found:

\[ LULG = \left[ \frac{MTLR}{LULG1K} \right] LULG2K \quad (A17) \]

The ratio of the length of the nose leg to the length of one main undercarriage leg, RLUNM, enables the length of the nose length to be found

\[ LUNS = \frac{LULG \cdot RLUNM}{(RLUNM + 2)} \quad (A18) \]

and the length of each main leg

\[ LUMN = 0.5 \cdot (LULG - LUNS) \quad (A19) \]

For the accuracy required in this synthesis, linear correlations of wheel dimensions with the landing reference mass are considered adequate, assuming a fixed requirement for ground hardness. Hence the main wheel diameter is given by

\[ DUMW = FDUMWK MTLR + DUMWK \quad (A20) \]

and the width

\[ BUMW = FBUMWK MTLR + BUMWK \quad (A21) \]

Nose wheel diameter is given by

\[ DUNW = FDUNWK MTLR + DUNWK \quad (A22) \]
and the nose wheel width, $BUNW$, is assumed constant.

The volume required in the fuselage for the retracted undercarriage is considered in two parts, one related to the wheels and the other to the legs. The volume needed for the wheel of each main undercarriage is given by

$$VUMWB = DUMW^2 BUMW FDUMW FBUMW \quad (A23)$$

where $FDUMW$ and $FBUMW$ are clearance factors. The volume needed for the wheel of the nose undercarriage is

$$VUNWB = DUNW^2 BUNW FDUNW FBUNW \quad (A24)$$

The volume needed for the leg of each main undercarriage is provided by a correlation of leg length and landing reference mass, valid for a number of combat aircraft. The relation is

$$VUMLB = FVUMLK LUMN MTLR + VUMLBK \quad (A25)$$

where $FVUMLK$ and $VUMLBK$ are constants. The volume needed for the nose leg is estimated using the above correlation with the volume factored by the ratio of the masses of nose and main undercarriages

$$VUNLB = \frac{2 MUN}{MUM} \left( FVUMLK LUNS MTLR + VUMLBK \right) \quad (A26)$$

The length of the undercarriage bays is given by

$$LUMB = 0.5 DUMW + LUMN \quad (A27)$$
$$LUNB = 0.5 DUNW + LUNS \quad (A28)$$

The cross-sectional area of the wheel bays

$$OUMWB = DUMW BUMW FDUMW FBUMW \quad (A29)$$
$$OUNWB = DUNW BUNW FDUNW FBUNW \quad (A30)$$
and the cross-sectional area of the leg bays

\[
V_{UMLB} = \frac{O_{UMLB}}{L_{UMN} - 0.5 \cdot D_{UMW}} \tag{A31}
\]

\[
V_{UNLB} = \frac{O_{UNLB}}{L_{UNS} - 0.5 \cdot D_{UNW}} \tag{A32}
\]

3.4. ENGINE SIZING (SUBROUTINE ENGSIZ)

The engine geometry (and performance) was provided by the RAE (F). The engine geometry is scaled from a datum military turbofan engine, whose simplified geometry is shown in Fig. A5, using a scaling factor, RTP. The following scaling relations are used

For the length we have

\[
LP_{12} = \frac{LP_{12R}}{RT^P_{FLP1K}} \tag{A33}
\]

\[
LP_{23} = \frac{LP_{23R}}{RT^P_{FLP2K}} \tag{A34}
\]

\[
LP_{34} = \frac{LP_{34R}}{RT^P_{FLP2K}} \tag{A35}
\]

\[
LP_{45} = \frac{LP_{45R}}{RT^P_{FLP2K}} \tag{A36}
\]

\[
LP_{56} = \frac{LP_{56R}}{RT^P_{FLP3K}} \tag{A37}
\]

\[
LP_{24} = LP_{23} + LP_{34} \tag{A38}
\]

and the overall length is

\[
LP_G = LP_{12} + LP_{23} + LP_{34} + LP_{45} + LP_{56} \tag{A39}
\]

For the diameter

\[
DP_1 = \frac{DP_1R}{RT^P_{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A41}
\]

\[
DP_2 = \frac{DP_2R}{RT^P_{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A42}
\]

\[
DP_3 = \frac{DP_3R}{RT^P_{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A43}
\]
The geometry of the engine bay is defined by adding vertical and horizontal clearances to the scaled values of the engine diameter. The clearances are defined as the differences in diameter at the particular position between the engine and the fuselage. The clearances are accepted to be linear functions of the engine diameter, but are limited to a range between specified minimum and maximum values. Therefore we have

\[ \text{EHP1} = \text{FHP1K} \text{DP1} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EHP1S} \leq \text{EHP1} \leq \text{EHP1H} \quad (\text{A47}) \]

\[ \text{EBP1} = \frac{\text{FBP1K} \text{DP1}}{\text{NENG}} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EBP1S} \leq \text{EBP1} \leq \text{EBP1H} \quad (\text{A48}) \]

\[ \text{EHP2} = \text{FHP2K} \text{DP2} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EHP2S} \leq \text{EHP2} \leq \text{EHP2H} \quad (\text{A49}) \]

\[ \text{EBP2} = \frac{\text{FBP2K} \text{DP2}}{\text{NENG}} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EBP2S} \leq \text{EBP2} \leq \text{EBP2H} \quad (\text{A50}) \]

\[ \text{EHP3} = \text{FHP3K} \text{DP3} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EHP3S} \leq \text{EHP3} \leq \text{EHP3H} \quad (\text{A51}) \]

\[ \text{EBP3} = \frac{\text{FBP3K} \text{DP3}}{\text{NENG}} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EBP3S} \leq \text{EBP3} \leq \text{EBP3H} \quad (\text{A52}) \]

\[ \text{EHP4} = \text{FHP4K} \text{DP4} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EHP4S} \leq \text{EHP4} \leq \text{EHP4H} \quad (\text{A53}) \]

\[ \text{EBP4} = \frac{\text{FBP4K} \text{DP4}}{\text{NENG}} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EBP4S} \leq \text{EBP4} \leq \text{EBP4H} \quad (\text{A54}) \]

\[ \text{EHP5} = \text{FHP5K} \text{DP5} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EHP5S} \leq \text{EHP5} \leq \text{EHP5H} \quad (\text{A55}) \]

\[ \text{EBP5} = \frac{\text{FBP5K} \text{DP5}}{\text{NENG}} \quad \text{for} \quad \text{EBP5S} \leq \text{EBP5} \leq \text{EBP5H} \quad (\text{A56}) \]
EHP6 = FHP6K DP6 \quad \text{for} \quad EHP6S \leq EHP6 \leq EHP6H \quad (A57)

\begin{align*}
\text{EBP6} = \frac{FBP6K DP6}{\text{NENG}} \quad \text{for} \quad EBP6S \leq EBP6 \leq EBP6H \quad (A58)
\end{align*}

The clearances at engine station 6, the nozzle exit, are usually null.

For the purpose of this synthesis the cross-sections of the engine bay are assumed to be ellipses. They are given by

\begin{align*}
\text{OP1B} &= \text{NENG} - \frac{\pi}{4} (\text{DP1} + \text{EHP1}) (\text{DP1} + \text{EBP1}) \quad (A59) \\
\text{OP2B} &= \text{NENG} - \frac{\pi}{4} (\text{DP2} + \text{EHP2}) (\text{DP2} + \text{EBP2}) \quad (A60) \\
\text{OP3B} &= \text{NENG} - \frac{\pi}{4} (\text{DP3} + \text{EHP3}) (\text{DP3} + \text{EBP3}) \quad (A61) \\
\text{OP4B} &= \text{NENG} - \frac{\pi}{4} (\text{DP4} + \text{EHP4}) (\text{DP4} + \text{EBP4}) \quad (A62) \\
\text{OP5B} &= \text{NENG} - \frac{\pi}{4} (\text{DP5} + \text{EHP5}) (\text{DP5} + \text{EBP5}) \quad (A63)
\end{align*}

and for the nozzle exit area

\begin{align*}
\text{OPN} &= \text{NENG} - \frac{\pi}{4} \text{DP6}^2 \quad (A64)
\end{align*}
The volume of the engine bay is estimated by assuming a linear variation of bay height and width between the engine stations. Therefore we have

\[
\text{VP12B} = \frac{\text{LP12}}{3} \{ \frac{\pi}{8} (\text{OP1B} + \text{OP2B} + \text{NENG} - \left[ (\text{DP1} + \text{EHP1}) \right. \\
\left. (\text{DP2} + \text{EBP2}) + (\text{DP2} + \text{EHP2}) (\text{DP1} + \text{EBP1}) \right] \}
\]
(A65)

\[
\text{VP23B} = \frac{\text{LP23}}{3} \{ \frac{\pi}{8} (\text{OP2B} + \text{OP3B} + \text{NENG} - \left[ (\text{DP2} + \text{EHP2}) \\
\left. (\text{DP3} + \text{EBP3}) + (\text{DP3} + \text{EHP3}) (\text{DP2} + \text{EBP2}) \right] \}
\]
(A66)

\[
\text{VP34B} = \frac{\text{LP34}}{3} \{ \frac{\pi}{8} (\text{OP3B} + \text{OP4B} + \text{NENG} - \left[ (\text{DP3} + \text{EHP3}) \\
\left. (\text{DP4} + \text{EBP4}) + (\text{DP4} + \text{EHP4}) (\text{DP3} + \text{EBP3}) \right] \}
\]
(A67)

\[
\text{VP45B} = \frac{\text{LP45}}{3} \{ \frac{\pi}{8} (\text{OP4B} + \text{OP5B} + \text{NENG} - \left[ (\text{DP4} + \text{EHP4}) \\
\left. (\text{DP5} + \text{EBP5}) + (\text{DP5} + \text{EHP5}) (\text{DP4} + \text{EBP4}) \right] \}
\]
(A68)

\[
\text{VP56B} = \frac{\text{LP56}}{3} \{ \frac{\pi}{8} (\text{OP5B} + \text{OP6B} + \text{NENG} - \left[ (\text{DP5} + \text{EHP5}) \\
\left. (\text{DP6} + \text{EBP6}) + (\text{DP6} + \text{EHP6}) (\text{DP5} + \text{EBP5}) \right] \}
\]
(A69)

\[
\text{VPB} = \text{VP12B} + \text{VP23B} + \text{VP34B} + \text{VP45B} + \text{VP56B}
\]
(A70)
The mass of the engine is considered in four parts. For the gas generator

\[ MPB = NENG \cdot (FMPBK \cdot RTP + 1 - FMPBK) \]  
\[ \text{(A71)} \]

For the RLS (Remote Lift System) section

\[ MPL = NENG \cdot MPLR \cdot RTP^{FMPRK} \]  
\[ \text{(A72)} \]

For the reheat system

\[ MPR = NENG \cdot MPRR \cdot RTP^{FMPRK} \]  
\[ \text{(A73)} \]

For the nozzle

\[ MPN = NENG \cdot MPNR \cdot RTP^{FMPRK} \]  
\[ \text{(A74)} \]

For the thrust reverser

\[ MPT = NENG \cdot MPTR \cdot RTP \]  
\[ \text{(A75)} \]

For the engine installation mass

\[ MPI = FMPIK \cdot (MPB + MPL + MPR + MPN + MPT) \]  
\[ \text{(A76)} \]

Thus the total mass for the engine is

\[ MPG = MPB + MPL + MPR + MPN + MPT + MPI \]  
\[ \text{(A77)} \]

The fuselage stations corresponding to the engine bay are defined as follows:

\[ XE = XFN - LPG \]  
\[ \text{(A78)} \]

\[ XF = XE + LP12 \]  
\[ \text{(A79)} \]

\[ XG = XF + LP23 \]  
\[ \text{(A80)} \]


\[ XH = XG + LP34 \]  
(A81)

\[ XJ = XH + LP45 \]  
(A82)

\[ XI = XJ + LP56 \]  
(A83)

where \( XFN \) is the fuselage length.

3.5. INTAKE DIFFUSER (SUBROUTINE INTAKD)

The geometry of the intake diffuser is shown in Fig. A6. As described in Ref. 43, the intake inlet is situated forward of the pilot due to the RLS nozzle. The distance of the intake inlet, \( XII \), from the aircraft nose is

\[ XII = XA + FLII \]  
(A84)

where \( FLII \) is its distance from the cockpit front bulkhead and for the distance of the exit

\[ XIE = XFN - LPG \]  
(A85)

Thus the diffuser has a length, \( LIDG \), that is

\[ LIDG = XIE - XII \]  
(A86)

The exit area of the diffuser is the same as the engine inlet area

\[ OIE = NENG - DPI^2 \]  
(A87)

and the diffuser is assumed to have a fixed area ratio \( ROIEI \)

\[ ROIEI = \frac{OIE}{OII} \]  
(A88)

and an aspect ratio, \( AII \), for each intake is given by
\[ A_{II} = \frac{H_{II}}{B_{II}} \quad (A89) \]

where \( H_{II} \) and \( B_{II} \) are the dimensions of the rectangular intake. Hence

\[ H_{II} B_{II} = \frac{O_{II}}{2} \quad (A90) \]

\[ H_{II} = \left( \frac{A_{II} O_{II}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A91) \]

\[ H_{II} = \left( \frac{A_{II} O_{IE}}{2 \ RO_{IEI}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A92) \]

\[ B_{II} = \left( \frac{O_{II}}{2 \ H_{II} \ RO_{IEI}} \right) \quad (A93) \]

From this inlet area an equivalent inlet diameter, \( D_{II} \), is defined

\[ D_{II} = \left( \frac{O_{IE}}{RO_{IEI} NENG \pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A94) \]

In order to ensure a satisfactory entry flow at the engine compressor a minimum length, \( L_{IDS} \), for each diffuser is defined in terms of \( D_{II} \)

\[ L_{IDS} = FL_{IDVK} D_{II} \quad (A95) \]

A linear variation of of diffuser cross sectional area with axial distance is assumed so that the volume of the diffuser is given by

\[ V_{IDG} = \frac{L_{IDG}}{2} (O_{II} + O_{IE}) \quad (A96) \]
3.6. BOUNDARY LAYER DIVERTER (SUBROUTINE BLDIV)

Between the intakes and the fuselage sides boundary layer diverters are situated of width BVI on each side (Fig.A6). Their intake plane is the same as the intake diffuser plane hence

\[ XVI = XII \quad \text{(A97)} \]

Their width is considered to be a linear function of the distance from the nose

\[ BVI = FBVIK XVI \quad \text{(A98)} \]

The total diverter cross-sectional area is

\[ OVI = 2 BVI HII \quad \text{(A99)} \]

and the length

\[ LVG = FLVK HII \quad \text{(A100)} \]

The mean position of the exit, XVE, which extends over a length of 0.5 LVG is given by

\[ XVE = XVI + LVG \quad \text{(A101)} \]

3.7. INTERNAL WEAPON BAY (SUBROUTINE IWEPB)

The internal weapon bay is located in the lower part of the fuselage, after the nose undercarriage bay and the RLS front nozzle as shown in Fig.A1. Its geometry is derived from the weapons specifications and is relatively flexible within the limits of the usual combinations of missiles - or bombs - for a combat fighter. The general layout is for one or two rows one above the other as indicated in Fig.A7. The missiles are launched by means of ejectors. The usual requirements are for four medium range and two short range air-to-air missiles.

For the length we have
LSWBI = LMRM + LSWBIK  \hspace{1cm} (A102)

where LMRM is the length of the longer missile (usually the medium range) and LSWBIK the length clearance.

For the width

BSWBI = 2 (DMRM + DSRM) + BSWBIK  \hspace{1cm} (A103)

where DMRM and DSRM are the diameters of the medium and short range missiles respectively and BSWBIK is the width clearance.

For the height if we have one row (in our design synthesis taking into consideration the general configuration of the aircraft more than two medium range missiles require two rows)

HSWBI = DMRM + HSWBIK  \hspace{1cm} (A104)

and if we have two rows

HSWBI = 2 DMRM + HSWBIK  \hspace{1cm} (A105)

where HSWBIK is the height clearance.

The cross-sectional area, volume and mass are given by

OSWBI = BSWBI (HSWBI + EHSWBI)  \hspace{1cm} (A106)

VSWBI = OSWBI LSWBI  \hspace{1cm} (A107)

MSWBI = FMWBI \left[ (NMRM MMRM) + (NSRM MSRM) \right]  \hspace{1cm} (A108)

where EHSWBI is the height of the top part of the bay occupied by the ejector mechanism, NMRM and NSRM are the numbers of the medium and short range missiles, MMRM and MSRM the mass of each respectively and FMWBI is an installation mass factor to account for the ejectors, doors and missile (or bomb) support units.

3.8. INTERNAL GUN BAY (SUBROUTINE IGUNB)
The internal gun bay is situated in the lower part of the fuselage, under the cockpit, as shown in Fig. A1, and its geometry is derived from the gun specifications. The bay is considered to be made of three parts: the gun mechanism, the gun barrel, and the ammunition container. For the gun mechanism dimensions, length, width, and height, we have:

1. \[ \text{LGMBI} = \text{LGM} + \text{LGMK} \]  
2. \[ \text{BGMBI} = \text{BGM} + \text{BGMK} \]  
3. \[ \text{HGMBI} = \text{HGM} + \text{HGMK} \]

where LGM, BGM, and HGM are the dimensions of the gun mechanism and LGMK, BGMK, and HGMK are the clearances required.

The cross-sectional area, volume, and mass are given by:

1. \[ \text{OGMBI} = \text{BGMBI} \times \text{HGMBI} \]  
2. \[ \text{VGBBI} = \text{LGMBI} \times \text{OGMBI} \]  
3. \[ \text{MGBBI} = \text{MGM} \times \text{FMGM} \]

where MGM is the gun mechanism mass and FMGM is a mass installation factor.

For the gun barrel dimensions, cross-sectional area, volume, and mass, we have:

1. \[ \text{LGBBI} = \text{LGB} + \text{LGBK} \]  
2. \[ \text{OGGBI} = \frac{\pi}{4} \times (\text{DGB} + \text{DGBK})^2 \]  
3. \[ \text{VGBBI} = \text{OGGBI} \times \text{LGBBI} \]  
4. \[ \text{MGBBI} = \text{MGB} + \text{FMGB} \]

where LGB is the barrel length, LGBK is the length clearance, DGB is the barrel external diameter, and DGBK
the associated clearance, MGB the barrel mass and MGBK an installation factor.

For the ammunition container dimensions a rectangular shape is defined. The dimensions of the container are

\[
\begin{align*}
LGABI &= LGA + LGAK \\
BGABI &= BGAK (DGA + DGAK) \\
HGABI &= HGAK (DGA + DGAK)
\end{align*}
\]

where LGA is the round length, LGAK is the length clearance, DGA is the round maximum external diameter - not the calibre - , DGAK is the diameter clearance, BGAK is the number of columns of rounds and HGAK is the number of rows of rounds as they are packed in the container.

For the cross-sectional area, volume and mass of the ammunition

\[
\begin{align*}
OGABI &= BGABI HGABI \\
VGABI &= OGABI LGABI \\
MGABI &= NGAK MGA FMGA
\end{align*}
\]

where NGAK is the total number of rounds, MGA is the mass of one round and FMGA is a factor for installation and for the actual container mass.

3.9. RLS (REMOTE LIFT SYSTEM) (SUBROUTINE RLS)

The RLS includes two side ducts located aft the engine turbine exit, which form part of the engine, and a system of three front ducts having an intake aft the turbine exit and leading to and combining to a front nozzle. The nozzle is situated in the undersurface of the fuselage side-by-side or behind the nose undercarriage bay (Fig.A1). The cross-sectional shapes of the RLS three front ducts form a semi-circle (Fig.A7). The RLS configuration is considered in very simple terms in order to have some dimension and mass estimates.

For the calculation of the internal diameter, DRDI, of the RLS system of front ducts the engine nozzle exit area is used, as it is assumed that the RLS system of ducts
has half the cross-sectional area of the nozzle exit area. Relating the circular engine exhaust area to the cross-sectional area of the three RLS ducts (Fig. A7), the internal diameter of the semi-circular RLS system of ducts is given by

\[
DRDI = 2 \left[ \frac{4}{3 \pi} \frac{1}{2} \right] \tag{A125}
\]

and specifying an insulation thickness WIRD we have for the external diameter DRDE

\[
DRDE = DRDI + (2 \text{ WIRD}) \tag{A126}
\]

For the length of the RLS system of ducts since the exhaust nozzle cannot be located further aft due to the internal weapon bay or much further forward for lack of space, the following rough estimate, derived from Fig. A1, is provided

\[
LRDG = XFN - (LP34 + LP45 + LP56) - XD + HFD \tag{A127}
\]

Therefore for the external cross-sectional area and the external volume of the RLS system of ducts we have

\[
ORDE = \frac{\pi}{8} \text{ DRDE}^2 \tag{A128}
\]

\[
VRDE = ORDE \times LRDG \tag{A129}
\]

The mass is estimated in two parts, from engine to the turning point and from there to the exhaust nozzle

\[
MRLD1 = (LRDG - HFD) \text{ RMLRD} \tag{A130}
\]

\[
MRLD2 = HFD \text{ RMLRD} \tag{A131}
\]

where RMLRD is a design factor of mass per unit length. To this a mass MRLD3 for the nozzle is added. Thus the total mass MRLD is
MRLD = MRLD1 + MRLD2 + MRLD3  \hspace{1cm} (A132)

In this module the fuselage station D is defined

\[ XD = XFN - LPG - (0.15 \text{ LIDG}) - LSWBI \]  \hspace{1cm} (A133)

the 0.15 LIDG term being the distance needed for the intake diffusers to turn and merge between the internal weapon bay and the engine face.
4. DEFINITION OF FUSELAGE STATIONS (SUBROUTINE STATION)

This module uses the definitions of the specified main fuselage stations XA, XB, XC, XD,XE, XF, XG, XH, XJ and XI and the specified number of subdivisions between main fuselage stations to calculate the positions of all fuselage stations (Fig. A2).

For each segment between main fuselage stations a different number of sub-stations is specified according to the need of stations for calculation purposes in that particular segment.

5.1. LONGITUDINAL DISTRIBUTION OF NETT CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA - PART I (SUBROUTINE FAIRCURL1)

The basic items described above are fitted into the aircraft fuselage. Consequently the fuselage must be able to contain all these items and in addition to have an acceptable aerodynamic shape.

To achieve both these ends a fairing curve is used to define the longitudinal cross-sectional area of the fuselage, or of the whole aircraft if area rule is to be applied. In the latter case the contributions of the wing, tailplane and fin to the cross-sectional area at a given station are subtracted from the cross-sectional area defined by the fairing curve to determine the fuselage cross-sectional area distribution. It must be emphasized that the cross-sectional area in question is the nett cross-sectional area not the actual cross-sectional area which is dealt in subroutine FUSCRA. Therefore, any reference to fairing curve cross-sectional area implies nett cross-sectional area.

As shown in Fig. A8 six independent variables and a number of basic item variables define the fairing curve. The six independent variables are given below.

- **XFN** is the overall fuselage length from nose to nozzle exit.
- **RLTMFN** is the ratio of the length of the forward fairing to the overall fuselage length minus the length of the radome.
- **RLTFFN** is the ratio of the length from the end of the radome to the point at which the cross-sectional area is half of its maximum value.
- **RLTCFN** is the ratio of the length of the centre - constant cross-sectional area - section to the
length of the fuselage aft of the forward fairing.

RLTAFN is the ratio of the length from the end of the centre section to the point at which the cross-sectional area is half its maximum value, to the length of the after fairing.

FOT6N is the increment in cross-sectional area, at the nozzle exit, above the datum value.

From the above the following are derived as indicated in Fig. A8

\[ X_1 = X_{FR} \]  
\[ X_3 = X_{FR} + RLT_{MFN} (X_{FN} - X_{FR}) \]  
\[ X_2 = X_{FR} + RLT_{FFN} (X_3 - X_{FR}) \]  
\[ X_4 = X_3 + RL_{TCFN} (X_{FN} - X_3) \]  
\[ X_5 = X_4 + RL_{AFCN} (X_{FN} - X_4) \]  
\[ X_6 = X_{FN} \]

The next step in the definition of the fairing curve is the assumption of a cubic variation of (nett) cross-sectional area OTXN with axial distance (length), X. For the forward fairing we have

\[ OTXN = OT_{F1K} + OT_{F2K} (X_3 - X) + OT_{F3K} (X_3 - X)^2 + OT_{F4K} (X_3 - X)^3 \]  

(A140)

with the following boundary conditions, as shown in Fig. A8

at \( X = X_1 \) : \( OTXN = OF_1, \frac{d (OTXN)}{d(X_3 - X)} = -GOG_1 \)  

(A141)

at \( X = X_2 \) : \( OTXN = \frac{OTM}{2} \)  

(A142)

at \( X = X_3 \) : \( OTXN = OTM, \frac{d (OTXN)}{d(X_3 - X)} = 0 \)  

(A143)
As \(X_1, X_2, X_3, \text{OF}1\) and \(\text{GOF}1\) are known we have five conditions to determine the four constants \(\text{OTF}1K\), \(\text{OTF}2K\), \(\text{OTF}3K\) and \(\text{OTF}4K\) and the maximum cross-sectional area \(\text{OTM}\).

What can be found is

\[
\text{OTF}4K = \frac{- \left\{ \frac{2 \text{OF}1 (X_3 - X_1) + \text{GOF}1 \left[ (X_3 - X_1)^2 - 2 \right]}{(X_3 - X_1) \left[ (X_3 - X_1) - 2 (X_3 - X_2) \right]} \right\} \right\} (X_3 - X_2)^2 \frac{(X_3 - X_1) \left[ (X_3 - X_1) + (X_3 - X_2) \right]}{(X_3 - X_2) \left[ (X_3 - X_1) - 2 (X_3 - X_2) \right]} + (X_3 - X_1) \left[ (X_3 - X_1) + (X_3 - X_2) \right]}
\]

\[
(A144)
\]

\[
\text{OTF}3K = -1.5 (X_3 - X_1) \text{OTF}4K - \frac{\text{GOF1}}{2 (X_3 - X_1)}
\]

\[
(A145)
\]

\[
\text{OTF}2K = 0
\]

\[
(A146)
\]

\[
\text{OTF}1K = -2 (X_3 - X_2)^2 \left[ \text{OTF}3K + \text{OTF}4K (X_3 - X_2) \right]
\]

\[
(A147)
\]

and hence for \(X = X_3\)

\[
\text{OTM} = \text{OTF}1K
\]

\[
(A148)
\]

The use of the cubic limits the allowable range of values for \(\text{RLTFFN}\) to \(0 < \text{RLTFFN} < 0.5\) in order for \(\text{OTF}4K\) to be finite.

For the after fairing curve a similar cubic variation of cross-sectional area is assumed

\[
\text{OTXN} = \text{OTA}1K + \text{OTA}2K (X - X_4) + \text{OTA}3K (X - X_4)^2 + \text{OTA}4K (X - X_4)^3
\]

\[
(A149)
\]
with the conditions

\[ \text{at } X = X_4 : \text{OTXN} = \text{OTM}, \quad \frac{d (\text{OTXN})}{dx} = 0 \quad (A150) \]

\[ \text{at } X = X_5 : \text{OTXN} = \frac{\text{OTM}}{2} \quad (A151) \]

\[ \text{at } X = X_6 : \text{OTXN} = \text{FOT6N} \quad (A152) \]

As \( X_4 \), \( X_5 \) and \( X_6 \) are known and \( \text{OTM} \) has been determined from the forward fairing we have four conditions to find the four coefficients. It can be found that

\[ \text{OTA4K} = \frac{1}{(X_6 - X_5)} \left[ \frac{(\text{FOT6N} - \text{OTM})}{(X_6 - X_4)^2} + \frac{\text{OTM}}{2 (X_5 - X_4)^2} \right] \quad (A153) \]

\[ \text{OTA3K} = - \frac{\text{OTM}}{2 (X_5 - X_4)^2} - \text{OTA4K} (X_5 - X_4) \quad (A154) \]

\[ \text{OTA2K} = 0 \quad (A155) \]

\[ \text{OTA1K} = \text{OTM} \quad (A156) \]

From this cubic we have the restriction for \( \text{RLTAFN} \) to \( 0.5 < \text{RLTAFN} < 1 \) in order to keep \( \text{OTXN} \) above \( \text{OTM} \).

5.2. LONGITUDINAL DISTRIBUTION OF NETT CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA - PART II (SUBROUTINE FAIRCUR2)

In this module all the coefficients found in the previous module are replaced in the forward and after fairing cubic and then the nett cross-sectional area is calculated for the stations that have been specified - module STATION.
In addition, the maximum nett cross-sectional area and maximum afterbody nett cross-sectional area, and the stations at which these occur, are evaluated.

6. FLYING SURFACES

6.1. WING (SUBROUTINE WING)

Following the wing planform shown in Fig.A9, we have

\[
BW = (AW \cdot SW)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
\]

(A157)

where BW is the gross span, AW is the gross wing aspect ratio and SW is the gross wing surface area.

\[
CWCC = \frac{2 \cdot SW}{BW \cdot (1 + UW)} \quad (A158)
\]

where CWCC is the centre-line chord of the gross wing and UW is the taper ratio of the gross wing

\[
CWMG = 0.5 \cdot CWCC \cdot (1 + UW) \quad (A159)
\]

\[
CWMA = \frac{2}{3} \cdot CWCC \left[ UW + \frac{1}{(1 + UW)} \right] \quad (A160)
\]

\[
CWCT = UW \cdot CWCC \quad (A161)
\]

where CWMG is the geometric mean chord, CWMA is the aerodynamic mean chord and CWCT is the tip chord

\[
QWL = \tan^{-1} \left[ \tan (QW4) + \frac{CWCC}{2 \cdot BW} \left( 1 - UW \right) \right] \quad (A162)
\]
\[ QW2 = \tan^{-1} \left[ \tan (QW4) - \frac{CWCC}{2 \ BW} (1 - UW) \right] \] (A163)

where \( QW4 \) is the quarter chord sweep, \( QW2 \) is the mid-chord sweep and \( QWL \) is the leading edge sweep.

\[ BWBB = BFE \] (A164)

\[ BWN = BW - BWBB \] (A165)

\[ CWCB = CWCC \left[ 1 - \frac{BWBB}{BW} (1 - UW) \right] \] (A166)

\[ UWCB = \frac{CWCT}{CWCB} \] (A167)

\[ CWMN = 0.5 (CWCB + CWCT) \] (A168)

\[ SWN = CWMN \cdot BWN \] (A169)

\[AWN = \frac{BWN}{CWMN} \] (A170)

where \( BFE \) is an initial estimate of the fuselage width at the position of the centre section of the wing box, \( BWBB \) is the width of the centre section of the wing box, \( BWN \) is the span of the nett wing, \( CWCB \) is the root chord of the nett wing, \( TWCB \) is the taper ratio of the nett wing, \( CWMN \) is the mean chord of the nett wing, \( SWN \) is the area of the nett wing and \( AWN \) is the aspect ratio of the nett wing.

The structural box of the two nett wing halves is defined by forward and rear spars at fixed fractions of the chord and these two halves are joined by an unswept box of constant section shape through the fuselage (Fig.A9). The associated variables are:

\[ CWBB = CWCB \ (FCWR - FCWD) \] (A171)

\[ CWBT = CWCT \ (FCWR - FCWD) \] (A172)
where CWBB and CWBT are the chord of the wing box at the body side and at the tip respectively and FCWD and FCWR are the front and rear spar fractions.

\[ QWB = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\tan (QWL) - \frac{CWCC}{BW}}{1 - UWB} \right) \]  
(A173)

\[ UWB = \frac{CWBT}{CWBB} \]  
(A174)

\[ SWB = \frac{CWBB}{2} (BW + BWBB + UWB BWN) \]  
(A175)

\[ AWB = \frac{BW^2}{SWB} \]  
(A176)

\[ HWBB = RTW CWCB \]  
(A177)

where QWB is sweep of the centre-line, UWB is the taper ratio, SWB is the planform area and AWB is the aspect ratio of the wing box. HWBB is the maximum depth of the centre section of the wing box.

The arrangement of the wing control surfaces and high-lift devices are shown in Fig.A9. The associated variables are:

For the spoilers

\[ BWS = FBWS BW \]  
(A178)

\[ CWS = \frac{BWS}{NWS AWS} \]  
(A179)

\[ SWS = BWS CWS \]  
(A180)
where BWS is the gross span of the spoilers, CWS the mean chord, AWSG the gross aspect ratio and SWS the area. NWS is the number of spoilers and AWS the aspect ratio of each spoiler.

For the ailerons:

\[ BWA = FBWA BW \]  \hspace{1cm} (A182)  

\[ CWA = \frac{BWA}{2 \cdot AWA} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A183)  

\[ SWA = CWA BWA \]  \hspace{1cm} (A184)  

\[ CWCA2 = CWCC \left[ UW + 0.5 \cdot FBWA \cdot (1 - UW) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (A185)  

\[ QWA = \tan^{-1} \left[ \tan(QWL) - \frac{2 \cdot CWCC}{BW \cdot CWCA2} \right] \frac{(CWCA2 - CWA)}{(1 - UW)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A186)  

where BWA is the gross span of the ailerons, CWA the mean chord and and SWA the area. CWCA2 is the wing chord at the mid-span of each aileron and QWA is the sweep of the leading edge of the aileron.

For the high-lift devices we have for the one on the leading edge, the area of the fixed section of the leading edge device when retracted, SWLF, is

\[ SWLF = FCWLFT SWN \]  \hspace{1cm} (A187)  

the planform area of the leading edge device when retracted, SWLH, is

\[ SWLH = (FCWD - FCWLHT) SWN \]  \hspace{1cm} (A188)
and the total area of the leading-edge section of the wing is

\[ SWL = FCWD \times SWN \]  \hspace{1cm} (A189)

where FCWLFT is chord of fixed leading edge forward of front spar as a fraction of the local chord and FCWLHT is distance of the trailing edge of the leading edge high lift device, forward of the front spar as a fraction of the local wing chord.

For the high-lift device on the trailing edge of the wing, the gross span, BWF, including the body width, is

\[ BWF = FBWF \times BW \]  \hspace{1cm} (A190)

and the chord of the wing at the outboard end of the flap, CWCT, is

\[ CWCT = CWCC \times [1 - FBWF (1 - UW)] \]  \hspace{1cm} (A191)

The mean chord of the flap, CWFM, is

\[ CWFM = 0.5 \times (CWCB + CWCT) \times (1 - FCWR - FCWTHL) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A192)

The area of the flap, SWF, is

\[ SWF = CWFM \times (BWF - BWBB) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A193)

The area of the fixed part of the trailing edge after of the rear spar is, SWTG,

\[ SWTG = 0.5 \times FCWTFT \times (CWCB + CWCT) \times (BWF - BWBB) - SWA + 0.5 \times (BW - BWF) \times (CWCT + CWCT) \times (1 - FCWR) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A193)

The gross area of the wing trailing edge after of the rear spar, SWTG, is
The chordwise distribution of the thickness of the aerofoil of the wing, $HWX$, as given in Ref. 43 is

$$HWX = \frac{3\frac{3}{4}}{RTW} \frac{RTW}{CWY - X} \left[ 1 - \frac{X}{CWY} \right]$$

The cross-sectional area of the centre section of the wing box, $OWBB$, is derived by integrating the aerofoil thickness between the front and rear spar positions.

$$OWBB = 0.3464 \frac{HWBB}{CWCB} \left[ 5 (FCWR^{1.5} - FCWD^{1.5}) - \frac{3}{2} (FCWR^{2.5} - FCWD^{2.5}) \right]$$

The volume of the centre section of the box, $VWBB$, and the volume of the corresponding fuel tank $VWBCF$, are:

$$VWBB = BWBB \cdot OWBB$$

$$VWBCF = VWBB \cdot UWBCF$$

where $UWBCF$ is a utilization factor.

The span of the fuel tank in the wing box external to the fuselage, $BWNF$, is

$$BWNF = FBWNF \cdot BWN$$

The taper ratio of the tank, $UWCNF$, is

$$UWCNF = 1 - \frac{BWNF}{BWN} (1 - UWCN)$$

The volume of the wing box available for fuel tanks, $VWBef$, is

$$VWBef = UWBef \cdot OWBB \frac{BWNF}{3} (1 + UWCNF + UWCNF^2)$$
where $\text{UWBEF}$ is the utilization factor of the wing box external to the fuselage.

5.2. FLYING SURFACES EMPENNAGE (SUBROUTINE EMPENN)

5.2.1. TAILPLANE

A number of external variables define the geometry of the tailplane. These external variables are:

- $\text{AETN}$: the aspect ratio of the nett tailplane external to the fuselage
- $\text{UETN}$: the taper ratio of the nett tailplane
- $\text{QETL}$: the sweep angle of the leading edge of the tailplane
- $\text{QETT}$: the sweep angle of the trailing edge of the tailplane
- $\text{RTET}$: the thickness to chord ratio for the tailplane
- $\text{RETSW}$: the tailplane volume ratio

\[
\left( = \frac{\text{SETN} \times \text{LTCQM}}{\text{SW} \times \text{CWGM}} \right) \quad (A202)
\]

The tail moment arm, $\text{LTCQM}$, is defined as the distance between the quarter chord points of the wing and the tailplane. As two independent variables, $\text{RXWCQM}$ and $\text{RXTCQM}$, determine the position of the wing and the tailplane quarter chords respectively in terms of the fuselage length, we have

\[
\text{XWCQM} = \text{RXWCQM} \times \text{XFN} \quad (A203)
\]
\[
\text{XTCQM} = \text{RXTCQM} \times \text{XFN} \quad (A204)
\]

therefore

\[
\text{LTCQM} = \text{XTCQM} - \text{XWCQM} \quad (A205)
\]

From the above the nett area, $\text{SETN}$, and the nett span, $\text{BETN}$, of the tailplane are

\[
\text{SETN} = \frac{\text{RETSW} \times \text{SW} \times \text{CWGM}}{\text{LTCQM}} \quad (A206)
\]
and the chord of the tailplane at the body side, CETB

\[
CETB = \frac{2 \times BETN}{AETN \left(1 + UETN\right)} \tag{A208}
\]

5.2.2. FIN

A number of external variables define the geometry of the fin as described in Ref. 43. These external variables are:

- \( AEFN \): the aspect ratio of the nett fin external to the fuselage
- \( UEFN \): the taper ratio of the nett fin
- \( QEFL \): the sweep angle of the leading edge of the fin
- \( QEFT \): the sweep angle of the trailing edge of the fin
- \( RTEF \): the thickness to chord ratio for the fin
- \( REFFC \): the tailplane volume ratio

\[
SEFN \text{ LFCQM} \left[ = \frac{0.5 \times XWCQM \times BFC2}{XFN}\right] \tag{A209}
\]

The fin moment arm, LFCQM, is defined as the distance between the quarter chord points of the wing and the fin. As RXFCQM, determines the position of the fin quarter chord in terms of the fuselage length, we have

\[
XFCQM = RXFCQM \times XFN \tag{A210}
\]

therefore

\[
LFCQM = XFCQM - XWCQM \tag{A211}
\]

From the above the nett area, SETN, and the nett span, BETN, of the fin are
\[
\text{SEFN} = \frac{\text{REFFC} \cdot 0.5 \cdot \text{XWCM} \cdot \text{BFC}^2}{\text{LFCQM}} \quad \text{(A212)}
\]

\[
\text{BEFN} = (\text{SEFN} \cdot \text{AEFN})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{(A213)}
\]

and the chord of the fin at the body side, CEFB

\[
\text{CETB} = \frac{2 \cdot \text{BEFN}}{\text{AEFN} \cdot (1 + \text{UEFN})} \quad \text{(A214)}
\]

### 7. LATERAL CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA OF THE FLYING SURFACES (SUBROUTINE FLATCROSS)

This subroutine estimates the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces that is to be subtracted from the nett cross-sectional area, defined by the fairing curve, in order to area rule the aircraft.

The (wing) thickness distribution equation, found in the WING subroutine, is integrated across the semi-span to give for an X axial position (station) the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surface, \(O_X\)

\[
O_X = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{3^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \text{TC} \cdot \text{B2}}{\frac{\text{C}}{(1 - U)}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{c}{\text{B2}} \cdot (1 - U) \cdot \tan \text{QL} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
\left. \left[ \frac{x \cdot (1 - U) - \text{B2} \cdot \tan \text{QL}}{2 \cdot (1 - U) \cdot \tan \text{QL}} \right]^2 \cdot \frac{\text{C}}{\text{B2}} \cdot (1 - U) + 3 \tan \text{QL} \cdot \log | \sec \theta + \tan \theta | - \tan \theta \cdot \sec \theta \right\}_{\text{L}}^{\text{U}} \]

\[
+ 2 \left[ \left( c \cdot \left( 1 - (1 - U) \frac{Y}{\text{B2}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (X - Y \cdot \tan \text{QL})^{1.5} \right]_{\text{L}}^{\text{U}}
\]

where
The equation and its variables apply to a general flying surface - half wing, half tailplane, fin - provided the thickness distribution remains the same. They are

TC  thickness to chord ratio  
C  root chord  
B2  span of single surface  
U  taper ratio  
QL  leading edge sweep angle  
X  distance from the apex of the nett flying surface

The lower $Y$ limit, $Y_L$, may occur on the root chord ($Y_L = 0$) or the trailing edge if it is swept backward

$$Y_L = \frac{X - C}{C} \cos \theta = \frac{\frac{B_2 - X (1 - U) \cot QL}{\left[ X (1 - U) \cot QL + B_2 - 2Y (1 - U) \right]}}$$  (A215)

The upper $Y$ limit may occur on the leading edge ($Y_U = X \cot QL$), the tip chord ($Y_U = B_2$) or the trailing edge if it is swept forward

$$Y_U = \frac{X - C}{C} \cos \theta = \frac{\frac{X - C}{C} \cot QL - \frac{1 - U}{B_2}}$$  (A216)

In this subroutine the above equations are applied to all three flying surfaces wing, tailplane and fin and their lateral cross-sectional area is calculated for all the stations and their subdivisions specified in the subroutine STATION that have a common lateral plane (Fig.A2).

8. FUSELAGE STATIONS

Along the fuselage a number of fuselage stations are defined in order to ensure that there is adequate cross-sectional area within the fuselage to accommodate the
specified basic items. At each station the section shape is described in terms of some geometric parameters, taking into consideration the configuration and the whole layout of the aircraft, and a minimum allowable cross-sectional area is calculated.

8.1. FUSELAGE STATION A (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNA)

Station A - station number 7 - is located at the front bulkhead of the cockpit (Fig. A2). It is specified as a rectangle section with elliptic corners of a fixed proportion RFCNRA (Fig. A10). The ratio of the rectangle sides, RAHB, is taken to be the same as the eccentricity of an ellipse. Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
HFA & = BFA \cdot RAHB \\
HFACNR & = HFA \cdot RFCNRA \\
BFACNR & = BFA \cdot RFCNRA
\end{align*}
\]

(A218) (A219) (A220)

where HFA and BFA are the height and width of the section and HFACNR and BFACNR the height and width of the corners of the section.

The cross-sectional area, OFA, is that of the fairing curve and enables BFA and HFA to be estimated. The cross-sectional area in terms of the section dimensions is the product of the width by the height minus the squares of the four corners plus the elliptic parts of the four corners.

\[
\begin{align*}
OFA & = OTXN(7) \\
OFA & = HFA \cdot BFA - 4 \cdot HFACNR \cdot BFACNR + \pi \cdot HFACNR \cdot BFACNR \\
& = HFA \cdot BFA \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{HFACNR \cdot BFCNRA}{HFA \cdot BFA} \right] (4 - \pi) \\
& = RAHB \cdot BFA^2 \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{HFACNR \cdot BFACNR}{HFA \cdot BFA} \right] (4 - \pi)
\end{align*}
\]

(A221) (A222) (A223) (A224)
The underfloor height, $HFA_1$, is

$$HFA_1 = HFA - HC5$$  \hfill (A226)$$

The cross-sectional area of the underfloor, $OFA_{UF}$, depends on the relation between underfloor height and corner height. If $HFA_1 \leq HFACNR$ then

$$OFA_{UF} = HFACNR \cdot BFACNR \cdot (QFA - \sin QFA \cdot \cos QFA + HFA_1)$$

$$\frac{(BFA - 2 \cdot BFACNR)}{\pi}$$  \hfill \hfill (A227)$$

where

$$\cos QFA = \frac{(HFACNR - HFA_1)}{HFACNR}$$  \hfill (A228)$$

If $HFACNR < HFA_1 \leq HFA - HFACNR$ then

$$OFA_{UF} = HFACNR \cdot BFACNR - \frac{\pi}{2} + HFACNR \cdot (BFA - 2 \cdot BFACNR) +$$

$$BFA \cdot (HFA_1 - HFACNR)$$  \hfill (A229)$$

If $HFA - HFACNR < HFA_1$ then

$$OFA_{UF} = OFA - HFACNR \cdot BFACNR \cdot (QFA - \sin QFA \cdot \cos QFA) -$$

$$(HFA - HFA_1) \cdot (BFA - 2 \cdot BFACNR)$$  \hfill (A230)$$

where
\[ \cos QFA = \frac{HFA_1 - HFA + HFACNR}{HFACNR} \]  

(A231)

This underfloor area must be able to contain the nose undercarriage bay. Hence a minimum underfloor cross-sectional area, OFAUFs, is defined as

\[ \text{OFAUFs} = \frac{\text{OUNWB}}{\text{UOFAUF}} \]  

(A232)

where OUNWB is the cross-sectional area of the nose undercarriage bay and UOFAUF is a utilization factor for the nose underfloor.

8.2. FUSELAGE STATION B (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNB)

Station B - station number 9-10 - is located at the entry of the intake diffuser as shown in Fig. A2, between the cockpit front bulkhead (Station A) and the position of the pilot's eye point as defined in Fig. A4. The fuselage at station B contains the cockpit, the nose undercarriage bay and the intake diffuser(s). The fuselage section at station B is a rectangle with rounded corners and a semi-circular canopy (front part of the canopy) plus the two rectangles of the inlets of the engine intake (Fig. A10).

A minimum cockpit width BCH is given as part of the cockpit specification and consequently the minimum width at station B (without the intakes), BFBS, is set as the greater of the width at station A, BFA, or BCH.

The minimum cross-sectional area at station B, OFBS, is

\[ \text{OFBS} = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{RCCANB}^2 + BFBS \text{FOFB1K} (HC4 + HCEYE - RCCANB) + HFA1 BFBS \text{FOFB2K} + 2 HII (BII + BVI) \]  

(A233)

where RCCANB is the radius of the cockpit canopy at station B and FOFB1K, FOFB2K are utilization factors.
To determine the actual dimensions at station B, a scaling factor, ROFBNS, is defined as the ratio of the nett cross-sectional area without the canopy section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area (without the canopy). Again the nett cross-sectional area is provided by the fairing curve. If OFB is the actual cross-sectional area

\[
OFB = OTXN(9) + 2 \text{HII} (BII + BVI) \quad \text{(A234)}
\]

\[
ROFBNS = \frac{OFB - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANB}^2 - 2 \text{HII} (BII + BVI)}{OFBS - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANB}^2 - 2 \text{HII} (BII + BVI)}
\]

\[
\text{(A235)}
\]

Then

\[
BFB = BFBS \quad \text{ROFBNS}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{(A236)}
\]

and substituting HFB1 for HFA1

\[
HFB1 = \frac{OFB - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANB}^2}{BFB \quad \text{FOFB2K}} - BFB \quad \text{FOFB2K} \quad \text{(A237)}
\]

The cross-sectional area of the underfloor, OFBUF, is

\[
\text{OFBUF} = HFB1 \quad \text{BFB} \quad \text{FOFB2K} \quad \text{(A238)}
\]

8.3. **FUSELAGE STATION C (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNC)**

Station C - station number 14 - is located at the pilot's eye position as shown in Fig.A2. The fuselage at station C contains the cockpit, some of the vertical part of the RLS front duct and the intake diffuser(s). The fuselage section at station C is a rectangle plus a semi-circular canopy and two rectangular intake diffuser sections.
(Fig. A10). As a minimum width, BFCS, the width at station C, BFB, is chosen. The height of the underfloor is the same as in station B. Thus the minimum actual cross-sectional area, OFCS, is

\[
\text{BFCS} = \text{BFB} \quad \text{(A239)}
\]

\[
\text{OFCS} = 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANC}^2 + \text{FOFC1K BFCS (HC4 + HCEYE - RCCANC)} + \text{FOFC2K BFCS HFB1}
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) (\text{FX(14)} - \text{XII})}{\text{LIDG}} \right) + \text{OII} \quad \text{(A240)}
\]

where FOFC1K, FOFC2K are area utilization factors and FX(14) is the distance of the station from the aircraft nose. The last term above represents the cross-sectional area of the intake diffuser, which is changing along the intake diffuser length in order to produce appropriate flow conditions at the engine intake (Mach number near 0.4).

To determine the fuselage dimensions at station C a scaling factor, ROFCNS, is defined as the ratio of the nett cross-sectional area without the canopy section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area. The nett cross-sectional area is provided by the fairing curve. The actual cross-sectional area, OFC, is

\[
\text{OFC} = \text{OTXN(14)} + \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) (\text{FX(14)} - \text{XII})}{\text{LIDG}} + \text{OII} \quad \text{(A241)}
\]

therefore

\[
\text{ROFCNS} = \frac{\text{OFC} - \left[ \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) (\text{FX(14)} - \text{XII})}{\text{LIDG}} + \text{OII} \right]}{\text{OFCS} - \left[ \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) (\text{FX(14)} - \text{XII})}{\text{LIDG}} + \text{OII} \right]}
\]
- 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANC}^2 \\
\hline
- 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANC}^2

Hence for width and underfloor height

\[
\text{BFC} = \text{BFCS ROFCNS}^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{A243}
\]

\[
\text{OFC} - \left[ \frac{\text{OIE} - \text{OII}}{\text{LIDG}} \right] (\text{FX}(14) - \text{XII}) + \text{OII} \\
\hline
\text{HFC} = \frac{\text{LIDG}}{\text{FOFC2K BFC}}
\]

\[
- 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANC}^2 - \frac{\text{FOFC1K BFC}}{\text{FOFC2K BFC}}
\]

\[
(\text{HC4} + \text{HCEYE} - \text{RCCANC}) \\
\hline
\text{FOFC2K BFC}
\]

\[
\text{OFCUF} = \text{FOFC2K HFC1 BFC} \tag{A245}
\]

where \text{OFCUF} is the underfloor cross-sectional area.

8.4. MSELAGE STATION D (SUBROUTINE FUSSTND)

Station D - station number 18 - is located at the front face of the internal weapon bay as shown in Fig.A2. The fuselage at station D contains the RLS front duct, the internal weapon bay, the gun bay and the intake diffuser(s). The fuselage section at station D is a rectangle plus a semi-circular spine section and two rectangular intake diffuser sections (Fig.A11). As a minimum height, HFDS, the greater of the height of the
weapons bay plus the height of the eye position or the height of the gun bay plus the height of the eye position is chosen.

\[
\text{HFDS} = \text{HWSBI} + \text{HCEYE} \quad \text{(A246a)}
\]

\[
\text{HFDS} = \text{HHGABI} + \text{HCEYE} \quad \text{(A246b)}
\]

The semi-circular spine houses the RLS front duct system which is made of three circular ducts that form together an externally semi-circular duct. Therefore the radius of the semi-circular spine is the same as that of the RLS front duct system.

\[
\text{DRDE} = \frac{\text{RCCAND}}{2} \quad \text{(A247)}
\]

Thus the minimum actual cross-sectional area, \(\text{OFDS}\), is

\[
\text{OFDS} = 0.5 \pi \text{RCCAND}^2 + \text{FOFDK} \times \text{HFDS} (2 \times (\text{BGMBI} + \text{BGABI}) + \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) (\text{FX}(18) - \text{XII})}{\text{LIDG}}) + \text{OII}
\]

\[
\text{(A248)}
\]

where \(\text{FOFDK}\) is an area utilization factor and \(\text{FX}(18)\) the distance of the station from the aircraft nose.

To determine the fuselage dimensions at station \(D\) a scaling factor, \(\text{ROFDNS}\), is defined as the ratio of the nett cross-sectional area without the spine section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area. The nett cross-sectional area is provided by the fairing curve. The actual cross-sectional area, \(\text{OFD}\), is

\[
\text{OFD} = \text{OTXN}(18) + \left[ \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) (\text{FX}(18) - \text{XII})}{\text{LIDG}} + \text{OII} \right]
\]

\[
\text{(A249)}
\]

Hence
\[
\text{OFD} = \left[ \frac{(OIE - OII)}{LIDG} \right] \quad (FX(18) - XII) + OII
\]

\[
\text{ROFDNS} = \frac{(OIE - OII)}{LIDG} \quad (FX(18) - XII) + OII
\]

\[
\text{OFDS} = \left[ \frac{(OIE - OII)}{LIDG} \right] + OII
\]

\[
- 0.5 \pi \text{RCCAND}^2
\]

\[
- 0.5 \pi \text{RCCAND}^2
\]

\[\text{(A250)}\]

and for height and width

\[
\text{HFD} = \text{HFDS} \quad \text{ROFDNS}^{\frac{1}{3}}
\]

\[\text{(A251)}\]

\[
\text{BFD} = [2 (BGMBI + BGABI) + BSWHI] \quad \text{ROFDNS}^{\frac{1}{3}}
\]

\[\text{(A252)}\]

8.5. FUSELAGE STATION E (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNE)

Station E - station number 30 - is located at the engine intake (compressor intake) as shown in Fig.A2. The fuselage at station E includes the engine, the RLS front duct, the wing box and the main undercarriage. The fuselage section at station E is a rectangle plus a semi-circular spine section (Fig.A11). The semi-circular spine is of the same size as in the previous station (RCCANE = RCCAND). The minimum width, BFES, is the greater of the width of the main undercarriage (DUMWB) or the width of the engine (DP1 + EBP1). Hence the minimum actual cross-sectional area, OFES, is

\[
\text{OFES} = \text{FOFEK} \quad \text{BFES} \quad (\text{BUMWB} + \text{DP1} + \text{EHP1} + \text{HWBB}) + 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANE}^2
\]

\[\text{(A253)}\]

where FOFEK is an utilization factor. It should be noted that the width and height definitions for the main undercarriage bay are here opposite the ones adopted in subroutine UNDCB due to the non-standard orientation of the wheels in the bay.

To determine the fuselage dimensions at station E a scaling factor, ROFENS, is defined as the ratio of the
nett cross-sectional area without the spine section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area. The nett cross-sectional is provided by the fairing curve. The actual cross-sectional area, OFE, is

\[ \text{OFE} = \text{OTXN}(30) - \text{OXW2}(30) + 0.25 \pi \text{DP1}^2 \] (A254)

\( \text{OXW2}(38) \) is the lateral cross-sectional area of the wing at station E (30), and if the aircraft is area ruled, it must be deduced from the total nett cross-sectional area given by the fairing curve. If the aircraft is not area ruled \( \text{OXW2} \) is zero.

Thus

\[ \text{ROFENS} = \frac{\text{OFE} - 0.25 \pi \text{DP1}^2 - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANE}^2}{\text{OFE} - 0.25 \pi \text{DP1}^2 - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANE}^2} \] (A255)

Consequently for width and height we have

\[ \text{BFE} = \text{BFES} \text{ROFENS}^{\frac{1}{3}} \] (A256)

\[ \text{HFE} = (\text{BUMWB} + \text{DP1} + \text{EHP1} + \text{HWBB}) \text{ROFENS}^{\frac{1}{3}} \] (A257)

8.6. FUSELAGE STATION F (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNF)

Station F - station number 38 - is located at the turbine exit as shown in Fig. A2. The fuselage at station F includes the engine, the RLS front duct and the side fuel tanks. The fuselage section at station F is a rectangle plus a semi-circular spine section and two rectangular sections on the sides (Fig. A11). The semi-circular spine is of the same size as in the previous station (RCCANF = RCCANE). The minimum weight, \( \text{HFFS} \), is the greater of the height of the engine (DP2 + EHP2) or the height of the side fuel tanks (HRD). Hence the minimum actual cross-sectional area, \( \text{OFFS} \), is

\[ \text{OFFS} = \text{FOFFK} \text{HFFS} (2 \text{BRD} + \text{DP2} + \text{EBP2}) + 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANF}^2 \] (A258)

where \( \text{FOFFK} \) is an area utilization factor and \( \text{BRD} \) the width of each side fuel tank.
To determine the fuselage dimensions at station F a scaling factor, ROFFNS, is defined as the ratio of the nett cross-sectional area without the spine section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area. The nett cross-sectional area is provided by the fairing curve. The actual cross-sectional area, OFF, is

\[
OFF = OTXN(38) - OXET2(38) - OXEF(38) + 0.25 \pi DP^2
\]

\[ (A259) \]

O\text{XET}2(38) and O\text{XEF}(38) are the lateral cross-sectional areas of the tailplane and fin - if they exist - at station F (38).

\[
ROFFNS = \frac{OFF - 0.25 \pi DP^2 - 0.5 \pi RCCANF^2}{OFFS - 0.25 \pi DP^2 - 0.5 \pi RCCANF^2}
\]

\[ (A260) \]

Hence for the height and the width

\[
HFF = \text{HFFS} \ ROFFNS^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[ (A261) \]

\[
BFF = (\text{BRD} + DP + EBP) \ ROFFNS^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[ (A262) \]

8.7. FUSELAGE STATION G (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNG)

Station G - station number 40 - is located at the RLS intake as shown in Fig.A2. The fuselage at station G includes the engine (RLS section), the RLS front duct and the side ducts that have the same nearly rectangular section as the side fuel tanks. The fuselage section at station G is a rectangle plus a semi-circular spine section and two nearly rectangular sections on the sides (Fig.A13). The semi-circular spine is of the same size as in the previous station (RCCANG = RCCANF). The minimum weight, HFGS, is the greater of the height of the engine (DP3 + EHP3) or the height of the side ducts (HRD). Hence the minimum actual cross-sectional area, OFGS, is

\[
OFGS = FOFGK \ HFGS (2 \ BRD + DP3 + EBP3) + 0.5 \pi RCCANG^2
\]

\[ (A263) \]
where \( \text{FOFGK} \) is an area utilization factor and \( \text{BRD} \) the width of each side duct.

To determine the fuselage dimensions at station \( G \) a scaling factor, \( \text{ROFGNS} \), is defined as the ratio of the nett cross-sectional area without the spine section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area. The nett cross-sectional is provided by the fairing curve. The actual cross-sectional area, \( \text{OFG} \), is

\[
\text{OFG} = \text{OTXN}(40) - \text{OXET2}(40) - \text{OXEF}(40) + 0.25 \, \pi \, \text{DP3}^2
\]

\[(A264)\]

\( \text{OXET2}(40) \) and \( \text{OXEF}(40) \) are the lateral cross-sectional areas of the tailplane and fin - if they exist - at station \( G \) (40).

\[
\text{ROFGNS} = \frac{\text{OFG} - 0.25 \, \pi \, \text{DP3}^2 - 0.5 \, \pi \, \text{RCCANG}^2}{\text{OFGS} - 0.25 \, \pi \, \text{DP3}^2 - 0.5 \, \pi \, \text{RCCANG}^2}
\]

\[(A265)\]

Hence for the height and the width

\[
\text{HFG} = \text{HFHS} \, \text{ROFGNS}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[(A266)\]

\[
\text{BFG} = (\text{BRD} + \text{DP3} + \text{EBP3}) \, \text{ROFGNS}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[(A267)\]

8.8. FUSELAGE STATION H (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNH)

Station \( H \) - station number 42 - is located at the exit of the RLS section of the engine as shown in Fig.A2. The fuselage at station \( H \) includes the engine (RLS section), the side ducts and the end of the spine that until the previous station contained the RLS front duct. The fuselage section at station \( H \) is a rectangle plus a semi-circular spine (the end of the spine) section and two nearly rectangular sections on the sides (Fig.A12). The semi-circular spine is of the same size as in the previous station \( (\text{RCCANH} = \text{RCCANG}) \). The minimum height, \( \text{HFHS} \), is the greater of the height of the engine \( (\text{DP4} + \text{EHP4}) \) or the height of the side ducts \( (\text{HRD}) \). Hence the minimum actual cross-sectional area, \( \text{OFGS} \), is

\[
\text{OFHS} = \text{FOFHK} \, \text{HFHS} \, (2 \, \text{BRD} + \text{DP4} + \text{EBP4}) + 0.5 \, \pi \, \text{RCCANH}^2
\]

\[(A268)\]
where $\text{FOFH}$ is an area utilization factor and $\text{BRD}$ the width of each side duct.

To determine the fuselage dimensions at station $G$ a scaling factor, $\text{ROFHNS}$, is defined as the ratio of the nett cross-sectional area without the spine section to the corresponding minimum nett cross-sectional area. The nett cross-sectional is provided by the fairing curve. The actual cross-sectional area, $\text{OFH}$, is

$$\text{OFH} = \text{OTXN}(42) - \text{OXET}2(42) - \text{OXEF}(42) + 0.25 \pi \text{DP}4^2$$

(A269)

$\text{OXET}2(42)$ and $\text{OXEF}(42)$ are the lateral cross-sectional areas of the tailplane and fin - if they exist - at station $H$ (40).

$$\text{ROFHNS} = \frac{\text{OFH} - 0.25 \pi \text{DP}4^2 - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANH}^2}{\text{OFHS} - 0.25 \pi \text{DP}4^2 - 0.5 \pi \text{RCCANH}^2}$$

(A270)

Hence for the height and the width

$$\text{HFH} = \text{HFHS} \text{ROFHNS}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(A271a)

$$\text{BFH} = (\text{BRD} + \text{DP}4 + \text{EBP}4) \text{ROFHNS}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(A271b)

8.9. FUSELAGE STATION J (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNJ)

Station J - station number 46 - is located at the exit of the afterburner of the engine as shown in Fig. A2. The fuselage at station J includes only the engine. The fuselage section at station J is almost circular (Fig. A13). The height, $\text{HFJ}$, at station J (46) is

$$\text{HFJ} = (\text{DP}5 + \text{EHP}5)$$

(A272)

and the width, $\text{BFJ}$, is
BFJ = (DP5 + EHP5)  \hspace{1cm} (A273)

From these dimensions we have for this almost circular cross-sectional area, OFJ

\[ OFJ = 0.25 \pi HFJ BFJ \]  \hspace{1cm} (A274)

8.10. FUSELAGE STATION I (SUBROUTINE FUSSTNI)

Station I - station number 50 - is located at the nozzle exit as shown in Fig.A2. The fuselage at station I includes only the engine (nozzle). The fuselage section at station I is circular (Fig.A13). The height, HFJ, at station I (50) is

\[ HFJ = (DP6 + EHP6) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A275)

and the width, BFI, is

\[ BFI = (DP6 + EHP6) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A276)

From these dimensions we have for this circular cross-sectional area, OFI

\[ OFI = 0.25 \pi HFI BFI \]  \hspace{1cm} (A277)

9. ACTUAL FUSELAGE CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA (SUBROUTINE FUSCRA)

This subroutine evaluates the actual cross-sectional area of the fuselage. The actual cross-sectional area of the fuselage is made of the nett cross-sectional area plus the flow-through cross-sectional areas, that is the ones of the intake diffuser and the engine.

The nett cross-sectional area is given by the fairing curve (subroutines FAIRCUR1 and FAIRCUR2).

For the fuselage stations up to the intake diffuser inlet (station 9-10), the actual cross-sectional area, OFX, is equal to the nett cross-sectional area provided by the fairing curve.
\[ \text{OFX} = \text{OTXN} \quad (A278) \]

From the intake diffuser inlet to the engine inlet, the actual cross-sectional area equals the nett cross-sectional area plus the cross-sectional area of the intake diffuser.

\[ \text{OFX} = \text{OTXN} + \text{OIX} \quad (A279) \]

where

\[ \text{OIX} = \frac{\text{FX} - \text{XII}}{\text{LIDG}} \frac{(\text{OIE} - \text{OII}) + \text{OII}}{\quad (A280) \}

From the engine inlet to the nozzle exit, the actual cross-sectional area equals the nett cross-sectional area provided by the fairing curve plus the cross-sectional area of the engine.

\[ \text{OFX} = \text{OTXN} + \text{OPX} \quad (A281) \]

where \text{OPX} is estimated for the various engine sections.

For station 31 to 37

\[ \text{OPX}(I) = \text{OPX}(38) + \left[ \frac{\text{FX}(I) - \text{FX}(30)}{\text{LP12}} \right] \left[ \text{OPX}(38) - \text{OPX}(30) \right] \quad (A282) \]

The other engine cross-sections are evaluated similarly.

Between stations 14 and 37 the lateral cross-sectional area of the wing \text{OXW2} is deduced from the nett cross-sectional area if the aircraft is area ruled. The same applies for stations 37 to 50 where the tailplane, \text{OXET2}, and the fin, \text{OXEF}, lateral cross-sectional areas are deduced.

In this subroutine the maximum fuselage actual cross-sectional area, \text{OFXH} and the maximum afterbody actual
cross-sectional area, OFXTH and their positions, XFOH and XFOTH, are evaluated.

10. FUSELAGE PERIMETER (SUBROUTINE PERIM)

This subroutine evaluates the perimeter, PFX, of the fuselage.

For this purpose the actual cross-sectional area of the fuselage, OFX, from subroutine FUSCRA is used. In addition a shape factor RPFX is defined as the ratio of the perimeter of a cross section to the perimeter of a circle having the same cross-sectional area. Thus

\[ PFX = RPFX \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{OFX}{2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A283)

The variation of the shape factor RPFX with axial distance along the fuselage for combat aircraft could be approximated by

\[ RPFX = (RPFX1K - 1) \left( \frac{FX}{XII} \right)^2 + 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A284)

for fuselage stations up to the intake diffuser inlet and by

\[ RPFX = \left[ \left( \frac{XFN - FX}{XFN - XII} \right) - RPFX4K \right]^2 (RPFX2K - RPFX3K) + RPFX3K \]  \hspace{1cm} (A285)

where RPFX1K, RPFX2K, RPFX3K and RPFX4K are constants. For a fuselage containing a single engine RPFX4K = 0.

11. NUMERICAL INTEGRATION (SUBROUTINE INTRA)

This subroutine is a general numerical integration module that is being called from other parts of the code when an integration is needed.

The numerical integration method is based on Simpson's rule and the variables used are defined as follows.
NNUMI is the number of function values
F(I) is the function to be integrated
ALF is the lower limit of integration
BET is the upper limit of integration
IFUN is the integrated function
12. MASS ESTIMATION

12.1. FUEL TANK MASS ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE MASSEFULT)

This subroutine estimates the mass of the central and side fuel tanks. The wing fuel tank mass estimation was included in subroutine WING.

12.1.1. CENTRAL FUEL TANK MASS ESTIMATION

The central fuel tank has the shape of a wedge as shown in Fig. A3. It is located over the internal weapon bay, between the two intake diffuser ducts. Its base width is equal to the internal weapon bay width, BSWBI, and its height is what remains from the fuselage height, HFD, after the wing box and the internal weapon height are deducted. Thus the volume of the central tank, VCTF, is

\[
V_{CTF} = \frac{B_{SWBI} (X_{FN} - X_{D} - L_{PG})}{2} \left( H_{FD} - R_{TW} C_{WCC} - H_{SWBI} \right)
\]

(A286)

and the mass of the full tank, MCTF,

\[
M_{CTF} = V_{CTF} \cdot R_{FUL}
\]

(A287)

where \( R_{FUL} \) is the fuel density.

12.1.2. SIDE FUEL TANK MASS ESTIMATION

The side fuel tanks are of nearly rectangular cross-section and are situated on both sides of the engine. They run the whole length of the engine and blend with the side ducts as shown in Fig. A3. The volume of each side tank, VESTF, is

\[
V_{ESTF} = B_{RD} \cdot D_{P2} \cdot L_{P12}
\]

(A288)

and the mass of the full tank, MESTF, is

\[
M_{ESTF} = V_{ESTF} \cdot R_{FUL}
\]

(A289)
12.2. FUSELAGE MASS ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE MASSEFUS)

The mass of the fuselage is considered as the sum of the masses of the fuselage shell, the internal structure, the cockpit windscreen and canopy and various other items such as airbrakes, variable intake ramps and blow-in intake doors, if they exist. The mass of the fuselage shell, \( MFX \), as described in Ref. 43, is given by

\[
MFX = FMF2 \left( WFG1 + WFG2 - WCWSC - WCCAN \right) \left[ 0.07232 \right.
\]

\[
+ 0.0000002602 XFN ULTN TPGD^{0.8} \]

\[
+ (VD - 180)^{0.9} \]

\[
+ \frac{0.0000002602 XFN ULTN TPGD^{0.8}}{(BFCDH + HFCDH)} \]

\[
+ 3.7 \] \hspace{1cm} (A290)

where

- \( VD \) is the design maximum speed
- \( ULTN \) is the ultimate load factor
- \( TPGD \) is the maximum, sea level, static thrust of the engine with reheat
- \( WCWSC \) is the surface of the cockpit windscreen
- \( WCCAN \) is the surface of the cockpit canopy
- \( BFCDH \) is the greatest fuselage width, obtained as the greater of the widths at sections C and D.
- \( HFCDH \) is the greatest fuselage height as above
- \( FMF2 \) is a materials mass factor (for aluminium alloy = 1)

The mass of the fuselage internal structure, \( MFW \), is given by

\[
MFW = FMF2 VFXT \left[ 0.000005906 (VD AMMX)^2 + 13 + \right.
\]

\[
0.018575 ULTN XFN + 0.01002 ULTN \]

\[
\frac{CWBB^2}{CWBB^2} + \frac{HFCDH}{HFCDH} \]

\[
(1000 VFGF)^{0.6} \] \hspace{1cm} (A291)

where
VFXT is the total fuselage volume
AMMX is the design maximum Mach number for the structure
VFGF is the total volume of fuel in the fuselage

The mass of the cockpit windscreen, MCW, is given by

\[ MCW = WCWSC \left( 0.0011475 VD^2 \sin^2 QCWSC + 5.83 \right) \]  (A292)

where QCWSC is the angle of the windscreen to the horizontal.

The mass of the cockpit canopy is given by

\[ MCC = WCCAN \left( 0.00011818 VD^2 + 10.74 \right) \]  (A293)

The mass of various fuselage items, as described in Ref.43, was considered to be around 15% of the total. Hence for the total mass of the fuselage, MFG, we have

\[ MFG = 1.15 \left( MFX + MFW + MCW + MCC \right) \]  (A294)

12.3. FLYING SURFACES MASS ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE MASSEFLS)

The method of the wing mass estimation (Ref.43) is applicable to a wide variety of wing planforms under many different loading conditions. In addition to the restrictions in wing geometry introduced in subroutine WING, some more assumptions have been made to simplify the mass calculations. The most extreme loading case is assumed to occur when the fuel in the fuselage is unused and no fuel remains in the wing. No relief loads are assumed to be present on the wing.

12.3.1. WING BOX MASS ESTIMATION

The mass per unit area of the wing box structure is split into eight terms defined as follows

\[ 0.000089 \frac{BMS}{CWBB DE1 \cos^2 QWB} \]  (A295)
where the bending moment, BMS, is given by

\[
BMS = 0.25 \frac{YCPX}{BW} \frac{BWN}{MTCR} \frac{ULTN}{\{1 - 0.5 \left[0.5 + (1.28 - \frac{BWBB}{BW^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + \frac{BWBB}{BW} (14.13 - 6.35) (YPCG - 0.425)\}}
\]  

(A296)

and DE1 is defined as the lesser of the maximum depth of the wing at the body side (RTW CWCB) and an equivalent depth of the wing box at the body side (RTW CWCB [1.25 - 0.462 (FCWD + FCWR)]).

The spanwise position of the centre of pressure of the nett wing, YCPX, is given by

\[
YCPX = 0.42 + 0.001 AWN \left[(4.4 + UWCN) \tan QW4 + 10.4 UWCN^{\frac{1}{2}} - 6.7\right]
\]  

(A297)

and for the gross wing, YCPG, is given by

\[
YCPG = 0.42 + 0.001 AW \left[(4.4 + UW) \tan QW4 + 10.4 UW^{\frac{1}{2}} - 6.7\right]
\]  

(A298)

MTCR is the stressing mass for the wing and is obtained by subtracting the mass of fuel in the exposed wing and the reference load from the reference take-off mass

\[
MTCR = MTTR - MWBEF - MTPR
\]  

(A299)

For the other terms we have

\[
TERM2B = 0.82 \left(\frac{AWB}{AW \cos QWB}\right)^2 FK^{\frac{1}{4}}
\]  

(A300)
where FK is defined as the greater of 1 and the expression

\[
\frac{BMS}{59500 \text{ CWBB DE1 cos QWB}}
\]  

(A301)

\[
\text{TERM3B} = \frac{6.82 (1 - UWB)^2}{\cos^2 \text{QWB} \ FK^{1.5}}
\]  

(A302)

\[
\text{TERM4B} = 0.00007063 \left( \frac{\text{AWB}}{\text{AW}} \right)^{0.1} \text{VD}^2 \cos^2 \text{QWB}
\]  

(A303)

\[
\text{TERM5B} = 25.98 \left( 0.5 (\text{DE1} + \text{DE6}) \right)^{1.5} \sec^2 \text{QWB}
\]  

(A304)

where DE6 is defined as the lesser of the maximum depth of the wing at the tip (RTW CWCT) and an equivalent depth of the wing box at the tip [RTW CWCT (1.25 - 0.462 (FCWD + FCWR))]

\[
\text{TERM6B} = 0.522 \text{AMMX}^2
\]  

(A305)

\[
\text{TERM7B} = \frac{8.2 \text{FBWCF SWBF}}{\text{SWB FK}}
\]  

(A306)

where

\[
\text{FBWCF} = \frac{(\text{BWNF} + \text{BWBB})}{\text{BW}}
\]  

(A307)

\[
\text{TERM8B} = 0.00002785 \text{VD}^2 \left( \frac{\text{NWP}}{\text{FK}} \right)^{0.1}
\]  

(A308)
The total mass of the wing box, \( MWB \), is given by

\[
MWB = FMWB \times SWB \times FMWBH \times (TERM1B + TERM2B + TERM3B + \\
TERM4B + TERM5B + TERM6B + TERM7B + TERM8B)
\]

(A309)

where \( FMWB \) is a materials mass factor (for aluminium alloys \( FMWB = 1 \)).

\( FMWBH \) is a correction factor for estimates of wing box mass for thin wings of moderate to high aspect ratio. Up to an aspect ratio of \( AWR \) given by

\[
AWR = 14.29 \times (RTW - 0.033)^{1/2} + 2
\]

(A310a)

or

\[
AWR = 1
\]

(A310b)

if \( RTW < 0.033 \)

then

\[
FMWBH = 1
\]

(A311)

Above these values of aspect ratio

\[
FMWBH = 1 + 0.3 \left( \frac{AW}{AWR} - 1 \right)^{1.2}
\]

(A312)

12.3.2. WING LEADING EDGE MASS ESTIMATION

The mass per unit area of the leading edge of the wing, including fixed and moving parts, is split into four terms

\[
TERM1L = 0.522 \times \frac{ULTN}{SW} \times MTCR \times \left( \frac{SWL \times RTW}{BWN} \right)^2
\]

(A313)

\[
TERM2L = 0.00007285 \times \frac{ULTN \times MTCR}{SW \times RTW} \times \left( \frac{SWL}{BWN} \right)^{0.125}
\]

(A314)
Thus the total mass of the wing leading edge, MWL, is

\[
MWL = FMWL (SWLF + SWLH) (TERM1L + TERM2L + TERM3L + TERM4L)
\]  

where \( FMWL \) is a materials mass factor (for aluminium alloys \( FMWL = 1 \))

### 12.3.3. WING TRAILING EDGE MASS ESTIMATION

The mass per unit area of the fixed section of the trailing edge is made of three terms

\[
\text{TERM1T} = 1.482 \left( \frac{\text{ULTN MTCR}}{SW} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\text{SWTG}}{BWN} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\text{TERM2T} = \frac{0.0030223 \text{ULTN MTCR SWTG}}{\text{SW BWN DTE}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ \frac{\text{SWTG}}{BWN} - \frac{(SWA + SWF)}{(BWA + BWF - BWBB)} \right]^2
\]

where \( DTE \) is the average depth of the rear spar and is given by

\[
DTE = 1.54 \frac{\text{SWTG RTW}}{BWN}
\]

\[
\text{TERM3T} = 0.646 \left( \frac{\text{SWTG}}{\text{SWTF}} \right)^2 DTE^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
Thus the total mass of the fixed part of the wing trailing edge, MWT, is

\[ MWT = FMWT \cdot SWT \cdot (TERM1T + TERM2T + TERM3T) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A322)

where FMWT is a materials mass factor (for aluminium alloys \( FMWT = 1 \))

12.3.4. WING TRAILING EDGE FLAPS MASS ESTIMATION

The mass per unit area of the trailing edge flaps is made of two parts

\[ TERM1F = 0.151 \cdot \frac{MTCR}{SW} \cdot \sin^2 EQWFH \cdot DF^{0.5} \cdot SWF^{0.125} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A323)

where

\[ DF = 1.54 \cdot RT \cdot CWFM \]  \hspace{1cm} (A324)

and \( EQWFH \) is the maximum deflection of the flap.

\[ TERM2F = 0.384 \cdot \frac{SWF^{1.3}}{DF} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A325)

Thus for the total mass of the flaps, MWF, we have

\[ MWF = FMWT \cdot SWF \cdot (TERM1F + TERM2F) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A326)

The mass of the flap tracks, MWFK, is given by

\[ MWFK = NWFK \cdot (0.000323 \cdot ULTN \cdot MTCR \cdot \frac{SWF}{SW} \cdot LWFK^2 \cdot CWFM^2 + 0.91) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A327)
where NWFK is the number of flap tracks and LWFK is the length of the flap tracks as a fraction of the wing chord.

12.3.5. WING (AILERONS, SPOILERS AND VARIOUS ATTACHEMENTS) MASS ESTIMATION

The mass of the ailerons is given by

\[
M_{WA} = F_{MWT} S_{WA} \left[ \frac{0.00009795 V_D^2}{A_W 0.125} + \frac{14.14 C_W A \cos^2 Q_W A}{CWCA 20.5} \right]
\]

(A328)

and the mass of the spoiler, MWS, by

\[
M_{WS} = F_{MWT} S_{WS} \left[ \frac{34.322 N_{WS} 0.125}{A_W S_{0.5}} + 4.653 \right]
\]

(A329)

The mass of various attachments, MWCX, is given by

\[
M_{WCX} = 0.0235 (M_{WB} + M_{WL} + M_{WT} + M_{WF} + M_{WA} + M_{WS}) + 18.14
\]

(A330)

and the mass of various fairings, MWXF, by

\[
M_{WXF} = 0.488 S_{WN}
\]

(A331)

Therefore the total mass of the wing, MWC, is

\[
M_{WC} = M_{WB} + M_{WL} + M_{WT} + M_{WF} + M_{WA} + M_{WS} + NW_{FK} + M_{WCX} + M_{WXF}
\]

(A332)

12.3.6. EMPENNAGE MASS ESTIMATION
The mass of the tailplane, \( MET \), is given by

\[
MET = \frac{FMET \cdot MET1K \cdot SETN \cdot VD^{MET2K} \cdot LTCQM \cdot MET3K}{CWMG} \tag{A333}
\]

where

- \( FMET \) is a materials mass factor
- \( MET1K \) is a constant factor
- \( MET2K \) is a constant factor
- \( MET3K \) is a constant factor

The mass of the fin, \( MEF \), is given by

\[
MEF = \frac{FMEF \cdot MEF1K \cdot SEFN \cdot VD^{MEF2K} \cdot LFCQM \cdot MEF3K}{XFN} \tag{A334}
\]

where

- \( FMEF \) is a materials mass factor
- \( MEF1K \) is a constant factor
- \( MEF2K \) is a constant factor
- \( MEF3K \) is a constant factor
- \( MEF4K \) is a constant factor.

12.4. MASS ESTIMATION OF VARIOUS COMPONENTS
(SUBROUTINE MASSEVAR)

The mass of the fuel system, \( MFS \), is given by

\[
MFS = 0.02 \cdot MTT \tag{A335}
\]

The mass of the flying controls, \( MSC \), is given by

\[
MSC = MSCK + FMSCK \cdot MTTR \tag{A336}
\]

where \( MSCK \) and \( FMSCK \) are constant factors.

The mass of the electrical systems, \( MSE \), is given by
MSE = MSEK + FMSEK MTTR \tag{A337}

where MSEK and FMSEK are constant factors.

The mass of air conditioning, MSA, is given by

MSA = MSAK + FMSAK MTTR \tag{A338}

where MSAK and FMSAK are constant factors.

The mass of the aircraft paint, MPNT, is given by

MPNT = 0.5 SW \tag{A339}

The mass of the hover control system, MDI, is given by

MDI = MHDG (XFN + BW) \tag{A340}

where MHDG is a factor of mass per unit length.

13. CENTRE OF GRAVITY (CG) (SUBROUTINE CEGRAV)

In this subroutine the moment arms of the aircraft components are estimated. The moment arms are measured from the aircraft nose.

13.1. CG OF UNDERCARRIAGE

The gross mass of the nose undercarrriage, including a proportion of the undercarrriage hydraulics, MUNG, is given by

\[ MUNG = MUN \left(1 + \frac{MUH}{MUN + MUM}\right) \tag{A341} \]

and this mass is assumed to act at the mid-point of the length of the undercarrriage bay. Thus the moment arm, XUNCG, is
From the definition of MUNG the gross mass of the main undercarriage, MUMG, is given by

\[ MUMG = MUN + MUM + MUH - MUNG \]  

and this mass is assumed to act at a fraction RLPCW of the mean aerodynamic chord behind the quarter chord point of the wing. The moment arm, XUMCG, is

\[ XUMCG = XWCQM + RLPCW CWMA \]  

13.2. CG OF FUSELAGE

The structural mass of the fuselage is assumed to act midway between the position of the centre of surface of the fuselage, XFXM, and the centre of volume of the fuselage, XFWM, in order to reflect the contributions of the shell and the internal structure respectively. Hence the moment arm of the structural mass of the fuselage, XFCG, is given by

\[ XFCG = 0.5 \left( XFXM + XFWM \right) \]  

To determine the centre of surface of the fuselage, XFXM, the fuselage is considered as a series of frustra of cones of height EXF(I), having radii derived from the local perimeter of the fuselage PFX(I). To determine the centre of volume, XFWM, the same procedure is followed but with radii derived from the local actual cross-sectional area of the fuselage OFX(I).

\[
XFXM = \frac{\sum_{I=2}^{50} 0.5 \left[ FX(I) - FX(I-1) \right] EFX(I)}{\sum_{I=2}^{50} EFX(I)}
\]  

where
EFX(I) = 0.5 \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{PFX(I) + PFX(I - 1)}{2} + EXF(I) \right]^{1/2} - PFX(I - 1) \right\}^2 + \frac{PFX(I) - PFX(I - 1)}{4}
(A347)

and

\[ \sum_{I=2}^{50} 0.5 \left[ FX(I) - FX(I - 1) \right] EFW(I) \]
\[ XFWM = \frac{\sum_{I=2}^{50} EFW(I)}{\sum_{I=2}^{50} EFW(I)} \]
(A348)

where

\[ EFW(I) = \frac{EFX(I)}{3} \left\{ OFX(I) + OFX(I - 1) + \{ OFX(I - 1) \}^{1/2} \right\} \]
(A349)

13.3. WING CG

The mass of the structure of the wing is assumed to act at the centre of volume of the wing. The centre of volume is defined as a distance, RLWCC, after the mean quarter chord point of the wing, as a fraction of the mean aerodynamic chord. Using the wing thickness distribution the distance RLWCC can be shown to be

\[ RLWCC = \frac{1}{56} \left\{ \frac{13 - \left[ \frac{27 UW^2 + 1.75 AW (1 - UW^2)}{(1 + UW + UW^2)^2} \right]}{(1 + 4 UW + UW^2)^2} \frac{(1 + 4 UW + UW^2) \tan QWL}{(1 + 4 UW + UW^2)^2} \right\} \]
(A350)

Hence the moment arm, XWCG, is

\[ XWCG = XWCQM + RLWCC CWMA \]
(A351)
13.4. EMPENNAGE CG

The structural masses of the fin and tailplane are assumed to act at the centre of volume of their nett surfaces. However, as these masses are smaller than the mass of the wing a simple approximation of the distance of the centre of volume behind the mean quarter point is considered adequate.

Thus for the tailplane moment arm, \( X_{ETCG} \), we have

\[
X_{ETCG} = X_{WCQM} + L_{TCQM} + \frac{CETB (1 + UETN + UETN^2)}{15 (1 + UETN)}
\]  
(A352)

and for the fin moment arm, \( X_{EFCG} \)

\[
X_{EFCG} = X_{WCQM} + L_{FCQM} + \frac{CEFB (1 + UEFN + UEFN^2)}{15 (1 + UEFN)}
\]  
(A353)

13.5. INTERNAL WEAPON BAY CG

The internal weapon bay moment arm \( X_{WBCG} \) is given by

\[
X_{WBCG} = XD + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{LMRM MMRM + LSRN MSRM}{MMRM + MSRM} \right)
\]  
(A354)

13.6. REMOTE LIFT SYSTEM FRONT DUCT CG

The RLS system of front ducts is considered in two parts. The first is the horizontal one from the engine to the position behind the cockpit and the second the vertical
from the position behind the cockpit to the underface of the fuselage.

For the first the moment arm, XRCG1, is

\[ X_{RCG1} = X_A + LCF + \left( \frac{LRDG - XD}{2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A355)

For the second the moment arm, XRCG2, is

\[ X_{RCG2} = X_A + LCF + \left( \frac{DRDE}{2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A356a)

and the arm of the RLS front duct nozzle is

\[ X_{RCG3} = X_{RCG2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A356b)

13.7. FUEL TANKS CG

The centre wing fuel tank is rectangular in planform and its CG lies at the centre of its volume. By integrating the moment of the wing thickness distribution between the front and the rear spars the distance of the CG of the fuel tank in terms of body side chord, RWBC2, is found to be

\[
RWBC2 = \frac{3}{7} \left\{ \frac{7 (FCW_{2.5} - FCW_{2.5}) - 5 (FCW_{3.5} - FCW_{3.5})}{5 (FCW_{1.5} - FCW_{1.5}) - 3 (FCW_{2.5} - FCW_{3.5})} \right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A357)

Hence the moment arm of the central wing fuel tank, XFWCG, is

\[ X_{FWCG} = X_{WLB} + RWBC2 \cdot CWCB \]  \hspace{1cm} (A358)

where XWLB is the distance of the wing leading edge
from the aircraft nose at the body side.

The exposed wing fuel tank is of tapered planform. The distance of its centre of volume from the wing leading edge, LWBEF, is given by

\[
LWBEF = \frac{\left[ 3 \ \frac{C}{W} \ \frac{C}{B} \ \frac{R}{W} \ \frac{B}{C}^2 \ (1 + \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{N} \frac{F}) \ (1 + \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{C} \frac{N}{F}^2) \right]}{4 \ (1 + \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{N} \frac{F} + \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{C} \frac{N}{F}^2)} + 0.5 \ \frac{B}{W} \ \frac{N}{F} \ \frac{W}{L} \ \tan \ \frac{Q}{W} \ (1 + 2 \ \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{C} \frac{N}{F} + 3 \ \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{C} \frac{N}{F}^2 ) } {4 \ (1 + \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{N} \frac{F} + \frac{U}{C} \frac{W}{C} \frac{N}{F}^2)}
\]

(A359)

Thus the moment arm of the exposed wing fuel tank, XFECG, is

\[
XFECG = XWLB + LWBEF
\]

(A360)

For the central (fuselage) fuel tank, as it is wedge shaped, its centre of volume lies at one third of its length from the base. Hence the moment arm, XFCCG, is given by

\[
XFCCG = X + \frac{1}{3} \ (XFN - XD - LPG)
\]

(A361)

The moment arm of the side fuel tanks, XFSCG, is given as input data.
14. SORTIE PERFORMANCE (SUBROUTINE SORTIE)

Subroutine SORTIE estimates the fuel used by the aircraft flying a specified sortie.

A sortie is defined as comprising a number of legs. Each leg is assumed to be flown at constant height, Mach number and normal acceleration. Climbing and longitudinal acceleration are not considered as separate legs and their effects in fuel consumption are accounted by changing the range or the normal acceleration of relevant legs. Following are the steps taken in SORTIE.

Before the actual sortie, we have the estimation of the take-off fuel, MTTF. The take-off altitude and Mach number are given as well as the thrust setting of the engine. Subroutine ENGPER that provides the engine performance is called (subroutine ENGPER is described later) and the fuel consumption for take-off, MPFC, is established. Having as an external variable TTTF the run time for take-off the take-off fuel is given by

\[ MTTF = TTTF \times MPFC \]  \hspace{1cm} (A362)

Following, there is the overall sortie iteration that goes through the same routine for each leg, for the estimation of the fuel spent. This overall sortie iteration comprises three iterations, namely the iteration for the estimation of the maximum sustained incidence and lift coefficient, the iteration for estimating the maximum sustained normal acceleration halfway along the leg in terms of used fuel and the iteration for the estimation of the actual lift coefficient, incidence, thrust and fuel spent.

The overall sortie iteration starts with the reading of the external variables used in each leg. These are:

- **SLEG** is the leg range (km)
- **HTLEG** is the leg altitude (m)
- **MNLEG** is the leg Mach number
- **GNLEG** is the leg normal acceleration (g)
- **MLEG** is the mass that is lost during the leg (missiles, bombs, fuel tanks) (kg)
- **EQWFLG** is the flap setting of the leg (if flaps are used) (degrees)
- **TPG1** is an initial estimate of the thrust required in the leg. (N)

The iteration steps for the estimation of the maximum incidence, ALH, and lift coefficient, CL2, that may
be sustained by the aircraft under full thrust, are described below.

Step 1

\[
\text{CL1} \quad \text{ALH} = \frac{\text{GCLA}}{\text{CL1}} \quad (A363)
\]

where CL1 is an initial estimate of the lift coefficient and GCLA is the lift-curve slope of the aircraft (provided by subroutine LIFT).

Step 2

\[
\text{CDV} = \frac{\left(\text{TPGC} \cos \text{ALH} - V \text{MPAC}\right)}{Q \text{SW}} - \text{CDOT} \quad (A364)
\]

where

- CDV is the lift dependent-drag
- TPGC is the maximum gross thrust
- MPAC is the maximum air mass flow
- V is the air speed
- Q is the dynamic pressure
- CDOT is the total zero-lift drag coefficient

CDOT is provided by subroutine DRAG, TPGC and MPAC by subroutine ENGPER and V and Q by subroutine ATMOS.

Step 3

\[
\text{CL2} = \text{function (CDV)} \quad (A365)
\]

As the lift-dependent drag is a function of drag we use the inverse function to determine the lift coefficient from the lift-dependent drag coefficient that was estimated in step 2. The relation between the lift coefficient and the lift-dependent drag coefficient is found in subroutine DRAG.

Step 4

If CL2 is greater than the maximum CL or

\[
\text{if} \quad \left| \frac{\text{ALH} - \text{CL2}}{\text{GCLA}} \right| \leq 0.001 \text{ degrees} \quad (A366)
\]
then the iteration is complete.

Otherwise

\[
CL1 = CL1 + 0.93 (CL2 - CL1)
\]

(A367)

and the iteration is resumed.

A relaxation factor value 0.93 was found appropriate.

The second iteration for the estimation of the maximum normal acceleration, GNH, that the aircraft can sustain, when half of the fuel needed in the leg is consumed, is described below.

Step 1

\[
GNH = \frac{Q SW CL2 + TPGC \sin ALH}{G (MASS - 0.5 MPFB1)}
\]

(A368)

where

- MASS is the total mass of the aircraft at the start of the leg
- MPFB1 is an initial estimate of the fuel needed for the leg
- G is the acceleration due to gravity (subroutine ATMOS).

Step 2

\[
RT1 = \frac{SLEG 1000}{V}
\]

(A369)

where RT1 is the duration of the leg.

Step 3

\[
MPFB2 = \frac{MPFC RT1}{(GNH^2 - 1)}
\]

(A370)

where MPFC is the maximum fuel mass flow (subroutine
ENGPER) and MPFB2 the fuel spent in the leg.

If $\text{GNH} \leq 1$ or if $|\text{MPFB1} - \text{MPFB2}| \leq 0.01$ the iteration is complete.

Otherwise

$$\text{MPFB1} = \text{MPFB1} + 0.96 (\text{MPFB2} - \text{MPFB1}) \quad (A371)$$

and the iteration is resumed.

A relaxation factor value of 0.96 was found appropriate.

The last iteration is for the estimation of the actual lift coefficient, incidence, thrust and fuel spent is described below.

Step 1

$$\text{SLEG 1000}$$

$$\text{MPFB2} = \frac{\text{MPF}}{\text{V}} \quad (A372)$$

where MPF is the fuel mass flow obtained from the subroutine ENGPER and corresponds to the initial value for thrust, TPG1, that was used as input to the subroutine call.

Step 2

$$\text{CL} = \frac{\text{GN} \, G \, (\text{MASS} - 0.5 \, \text{MPFB2}) - \text{TPG1} \, \sin \, \text{AL}}{\text{Q} \, \text{SW}} \quad (A373)$$

where

GN is the specified normal acceleration for the leg and AL an initial estimate for the incidence.

Step 3

$$\text{AL} = \frac{\text{CL}}{\text{GCLA}} \quad (A374)$$
Step 4

\[
TPG2 = \frac{V MPA + SW CDTOT}{\cos AL}
\]  

(A375)

where

CDTOT is the total aircraft drag coefficient provided by subroutine DRAG.

If \( |MPFB1 - MPFB2| \leq 1 \) or \( |TPG1 - TPG2| \leq 10 \) the iteration is complete.

Otherwise

\[
MPFB1 = MPFB2
\]  

(A376)

\[
TPG1 = TPG1 + RTK (TPG2 - TPG1)
\]  

(A377)

and the iteration is resumed.

The value of the relaxation factor RTK depends on the input data. An initial value of 0.6 is used and if convergence is not reached after 25 iterations this value is reduced to 0.1.

Due to often convergence problems in this third iteration, a limit of 50 iterations was set and then the average of the two last values is assumed for the fuel consumed in the leg.

After the overall sortie iteration the total fuel mass, MPFB2T, consumed in the sortie is calculated and to this the take-off, MTTF, and landing, MTLF, fuel masses are added. The landing fuel mass being estimated as a percentage, FMTLF, of total fuel mass.

According to the specifications provided, an aircraft mass for the point performance calculations, MTTPP, is estimated. Usually, this mass does not include take-off fuel mass and any fuel spent in any legs prior to the manoeuvre legs specified.

15. ATMOSPHERIC PROPERTIES (SUBROUTINE ATMOS)
This subroutine calculates standard atmospheric properties and acceleration due to gravity for any altitude.

16. ENGINE PERFORMANCE

The engine performance is given in table form. The data provided include net thrust, gross thrust fuel mass flow, exit nozzle area and air mass flow for a range of altitudes, Mach numbers and power settings. The method used in establishing the required values is a three point interpolation.

The three subroutines used are:

ENGPER which is the main one, calls the other two and does all the intermediate stages of the evaluation.
ENGRE which is reading all the input data in table form and establishes the points of interpolation.
INTER which performs the actual interpolation.

16.1. ENGINE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (SUBROUTINE ENGPER)

Two methods of engine performance evaluation are available (They should not be confused with modes). In the first the altitude, Mach number and power setting are known and the thrust, air and fuel mass flows and nozzle exit area are sought. In the second the altitude, the Mach number and the thrust are known and the power setting, the air and mass flows and the nozzle exit area are sought.

Two modes of engine performance evaluation are available, MODE = 1 and MODE = 3. In MODE = 3 maximum thrust is requested. All other conditions fall under MODE = 1.

The method of communicating the engine performance requirements from any part of the code to subroutine ENGPER, the following four variables are used:

| HTEN | the altitude |
| MNEN | the Mach number |
| MODE | defined above |
| XV   | the input variable for either power setting or thrust (as described above) |

In return ENGPER provides the following
R1 the output variable for either thrust or power setting (as described above)

MPF fuel mass flow

MPA air mass flow

OPJ nozzle exit area.

As the input data are altitude and Mach number - the power setting (or the thrust) is ignored because it has a standard number of values for each 0.2 Mach number value of each altitude value - we have four cases of input data:

In the first case both altitude and Mach number input values exist in the available data. There is no need for interpolation, ENGPER just reads the data. In the second case the Mach number input value exists in the available data but not the altitude input value. ENGPER interpolates only in respect to altitude. The third case is identical with the second with the difference that altitude data exists while Mach number data not. The fourth, and most common case, is when both altitude and Mach number input values do not exist in the available data. ENGPER interpolates for altitude and Mach number. The above four cases are managed in ENGPER by the control numbers NNN1 and NNN2.

Examining closely the fourth case we have the following procedure. ENGPER calls ENGRE to read the engine performance data file. Then ENGRE reads the values for altitude and all the corresponding other variables - thrust for example - nearest and above and below to the required input value (HTEN) for altitude. A third set is obtained by considering the zero altitude or any other suitable if the input value is near zero. These values are read for three Mach number values, which in turn are taken nearest and above and below the required input value for Mach number (MNEN) and the third usually zero. These sets are interpolated by ENGPER and INTER to provide the required thrust corresponding to the input altitude and Mach number values. In all these interpolations the altitude and Mach number variables are the independent variables and thrust, fuel mass flow, air mass flow and nozzle exit area the dependent.

To illustrate the procedure with a simple example, assume that the available data exist for altitude values every one thousand meters and Mach numbers every two. That is altitude values of 0, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000 metres and for each altitude Mach number values of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10. Assume the input data is 2500 metres and 5 Mach number. An interpolation between the values of thrust for example, corresponding to altitude 0, 2000 and 3000 for Mach number 0 will
take place to give a value for thrust for an altitude of 2500 and Mach number 0. With the same procedure we have values for thrust for an altitude of 2500 m and Mach number 4 and an altitude of 2500 and Mach number 6. Finally these three values are interpolated to give one value for thrust for an altitude of 2500 and Mach number 5.

Having ten power settings the interpolation is not for single values but for sets of ten values. At the end we have, for the altitude and Mach number specified, sets of values of ten for thrust, fuel mass flow, air mass flow and nozzle exit area corresponding to the ten power settings. Depending on whether the power setting or the thrust is the independent - the input - variable the appropriate three values out of the ten are selected and the final interpolation takes place.

16.2. ENGINE PERFORMANCE DATA READING (SUBROUTINE ENGRE)

This subroutine reads the engine performance data given in table form. Subsequently, it finds the three points of interpolation corresponding to each input value provided by ENGPER. Control numbers NED1 - NED32 define the position of all interpolation values.

16.3. INTERPOLATION (SUBROUTINE INTER)

This subroutine performs the interpolation. It receives from ENGPER sets of three values for the independent (XX1, XX2, XX3) and dependent (YY1, YY2, YY3) variables plus the input value for the independent variable (XINT) and returns the interpolated value for the dependent variable (YINT).

17. LIFT-CURVE SLOPE (SUBROUTINE LIFT)

This subroutine evaluates the lift-curve slope over the Mach number regime of the aircraft.

The variation of lift coefficient with incidence is assumed to be linear over the range of incidence for which it is necessary to calculate aircraft performance.

For Mach numbers ≤ 0.8 the lift-curve slope, GCLWD, for the aerofoil section is given by
GCLWD = FREEF \left[ 2 \pi + 4.7 \; RTW \left(1 + 0.215 \; FQWTI \; RTW\right) \right] \\
(A378)

where FREEF is a Reynolds effects factor and FQWTI is a factor, for a particular family of airfoils, that relates the trailing edge included angle to the thickness to chord ratio, RTW, of the section.

The lift-curve slope for the wing, GCLA, is the given by

\[
GCLA = \frac{GCLWD}{\pi \; AW \left(1 + FWLD\right)} + (GCLK4 + 1 - MN^2)
\]

(A379)

where FWLD is a factor for a non-elliptical lift distribution and

\[
GCLK4 = \left( \frac{GCLWD^2}{\pi \; AW} \right) + \tan^2 QW2
\]

(A380)

A critical Mach number, MCR, is defined above which the leading edge flow becomes supersonic

\[
MCR = \frac{1}{\cos QWL}
\]

(A381)

For Mach numbers \( M \geq MCR \) linear theory is used for the wing lift-curve slope, GCLA

\[
GCLA = \frac{4}{\left(MN^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

(A382)

The value of four in the above lift-curve slope relation most closely follows the characteristics of the wing in question.
For Mach numbers between 0.8 and MCR a cubic variation of GCLA with Mach number, MN, is assumed with the gradient and value of GCLA matched at MN = 0.8 and MN = MCR to the values obtained from the two previous expressions.

Thus

\[ GCLA = GCLK0 + GCLK1 (MN - 0.8) + GCLK2 (MN - 0.8)^2 + GCLK3 (MN - 0.8)^3 \]

(A383)

where

\[ GCLK0 = \frac{GCLWD}{GCLWD + (GCLK4 + 1 - 0.64)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]

(A384)

\[ GCLK1 = \frac{0.8 GCLK0^2}{GCLWD (GCLK4 + 1 - 0.64)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]

(A385)

\[ GCLK2 = \frac{1}{(MCR - 0.8)} \left[ \frac{3 (4 \cot QWL - GCLK0)}{(MCR - 0.8)} - \frac{2 GCLK1 + \frac{4 \cot^2 QWL}{\sin QWL}}{\sin QWL} \right] \]

(A386)

\[ GCLK3 = \frac{1}{(MCR - 0.8)} \left[ \frac{GCLK1 - \frac{4 \cot^2 QWL}{\sin QWL}}{\sin QWL} - \frac{2 (4 \cot QWL - GCLK0)}{(MCR - 0.8)} \right] \]

(A387)

For the lift-curve slope of the whole aircraft
interference effects are considered (Appendix C). For the fuselage interference factor, $FI_K$, we have

$$FI_K = \frac{2 \pi (0.5 BFD)^2}{GCLW \ SWN} \quad (A388)$$

where $BFD$ is a typical width of the fuselage ahead of the wing.

For the wing-body interference factor, $FI_{WB}$, we have

$$FI_{WB} = (\frac{BFD}{BW} + 1)^2 \quad (A389)$$

For the tail interference it is assumed a tailplane nearly at the same height from the ground with the wing and having the same airfoil section type with the wing.

Hence for the lift-curve slope of the aircraft, $GCL$, we have

$$GCL = GCLW (FI_K + FI_{WB}) + \frac{SW}{SWN} \quad (A390)$$

where $RDP$ is a downwash factor, $GDW$ is given by

$$GDW = \frac{GCLW}{\pi AW} \left[ \frac{LTCQM^2 + BW^2 + LTCQM \ DKW}{LTCQM \ DKW} \right] \quad (A391)$$

and $DKW$ is given by

$$DKW = \left[ \left( \frac{BW}{2} \right)^2 + LTCQM^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (A392)$$
The first term of the equation for GCLA is for the fuselage and wing-fuselage interference and the second for the tail interference.

18. CRITICAL LIFT COEFFICIENT, DK2 FACTOR IN LIFT-DEPENDENT DRAG AND MAXIMUM LIFT COEFFICIENT READING (SUBROUTINE LIFTCO)

This subroutine linearly interpolates the available data of critical lift coefficient (CLC), DK2 factor in lift-dependent drag estimation and maximum lift coefficient (CLMAX) versus Mach number to find the values corresponding to the required Mach number.
19. DRAG ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE DRAG)

19.1. DRAG COEFFICIENT AT ZERO LIFT

The components of the drag coefficient at zero lift are estimated as functions of Mach number by separate methods valid for subsonic, transonic and supersonic speeds. Fig.A14 defines the principal components of the drag coefficient and some of the parameters associated with the estimation methods.

For Mach numbers up to 0.8 the zero-lift drag coefficient of the wing is given by

$$CD_{OW} = \frac{CFR \cdot RCDW}{SW} \quad (A393)$$

where $CFR$ is the skin friction for flat plates, and is given by the greater of

$$CFR = 0.49 \left\{ \log_{10} (RND1 \cdot RCWCD \cdot CWMN) - 0.2625 \right\}$$

and

$$CFR = 0.49 \left\{ \log_{10} (RND1 \cdot RCWCD \cdot CWMN) - 0.2625 \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{RND1 \cdot HTR \left[ 0.85 \exp(-0.30494 \cdot MN^2) + 0.15 \right] - 52}{\left[ 5.625 \log_{10}(RND1 \cdot RCWCD \cdot CWMN - 6.075)^2 \right] + 0.936} \right\} \quad (A395)$$

In this equation $HTR$ is the roughness height and $RND1$ is the Reynolds number per unit metre

$$RND1 = \frac{AH \cdot MN}{NU} \quad (A396)$$

where $NU$ is the kinetic viscosity.

RCWCD is a weighting factor on the mean chord to account for the variation of Reynolds number across the span, and is defined by assuming that the skin friction varies as $-1/6$ power of Reynolds number.
In equation A393, RCDW is twice the form factor and is given by

\[ RCDW = 2 \left( 3 \, RTW \, \cos^2 \, QW2 + 1 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A398)

An additional allowance of 10% of CDOW is made for the drag due to control gaps and leaks.

Similar expressions are used for the estimation of the zero-lift drag of the empennage. For the tailplane

\[ CD0ET = CFR \, RCDET \, \frac{SETN}{SW} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A399)

where CFR is obtained from eqn A395, with CWMN replaced by CETM, and RCWCD replaced by RCETD.

RCETD is found from eqn A397 with UWCN replaced by UETN. RCDET is found from eqn A398 with RTW and QW2 replaced by RTET and QET2 respectively.

For the fin

\[ CD0EF = CFR \, RCDEF \, \frac{SEFN}{SW} \]  \hspace{1cm} (A400)

where CFR is obtained from eqn A395, with CWMN replaced by CEFM, and RCWCD replaced by RCEFD.

RCEFD is found from eqn A397 with UWCN replaced by UEFN. RCDEF is found from eqn A398 with RTW and QW2 replaced by RTEF and QEF2 respectively.

An additional allowance of 20% of CD0ET and CD0EF is made for the drag due to control gaps and leaks.

The zero-lift drag of the fuselage, up to Mach number of 0.8 is given by
where \( WFX(50) \) is the fuselage total surface area and \( WCWSC \) and \( WCCAN \) are the surface areas of the windscreen and canopy respectively.

The footprint area of the flying surfaces, \( WFG3 \), is calculated by integrating the thickness distribution of the aerofoil(s) of the flying surfaces (eqn A195). It is given by

\[
WFG3 = 0.69282 \left( 2 \, RTW \, CWCB^2 + 2 \, RTET \, CETB^2 + RTEF \right)
\]

\[
CDB^2 \]

\[\text{A402} \]

\( CFR \) is obtained from eqn A395 with \( CWMN \) replaced by \( XF(50) \) and \( RCWCD \) replaced by \( RLFD \).

\( RLFD \) is found from

\[
RLFD = \left\{ \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{XF(9)}{XF(50)} \right)^{-1/6} - 1 \right] \left( \frac{2 \, HII + 4 \, BII}{PFX(10)} \right) \right\}
\]

\[+1 \]

\[\text{A403} \]

The form factor \( RCDF \) is defined as

\[
RCDF = 0.9987 + 0.3378 \, RDFL + 3.534 \, RDFL^2 - 3.933 \, RDFL^3
\]

\[\text{A404} \]

where

\[
RDFL = \frac{2}{XF(50)} \left( \frac{OFXH - OII}{\pi} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[\text{A405} \]

and \( OFXH \) is the maximum actual cross-sectional area of the fuselage.
The zero-lift drag of the canopy, up to Mach numbers of 0.8, is given by

\[ \text{CD}_{0C} = \text{CFR} \frac{\text{RCDC}} {\text{SW}} (\text{WCWSC} + \text{WCCAN}) \]  

(A406)

where CFR is obtained from eqn A395 with \((\text{RCWCD} \text{ CWMN})\) replaced by the length of the canopy \(\text{LCCAN}\). RCDC is given by

\[ \text{RCDC} = \left( 0.5 - \frac{\text{XF}(14)}{\text{XF}(50)} \right) \left[ \frac{2.93}{\frac{\text{LCCAN}}{\text{RCCANC}} - 2.8} + 3.8 \right] \]

\[ + 1.35 \]  

(A407)

where RCCANC is canopy radius at fuselage station C.

The drag due to the gun port is given by

\[ \text{CD}_{GC} = \frac{0.0046}{\text{SW}} \]  

(A408)

The subsonic drag of the boundary layer diverter is given by

\[ \text{CD}_{IV} = \frac{0.25 \text{ OVI}}{\text{SW}} \]  

(A409)

The supersonic afterbody drag of the fuselage, which includes contributions from the boattail and base is given by

\[ \text{CD}_{B} = \left( \frac{0.05 (\text{OFX}(50) - \text{OPJ})}{(\text{OFX}(38) - \text{OPJ})} + 0.014 \right) \frac{\text{OFX}(38)}{\text{SW}} \]  

(A410)
where $OFX(38)$ is the actual fuselage cross-sectional area at the engine compressor inlet and $OPJ$ is the nozzle exit area.

The drag of the undercarriage when extended, $CDUT$, is assumed to be

$$CDUT = 0.02 \quad (A411)$$

An allowance of 15% of the drag of the main components of the aircraft is made for the interference effects between components and for small excrescences and surface irregularities not included in the equivalent roughness (eqn A395). Adding the component drag coefficients and the allowance specified above, and the allowances specified for each component, a basic drag coefficient is obtained for Mach numbers less than 0.8

$$CDOV08 = 1.25 \, CDOW + 1.35 \, (CDOET + CDOEF) + 1.15 \, CDOFG \quad (A412)$$

where

$$CDOFG = CDOF + CD0C + CDIV + CDGC \quad (A413)$$

The value of this drag coefficient at 0.8 Mach, $CDOV08$, is scaled to provide an estimate of the basic drag at higher, subsonic and supersonic, Mach numbers

$$CDOV = CDOV08 \, (1.21784 - 0.300627 \, MN + 0.0342234 \, MN^2) + ECCDIV \quad (A414)$$

where the additional term is an increment to the drag of the diverter to account for compressibility effects. This is defined for Mach $\leq 1$

$$ECCDIV = 0.25 \, \frac{OVI}{SW} \, (176 - 600 \, MN + 675 \, MN^2 - 250 \, MN^3) \quad (A415)$$

and for Mach $> 1$
The drag due to compressibility effects at zero lift, which is added to the basic drag calculated by the methods described above, is assumed to start with a gradual, quadratic, rise defined by the Mach number, MDD, at which the drag coefficient is 0.002 above the Cd0V08 value, and the gradient dCd/DMN equals one. With these assumptions it can be shown that the drag increase begins at a Mach number of (MDD - 0.04). MDD is defined by

\[ MDD = 0.945 - 0.006 \left[ 7 - 0.01 \frac{QW4}{RTW} \right]^{1.8} \]  
\[ \text{(A417)} \]

for \((QW4/RTW) \leq 700\) where \(QW4\) is measured in degrees for this correlation, or

\[ MDD = 0.945 \]  
\[ \text{(A418)} \]

for \((QW4/RTW) > 700\)

The Mach number at which the drag rise begins will also be dependent on the lift coefficient, \(CL\), and is found from

\[ MD = MDD - FMD1 (CL - CLDES)^{1.5} + FMD2 \]  
\[ \text{(A419)} \]

where \(CLDES\) is the design lift coefficient in the high subsonic/transonic regime, and \(FMD1\) and \(FMD2\) are constants, the latter providing an allowance for improvements in aerodynamic technology. If \(CL < CLDES\) then the second term of eqn A419 is ignored.

The wave drag at higher Mach numbers is estimated by a series of curve fits to a set of charts that correlate measured supersonic drag with the major geometric parameters.

For a wing a function of the thickness to chord ratio is first defined

\[ RTWD = RTW \{ [1.726 \exp(-2.25 QW2^2) - 0.6] \log_{10} AWN + 1.25 \cos QW2 \} \]  
\[ \text{(A420)} \]
The wave drag of the wing at Mach = 1 is then

$$CDW1 = RTW^{1.666} \frac{SWN}{SW} \quad (A421)$$

and at Mach = 1.3 is

if $RTW^{2/3} \leq 0.3$

$$CDW2 = CDW1 \left[ \frac{0.07882}{RTW^{2/3}} + 0.84142 \right] \quad (A422)$$

or if $RTW^{2/3} > 0.3$

$$CDW2 = CDW1 \left\{ 0.5124 \left[ 5.318 - \left( \frac{0.3}{RTW^{0.666}} \right)^{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}}} - 2.0521 \right] \right\} + 0.0526 \right\} \quad (A423)$$

For the tailplane the function of the thickness to chord ratio, $RTETD$, is defined using eqn A420 with RTW replaced by RTET, QW2 replaced by QET2 and AWN replaced by AETN. Eqns A421 and A422 are then used to find the wave drag at Mach = 1 (CDTW1) and Mach = 1.3 (CDTW2) respectively. In these eqns RTWD is replaced by RTETD and SWN by SETN.

For the fin the function of the thickness to chord ratio, $RTEFD$, is defined using eqn A420 with RTW replaced by RTEF, QW2 replaced by QEF2 and AWN replaced by AEFN. Eqns A421 and A422 are then used to find the wave drag at Mach = 1 (CDFIW1) and Mach = 1.3 (CDFIW2) respectively. In these eqns RTWD is replaced by RTEFD and SWN by SEFN.

The wave drag of the fuselage is considered in terms of contributions from the forebody and the afterbody. The wave drag of the forebody comprises the drag of the nose section as far after as the inlet plane of the intake diffuser, which for Mach = 1 is given by

$$CDFW1 = \frac{OFX(9)}{SW} \times \frac{[0.89 - 0.07 \text{ RLDFS}][0.5641 - 0.2696]}{SW}$$
\[
RLDFS + 0.04822 \, RLDFS^2 - 0.00312 \, RLDFS^3 \]

(A424)

and for Mach = 1.3

when RLDFS > 2

\[
CDFW_2 = \frac{OFX(9)}{SW} \cdot 0.7235 \, RLDFS^{-1.7448}
\]

(A425)

or when RLDFS ≤ 2

\[
CDFW_2 = \frac{OFX(9)}{SW} \left[ 0.592533 - 0.188329 \, RLDFS \right]
\]

(A426)

In these equations RLDFS is the fineness ratio for the nose section

\[
RLDFS = \frac{XF(9)}{\left[ \frac{OFX(9)}{\pi} \right]^\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(A427)

The second contribution to the drag of the forebody comes from the region from the inlet intake diffuser plane back to the maximum cross-sectional area of the fuselage. A fineness ratio for this region is defined as

\[
RLDFI = \frac{XFOH - XF(10)}{2 \left[ \frac{OFXH - OFX(10)}{\pi} \right]}
\]

(A428)

where XFOH is the distance from the nose, at which the maximum actual fuselage cross-sectional area, OFXH, occurs. Eqns A424, A425 and A426 are used to determine the wave drag contribution at Mach = 1, CDFW3, and at Mach = 1.3, CDFW4, by replacing RLDFS by RLDFI and OFX(9).
by \((\text{OFXH} - \text{OFX}(10))\). If the maximum cross-sectional area occurs at the inlet plane of the intake diffuser, then these wave drag terms will be zero.

The wave drag of the afterbody is calculated in three stages. An equivalent afterbody with a pointed tail is defined first by extrapolating the area distribution curve a length \(\text{ELFT}\) rearwards. \(\text{ELFT}\) is given by

\[
\text{ELFT} = \frac{\text{EXF}(47) + \text{EXF}(48) + \text{EXF}(49) + \text{EXF}(50)}{\left\{ \left[ \frac{\text{OFX}(46)}{\text{OFX}(50)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right\}}
\]

(A429)

The fineness ratio of this equivalent body is then

\[
\text{RLDFT} = \frac{0.5 \left[ \text{XF}(50) - \text{XFOTH}' + \text{ELFT} \right]}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{\text{OFXTH}}{\pi} \right)^\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

(A430)

where \(\text{OFXTH}\) is the maximum actual fuselage cross-sectional area of the afterbody and \(\text{XFOTH}\) is the distance from the nose at which it occurs. At \(\text{Mach} = 1\) the drag of the equivalent afterbody is found from

\[
\text{CDFW5} = \left\{ \frac{\text{OFXTH}}{\text{SW}} \left\{ 0.01 + 0.219 \exp [0.6105 (1.72 - \text{RLDFT}) ] \right\} \right\}
\]

(A431)

and at \(\text{Mach} = 1.3\)

\[
\text{CDFW6} = \left\{ \frac{\text{OFXTH}}{\text{SW}} \left\{ 0.01 + 0.3838 \exp [-0.415 \text{RLDFT}] \right\} \right\}
\]

(A432)

The interference effect of the front fuselage on the drag of the afterbody is next considered. The increment in wave drag is
$$ECDFT = -\frac{OFXTH}{4\ SW\ RLDFT^2} \left[ \left( \frac{2\ RLDFT}{XFOHS} \right) \left( \frac{OFXHS}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$\left\{ 1.575 - \left[ 0.678 + \frac{1.954 (XFOTH - XFOHS)}{(XF(50) - XFOTH + ELFT)} \right]^{-1} - 0.1 \right\} \quad (A433)$$

where OFXHS is the maximum nett fuselage cross-sectional area which occurs at a distance XFOHS from the nose. The effect of truncating the body by removing the pointed tail is estimated by applying the factor

$$RCDFT = 1.0 - 0.0155\ ROFNMA^2 - 4.160\ ROFNMA^3 + 3.175\ ROFNMA^4 \quad (A434)$$

where ROFNMA is the area ratio $OFX(50)/OFXTH$

Using eqns A424 to A434 the drag coefficient for the afterbody at Mach = 1, CDFW7, is given by

$$CDFW7 = RCDFT (CDFW5 + ECDFT) \quad (A435)$$

and at Mach = 1.3

$$CDFW8 = RCDFT (CDFW6 + ECDFT) \quad (A436)$$

The wave drag in the canopy is calculated as the sum of contributions from front and rear sections. The drag of the front part of the canopy at Mach = 1, CDCW1, is found from eqn A424 with OFX(9) replaced by $0.5 \pi RCCANC^2$ and RLDS replaced by $0.28 \ LCCAN/RCCANC$. At Mach = 1.3 the drag CDCW2 is obtained from eqn A425 with the same parameters replaced.

The drag of the rear part of the canopy at Mach = 1, CDCW3, and at Mach = 1.3, CDCW4, is found from eqns A431 and A432 with OFXTH replaced by $0.5 \pi RCCANC^2$ and RLDFT replaced by $0.85 \ LCCAN/RCCANC$. 
The drag coefficient of the complete canopy at Mach = 1, CDCW5, is given by

\[ CDCW5 = 2.38 \times (0.43 \times CDCW1 + CDCW3) \] (A437)

and at Mach = 1.3

\[ CDCW6 = 1.1 \times (0.6 \times CDCW2 + CDCW4) \] (A438)

The constants in these equations allow for the mutual interference effects of wave drag of the front and rear parts of the canopy.

The total wave drag of the basic aircraft is obtained as the sum of the components determined above. At Mach = 1

\[
CDW1 = CDWW1 + CDTW1 + CDFIW1 + CDFW1 + CDFW3 + CDFW5 + CDCW5 \\
CDW2 = CDWW2 + CDTW2 + CDFIW2 + CDFW2 + CDFW4 + CDFW6 + CDCW6
\] (A439, A440)

The wave drag coefficient of the aircraft is assumed to remain constant for Mach numbers greater than 1.3. To define the variation of wave drag with Mach number in the range \( MD \leq MN \leq 1.3 \) some empirical factors from measurements of aircraft drag, are used. The gradient of the wave drag coefficient with Mach number, at Mach = 1, is given by

\[ GCDTW = 55.054 \times (CDW2 - CDW1) \] (A441)

In the range \( MD \leq MN \leq 1 \) a cubic variation of wave drag with Mach number is assumed

\[ CDWT = CDTK0 + CDTK1 \times MN + CDTK2 \times MN^2 + CDTK3 \times MN^3 \] (A442)

where
CDTK3 = \frac{(1 - MD) (GCDTW + 0.1) - 2 CDTW1 - 0.002}{(1 - MD)^3} 
(A443)

CDTK2 = \frac{0.5 (GCDTW - 0.1)}{(1 - MD)} - 1.5 CDTK3 (1 + MD) 
(A444)

CDTK1 = GCDTW - 2 CDTK2 - 3 CDTK3 
(A445)

CDTK0 = CDW1 - CDTK1 - CDTK2 - CDTK3 
(A446)

In the range $1 < MN \leq 1.3$ the variation of wave drag with Mach number is given by

$$CDWT = (CDW2 - CDW1) \left\{ \frac{1 - \left( \frac{1.3 - MN}{0.3} \right)^2}{1 - \left( \frac{1.3 - MN}{0.3} \right)^2} \right\} + CDW1$$

(A447)

The drag at zero lift due to the spillage of airflow around the outside of the intake cowl is estimated by methods for the separation drag at subsonic speeds, and for the wave drag at supersonic speeds. In the Mach number range $1 \leq MN \leq 1.1$ contributions to drag are assumed to arise from both sources. The ratio of the intake capture area to the area of the intake stream tube at infinity is first calculated.

$$MPA = \frac{ROIID}{RO V OII}$$

(A448)

If ROIID is greater than unity, then it is assumed that the spillage drag is zero. The spillage drag at subsonic speeds is assumed to be proportional to the pre-entry drag

$$CDS = \frac{OII RCDK CDPRE}{SW}$$

(A449)
there CDPRE is the pre-entry drag, and RCDK is a constant for a particular type of intake, typically in the range 0.2 to 0.6 depending on the sharpness of the intake lips. The pre-entry drag is given by

\[
CDPRE = 2 \text{ ROIID} \left( \frac{\text{ROIID}}{\text{RRIID}} - 1 \right) + \frac{(\text{RRIID}^{1.4} - 1)}{0.7 \text{ MN}^2}
\]

where RRIID is the ratio of the air density at the inlet of the intake to the freestream air density. This may be calculated using the following expression derived from the energy equation

\[
\text{RRIID}^{2.4} - (1 + 0.2 \text{ MN}^2) \text{ RRIID}^2 + 0.2 \text{ MN}^2 \text{ ROIID}^2 = 0
\]

Newton's method, with a starting value of RRIID = 1.5, provides a rapid method of solution that converges on the upper root which corresponds to a decelerating flow.

The spillage drag at supersonic speeds is calculated using the expression

\[
\text{CDS} = \frac{5 \text{ OII}}{3 \text{ SW}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\text{MN}^2} \right) (1 - \text{ROIID})
\]

In the Mach range \( 1 < \text{MN} < 1.1 \) a composite form of eqns A449 and A452 is used

\[
\text{CDS} = \frac{\text{OII}}{\text{SW}} \left\{ 10 (1.1 - \text{MN}) \text{ RCDK CDPRE} + \frac{5}{3} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\text{MN}^2} \right] (1 - \text{ROIID}) \right\}
\]
19. 2. LIFT-DEPENDENT DRAG COEFFICIENT

The lift-dependent contribution to the drag coefficient, CDV, is assumed to have a parabolic variation with the lift coefficient, CL. Two regions are defined by three parameters, K1, CLC and K2, and are joined by a cubic transition region. For $CL \leq CLC - 0.01$

$$CDV = \frac{K1}{\pi AW} CL^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (A454)

For $CLC - 0.01 < CL < CLC + 0.01$

$$CDV = \frac{1}{\pi AW} \left( CDVK0 + CDVK1 CL^2 + CDVK2 CL^4 + \right.$$ 

$$+ CDVK3 CL^6 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A454)

For $CL \geq CLC + 0.01$

$$CDV = \frac{1}{\pi AW} \left[ K2 CL^2 + (K1 - K2) (CLC - 0.01)^2 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A455)

To match equations (A453) and (A454) at $(CLC - 0.01)$ and $(CLC + 0.01)$ respectively, the coefficients in equation (A454) are

$$CDVK3 = \frac{(K1 - K2)}{0.32 CLC^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A456)

$$CDVK2 = \frac{(K2 - K1)}{0.32 CLC} \left[ 7 + \frac{0.0003}{CLC^2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A457)

$$CDVK1 = K1 - 2 CDVK2 (CLC - 0.01)^2 - 3 CDVK3 \hspace{1cm} (CLC - 0.01)^4$$  \hspace{1cm} (A458)
\[
CDVK0 = (CLC - 0.01)^2 \left[ K1 - CDVK1 - CDVK2 \\
(\frac{CLC - 0.01}{2}) - CDVK3 (CLC - 0.01)^4 \right]
\] (A459)

The parameter \( K1 \) is estimated by using a series of curve fits to a set of charts that correlate measurements of the lift-dependent drag coefficient over a range of Mach numbers, with the major geometric parameters. In the subsonic speed range, \( 0 < MN < 0.8 \), \( K1 \) is assumed not to vary with Mach number. The constant value is defined by

\[
K1 = RCDVK \; FK1D0
\] (A460)

where if \( FK1SK4 \geq 0.6159 \)

\[
FK1D0 = \frac{1}{\left( 0.8137 + 0.767 \; FK1SK4 - 0.7542 \; FK1SK4^2 \right)^{-1}}
\] (A461)

otherwise

\[
FK1D0 = \frac{1}{FK1SK4}
\] (A462)

In eqn A460 \( RCDVK \) is a factor to allow for the effect of advanced technology on the aerodynamic performance. The constant \( FK1SK4 \) is defined as

\[
FK1SK4 = FK1SK3 \; [FK1SK1 \; (1 - FK1SK2) + FK1SK2]
\] (A463)

where

\[
FK1SK1 = 0.85 - 0.306 \; QW4 \; (1 + 0.0535 \; QW4) + \\
(\log_{10}AW - 0.5441) \; [-0.179 - 1.38 \; QW4 + \\
2.433 \; QW4^2 - 1.722 \; QW4^3 + 0.431 \; QW4^4]
\] (A464)

\[
FK1SK2 = 0.515 - 0.1 \; \tan^{-1} \left[ 50(0.091 - RTW) \right]
\] (A465)
\[ FK1SK3 = 0.8738 + 0.795 \text{ UW} - 1.654 \text{ UW}^2 + 1.145 \text{ UW}^3 \]  

(A466)

unless \text{ UW} \geq 0.3833 when \text{ FK1SK3} = 1

In the supersonic range, \text{ MN} > 1.2, \text{ K1} is assumed to vary linearly with Mach number

\[ K1 = RCDVK \left[ FK1D1 + GK1D1 (MN - 1.2) \right] \]  

(A467)

The constants \text{ FK1D1} and \text{ GK1D1} are derived using the values of \text{ K1} at \text{ MN} = 1.4, \text{ FK1H1}, and at \text{ MN} = 2, \text{ FK1H2}, calculated as shown

\[ FK1D1 = \frac{FK1H2 - FK1H1}{3} \]  

(A468)

\[ GK1D1 = \frac{FK1H2 - FK1H1}{0.6} \]  

(A469)

\text{ FK1H1} and \text{ FK1H2} are found by substituting \text{ MN} = 1.4 and \text{ MN} = 2 respectively in the following expressions

\[ FK1H = (FK1HK1 FK1HK2 FK1HK3)^{-1} \]  

(A470)

where

\[ FK1HK1 = 0.07595 + \frac{0.05 \text{ RTQWT (3 + RTQWT)}}{1 + \left[ \frac{(\text{ MN} - 1)\frac{1}{2}}{\tan \text{ QWL}} - 1 \right]^2} \]

\[ + 1.652 \frac{(\text{ MN}^2 - 1)\frac{1}{2}}{\tan \text{ QWL}} - 1.14 \frac{(\text{ MN}^2 - 1)\frac{1}{2}}{\tan^2 \text{ QWL}} \]

\[ + 0.3492 \frac{(\text{ MN}^2 - 1)^{3/2}}{\tan^3 \text{ QWL}} - 0.03912 \frac{(\text{ MN} - 1)^2}{\tan^4 \text{ QWL}} \]  

(A471)
unless \(\frac{(MN - 1)^{1/2}}{\tan QWL} > 3.06356\) \hspace{1cm} (A472)

when

\[
FK1HK1 = 0.07595 + \frac{0.05 \, RTQWT \, (3 + RTQWT)}{1 + \left[ \frac{(MN - 1)^{1/2}}{\tan QWL} - 1 \right]^2}
\]

where

\[
RTQWT = \frac{\tan QWT}{\tan QWL}
\]

\[
FK1HK2 = 1.28 \left[ AW \, (MN^2 - 1)^{1/2} \right]^{-1.0034} - \\
\left[ AW \, (MN^2 - 1)^{1/2} \right]^{-1.921} \{ 10 \{ -1.747 \\
+ 7.708 \, UW \cos QW2 - 19.73 \,(UW \cos QW2)^2 \\
+ 18.53 \,(UW \cos QW2)^3 \} \}
\]

\(FK1HK3 = 1 - \frac{1.825 \, 0.1 \, CWMG}{LTCQM}\) \hspace{1cm} (A476)

In the transonic range, 0.8 < MN < 1.2, a cubic curve is used to calculate \(K1\)

\[
K1 = RCDVK \, (FK1K0 + FK1K1 \, MN + FK1K2 \, MN^2 + FK1K3 \, MN^3)
\]

where the four constants FK1K0 to FK1K3 are obtained by matching the value and the derivative with respect to the Mach number of the eqn A477 to the corresponding
parameters at 0.8 and 1.2 Mach number given by eqns A461, A462, A467 and A469. Thus

\[
FK1K3 = 6.25 \left[ GK1D1 - 5 \left( FK1D1 - FK1D0 \right) \right] \tag{A478}
\]

\[
FK1K2 = 1.25 \left( GK1D1 - 3 \right) FK1K3 \tag{A479}
\]

\[
FK1K1 = -1.6 \left( FK1K2 - 1.92 \right) FK1K3 \tag{A480}
\]

\[
FK1K0 = FK1D0 - 0.8 FK1K1 - 0.64 FK1K2 - 0.512 FK1K3 \tag{A481}
\]

The parameter CLC which determines the transition point between the CL regimes, equation (A454), is a function both of the technology used in the design of the high-lift system, and of the geometric variables. It is therefore not estimated within the design synthesis but supplied, in tabular form versus Mach number, as data applicable to a certain class of high-lift, variable-camber system on a wing. Similarly the parameter K2, which determines the lift-dependent drag in the upper CL regime, equation (A455), is supplied as an increment, DK2, on K1, in the form of a table versus Mach number. Thus

\[
K2 = K1 + DK2 \tag{A482}
\]

For both CLC and DK2 parameters an interpolation procedure is used to determine values at intermediate values of Mach numbers to those in the tables.
20. SUSTAINED TURN RATE ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE SSTR)

At first the maximum lift coefficient, CL4, and incidence, ALM, that may be sustained by the aircraft at the given engine power setting is determined with an identical procedure to that followed in subroutine SORTIE (first iteration of SORTIE). Then the corresponding maximum normal load factor, GNS, is given by

\[
GNS = \frac{(Q\ SW\ CL4 + TPG\ sin\ ALM)}{WT}
\]  
(A483)

where TPG is the gross thrust and WT is the aircraft weight

\[
WT = (MTT - MTTPP) G
\]  
(A484)

where MTT is total aircraft take-off mass and MTTPP is the mass of the fuel consumed in the sortie legs previous to the one where manoeuvres take place.

The maximum normal load factor may be limited to that tolerable by the pilot or the structure.

Having estimated GNS the sustained turn rate, STR, is

\[
STR = \frac{180\ G}{\pi\ V\ (GNS^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]  
(A485)

21. ATTAINED TURN RATE ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE SATR)

The maximum normal load factor that may be produced by the aerodynamic and propulsive forces, GNA, is given by

\[
GNA = \frac{Q\ SW\ CLMAX + TPG\ sin\ \frac{CLMAX}{GCLA}}{WT}
\]  
(A486)
As with sustained turn rate the maximum normal load factor may be limited to that tolerable by the pilot or the structure.

The attained turn rate, $ATR$, follows

$$ATR = \frac{180\ G}{\pi \ V} \left(GNA^2 - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A487)$$

22. SPECIFIC EXCESS POWER ESTIMATION (SUBROUTINE SSEP)

Specific excess power, $SEP$, is calculated for a 1 g flight and is given by

$$SEP = \frac{V}{WT} \left[ \text{TPG} - V \ MPA - Q \ SW \ CDTOT \right] \quad (A488)$$

23. ESTIMATION OF THE MAXIMUM MACH NUMBER IN LEVEL FLIGHT (SUBROUTINE SMML)

The maximum Mach number that can be achieved in 1 g flight at a specified constant altitude is estimated by an iterative process based on the variation of the nett propulsive force with the aircraft speed. The nett propulsive force, $F1$, is given by

$$F1 = \text{TPG} \cos AL - Q1 \ SW \ CDTOT - V1 \ MPA \quad (A489)$$

where $V1$ is an initial estimate for speed ($Q1$ is derived from $V1$) and

$$CL \quad \frac{CL}{GCLA} \quad (A490)$$

$$\frac{WT}{Q1 \ SW} = \frac{GCLA}{GCLA} \quad (A491)$$
The equation for $F_1$ is solved with a Newton-Rapson technique to give $V_2$.

If $|V_2 - V_1| < 1$ or $F_1 < 0.0001$ TPGD (TPGD is max SL static thrust) the iteration is complete.

Otherwise

\[ V_1 = V_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A492) 

and the iteration is resumed.

If a convergence is not reached after 20 iterations increased relaxation is used in the form of

\[ V_2 = V_1 + [1 + \text{maximum of (0, 0.0015 $V_2$ ) }] (V_2 - V_1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A493) 

24. ACCELERATION THROUGH A MACH NUMBER INCREMENT (SUBROUTINE SACA)

The time needed to accelerate, at constant altitude and power setting, through a Mach number increment is calculated by assuming that, over a small interval of Mach number, aircraft acceleration varies linearly with Mach number. After careful consideration it was established that the interval of Mach number = 0.1 provides good results and therefore is used for the acceleration calculations.

The acceleration of the aircraft is given by

\[ F_1 = \frac{G}{W} (\text{TPG} \cos AL - Q_1 \text{ SW CDTOT} - V_1 \text{ MPA}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A494) 

where $V_1$ corresponds to the initial value of Mach number MNACA and $Q_1$ to $V_1$ and
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{WT} \\
\frac{\text{Q1 SW}}{\text{GCLA}}
\end{align*}
\] (A495)

Following the specified increment in Mach number, 0.1 is added to the initial Mach number MN1 to give a new Mach number MN2.

\[
\text{MN2} = \text{MN1} + 0.1
\] (A496)

The speed, \(V_2\), corresponding to MN2 is used to define the new acceleration \(F_2\)

\[
\frac{G}{\text{WT}} = \frac{\text{TPG \cos AL} - \text{Q2 SW CDTOT} - V_2 \text{ MPA}}{\text{MN2 MN1}}
\] (A497)

If \(F_2 \leq 0\) then the aircraft cannot accelerate. Otherwise the time to accelerate through the specified Mach number increment, SDT, is given by

\[
\text{SDT} = \text{SSPD} \left( \frac{\text{MN2} - \text{MN1}}{F_2 - F_1} \right) \log \left( \frac{F_2}{F_1} \right)
\] (A498)

where SSPD is the speed of sound.

If the acceleration in the Mach number increment (0.1) is constant \((F_2 = F_1)\), then

\[
\text{SDT} = \text{SSPD} \frac{\text{MN2} - \text{MN1}}{F_1}
\] (A499)

The above time is for an acceleration through a unit Mach number increment of 0.1. The total Mach number increment time is found by adding unit Mach number increment times.

25. RIDE QUALITY FACTOR (SUBROUTINE RIDE)
The ride quality found in an aircraft is a function of the sensitivity of the aircraft to gusts, that is the rate of change in the normal acceleration of the aircraft with respect to gusts. A ride quality factor, RQF, is given as

\[
RQF = \frac{R \cdot V \cdot GCLA \cdot SW}{MTT} \quad (A500)
\]

26. TAKE-OFF PERFORMANCE (SUBROUTINE TAKEOFF)

The take-off distance, TOD, is made of the ground roll distance to reach unstick speed and the subsequent airborne distance to clear a 15 metre high obstacle.

The ground roll distance, TOG, is given by

\[
TOG = \frac{1.156 \cdot FMTSW}{RTPGW \cdot TRATE \cdot CLT} \quad (A501)
\]

where FMTSW is the wing loading,

\[
FMTSW = \frac{MTT}{SW} \quad (A502)
\]

RTPGW is the thrust to weight ratio,

\[
RTPGW = \frac{TPGC}{MTT \cdot G} \quad (A503)
\]

TRATE is the fraction of the gross thrust available and CLT is the maximum lift coefficient available

\[
( = FCLT \cdot CLMAX) \quad (A504)
\]

FCLT being the fraction of the maximum lift coefficient.

The airborne distance travelled to clear an obstacle 15 m high, TOA, is given by

\[
TOA = \frac{9.79 \cdot FMTSW^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(RTPGW \cdot TRATE) \cdot CLT} \quad (A505)
\]
and thus the take-off distance, TOD

\[
\text{TOD} = \text{TOG} + \text{TOA}
\]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A506)}

27. FUNCTION BLEND

The purpose of this function is, in the case where a function is defined in terms of the greater or lesser value of two subsidiary functions, to blend the two subsidiary functions at the point where they meet. A continuous first and second derivative is thus achieved, necessary in the optimization procedure.

Funcion BLEND is fully described in Ref. 43.
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29. LIST OF VARIABLES

KEY : EV  External Variable ; design constant.
--- IV  Independent Variable ; set by optimization code -
given initial value.
-- Dependent Variable ; a function of external and
independent variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEFN</td>
<td>Net fin aspect ratio.</td>
<td>EV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AETN</td>
<td>Net tailplane aspect ratio.</td>
<td>EV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>Speed of sound at a given altitude.</td>
<td>EV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| AII    | Aspect ratio of an intake diffuser at the
intake plane. | EV   |
| AL     | Aircraft incidence. | EV   |
| ALF    | Limit in the numerical integration. | EV   |
| ALH    | Maximum sustained aircraft incidence at maximum
thrust. | EV   |
| ALM    | Maximum sustained aircraft incidence for an
engine throttle setting. | EV   |
| AMMX   | Maximum design Mach number for the structure. | EV   |
| ATE    | Total aircraft pitching moment about nose
with empty fuel tanks, no external stores, no
ammunition. | EV   |
| ATR    | Attained turn rate. | EV   |
| ATRO   | Attained turn rate - output data form. | EV   |
| ATROS  | Attained turn rate constraint. | EV   |
| ATT    | Total aircraft pitching moment about nose
with full fuel tanks, no external stores, no
ammunition. | EV   |
| AW     | Gross wing aspect ratio. | IV   |
| AWA    | Aspect ratio of each aileron. | EV   |
| AWB    | Aspect ratio of gross wing-box. | EV   |
| AN    | Net wing aspect ratio. | EV   |
| AWR    | Reference wing aspect ratio for wing-box mass
calculation. | EV   |
<p>| AWS    | Aspect ratio of each spoiler. | EV   |
| AWSG   | Gross aspect ratio of wing spoilers. | EV   |
| B2     | General Semi-span. | EV   |
| BCH    | Width of cockpit. | EV   |
| BEFN   | Net fin span. | EV   |
| BET    | Limit in the numerical integration. | EV   |
| BETN   | Net tailplane span. | EV   |
| BFA    | Fuselage width at stn A. | EV   |
| BFACNR | Fuselage corner width at stn A. | EV   |
| BFBN   | Fuselage width at stn B. | EV   |
| BFBS   | Minimum fuselage width at stn B. | EV   |
| BFC    | Fuselage width at stn C. | EV   |
| BFCDH  | The greatest of BFC and BFD. | EV   |
| BFCS   | Minimum fuselage width at stn C. | EV   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BFD</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFE</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFES</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage width at stn E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFF</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFG</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFH</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFI</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFJ</td>
<td>Fuselage width at stn J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIDD</td>
<td>Width of an intake diffuser at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BII</td>
<td>Width of an intake diffuser at the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGAK</td>
<td>Gun ammunition bay width clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGABI</td>
<td>Gun ammunition bay width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGM</td>
<td>Gun mechanism width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGMBI</td>
<td>Gun mechanism bay width clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS</td>
<td>Wing bending moment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD</td>
<td>Side tank width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSWB1</td>
<td>Width of the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSWB1K</td>
<td>Width clearance factor for the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUMW</td>
<td>Main undercarriage wheel and tyre width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUMWK</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for main undercarriage wheel and tyre width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUNW</td>
<td>Nose undercarriage wheel and tyre width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVI</td>
<td>Width of the b.l.diverter stream-tube at the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>Gross wing span.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWA</td>
<td>Gross span of the ailerons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWBB</td>
<td>Span of the center section of the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWF</td>
<td>Gross span of trailing edge flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWN</td>
<td>Net wing span.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWNF</td>
<td>Span of fuel tank in wing-box external to the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWS</td>
<td>Gross span of all spoilers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Chord in the evaluation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD08</td>
<td>Total aircraft basic zero lift drag coefficient for M=0.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0C</td>
<td>Zero lift drag coefficient of the canopy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0EF</td>
<td>Zero lift drag coefficient of the fin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0ET</td>
<td>Zero lift drag coefficient of the tail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0F</td>
<td>Zero lift drag coefficient of the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0FG</td>
<td>Zero lift drag coefficient of the gross fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0T</td>
<td>Total zero-lift drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0V08</td>
<td>Basic aircraft zero lift drag coefficient, up to M=0.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0V</td>
<td>Basic aircraft zero lift drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0W</td>
<td>Zero lift drag coefficient of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDB</td>
<td>Subsonic drag coefficient of the fuselage afterbody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCW1</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the front part of the canopy for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCW2</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the front part of the canopy for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCW3</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the rear part of the canopy for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCW4</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the rear part of the canopy for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCW5</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the complete canopy for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCW6</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the complete canopy for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFIW1</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the fin for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFIW2</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the fin for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW1</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the fuselage forebody for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW2</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the fuselage forebody for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW3</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the fuselage section from the intake plane to the maximum cross-sectional area of the fuselage for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW4</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the fuselage section from the intake plane to the maximum cross-sectional area of the fuselage for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW5</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the equivalent afterbody for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW6</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the equivalent afterbody for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW7</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the afterbody for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFW8</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the afterbody for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDGC</td>
<td>Drag coefficient of the gun port.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDIV</td>
<td>Subsonic drag coefficient of the b.l.diverter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDPRE</td>
<td>Intake pre-entry drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>Intake spillage drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDST</td>
<td>Total intake spillage drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTK0</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for interpolation of wave drag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTK1</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for interpolation of wave drag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTK2</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for interpolation of wave drag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTK3</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for interpolation of wave drag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTOT</td>
<td>Total aircraft drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTW1</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the tail for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTW2</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the tail for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDUT</td>
<td>Drag coefficient of the extended u/c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDV</td>
<td>Lift dependent drag coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDVK0</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic transition region of lift dependent drag vs CL function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDVK1</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic transition region of lift dependent drag vs CL function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDVK2</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic transition region of lift dependent drag vs CL function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDVK3</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic transition region of lift dependent drag vs CL function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW1</td>
<td>Total aircraft wave drag coefficient for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW2</td>
<td>Total aircraft wave drag coefficient for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDWT</td>
<td>Total aircraft wave drag coefficient for a given Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDWW1</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the wing for M=1.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDWW2</td>
<td>Wave drag coefficient of the wing for M=1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEFB</td>
<td>Fin root chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEFM</td>
<td>Mean chord of the fin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETM</td>
<td>Mean chord of the tail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Skin friction coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGV1</td>
<td>Term in the calculation of fuselage CG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGV2</td>
<td>Term in the calculation of fuselage CG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGV3</td>
<td>Term in the calculation of fuselage CG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Lift coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL1</td>
<td>Initial estimate of maximum sustained lift coefficient used in sortie performance calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL2</td>
<td>Actual maximum sustained lift coefficient in sortie performance calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL3</td>
<td>Initial estimate of maximum sustained lift coefficient for a selected throttle setting, used in STR calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL4</td>
<td>Actual maximum sustained lift coefficient for a selected throttle setting, used in STR calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>Critical lift coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLDES</td>
<td>Manoeuvring design lift coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLMAX</td>
<td>Maximum lift coefficient (trimmed) at a given Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLT</td>
<td>Maximum lift coefficient available at take-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Tip chord in the evaluation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>Aileron mean chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWBB</td>
<td>Wing-box chord at the body side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWBT</td>
<td>Wing-box tip chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWCA2</td>
<td>Wing chord at mid-span of each aileron.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWCB</td>
<td>Net wing root chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWCC</td>
<td>Centerline chord of the gross wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWCCCT</td>
<td>Wing chord at outboard end of T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWCT</td>
<td>Wing-tip chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWFM</td>
<td>Mean chord of T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWMA</td>
<td>Wing mean aerodynamic chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWMG</td>
<td>Wing mean geometric chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWMN</td>
<td>Mean chord of the net wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWS</td>
<td>Spoiler chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWY</td>
<td>Chord of wing at spanwise position Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Maximum depth of wing at the body side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>Maximum depth of the wing at the tip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCLF</td>
<td>Lift coefficient increment due to T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCLFH</td>
<td>Increment in the maximum lift coefficient due to T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE1</td>
<td>Lesser of DE and D1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>Depth of the wing-box at the body side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DF</td>
<td>Flap thickness function used in the estimation of flap mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGA</td>
<td>Gun ammunition round diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGB</td>
<td>Gun barrel diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGBK</td>
<td>Gun barrel width (diameter) clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DII</td>
<td>Equivalent diameter of the intake diffuser inlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK2</td>
<td>Increment on K1 to define lift dependent drag parameter K2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Mach number increment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMRM</td>
<td>Diameter of the medium range missile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP1</td>
<td>Engine compressor inlet diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP1R</td>
<td>Compressor inlet diameter of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP2</td>
<td>Turbine section exit diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP2R</td>
<td>Turbine section exit diameter of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP3</td>
<td>RLS section diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP3R</td>
<td>RLS section diameter of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP4</td>
<td>RLS section exit diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP4R</td>
<td>RLS section exit diameter of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP5</td>
<td>Afterburner exit diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP5R</td>
<td>Afterburner exit diameter of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP6</td>
<td>Nozzle exit diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP6R</td>
<td>Nozzle exit diameter of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRDE</td>
<td>RLS duct external diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRDI</td>
<td>RLS internal diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSRM</td>
<td>Diameter of the short range missile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Acceleration time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTE</td>
<td>Average depth of the rear spar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUMW</td>
<td>Main undercarriage wheel and tyre diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUMWK</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for main undercarriage wheel and tyre diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUNW</td>
<td>Nose undercarriage wheel and tyre diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUNWK</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for nose undercarriage wheel and tyre diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXB</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXC</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXD</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXE</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXF</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXG</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXI</td>
<td>Factor in the definition of subdivisions between stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXF</td>
<td>Axial distance between two successive fuselage stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP1</td>
<td>Engine bay width clearance at the compressor inlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP1H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EBP1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP1S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EBP1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP2</td>
<td>Engine bay width clearance at the turbine section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP2H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EBP2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP2S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EBP2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP3</td>
<td>Engine bay width clearance at the RLS section centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP3H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EBP3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP3S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EBP3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP4</td>
<td>Engine bay width clearance at the RLS section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP4H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EBP4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP4S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EBP4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP5</td>
<td>Engine bay width clearance at the afterburner section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP5H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EBP5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP5S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EBP5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP6</td>
<td>Engine bay width clearance at the nozzle exit plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP6H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EBP6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP6S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EBP6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECCDIV</td>
<td>Intake b.i.diverter drag increment due to compressibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDFT</td>
<td>Afterbody wave drag increment due to interference effects of the front fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDAR</td>
<td>Radar scanner clearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFW</td>
<td>Term used for the moment arm estimation of fuselage structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFX</td>
<td>Term used for the moment arm estimation of fuselage skin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHCS</td>
<td>Perpendicular distance between the seat-back and rear cockpit bulkhead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP1</td>
<td>Engine bay height clearance at the compressor inlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP1H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EHP1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP1S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EHP1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP2</td>
<td>Engine bay height clearance at the turbine section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP2H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EHP2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP2S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EHP2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP3</td>
<td>Engine bay height clearance at the RLS section centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP3H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EHP3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP3S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EHP3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP4</td>
<td>Engine bay height clearance at the RLS section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP4H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EHP4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP4S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EHP4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP5</td>
<td>Engine bay height clearance at the afterburner exit plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP5H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EHP5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP5S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EHP5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP6</td>
<td>Engine bay height clearance at the nozzle exit plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP6H</td>
<td>Maximum value of clearance EHP6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHP6S</td>
<td>Minimum value of clearance EHP6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHSWBI</td>
<td>Missile ejector mechanism height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELFT</td>
<td>Rear fuselage length increment to form a complete afterbody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELUP</td>
<td>Distance between main u/c pintle and rear of u/c bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMFG</td>
<td>Mass of miscellaneous items (e.g. gear for naval aircraft).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQWF</td>
<td>T.E. flap deflection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQWFH</td>
<td>Maximum T.E. flap deflection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQWFL</td>
<td>T.E. flap deflection in landing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQWFT</td>
<td>T.E. flap deflection at take-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR</td>
<td>Specified error for equality constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP</td>
<td>Axial distance between fuselage stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Function to be integrated in the numerical integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>First estimate of the net propulsive force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Second estimate of the net propulsive force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBP1K</td>
<td>Constant factor for engine bay width clearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP1.K</td>
<td>Constant factor for engine bay width clearance, EBP2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP2.K</td>
<td>Constant factor for engine bay width clearance, EBP3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP4.K</td>
<td>Constant factor for engine bay width clearance, EBP5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBP1.K</td>
<td>Factor in linear variation of b.l. diverter width.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBWA</td>
<td>Total fractional span of all ailerons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBWCF</td>
<td>Fractional span of the wing-box containing fuel tanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBWF</td>
<td>Fractional gross span of the T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBWCF</td>
<td>Fractional span of the net wing-box containing fuel tanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCWD</td>
<td>Front spar position as a fraction of the local wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCWLFT</td>
<td>Chord of fixed L.E. forward of front spar as a fraction of local wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCWLHT</td>
<td>Distance of the T.E. of the L.E. high lift device, forward of the front spar as a fraction of the local wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCWR</td>
<td>Rear spar position as a fraction of the local wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPWFT</td>
<td>Distance of the T.E. of the fixed section of the wing T.E. aft of the rear spar as fraction of the local wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCWTHL</td>
<td>Distance of the L.E. of the T.E. flaps aft of the rear spar as a fraction of the local wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDUMW</td>
<td>Factor to allow for main wheel diameter clearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDUMWK</td>
<td>Factor in correlation for main undercarriage wheel diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDUNW</td>
<td>Factor to allow for nose wheel diameter clearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDUNWK</td>
<td>Factor in correlation for nose undercarriage wheel diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHP2.K</td>
<td>Constant factor for engine bay height clearance, EHP2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHP5.K</td>
<td>Constant factor for engine bay height clearance, EHP5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIKN</td>
<td>Tail interference factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIWBW</td>
<td>Body interference factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK</td>
<td>Bending moment factor in mass estimation of the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1D0</td>
<td>Subsonic datum value for K1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1D1</td>
<td>Supersonic datum value for K1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1HK1</td>
<td>First factor in the expression for FK1H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1HK2</td>
<td>Second factor in the expression for FK1H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1HK3</td>
<td>Third factor in the expression for FK1H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1H</td>
<td>Value of K1 at supersonic speeds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1H1</td>
<td>Value of K1 for M=1.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1H2</td>
<td>Value of K1 for M=2.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1K0</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for the estimation of K1 for Mach numbers between 0.8 and 1.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1K1</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for the estimation of K1 for Mach numbers between 0.8 and 1.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1K2</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for the estimation of K1 for Mach numbers between 0.8 and 1.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1K3</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for the estimation of K1 for Mach numbers between 0.8 and 1.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1SK1</td>
<td>First factor for the estimation of FK1SK4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1SK2</td>
<td>Second factor for the estimation of FK1SK4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1SK3</td>
<td>Third factor for the estimation of FK1SK4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK1SK4</td>
<td>Factor for the estimation of FK1DO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIDVK</td>
<td>Factor on diffuser inlet diameter to define minimum diffuser length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLII</td>
<td>Distance of intake diffuser inlet from cockpit front bulkhead (station A).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP1K</td>
<td>Exponent in correlation for length of engine gas generator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP2K</td>
<td>Exponent in correlation for length of engine reheat fuelling section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP3K</td>
<td>Exponent in correlation for length of engine nozzle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLVK</td>
<td>Constant relating boundary layer diverter length to intake height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMD1</td>
<td>First constant factor for the estimation of MD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMD2</td>
<td>Second constant factor for the estimation of MD, which allows for improvements in aerodynamic technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMEF</td>
<td>Fin materials mass factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMET</td>
<td>Tail materials mass factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMP1</td>
<td>Materials mass factor for fuselage miscellania.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMP2</td>
<td>Materials mass factor for fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMGA</td>
<td>Installation factor for the internal gun ammunition bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMGB</td>
<td>Installation factor for the internal gun barrel bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMGM</td>
<td>Installation factor for the internal gun mechanism bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMPBK</td>
<td>Factor in estimation of the mass of engine gas generator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMPIK</td>
<td>Factor in estimation of the engine installation mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMPRK</td>
<td>Exponent in estimation of mass of the engine reheat system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMSA</td>
<td>Mass fraction of air systems parts other than ducts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMSAK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of the air systems mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMSC</td>
<td>State-of-the-art mass factor for the flying controls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMSCK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of the flying control mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMSEK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of the electrics mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMSFK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of fuel system mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMTLF</td>
<td>Factor providing the percentage of the total fuel required at landing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMTSW</td>
<td>Wing mass-loading at take-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMUHK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of the undercarriage hydraulics mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMUMK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of the main undercarriage mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMUNK</td>
<td>Constant factor for the prediction of the nose undercarriage mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMWB</td>
<td>Materials mass factor for the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMWBH</td>
<td>Factor which corrects the MWB estimates for thin wings of moderate to high aspect ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMWBI</td>
<td>Mass installation factor for the internal weapon bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMWL</td>
<td>Materials mass factor for the wing L.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMWT</td>
<td>Materials mass factor for the wing T.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFB1K</td>
<td>Area factor (above floor) for fuselage section B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFB2K</td>
<td>Area factor (below floor) for fuselage section B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFCK</td>
<td>Area factor for fuselage section C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFDK</td>
<td>Area factor for fuselage section D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFEK</td>
<td>Area factor for fuselage section E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFFK</td>
<td>Area factor for fuselage section F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFGK</td>
<td>Area factor for fuselage section G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOFKH</td>
<td>Area factor for fuselage section H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOT6N</td>
<td>Increment in cross-sectional area at the nozzle exit above datum value (OII+OVI).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPS</td>
<td>Engine throttle setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPSED</td>
<td>Engine throttle setting in data input mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FQWF</td>
<td>Factor for the estimation of the lift increment due to T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FQWT1</td>
<td>Factor for, for aerofoil family, relating the t.e. angle to section thickness to chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREEF</td>
<td>Factor for the Reynolds number effects on aerofoil section lift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUM</td>
<td>Partial sum in the numerical integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUM2</td>
<td>Partial sum in the numerical integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUM3</td>
<td>Partial sum in the numerical integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVSTTO</td>
<td>Factor defining the take-off speed in terms of the stall speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVUMLK</td>
<td>Constant for the leg related volume of main undercarriage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWLD</td>
<td>Non-elliptical wing loading factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FX</td>
<td>Axial distance from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Gravitational acceleration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCDTW</td>
<td>Gradient of the wave drag coefficient with mach number at M=1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLA</td>
<td>Aircraft lift-curve slope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLK0</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic interpolation of GCLA vs M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLK1</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic interpolation of GCLA vs M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLK2</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic interpolation of GCLA vs M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLK3</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic interpolation of GCLA vs M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLK4</td>
<td>Term in expression for the estimation of GCLA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLW</td>
<td>Lift-curve slope of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCLWD</td>
<td>Lift-curve slope of the aerofoil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDW</td>
<td>Downwash factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GK1D1</td>
<td>Gradient of supersonic zero-lift wave drag with M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>Load factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNA</td>
<td>Maximum attained load factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNH</td>
<td>Maximum sustained load factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNS</td>
<td>Sustained load factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNSH</td>
<td>Maximum permissible value of the sustained load factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF1</td>
<td>Gradient of cross-sectional area of fuselage at stn R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTCPH</td>
<td>Maximum human G-tolerance limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC1</td>
<td>Distance between thigh point and eye point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC2</td>
<td>Distance between thigh point and heel point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC3</td>
<td>Distance between thigh point and NSRP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC4</td>
<td>Vertical gap between eye-point and canopy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC5</td>
<td>Height of the fwd cockpit bulkhead above the cockpit floor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC6</td>
<td>Height of the raised heel-line above the cockpit floor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCEYE</td>
<td>Height of the eye-point above the cockpit floor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCSEAT</td>
<td>Height of the NSRP above the cockpit floor measured along the seat-back line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA1</td>
<td>Cockpit underfloor height at stn A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFACNR</td>
<td>Corner height of fuselage section A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFB</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFB1</td>
<td>Cockpit underfloor height at stn B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFCDH</td>
<td>The greatest of HFC and HFD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDF</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFDS</td>
<td>Minimum height of fuselage at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFE</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFF</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFG</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFH</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFJ</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFI</td>
<td>Height of fuselage at stn I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGAK</td>
<td>Gun ammunition bay height clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGABI</td>
<td>Gun ammunition bay height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGM</td>
<td>Gun mechanism height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMBI</td>
<td>Gun mechanism bay height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIDD</td>
<td>Height of an intake diffuser at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIDX</td>
<td>Height of an intake diffuser at any stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HII</td>
<td>Height of an intake diffuser at the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP2</td>
<td>Engine total height at gas generator exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP3</td>
<td>Engine total height at the RLS section centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP4</td>
<td>Engine total height at RLS section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRD</td>
<td>Side tank height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSWBI</td>
<td>Height of the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSWBIK</td>
<td>Height clearance factor for the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>Flight altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTACA</td>
<td>Altitude for the acceleration time at constant altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTACAI</td>
<td>Altitude for the acceleration time at constant altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTATR</td>
<td>Attained turn rate altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTATRI</td>
<td>Attained turn rate altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTEN</td>
<td>Altitude in engine input form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTG</td>
<td>Geopotential height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTMMML</td>
<td>Maximum Mach number altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTMMILI</td>
<td>Maximum Mach number altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTR</td>
<td>Equivalent surface roughness height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTRIDE</td>
<td>Ride quality factor altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTRIDI</td>
<td>Ride quality factor altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSEP</td>
<td>Specific excess performance altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSEPI</td>
<td>Specific excess performance altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSTR</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSTRI</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVI</td>
<td>Height of the b.l. diverter at the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWBB</td>
<td>Height of the center-section of the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFUN</td>
<td>Total sum - integration - in the numerical integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>Lift dependent drag parameter for CL lower than CLC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>Lift dependent drag parameter for CL higher than CLC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNUMI</td>
<td>Intermediate variable related to NNUMI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY1</td>
<td>Intermediate variable in the evaluation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAR</td>
<td>Length of the radar avionics bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAX1</td>
<td>Length of the extra avionics bay ,aft of the radar bay in section R-A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAX2</td>
<td>Length of the avionics bay ,aft of the cockpit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCCAN</td>
<td>Total length of canopy and windscreen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCEYE</td>
<td>Horizontal distance between fwd cockpit bulkhead and eye-point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCFL</td>
<td>Length of the cockpit floor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCFOOT</td>
<td>Horizontal distance between fwd cockpit bulkhead and heel-point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFCQM</td>
<td>Fin moment arm measured between the mean quarter chord points of the wing and fin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTCQM</td>
<td>Tail moment arm measured between the mean quarter chord points of the wing and tail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGA</td>
<td>Gun ammunition length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGABI</td>
<td>Gun ammunition bay length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGAK</td>
<td>Gun ammunition bay length clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGB</td>
<td>Gun barrel length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBBI</td>
<td>Gun barrel bay length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBK</td>
<td>Gun barrel bay length clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGM</td>
<td>Gun mechanism length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGMBI</td>
<td>Gun mechanism bay length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGMK</td>
<td>Gun mechanism bay length clearance factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDS</td>
<td>Intake diffuser minimum length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIDG</td>
<td>Length of the intake diffuser.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMRM</td>
<td>Length of the medium range missile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP12</td>
<td>Length of the gas generator of engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP12R</td>
<td>Length of the gas generator of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP23</td>
<td>Length of the first half of the RLS section of engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP23R</td>
<td>Length of the first half of the RLS section of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP34</td>
<td>Length of the second half of the RLS section of engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP34R</td>
<td>Length of the second half of the RLS section of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP45</td>
<td>Length of the reheat section of engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP45R</td>
<td>Length of the reheat section of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP56</td>
<td>Engine nozzle length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP56R</td>
<td>Nozzle length of datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>Total engine length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRD</td>
<td>Side tank length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRDG</td>
<td>RLS duct length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSRM</td>
<td>Length of the short range missile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSWBI</td>
<td>Length of the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSWBIK</td>
<td>Length clearance factor for the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LULG</td>
<td>Sum of lengths of all undercarriage length elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LULG1K</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for undercarriage length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LULG1K</td>
<td>Constant exponent in correlation for undercarriage length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMB</td>
<td>Length of a main undercarriage bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMN</td>
<td>Length of each undercarriage leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUNB</td>
<td>Length of the nose undercarriage bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUNS</td>
<td>Length of the nose undercarriage leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVG</td>
<td>Length of the boundary layer diverter exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWBEF</td>
<td>Moment arm of the center of volume of the wing fuel tanks relative to L.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of wing-box at wing root.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWFK</td>
<td>Length of flap tracks as a fraction of the wing chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWTTLS</td>
<td>Minimum gap between Wing T.E. and tailplane 1.E. at body side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>Mass of radar avionics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASS</td>
<td>Mass of the aircraft at the start of a sortie stage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>Mass of general avionics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>Mass of canopy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>Total mass of cockpit equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>Mass of crew.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCR</td>
<td>Critical Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCSEAT</td>
<td>Mass of ejection seat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCTF</td>
<td>Central tank mass (total).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESTF</td>
<td>Side tank mass (total-each).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCW</td>
<td>Mass of the windscreen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Drag rise Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Drag rise Mach number at zero lift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDI</td>
<td>Mass of the hover control system ducting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF</td>
<td>Total mass of fin structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF1K</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for fin mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF2K</td>
<td>Second constant in correlation for fin mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF3K</td>
<td>Third constant in correlation for fin mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF4K</td>
<td>Fourth constant in correlation for fin mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFAB</td>
<td>Mass of the airbrake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFG</td>
<td>Total mass of fuselage structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFX</td>
<td>Mass of fuselage shell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFXP</td>
<td>Mass of aircraft paint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFW</td>
<td>Mass of internal fuselage structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGA</td>
<td>Mass of one round of gun ammunition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGABI</td>
<td>Mass of the internal gun ammunition bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGB</td>
<td>Mass of gun barrel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGABI</td>
<td>Mass of the internal gun barrel bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGM</td>
<td>Mass of gun mechanism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGABI</td>
<td>Mass of the internal gun mechanism bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHDG</td>
<td>Mass per unit length for the hover control ducting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLRD</td>
<td>Total RLS duct mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRLD</td>
<td>Total RLS mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRLD1</td>
<td>RLS first duct mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRLD2</td>
<td>RLS second duct mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRLD3</td>
<td>RLS duct nozzle mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRM</td>
<td>Mass of the medium range missile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN1</td>
<td>First Mach number value in calculation of acceleration time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN2</td>
<td>Second Mach number value in calculation of acceleration time at constant altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNACA</td>
<td>Mach number for the acceleration time at constant altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNACAI</td>
<td>Mach number for the acceleration time at constant altitude - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNAR</td>
<td>Switch for the activation of the area-rule (subroutine flatcross). For area-rule MNAR ≥ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNATR</td>
<td>Attained turn rate Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNATRI</td>
<td>Attained turn rate Mach number - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNCR</td>
<td>Critical Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNEN</td>
<td>Mach number in engine input form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNMAX</td>
<td>Maximum Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNMAXO</td>
<td>Maximum Mach number - output data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNMXOU</td>
<td>Maximum Mach number constraint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNRIDE</td>
<td>Ride quality factor Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNRIDI</td>
<td>Ride quality factor Mach number - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNSEP</td>
<td>Specific excess performance Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNSEPI</td>
<td>Specific excess performance Mach number - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNSTR</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate Mach number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNSTRI</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate Mach number - input data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNUMI</td>
<td>Intermediate variable related to NNUMI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODE</td>
<td>Engine performance analysis mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>Air mass flow for the engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAED</td>
<td>Air mass flow in data input mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAC</td>
<td>Air mass flow at maximum gross thrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAD</td>
<td>Air mass flow rate at sea-level static cond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAD1</td>
<td>Value of MPAD for the datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPB</td>
<td>Engine gas generator mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPBR</td>
<td>Engine gas generator reference mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow rate of the engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFB1</td>
<td>Initial estimate for the mass of fuel required in a sortie leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFB2</td>
<td>Improved estimate for the mass of fuel required in a sortie leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFB2T</td>
<td>Total fuel mass consumed during a sortie, up to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFC</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow at maximum gross thrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFD</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow at maximum gross thrust at sea level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFD1</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow at sea-level static conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFED</td>
<td>Fuel mass flow in data input mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFS1</td>
<td>Minimum fuel mass flow rate at sea-level static conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPG</td>
<td>Total engine mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>Engine installation mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPL</td>
<td>Engine RLS section mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLR</td>
<td>Engine RLS section reference mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPN</td>
<td>Engine nozzle mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPNR</td>
<td>Engine nozzle reference mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPNT</td>
<td>Aircraft paint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPR</td>
<td>Engine afterburner mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPRR</td>
<td>Reference engine afterburner mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPT</td>
<td>Thrust reverser mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPRTR</td>
<td>Thrust reverser reference mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA</td>
<td>Mass of air services (airconditioning and avionics cooling systems).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSAK</td>
<td>Constant related to MSA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC</td>
<td>Mass of flying controls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCK</td>
<td>Constant related to the flying controls mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>Electrical system (generation &amp; distribution) mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSEK</td>
<td>Constant related to MSE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSF</td>
<td>Fuel system mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG</td>
<td>Total mass of all aircraft systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSRM</td>
<td>Mass of the short range missile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSWBI</td>
<td>Total mass of the internal weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTCR</td>
<td>Stressing mass for the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTE</td>
<td>Empty mass of aircraft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTES</td>
<td>Factor used in optimization to vary the initial value of the objective function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTGFI</td>
<td>Total internal fuel mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTLF</td>
<td>Fuel mass required for landing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTLR</td>
<td>Reference landing mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTOUF</td>
<td>Mass of oil and unused fuel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTP</td>
<td>Total aircraft payload (fuel excluded).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTPR</td>
<td>Reference load for the definition of MTCR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTR</td>
<td>Mass of fixed role equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTV</td>
<td>Total aircraft take-off mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTF</td>
<td>Fuel mass required for take-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTVPP</td>
<td>Fuel mass used in the point performance calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTTR</td>
<td>Take-off reference mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU</td>
<td>Atmospheric air viscosity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUH</td>
<td>Mass of undercarriage associated hydraulics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUHK</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for mass of undercarriage hydraulics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUM</td>
<td>Main undercarriage mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUMG</td>
<td>Total mass of main undercarriage including hydraulics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUMK</td>
<td>Constant in correlation for mass of main undercarriage structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUN</td>
<td>Structure mass of nose undercarriage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| MUNG         | Total mass of nose undercarriage including
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUNK</th>
<th>Constant in correlation for mass of nose undercarriage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUSL</td>
<td>Sea-level atmospheric air viscosity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWA</td>
<td>Total mass of ailerons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWB</td>
<td>Total mass of the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWBCF</td>
<td>Mass of fuel stored in the central wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWBEF</td>
<td>Mass of fuel stored in the wingbox outside the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWC</td>
<td>Total mass of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCX</td>
<td>Mass of miscellaneous attachments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWF</td>
<td>Total mass of the T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWFK</td>
<td>Mass of flap tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWL</td>
<td>Total mass of the wing L.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWS</td>
<td>Total spoiler mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWT</td>
<td>Total mass of the fixed section of the T.E. of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWXF</td>
<td>Mass of miscellaneous fairings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWXP</td>
<td>Mass of painting on wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXP</td>
<td>Mass of external pylons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXT</td>
<td>Structural mass of external tanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTF</td>
<td>Total mass of externally carried fuel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Iteration limit variable in sortie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Iteration limit variable in sortie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACA</td>
<td>Number of constant acceleration constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATR</td>
<td>Number of attained turn rate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED</td>
<td>Number of engine performance input data - rows of the input data table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE1</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE2</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED1</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED2</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED3</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED8</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED14</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED15</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED16</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED17</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED18</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED19</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED20</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED25</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED26</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED27</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED29</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED31</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED32</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEN</td>
<td>Number of engines in integral form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NENG</td>
<td>Number of engines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGA</td>
<td>Number of gun ammunition rounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGAK</td>
<td>Nearest integer to the square root of NGA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL1</td>
<td>Iteration limit variable in maximum Mach number subroutine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLEG</td>
<td>Number of sortie stages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMMML</td>
<td>Number of maximum Mach number constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMMR</td>
<td>Number of medium range missiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNN1</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNN2</td>
<td>Row number used in the reading of the engine performance data in table form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNUMI</td>
<td>Number of segments in the numerical integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPERF</td>
<td>Number of point performance constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPER</td>
<td>Number of sortie performance stages fuel mass that are included in the mass estimation used in the sustained turn rate calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRIDE</td>
<td>Number of quality ride factor constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSEP</td>
<td>Number of specific excess performance constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSRM</td>
<td>Number of short range missiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSTR</td>
<td>Number of sustained turn rate constraints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity of atmospheric air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFK</td>
<td>Number of flap tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWP</td>
<td>Number of wing pylons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWS</td>
<td>Number of spoilers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NXFOH</td>
<td>Station number of maximum fuselage cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NXFOHS</td>
<td>Station number of maximum fuselage nett cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NXFOTH</td>
<td>Station number of maximum afterbody fuselage cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF1</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFAUF</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area underfloor at stn A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFAUF1</td>
<td>Minimum cross-sectional area underfloor at stn A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFB</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFBS</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFBUF</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area underfloor at stn B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFC</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFCS</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFD</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFDS</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFE</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFES</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF1</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional reference area at stn F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFS</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFG1</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional reference area at stn G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFG</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFGS</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFH</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFHS</td>
<td>Minimum fuselage cross-sectional area at stn H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFJ</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFI</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFX</td>
<td>Fuselage cross-sectional area at stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFXH</td>
<td>Maximum cross-sectional area of the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFXHS</td>
<td>Maximum net cross-sectional area of the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFXTH</td>
<td>Maximum cross-sectional area of the afterbody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGABI</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the internal gun ammunition bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGBBI</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the internal gun barrelbay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGMBI</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the internal gun mechanism bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIDD</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of an intake diffuser at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIDX</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of an intake diffuser at any stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIE</td>
<td>Total exit area of the intake diffusers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OII</td>
<td>Total inlet area of the intake diffusers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIX</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the intake at stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP1B</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the engine at the compressor inlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP2B</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the engine at the turbine section exit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP3B</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the engine at the middle of the RLS section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP4B</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the engine at the exit of the RLS section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP5B</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the engine at the exit of the afterburner section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPJ</td>
<td>Jet exit area at general Mach number and altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPJED</td>
<td>Jet exit area in data input mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPJD</td>
<td>Jet exit area at sea-level static conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPJD1</td>
<td>Jet exit area at sea-level static conditions for the datum engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPN</td>
<td>Total nozzle exit area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPX</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the engine at stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORDE</td>
<td>RLS duct cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORDI</td>
<td>RLS duct internal diameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWBI</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the weapons bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT6N</td>
<td>Increment at cross-sectional area at nozzle, from fairing curve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTA1K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of aft fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTA2K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of aft fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTA3K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of aft fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTA4K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of aft fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF1K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of front fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF2K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of front fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF3K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of front fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF4K</td>
<td>Coefficient in cubic for cross-sectional area of front fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF4K1</td>
<td>First coefficient for determining OTF4K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF4K2</td>
<td>Second coefficient for determining OTF4K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTF4K3</td>
<td>Third coefficient for determining OTF4K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>Maximum cross-sectional area of the fairing curve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTXN</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the fairing curve at any stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUMLB</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of each main undercarriage leg bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUMWB</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of each main undercarriage wheel bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUNLB</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of nose undercarriage leg bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUNWB</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of nose undercarriage wheel bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVI</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the boundary layer diverter at the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWBBB</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the centre section of the wing box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OX</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of flying surfaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXW</td>
<td>Semi-lateral cross-sectional area of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXW2</td>
<td>Lateral cross-sectional area of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXEF</td>
<td>Lateral cross-sectional area of the fin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXET</td>
<td>Semi-lateral cross-sectional area of the tailplane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXET2</td>
<td>Lateral cross-sectional area of the tailplane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWBB</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area of the centre section of the wing box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Atmospheric air pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFX</td>
<td>Fuselage perimeter at stn X.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Dynamic pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Dynamic pressure corresponding to speed V1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Dynamic pressure corresponding to speed V2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCCAN</td>
<td>Canopy centerline inclination above the horizontal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCEYE</td>
<td>Pilot's forward and downward view angle measured from the eye-point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCFoot</td>
<td>Angle between the line joining the thigh-heel points and the horizontal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCSEAT</td>
<td>Seat-back angle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCWSC</td>
<td>Windscreen inclination to the horizontal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEF2</td>
<td>Fin mid-chord sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEF4</td>
<td>Fin quarter chord sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEFL</td>
<td>Fin L.E. sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEFT</td>
<td>Fin T.E. sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QET2</td>
<td>Tail mid-chord sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QET4</td>
<td>Tail quarter chord sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QETL</td>
<td>Tail L.E. sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QETT</td>
<td>Tail T.E. sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QFA</td>
<td>Half of angle subtended by cockpit floor at centre of section A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL</td>
<td>L.E. sweep in the evaluation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT</td>
<td>T.E. sweep in the evaluation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QW2</td>
<td>Mid-chord sweep of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QW4</td>
<td>Quarter chord sweep of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QWA</td>
<td>Aileron L.E. sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QWB</td>
<td>Wing-box centerline sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QWL</td>
<td>Wing leading edge sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QWT</td>
<td>Wing trailing edge sweep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Engine throttle setting or gross thrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R21</td>
<td>Function used in engine performance estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R22</td>
<td>Function used in engine performance estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R41</td>
<td>Function used in engine performance estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R42</td>
<td>Function used in engine performance estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCCANB</td>
<td>Canopy radius at stn B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCCANC</td>
<td>Canopy radius at stn C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCCAND</td>
<td>Canopy radius at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCCANE</td>
<td>Canopy radius at stn E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCCANF</td>
<td>Canopy radius at stn F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCCANG</td>
<td>Canopy radius at stn G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDC</td>
<td>Form factor used in the estimation of the zero lift drag coefficient of the canopy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDEF</td>
<td>Form factor used in the estimation of the zero lift drag coefficient of the fin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDET</td>
<td>Form factor used in the estimation of the zero lift drag coefficient of the tail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDF</td>
<td>Form factor used in the estimation of the zero lift drag coefficient of the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDFT</td>
<td>Factor for truncation of pointed afterbody in wave drag calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDK</td>
<td>Sharpness parameter for intake lips in spillage drag estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDVK</td>
<td>Factor on K1 to allow for the effects of advanced technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCDW</td>
<td>Form factor used in the estimation of the zero lift drag coefficient of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCEFD</td>
<td>Weighting for fin mean chord for spanwise variation of Reynolds number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCETD</td>
<td>Weighting for tail mean chord for spanwise variation of Reynolds number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCSW</td>
<td>Foreplane volume coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCWCD</td>
<td>Weighting for wing mean chord for spanwise variation of Re.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>Relative density of atmospheric air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDFL</td>
<td>Equivalent fineness ratio for the fuselage used in RCDF calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Tail interference factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFFC</td>
<td>Fin volume ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETSW</td>
<td>Tail volume ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFCNRA</td>
<td>Fixed proportion of the corner radii at stn A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFUL</td>
<td>Fuel density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>Atmospheric air density at HTH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDD</td>
<td>Corner radius of an intake diffuser at stn D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDX</td>
<td>Corner radius of an intake diffuser at any station.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| RLDFO| Fineness ratio of the fuselage section from the intake plane to the stn at which OFXH
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLDFS</td>
<td>Fineness ratio of the fuselage section from the nose to the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLDFT</td>
<td>Fineness ratio of the equivalent afterbody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLFD</td>
<td>Weighting for fuselage length to account for reynolds number variation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLTAFN</td>
<td>Ratio of the axial distance from the end of the center-section to the point at which the decrease in cross-sectional area is half the maximum increment to the length of the aft fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLTCN</td>
<td>After CG position as fraction of mean aerodynamic chord, forward of mean quarter chord point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLTCFN</td>
<td>Ratio of the length of the center-section to length of the fuselage after of the forward fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLTCN</td>
<td>Forward CG position as fraction of mean aerodynamic chord, forward of mean quarter chord point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLTFFN</td>
<td>Ratio of the axial distance from the end of the radome to the point at which the increase in cross-sectional area is half of the maximum increment to the length of the forward fairing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLTMFN</td>
<td>Ratio of the length of the forward fairing to the overall fuselage length minus the length of the radome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLUNM</td>
<td>Ratio of nose to main undercarriage leg lengths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLPCW</td>
<td>Undercarriage CG position after of mean quarter chord point as a fraction of the mean aerodynamic chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWBC2</td>
<td>CG of central wing-box fuel tank from wing root LE / box chord.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLWCC</td>
<td>Wing CG position aft of mean quarter chord point as fraction MAC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMLRD</td>
<td>RLS duct mass per unit length factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMPA1H</td>
<td>Non-dimensional maximum air mass flow rate for the engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMPF1</td>
<td>Non-dimensional fuel mass flow at combat dry thrust rating, at altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMPF2</td>
<td>Non-dimensional fuel mass flow at combat reheat thrust rating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMPF1H</td>
<td>Non-dimensional fuel mass flow at combat dry thrust rating, at 11000 m altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMTLFI</td>
<td>Mass proportion of internal fuel remaining in tanks on landing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RND1</td>
<td>Reynold's number per unit metre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNI</td>
<td>Reynold's number at the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Atmospheric air density at a given altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFBNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFCNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFDNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFENS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFFNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFGNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFHNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFJNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFINNS</td>
<td>Scaling factor for section I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFNMA</td>
<td>Area ratio, OFK/OFXTH, used in estimation of afterbody drag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROIIEI</td>
<td>Fixed area ratio for the intake diffusers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROIID</td>
<td>Ratio of the intake capture area to the area of intake stream tube at infinity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROPJ1</td>
<td>Non-dimensional jet exit area at the combat dry thrust rating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROPJ2</td>
<td>Non-dimensional jet exit area at the combat reheat thrust rating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSL</td>
<td>Atmospheric air density at sea-level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>Relative pressure of the atmospheric air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPFX1K</td>
<td>Constant in expression of fuselage perimeter shape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPFX2K</td>
<td>Constant in expression of fuselage perimeter shape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPFX3K</td>
<td>Constant in expression of fuselage perimeter shape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPFX4K</td>
<td>Constant in expression of fuselage perimeter shape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQF</td>
<td>Aircraft ride quality factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQFO</td>
<td>Aircraft ride quality factor - output data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQFOH</td>
<td>Aircraft ride quality factor constraint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRIID</td>
<td>Ratio of the air density at the inlet to the freestream density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRT</td>
<td>Square root of RT (relative temperature).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTTP</td>
<td>Square-root of RRT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTTPG</td>
<td>Ratio of gross thrusts of the engine (=RTPG/RTPG1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>Air systems density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSC</td>
<td>Flying control system density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSE</td>
<td>Electrics density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSF</td>
<td>Fuel system density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Relative temperature of atmospheric air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT1</td>
<td>Time required to fly a sortie leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTEF</td>
<td>Thickness to chord ratio of the fin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTEFD</td>
<td>Function of the fin thickness to chord ratio used in wave drag estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTET</td>
<td>Thickness to chord ratio of the tail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTETD</td>
<td>Function of the tail thickness to chord ratio used in wave drag estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTK</td>
<td>Relaxation constant in iteration for sortie fuel estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Engine scale factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPG</td>
<td>Non-dimensional gross thrust of engine at general M and HT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPG1</td>
<td>Non-dimensional combat dry thrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPG1H</td>
<td>Maximum value of RTPG1 at any altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPG2</td>
<td>Non-dimensional combat reheat thrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPGW</td>
<td>Aircraft thrust to weight ratio at take-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTQWT</td>
<td>Ratio, TAN(QWT)/TAN(QWL) used in estimation of K1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTW</td>
<td>Thickness to chord ratio of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTWD</td>
<td>Function of the wing thickness to chord ratio used in wave drag estimation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RXFCQM</td>
<td>Distance of the fin mean quarter chord point from the nose in terms of the aircraft length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RXTCQM</td>
<td>Distance of the tailplane mean quarter chord point from the nose in terms of the aircraft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RXWCQM</td>
<td>Distance of wing mean quarter chord point from the nose in terms of the aircraft length XFN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDT</td>
<td>Total time to accelerate over a Mach number increment at constant altitude.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDTO</td>
<td>Total time to accelerate over a Mach number increment at constant altitude - output data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDTOH</td>
<td>Total time to accelerate over a Mach number increment at constant altitude constraint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEFN</td>
<td>Nett fin area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SETN</td>
<td>Nett area of the tailplane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>Specific excess power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPO</td>
<td>Specific excess power - output data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPO</td>
<td>Specific excess power constraint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFAB</td>
<td>Total planform area of airbrakes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEG</td>
<td>Length of a sortie leg in km.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPD</td>
<td>Speed of sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRO</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate - output data form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STROS</td>
<td>Sustained turn rate constraint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Gross wing area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA</td>
<td>Planform area of the ailerons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWB</td>
<td>Planform area of the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWBF</td>
<td>Total planform area of the wing-box containing fuel tanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWF</td>
<td>Planform area of the T.E. flaps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWL</td>
<td>Total planform area of the wing L.E. (fixed &amp; movable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWLF</td>
<td>Planform area of the fixed section of the L.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWLH</td>
<td>Planform area of the L.E. device (retracted).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWN</td>
<td>Net wing area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWT</td>
<td>Planform area of the fixed section of the wing t.E. aft of the rear spar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWTG</td>
<td>Gross area of the wing T.E. aft of rear spar (including T.E. devices).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Ambient temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>General wing thickness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM1B</td>
<td>First term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM1F</td>
<td>First term for the estimation of the T.E. flaps mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM1L</td>
<td>First term for the estimation of the wing L.E. mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM1T</td>
<td>First term for the mass estimation of the fixed T.E. section of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM2B</td>
<td>Second term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM2F</td>
<td>Second term for the estimation of the T.E. flaps mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM2L</td>
<td>Second term for the estimation of the wing L.E. mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM2T</td>
<td>Second term for the mass estimation of the fixed T.E. section of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM3B</td>
<td>Third term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM3L</td>
<td>Third term for the estimation of the wing L.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM3T</td>
<td>Third term for the mass estimation of the fixed T.E. section of the wing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM4B</td>
<td>Fourth term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM4L</td>
<td>Fourth term for the estimation of the wing I.E. mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM5B</td>
<td>Fifth term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM6B</td>
<td>Sixth term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM7B</td>
<td>Seventh term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM8B</td>
<td>Eighth term for the estimation of the wing-box mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>Temperature above 15 degrees C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THETL</td>
<td>Lower angle limit in the calculation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THETU</td>
<td>Upper angle limit in the calculation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOA</td>
<td>Airborne take-off distance to clear a 15 m screen height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOD</td>
<td>Total take-off distance (Ground + airborne).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOG</td>
<td>Take-off ground roll distance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPDED</td>
<td>Engine static thrust in data input mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPG</td>
<td>Engine gross thrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPG1</td>
<td>Guess value of the gross thrust required along a sortie leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPG2</td>
<td>Actual value of the gross thrust required along a sortie leg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPGC</td>
<td>Maximum gross thrust of engine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPGEC</td>
<td>Engine gross thrust in data input mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPGD</td>
<td>Maximum sea-level static thrust of the engine with reheat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPGD1</td>
<td>Datum value of TPGD (Dry).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPGD2</td>
<td>Datum value of TPGD (Reheat).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRATE</td>
<td>Fraction of TPGC available for take-off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSL</td>
<td>Ambient temperature at sea-level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTF</td>
<td>Required take-off time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Taper ratio in the evaluation of the flying surfaces lateral cross-sectional area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEFN</td>
<td>Nett fin taper ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UETN</td>
<td>Nett tailplane taper ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULN</td>
<td>Ultimate load factor for structural design (1.5 x proof factor).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UOFAUF</td>
<td>Cross-sectional area utilization factor of underflor section A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW</td>
<td>Gross wing taper ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWB</td>
<td>Taper ratio of the wing-box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWBCF</td>
<td>Volume utilization factor of the center-section of the wing-box for fuel storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWBEF</td>
<td>Exposed wing-box volume utilization factor for fuel storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWCN</td>
<td>Net wing taper ratio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWCNF</td>
<td>Taper ratio of the wing-box fuel tank external to the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V Aircraft speed.
V1 First estimate of maximum speed.
V2 Second estimate of maximum speed.
V3 Maximum speed.
VAR Radar avionics volume.
VCKPT Cockpit volume.
VCTF Central tank volume.
VD Maximum design diving speed.
VESTF Side tank volume (each).
VPGF Central plus two side tanks volume.
VFR Radome volume (total).
VF Fuselage volume from aircraft nose up to stn X.
VFXT Volume of the entire fuselage of the aircraft.
VIDG Total volume of one intake diffuser.
VGABI Volume of the internal gun ammunition bay.
VGBBI Volume of the internal gun barrel bay.
VGMBI Volume of the internal gun mechanism bay.
VMMML Maximum Mach number initial speed.
VMMMLI Maximum Mach number initial speed - input data form.
VP12B Volume of engine gas generator.
VP24B Volume of engine RLS section.
VP45B Volume of engine afterburner.
VP56B Volume of engine nozzle.
VPB Total engine volume.
VRDE RLS duct external volume.
VRSI RLS duct internal volume.
VSA Air systems volume.
VSC Flying controls volume.
VSE Electrics volume.
VSE Fuel system volume.
VSTTO Aircraft stall speed in the take-off configuration.
VSWBI Volume of the internal weapons bay.
VUMLB Leg related volume of each main undercarriage.
VUMLBK Constant term inestimation of leg related volume of each main undercarriage.
VUMWB Wheel related volume of each main undercarriage.
VUNLB Leg related volume of the nose undercarriage.
VUNWB Wheel related volume of the nose undercarriage.
WBB Volume of the center-section of the wing-box.
VWBCF Fuel volume in center-section of the wing-box.
VWBEF Fuel volume in wing-box external to the fuselage.
WCCAN Surface area of the canopy.
WCSC Surface area of the windscreen.
WFG1 Fuselage surface area from aircraft nose up to engine intake.
WFG2 Fuselage surface area from aircraft nose up to engine intake.
WFR Total surface area of radome.
WFX Fuselage surface area from aircraft nose up to stn X.
WIRD RLS duct wall thickness.
WRDG RLS duct surface area.
WT Aircraft weight as used in performance estimation methods.
X Axial distance from the aircraft nose.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XA</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn A from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XB</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn B from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XC</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn C from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XD</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn D from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XE</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn E from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEF</td>
<td>Intermediate variable in the fuselage cross-sectional area evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XETCG</td>
<td>Moment arm of the fin in CG calculation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEFLB</td>
<td>Distance of the L.E. of the fin root from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEGH</td>
<td>Intermediate variable in the fuselage cross-sectional area evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XET</td>
<td>Axial distance from the L.E. of the tail root.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XETCG</td>
<td>Moment arm of the tailplane in CG calculation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XETLB</td>
<td>Distance of the L.E. of the tail root from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XF1</td>
<td>Axial distance from the L.E. of the fin root.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XF</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn F from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFCCG</td>
<td>Moment arm of the central tank in CG calculation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFCG</td>
<td>Moment arm of the fuselage structure and skin in CG calculation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFCQM</td>
<td>Distance of the fin mean quarter chord point from the nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFN</td>
<td>Distance of the nozzle exit from the aircraft nose (length of the aircraft).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFOH</td>
<td>Axial distance of the stn at which OFXH occurs from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFOHS</td>
<td>Axial distance of the stn at which OFXHS occurs from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFOTH</td>
<td>Axial distance of the stn at which OFXTH occurs from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFR</td>
<td>Axial distance of the rear end of the radome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFSCG</td>
<td>Moment arm of the side tank in CG calculation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFXM</td>
<td>Centre of the surface area of the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFWM</td>
<td>Centre of the volume of the fuselage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XG</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn G from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XH</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn H from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn I from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>Axial distance of the air intake plane from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XJ</td>
<td>Distance of fuselage stn J from the aircraft nose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIND</td>
<td>Axial distance from the intake plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRSG1</td>
<td>Term in the moment arm estimation of the RLS duct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRSG2</td>
<td>Term in the moment arm estimation of the RLS duct.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV**
XRSG2  | Term in the moment arm estimation of the RLS duct.
XSACG  | Longitudinal moment arm of the air systems.
XSCCG  | Longitudinal moment arm of the flying controls.
XSECQ  | Longitudinal moment arm of the electrics.
XSFCG  | Longitudinal moment arm of the fuel system.
XTTCG  | Distance of the tailplane mean quarter chord point from the nose.
XTECG  | Distance of the aircraft CG from the nose with empty fuel tanks.
XTTCG  | Distance of the aircraft CG from the nose with full fuel tanks.
XUMCG  | Longitudinal moment arm of the main undercarriage.
XUNCQ  | Longitudinal moment arm of the nose undercarriage.
XV     | Engine throttle setting, or, gross thrust.
XVE     | Axial distance of the b. l. diverter exit from the aircraft nose.
XVACA  | Throttle setting for the acceleration time at constant altitude.
XVACAI | Throttle setting for the acceleration time at constant altitude input data form.
XVATR  | Attained turn rate throttle setting.
XVATRI | Attained turn rate throttle setting - input data form.
XVMML  | Throttle setting for the maximum Mach number.
XVMMLI | Throttle setting for the maximum Mach number - input data form.
XVRIDE | Throttle setting for the ride quality factor.
XVRIDI | Throttle setting for the ride quality factor - input data form.
XW     | Axial distance from the L. E. of the wing root.
XWBCCG | Longitudinal moment arm of the fuel in the central wing-box.
XWBECCG| Longitudinal moment arm of the fuel in the wing-box external to the fuselage.
XWCG   | Longitudinal moment arm of the wing.
XWCQM  | Distance of wing mean quarter chord point from the nose.
XWLBP  | Distance of the L. E. of the wing root from the aircraft nose.
YPG    | Spanwise position of the centre of pressure of the gross wing.
YCPX   | Spanwise position of the centre of pressure of the nett wing.
YL     | Lower limit in the calculation of the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces for the area rule.
YU     | Upper limit in the calculation of the lateral cross-sectional area of the flying surfaces for the area rule.
APPENDIX B

ASTOVL COMBAT AIRCRAFT DESIGN SYNTHESIS
AND OPTIMIZATION EXAMPLE
SUBROUTINE USERF

SUBROUTINE USERF(x, func, iocode, nprob, icallf, nfe)

integer iocode(76)
real x(50), func(76)

C Declaration of the dimensions of all array variables.
........
........

C Declaration of all integer variables
........
........

C Declaration of all real variables
........
........

C Declaration of all common blocks.
........
........

C Assignment of Independent Variable values.

SW=X(1)
AW=X(2)
UW=X(3)
QW4=X(4)
RTW=X(5)
FCWR=X(6)
FCWD=X(7)
RXWCQM=X(8)
RXTCQM=X(9)
RXFCQM=X(10)
RTP=X(11)
XFN=X(12)
RLTMFN=X(13)
RLTFFN=X(14)
RLTCFN=X(15)
RLTAFN=X(16)
FOT6N=X(17)
BRD=X(18)

C Execution of the Design Synthesis subroutine.

CALL VERTI8(icallf, nfe)

FIG.B1 SUBROUTINE USERF - EXAMPLE
C Objective Function.

\[ \text{FUNC}(1) = (\text{MTT} - \text{MTP} - \text{MTGFI} - \text{MTOUF} - \text{MTES}) \]

C Equality Constraints.

\[ \text{FUNC}(2) = \text{MTGFI} - \text{MPFB2T} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(3) = (0.669 \times \text{TPGD}) - ((11.28 \times \text{MTT}) - (9.03 \times \text{MTGFI})) \]

C Inequality Constraints

\[ \text{FUNC}(4) = \text{XWCQM} - (\text{RLTCA} \times \text{CWMA}) - \text{XTTCG} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(5) = \text{XTECG} - (\text{XWCQM} - (\text{RLTCL} \times \text{CWMA})) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(6) = \text{XFN} - \text{XA} - \text{LUNS} - (\text{DRDE}/2.0) - \text{LSWBI} - (0.15 \times \text{LIDG}) - \text{LPG} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(7) = \text{LIDG} - \text{LIDS} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(8) = \text{BFB} - \text{BUNW} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(9) = \text{OFE} - \text{OP1B} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(10) = \text{OFJ} - \text{OP5B} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(11) = \text{STRO}(1) - \text{STROS}(1) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(12) = \text{STRO}(2) - \text{STROS}(2) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(13) = \text{STRO}(3) - \text{STROS}(3) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(14) = \text{ATRO}(1) - \text{ATROS}(1) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(15) = \text{SEPO}(1) - \text{SEPOS}(1) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(16) = \text{SEPO}(2) - \text{SEPOS}(2) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(17) = \text{MNMAXO}(1) - \text{MNMXOU}(1) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(18) = \text{MNMAXO}(2) - \text{MNMXOU}(2) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(19) = \text{SDTOH}(1) - \text{SDTO}(1) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(20) = \text{RQFOH}(1) - \text{RQFO}(1) \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(21) = \text{TODH} - \text{TOD} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(22) = \text{VFXT} - \text{VFXTS} \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(23) = 3.2 - (\text{AW} \times ((\text{TAND(\text{QW4}))}^{0.8})) \]

\[ \text{DO 13 } \text{I}=2,23 \]
\[ \text{FUNC}(\text{I}) = -\text{FUNC}(\text{I}) \]
\[ 13 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

RETURN
END

FIG. B1 SUBROUTINE USERF - EXAMPLE (CONCLUDED)
INPUT DATA FILES

VERTI.DAT

C MNAR, BFC
  0.6  1.8
C PI, ERR
  3.14159  0.001
C DAR, EDAR, GOFL, LAR, LAX1
  0.6  0.06  0.4  0.6  1.2
C HC1, HC2, HC3, HC4, HCSEAT, LCFOOT, QCLOYE, QCSEAT, EHCS
  0.745  0.694  0.33  0.254  0.222  0.127  17.0  25.0  0.3
C MTT, MXT, MXTF, MGA1, MB1, MB2, MXP, MTPR, RMTLIF, MTGFI
  18000.0  0.0  0.0  266.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  670.0  0.2  5500.0
C MUMK, MUNK, MUK, FMUNK, FMUK, FMUKH, LULG1K, LULG2K
  -0.42  6.0  4.0  0.0064  0.0468  0.0068  756.0  0.5293
C RLUMW, FDUMWK, FBUMWK, FDUMWK, DUMWK, BUMWK, DUNWK
  0.74  0.0000329  0.0000108  0.0000196  0.419  0.107  0.318
C FDUMW, FBUMW, FDUNW, BUMW, FUMLWK, VUMLWK
  1.1  1.5  1.5  0.152  0.0000045  0.31
  1  2.275  0.617  0.526  1.473  0.8  0.5  0.5
  1.15  1.2  1.2  0.862  0.862  0.921
C FMPBK, MPBR, MPLR, MPFR, FMPLR, MFMPR, FMPIK
  0.8257  1316.5  404.5  296.6  250.0  0.7965  0.0  0.125
C TPGDI1, MPFD1, MPAD1, MPFD1, MFPIK
  182572.16  9.427107  157.7646  0.581037  0.0
C FHP1K, FBPIK, FHP2K, FBPI2K, FHP3K, FBPI3K, FBP4K, FBPI4K
  0.6  0.6  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.4  0.4
C FHP5K, FBP5K, FHP6K, FBPI6K, EHP1S, EHP1S, EBP1S, EBP1S
  0.33  0.33  0.0  0.0  0.7  0.8  0.7  0.8
C EHP2S, EHP2S, EBP2S, EBP2S, EHP3S, EBP3S, EBP3S, EBP3S
  0.4  0.6  0.4  0.6  0.4  0.6  0.4  0.6
C EHP3S, EHP3I, EHP3I, EBP3I, EBP3I, EBP3I, EBP3I, EBP3I
  0.4  0.6  0.4  0.6  0.3  0.3  0.3  0.3
  0.4  0.6  0.4  0.6  0.3  0.3  0.3  0.3
C EHP5S, EHP5I, EBP5S, EBP5I, EBP5I, EBP5I, EBP5I, EBP5I
  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0
C FLII, ROIEI, AII, FLIIK, FBVIK, FLVIK
  0.65  1.4  2.0  6.0  0.014  2.0
C LMRM, LSRM, DMRM, DSRM, NMRM, NSRM, MMRM, MSRM
  3.65  1.55  0.178  0.075  4.2  150.0  64.0
C LSWBIK, HSWBIK, EHSWBK
  0.18  0.035  0.25
C LGM, LGMK, BGM, BGMK, HGM, HGMK, FMGM, MGM, NGA, LGA, LGAK
  0.8  0.008  0.25  0.003  0.25  0.003  1.6  50.0  150.0  0.250.003
C DGA, BGAK, HGAK, FMGA, FMGB, MGA, MGB, DGAK
  0.025  0.003  0.003  1.1  1.6  0.5  56.0  0.003

FIG.B2  FILE VERTI.DAT - EXAMPLE
C WIRD, RMLRD
 0.05 7.0
C BFE, FCWLF, FCWLH, FBWF, FCWTFT
 1.6 0.05 0.05 0.75 0.15
C FCWTHL, UWBCEF, UWBEF, FBWNF, FBWCF, QWT
 0.15 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.0 -10.0
C FBWS, NWS, AWS, FBWA, AWA
 0.4 2 8.0 0.2 8.0
C RETSW, AETN, UETN, UEFN, REFFC, AEFN
 0.3 3.5 0.3 0.3 2.0 3.5
C QETL, QETT, QEFL, QEFT, RTET, RTEF
 45.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 0.06 0.06
C LIWLS, LWTTLS
 0.5 0.5
C RAHB, RFCNRA, UOFAUF
 1.0162 0.48 0.8
C BCH, RCCANB, FOFB1K, FOFB2K, GHC
 1.1 0.1 0.95 0.9 0.577
C RCCANC, FOFCK1, FOFCK2
 0.4 0.85 0.85
C FOFDK
 0.85
C FOFEK
 0.85
C FOFFK, HRD
 0.8 0.9
C FOFGK
 0.8
C FOFHK
 0.85
C RPFX1K, RPFX2K, RPFX3K, RPFX4K
 1.1 1.2 1.05 0.0
C RFUL
 800.0
C FMF2, WCWSC, WCCAN, VD, ULTN, AMMX, QCWSC
 1.0 1.3 1.8 400.0 12.0 2.0 30.0
C FMWB, FMWL, FMWT, EQWFB, NWFK, LWFK, FMET
 1.0 1.0 1.0 50.0 4 0.2 1.0
C MET1K, MET2K, MET3K, MEF
 0.004356 1.549 0.3433 1.0
C MEF1K, MEF2K, MEF3K, MEF4K
 0.11156 1.3 0.7812 0.2422
C MSCK, FMSCK, MSEC, FMSEC, MSAK, FMSAK
 22.2 0.0293 29.9 0.0267 -15.9 0.011
C FREEF, FQWT1, FWLD, XT, RDP
 0.91 1.85 0.0 5.0 0.95
C MCP, MCI, MAR, MAX1, MAX2, MTOUF
 90.0 180.0 150.0 100.0 200.0 50.0
C RLUPC, LGB, LGBK
 0.1 1.8 0.1

FIG.B2  FILE VERTI.DAT - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
FIG B2  FILE VERTI.DAT - EXAMPLE (CONCLUDED)
**AERO.DAT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>MACH</th>
<th>CLC</th>
<th>DK2</th>
<th>CLMAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERF.DAT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>RANGE - ALT -MACH-N LOAD-JET MASS-FLAP ANG-THRUST EST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG.B3** AERO.DAT AND PERF.DAT FILES - EXAMPLES
### CITENG.DAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>MACH</th>
<th>POWER S</th>
<th>NET THR</th>
<th>GROSS THR</th>
<th>FUEL FL</th>
<th>NOZZLE AR</th>
<th>AIR FL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.41752</td>
<td>19.41752</td>
<td>0.497603</td>
<td>0.401575</td>
<td>62.5085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>36.77176</td>
<td>36.77176</td>
<td>0.819205</td>
<td>0.401566</td>
<td>86.4536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>62.46464</td>
<td>62.46464</td>
<td>1.333446</td>
<td>0.401569</td>
<td>113.1786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>182.33684</td>
<td>182.57216</td>
<td>9.427107</td>
<td>0.581037</td>
<td>157.7646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17.82067</td>
<td>22.47583</td>
<td>0.528597</td>
<td>0.401548</td>
<td>68.2668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>34.94477</td>
<td>41.26301</td>
<td>0.874747</td>
<td>0.401552</td>
<td>92.8025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.17810</td>
<td>13.17810</td>
<td>0.330015</td>
<td>0.401575</td>
<td>44.3300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>25.18564</td>
<td>25.18564</td>
<td>0.542657</td>
<td>0.401591</td>
<td>61.6372</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POINTP.DAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C STR(3)ALTITUDE</th>
<th>MACH</th>
<th>POWER SETTING</th>
<th>CONSTRAINTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.0 9000.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>0.9 0.9 1.4 50.0</td>
<td>100.0 100.0 10.0 2.0 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C ATR(3)ALTITUDE</td>
<td>MACH</td>
<td>POWER SETTING</td>
<td>CONSTRAINTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000.0 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 0.0 0.0 10.4 0.0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C SEP(3)ALTITUDE</td>
<td>MACH</td>
<td>POWER SETTING</td>
<td>CONSTRAINTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0 9000.0 0.0</td>
<td>0.9 1.4 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 100.0 0.0 300.0 150.0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C MNMAX(3)ALTITUDE</td>
<td>IN SPEED</td>
<td>POWER SETTING</td>
<td>CONSTRAINTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0 11000.0 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 200.0 300.0 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 100.0 0.0 1.1 1.6 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C SDT(3)ALTITUDE</td>
<td>MACH</td>
<td>POWER SETTING</td>
<td>CONSTRAINTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000.0 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 0.8 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 0.0 0.0 60.0 0.0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C RQF(3)ALTITUDE</td>
<td>MACH</td>
<td>POWER SETTING</td>
<td>CONSTRAINTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000.0 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 0.8 0.0</td>
<td>100.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG.B4** CITENG.DAT AND POINTP.DAT FILES - EXAMPLES
**RQPMIN.DAT**

**VARIABLES**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>33.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>60.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>30.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FUNCTIONS**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>100000.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG.B5 FILE RQPMIN.DAT - EXAMPLE**
09 -1  00  100.0
10 -1  00  1.0
11 -1  00  10.0
12 -1  00  10.0
13 -1  00  10.0
14 -1  00  0.1
15 -1  00  100.0
16 -1  00  100.0
17 -1  00  1.0
18 -1  00  1.0
19 -1  00  100.0
20 -1  00  10.0
21 -1  00  100.0
22 -1  00  100.0
23 -1  00  1.0

CONTROLS
XTOLU = 0.001
XTOLV = 0.001
OFROM = 1
OFREQ = 10
RFREQ = 10
NFEMAX = 16000
RUN
END
OUTPUT FILES

VERTI.RES

STARTING POINT

ASTOVL COMBAT AIRCRAT
-------------------

TAKE-OFF MASS kg  20561.70 FUEL FRACTION 0.2548
WING LOADING kg/m2  514.0 THRUST/WT 1.4482

FUSELAGE LENGTH m  20.000 ENGINE SCALE 1.60

GEOMETRY m
---------

WING
----

SPAN  12.649 ROOT C  4.434 TIP C  1.460 W AREA m2  40.000
AR  4.000 TR 0.300 C/4 SWEEP deg 33.00 T/C 0.0500
MAC  3.468
NET SPAN  11.049 NET W AREA m2  32.561
MAX W BOX DEPTH  0.222

FIG.B6  FILE VERTI.RES STARTING - EXAMPLE
FUSELAGE
--------
LENGTH 20.000 OTM 2.5299 OTF3K -.0597 OTF4K 0.0029
OTA3K -.0090 OTA4K -.0011

STATION    XA    XB    XC    XD    XE
BREADTH    1.175  2.241  2.218  1.577  1.501
HEIGHT     1.194  1.345  1.686  1.318  1.906
NETT X-SECT A 1.069  1.362  1.812  2.477  2.406
STATION    XF    XG    XH    XJ    XI
BREADTH    1.787  1.955  1.894  1.390  1.165
HEIGHT     1.533  1.678  1.626  1.390  1.165
NETT X-SECT A 1.954  1.753  1.552  0.816  0.300

VOLUME m3 VFX(A) 2.746 VFX(D) 9.494 VFX(E)21.071 VFX(I) 31.323

ENGINE
------
LENGTH
LP12  2.878 LP24  1.446 LP45  1.863 LP56  1.012
DIAMETER
DP1  1.45 DP2  1.52 DP3  1.52 DP4  1.52 DP5  1.09 DP6  1.16

EMPENNAGE
--------
TAIL
NET SPAN  5.76 ROOT C  2.53 NET W AREA m2  9.49
NET AR  3.50 T/C  0.06 LE SWEEP deg 45.00
FIN
NET SPAN 10.88 ROOT C  4.78 NET W AREA m2  33.79
NET AR  3.50 T/C  0.06 LE SWEEP 40.00

FIG.B6   FILE VERTI.RES STARTING - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
CG POSITION ON MAC
---------------------
FWD LIMIT 0.05 EMPTY -.08 LOADED 0.26 AFT LIMIT 0.25

MASS kg
-----

FUSELAGE
TOTAL 3049.8 SHELL 1640.5 INTERN 890.9 WINDSCR 67.2
CANOPY 53.4

WING
TOTAL 2512.3 BOX 1760.9 LE 130.0 TE 182.3 FLAPS 331.0
AILERONS 4.8 SPOILERS 7.4 FLAPTRACKS 4.9
FAIRINGS 15.9 VARIOUS 74.9

EMPENNAGE
TAIL 409.0 FIN 1725.8

PAINT 20.0

ENGINE
TOTAL 3770.6 GAS GENER 1968.7 RLS SECT 588.2
REHEAT 431.3
NOZZLE 363.5 INSTALL 419.0 (RLS 228.5)

U/C TOTAL 754.6 NOSE 95.6 MAIN 655.0 HYDR 4.0

FIXED ROLE EQPM TOTAL 549.6 COCKPIT 180.0 GUN 169.6
RADAR 150.0 UNUS FUEL 50.0

FIG.B6 FILE VERTI.RES STARTING - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
### Systems Total
- Air Cond: 1629.2
- Electric: 186.5
- Fuel Syst: 521.3
- F Contr: 561.4

### Fuel Total
- Box Centr: 5240.1
- Box Ext: 479.8
- Fusel Centr: 726.7
- Fusel Side (Both): 2446.1

### Payload Total
- Crew: 90.0
- Gun Ammo: 82.5
- Weapons: 728.0

### A/C Total
- Empty: 20561.7
- Landing: 14421.1

### Sortie Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alt m</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mach</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range km</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Fact g</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Mass kg</td>
<td>19071.2</td>
<td>17418.1</td>
<td>16953.1</td>
<td>16638.3</td>
<td>15629.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time s</td>
<td>1907.7</td>
<td>2687.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1907.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Mass Cl</td>
<td>0.3520</td>
<td>0.3376</td>
<td>0.3563</td>
<td>0.3718</td>
<td>0.3520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha Deg</td>
<td>8.786</td>
<td>10.604</td>
<td>4.794</td>
<td>13.042</td>
<td>7.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd Total</td>
<td>0.05535</td>
<td>0.05697</td>
<td>0.11570</td>
<td>0.11519</td>
<td>0.05537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throttle PC</td>
<td>22.01</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>72.63</td>
<td>44.67</td>
<td>21.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrust N</td>
<td>34552.8</td>
<td>30145.3</td>
<td>298725.2</td>
<td>280828.4</td>
<td>34394.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel kg/s</td>
<td>0.5317</td>
<td>0.4848</td>
<td>9.7860</td>
<td>5.6393</td>
<td>0.5290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air kg/s</td>
<td>66.874</td>
<td>65.513</td>
<td>240.048</td>
<td>327.165</td>
<td>66.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Used kg</td>
<td>1014.3</td>
<td>1303.1</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>104.8</td>
<td>1009.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Take-Off Fuel kg** 476.2
**Fuel at Landing kg** 201.1

---

**Fig. B6** File Verti. Res Starting - Example (Continued)
### POINT PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>REQUIRED</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>MACH</th>
<th>P SETTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCEL .6</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M MAX</td>
<td>1.528</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M MAX</td>
<td>2.137</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>11000.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUST.T.R. deg/s</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUST.T.R.</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUST.T.R.</td>
<td>5.719</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT.T.R. deg/s</td>
<td>10.454</td>
<td>10.400</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.P. m/s</td>
<td>203.912</td>
<td>300.000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.P.</td>
<td>117.592</td>
<td>150.000</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDE Q.F.</td>
<td>1.980</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TAKE-OFF DISTANCE**: m ACTUAL 396.6 REQUIRED 450.0

---

**FIG.B6** FILE VERTI.RES STARTING - EXAMPLE (CONCLUDED)
VERTI.RES

FINAL RESULTS

ASTOVL COMBAT AIRCRAFT
----------------------

TAKE-OFF MASS kg 18900.40 FUEL FRACTION 0.2003
WING LOADING kg/m2 470.8 THRUST/WT 1.4431
FUSELAGE LENGTH m 19.774 ENGINE SCALE 1.47

GEOMETRY m
-------
WING
-----
SPAN 12.624 ROOT C 4.460 TIP C 1.464 W AREA m2 40.142
AR 3.970 TR 0.299 C/4 SWEEP deg 32.94 T/C 0.0501 MAC 3.488
NET SPAN 11.024 NET W AREA m2 32.658 MAX W BOX DEPTH 0.223
FUSELAGE
-------
LENGTH 19.774 OTM 2.4887 OTF3K -.0599 OTF4K 0.0030
OTA3K -.0092 OTA4K -.0011

FIG.B7 FILE VERTI.RES FINAL - EXAMPLE
STATION                XA    XB    XC    XD    XE
BREADTH                1.173 2.193 2.176 1.593 1.483
HEIGHT                 1.192 1.340 1.684 1.331 1.896
NETT X-SECT A         1.067 1.358 1.809 2.453 2.343
STATION                XF    XG    XH    XJ    XI
BREADTH                1.780 1.940 1.858 1.344 1.115
HEIGHT                 1.515 1.651 1.582 1.344 1.115
NETT X-SECT A         1.943 1.747 1.549 0.818 0.300

VOLUME m3 VFX(A) 2.743 VFX(D) 9.587 VFX(E) 20.911 VFX(I) 30.713

ENGINE
------
LENGTH
LP12  2.754 LP24  1.384 LP45  1.783 LP56  0.968
DIAMETER
DP1  1.39 DP2  1.45 DP3  1.45 DP4  1.45 DP5  1.04 DP6  1.11

EMPENNAGE
--------
TAIL
NET SPAN  4.65 ROOT C  2.04 NET W AREA m2  6.16
NET AR  3.50 T/C  0.06 LE SWEEP deg  45.00
FIN
NET SPAN  8.23 ROOT C  3.62 NET W AREA m2  19.36
NET AR  3.50 T/C  0.06 LE SWEEP 40.00

CG POSITION ON MAC
--------------------
FWD LIMIT  0.05 EMPTY 0.13 LOADED 0.25 AFT LIMIT 0.25

FIG.B7    FILE VERTI.RES FINAL - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
MASS kg

FUSELAGE
TOTAL 3272.3 SHELL  1614.8 INTERN  1110.1 WINDSCR  67.2
CANOPY   53.4

WING
TOTAL 3501.7 BOX  2053.3 LE 130.1 TE 396.7 FLAPS  779.6
AILERONS 4.8 SPOILERS  7.4 FLAPTRACKS  16.5 FAIRINGS  15.9
VARIOUS  97.4

EMPENNAGE
TAIL  229.0 FIN  756.0

PAINT  20.1

ENGINE
TOTAL 3501.2 GAS GENER 1822.6 RLS SECT  548.5 REHEAT  402.2
NOZZLE  339.0 INSTALL  389.0 (RLS  213.2)

U/C TOTAL 754.6 NOSE  95.6 MAIN  655.0 HYDR  4.0

FIXED ROLE EQPM TOTAL 549.6 COCKPIT  180.0 GUN  169.6
RADAR  150.0 UNUS FUEL  50.0

SYSTEMS TOTAL 1629.2 AIR COND  186.5 ELECTRIC  521.3
F CONTR  561.4 FUEL SYST  360.0

FUEL TOTAL 3786.2 W BOX CENTR  179.7 W BOX EXT  592.9
FUSEL CENTR  740.5 FUSEL SIDE (BOTH)  2273.0

FIG.B7 FILE VERTI.RES FINAL - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
PAYLOAD TOTAL 900.5 CREW 90.0 GUN AMMO 82.5 WEAPONS 728.0
A/C TOTAL 18900.4 EMPTY 14213.7 LANDING 15114.2

SORTIE PERFORMANCE
-------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALT m</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACH</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANGE km</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOAD FACT g</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END MASS kg</td>
<td>17510.0</td>
<td>15970.8</td>
<td>15593.0</td>
<td>15295.5</td>
<td>14384.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME s</td>
<td>1907.7</td>
<td>2687.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1907.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID-MASS CL</td>
<td>0.3511</td>
<td>0.3368</td>
<td>0.3563</td>
<td>0.3708</td>
<td>0.3511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA deg</td>
<td>7.372</td>
<td>8.978</td>
<td>3.979</td>
<td>10.860</td>
<td>6.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD TOTAL</td>
<td>0.04874</td>
<td>0.05014</td>
<td>0.10279</td>
<td>0.09922</td>
<td>0.04875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THROTTLE PC</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>16.58</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>39.49</td>
<td>19.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THRUST N</td>
<td>31395.3</td>
<td>27341.2</td>
<td>251339.0</td>
<td>246663.1</td>
<td>31294.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL kg/s</td>
<td>0.4792</td>
<td>0.4425</td>
<td>2.3636</td>
<td>4.7115</td>
<td>0.4775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR kg/s</td>
<td>63.684</td>
<td>62.451</td>
<td>179.521</td>
<td>304.233</td>
<td>63.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUEL USED kg</td>
<td>914.2</td>
<td>1189.2</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>911.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAKE-OFF FUEL kg 476.2 FUEL AT LANDING kg 180.3

FIG.B7 FILE VERTI.RES FINAL - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>REQUIRED</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>MACH</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>SETTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCEL .6</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M MAX</td>
<td>1.701</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M MAX</td>
<td>2.475</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>11000.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUST.T.R.deg/s</td>
<td>9.998</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUST.T.R.</td>
<td>2.947</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUST.T.R.</td>
<td>7.497</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT.T.R. deg/s</td>
<td>10.454</td>
<td>10.400</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.P. m/s</td>
<td>299.154</td>
<td>300.000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.P.</td>
<td>212.613</td>
<td>150.000</td>
<td>9000.0</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDE Q.F.</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAKE-OFF DISTANCE m

ACTUAL  297.6
REQUIRED  450.0

FIG.B7 FILE VERTI.RES FINAL - EXAMPLE (CONCLUDED)
RQP Min Res

Program RQP Min Version 1.0 for VAX

Analysis of Input File Data and Control Parameters

Variable Data

Number of Variables = 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Starting Value</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
<td>0.40000000E+01</td>
<td>0.50000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.40000000E+01</td>
<td>0.15000000E+01</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+01</td>
<td>0.25000000E+01</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
<td>0.33000000E+01</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.60000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E-01</td>
<td>0.50000000E+01</td>
<td>0.20000000E+01</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.60000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+00</td>
<td>0.80000000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.15000000E+01</td>
<td>0.50000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.76000000E+00</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.93000000E+00</td>
<td>0.60000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.95000000E+00</td>
<td>0.60000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.16000000E+01</td>
<td>0.70000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
<td>0.20000000E+01</td>
<td>0.50000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.40000000E+01</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+01</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.50000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.00000000E+00</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.80000000E+00</td>
<td>0.50000000E+00</td>
<td>0.99000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.30000000E+01</td>
<td>0.20000000E+01</td>
<td>0.50000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10000000E+00</td>
<td>0.35000000E+01</td>
<td>0.10000000E+01</td>
<td>0.10000000E+02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. B8 RQP Min Res - Example
Problem function data
----------------------------------------

Number of constraints =  22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+03</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+03</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+03</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objective is function  1  

Control parameters
----------------------------------------

nfemax = 16000  
nimax = 1000  
nsmax = 20  
nsetc = 4  
nsetcf = 8  
nsetv = 4  
nsetv = 8  
ofreq = 10  
ofrom = 1  
rreq = 10  
rfrom = 1  

centr = F  
fdset = F  
norep = F  
cheats = T  
fast = T  
quasi = T  
fixxp = F  
timid = F  
monitr = F  
nofrec = F  
yesbc = F  
shrink = T  
project = T

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
xtol = 0.1000000E-05   xtolu = 0.1000000E-02   xtolv = 0.1000000E-02   gtol = 0.1000000E-02
rtol = 0.1000000E+00   omegar = 0.1000000E+00   rmax = 0.2000000E+00
umin = 0.1000000E-05   vminf = 0.1000000E-02   vming = 0.1000000E-05   ctol = 0.1000000E-02
umax = 0.1000000E+00   vmax = 0.1000000E+00   omega = 0.1000000E+00   mu = 0.1000000E-03
bdtol = 0.1000000E+00   lmtol = 0.1000000E+00   mtol = 0.5000000E+00   cmax = 0.1000000E-01
subtol = 0.1000000E-02   grtol = 0.1000000E+02   bfstol = 0.1000000E-05   diftol = 0.5000000E-03
ztol = 0.1000000E-29

End of input data

------------------

Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

STARTING POINT

free variables

------------------

1 0.4000000E+01 2 0.4000000E+01 3 0.3000000E+01 4 0.3300000E+01 5 0.5000000E+01 6 0.6000000E+00
7 0.1500000E+01
8 0.7600000E+00 9 0.9300000E+00 10 0.9500000E+00 11 0.1600000E+01 12 0.2000000E+01 13 0.4000000E+01
14 0.3000000E+01
15 0.1000000E+01 16 0.8000000E+01 17 0.3000000E+01 18 0.3500000E+01

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
objective function
------------------
\[ f(x) = 0.3871068E+00 \]

equality constraints
---------------------
2 -0.1016441E+00 3 -0.1080766E+00

active inequality constraints
-----------------------------
4 0.3162098E-01 5 0.4570608E+00 11 0.1000000E+01 12 0.2000000E+00 13 0.2810316E-01 15 0.9608844E+00 16 0.3240771E+00

inactive inequality constraints
-----------------------------
6 -0.2952909E+00 7 -0.9496094E+00 8 -0.2089007E+00 14 -0.544396E+00 9 0.8506336E-02 10 0.0000000E+00 17 -0.4276433E+00 18 -0.5372723E+00 19 -0.3352296E+00 20 -0.3022345E+00 21 -0.5343335E+00 22 -0.3132337E+00 23 -0.3681262E+00

Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
end of iteration number 1
------------------------

end of a successful feasibility step
number of calls made to user function so far = 392

free variables
-------------

  8  0.6742311E+00 11  0.1508980E+01 12  0.2041463E+01 9  0.9776771E+00 1  0.3883265E+01 2  0.4000000E+01
18  0.3498502E+01
17  0.3000000E+01  5  0.5000000E+01  7  0.1500000E+01  4  0.3300000E+01  3  0.3000000E+01 13  0.4000000E+01
14  0.3000000E+01
15  0.1000000E+01 16  0.8000000E+01

variables fixed at or near their lower bounds
---------------------------------------------

   6  0.3000000E+00

variables fixed at or near their upper bounds
---------------------------------------------

  10  0.1000000E+01

FIG.B8  RQPHIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
objective function
---------------------
\[ f(x) = 0.3935423E+00 \]

equality constraints
---------------------
2 -0.1235107E-03 3 -0.2308938E-01

active inequality constraints
-----------------------------
4 0.7015228E-02 11 0.1715565E-02

inactive inequality constraints
-----------------------------
15 -0.4317688E-01 5 -0.3239393E+00 13 -0.1323803E+00 12 -0.9417369E-01 16 -0.7061415E+00 6 -0.3501351E+00
7 -0.1011848E+01
8 -0.2056513E+00 14 -0.5443096E+00 9 0.7130320E-02 10 0.0000000E+00 17 -0.6361188E+00 18 -0.9151169E+00
19 -0.3606793E+00
20 -0.2666035E+00 21 -0.1389266E+01 22 -0.3176751E+00 23 -0.3681262E+00

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
Lagrange multiplier estimates

2  0.1308760E+01  3  0.2222137E-01  4  0.6751923E-02  11 -0.3056519E+00

Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function

8  0.0000000E+00  11  0.7450581E-08  12  0.0000000E+00  9  0.1490116E-07  1  0.5532093E-01  2  0.7070982E-01
18 -0.9488447E-01
17  0.0000000E+00  5 -0.4380036E-01  7  0.1694216E+00  4  0.3151349E-01  3  0.1833036E-01  13 -0.1804036E-01
14 -0.5226777E-01
15 -0.3701131E-04  16  0.2391479E-03  6 -0.1925723E+01  10 -0.7435918E-01

Norms of active constraint gradients

2  0.2204726E+00  3  0.1149070E+01  4  0.1252910E+02  11  0.2396761E+01

convergence criteria

pdatum =  0.3923905E+00  ldatum =  0.3923905E+00  gdatum =  0.5852911E-03
nu =  0.0000000E+00  numax =  infinite
unormx =  0.2608127E-01  unormd =  0.2608127E-01  rtol =  0.1000000E+00  xtolu =  0.1000000E-02
vnormx =  0.0000000E+00  vnorm =  0.0000000E+00  xtolv =  0.1000000E-02  grdlde =  0.1694216E+00
nde =  0  ndef =  20  ndec =  0  ncalls =  52  nfuncs =  32

FIG.B8  RQPMin.RE5 - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

end of iteration number 11
----------------------------------

end of a successful minimization step
number of calls made to user function so far = 1030

free variables
---------------

8 0.6792758E+00 11 0.1467613E+01 10 0.9914331E+00 6 0.3134658E+00 1 0.3879399E+01 2 0.3995808E+01
18 0.3485311E+01
17 0.2999997E+01 5 0.5001482E+01 7 0.1499447E+01 4 0.3294123E+01 3 0.2997784E+01 13 0.3998196E+01
14 0.2991289E+01
15 0.1000057E+01 16 0.8000157E+01 9 0.9798208E+00 12 0.2039295E+01

objective function
-------------------

f(x) = 0.3692844E+00

equality constraints
---------------------

2 -0.3449707E-04 3 0.6250000E-06

FIG.B8 RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>active inequality constraints</th>
<th>inactive inequality constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 0.332324E-04 4 0.000000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 -0.496639E+00 5 0.278269E+00 13 -0.147302E+00 12 -0.949148E-01 16 -0.357395E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 -0.1019329E+00 14 -0.543096E+00 9 0.679802E-02 10 0.000000E+00 15 0.605899E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 -0.204097E+00 14 -0.543096E+00 9 0.679802E-02 10 0.000000E+00 15 0.605899E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 -0.32857132E+00 21 -0.142437E+00 12 -0.3163921E+00 23 -0.376177E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lagrange multiplier estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 0.338105E+01 3 0.295003E+00 11 -0.103569E+00 4 -0.651039E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 0.7450581E-08 11 0.1280569E-07 10 -0.6961638E-07 6 -0.3166497E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 0.1957817E-01 17 0.1816000E-02 7 0.8853241E-01 4 0.3336415E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 0.133089E+00 5 0.2000000E+00 15 0.5459145E-01 12 0.3410341E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 -0.2840511E+00 21 -0.142437E+00 12 -0.3163921E+00 23 -0.376177E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. B8 RQP MIN - RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
Norms of active constraint gradients

----------
2  0.5234829E+00  3  0.1786113E+01  11  0.2965080E+01  4  0.1280450E+02

convergence criteria

----------
pdatum = 0.3693977E+00  ldatum = 0.3693977E+00  gdatum = 0.2298212E-08
nu = 0.0000000E+00  numax = infinite
unormx = 0.9951143E-04  unormd = 0.9951143E-04  rtol = 0.1000000E-01  xtolu = 0.1000000E-02
vnormx = 0.4340118E+00  vnorm = 0.1000000E+00  xtolv = 0.1000000E-02  grdlcn = 0.3410341E+00
nde = 0  ndef = 52  ndec = 0  ncalls = 146  nfuncs = 94

Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

end of iteration number 21

----------
end of a successful minimization step
number of calls made to user function so far = 1694

free variables

----------
8  0.6838636E+00  11  0.1464769E+01  10  0.9978976E+00  6  0.3205609E+00  18  0.3426057E+01  7  0.1499220E+01
16  0.8000323E+01
17  0.3000763E+01  5  0.5004205E+01  2  0.3971060E+01  4  0.3288327E+01  3  0.2995289E+01  13  0.3996258E+01
14  0.2985314E+01
15  0.9992111E+00  1  0.3989123E+01  9  0.9980076E+00  12  0.2054844E+01

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
objective function
---------------------

\[ f(x) = 0.3656146E+00 \]

equality constraints
---------------------

\[ 2 \quad 0.6347656E-06 \quad 3 \quad 0.8906250E-05 \]

active inequality constraints
-----------------------------

\[ 11 \quad 0.4949570E-04 \quad 4 \quad -0.6675720E-05 \quad 15 \quad -0.2441406E-05 \]

inactive inequality constraints
-------------------------------

\[ 18 \quad -0.9249295E+00 \quad 5 \quad -0.2468739E+00 \quad 12 \quad -0.9460294E-01 \quad 16 \quad -0.7265211E+00 \quad 6 \quad -0.3712418E+00 \quad 7 \quad -0.1035546E+01 \]
\[ 8 \quad -0.2038941E+00 \quad 14 \quad -0.5443096E+00 \quad 9 \quad 0.6789749E-02 \quad 10 \quad 0.0000000E+00 \quad 17 \quad -0.5880709E+00 \quad 13 \quad -0.1568619E+00 \quad 19 \quad -0.6000000E+00 \]
\[ 20 \quad -0.2575126E+00 \quad 21 \quad -0.1504873E+01 \quad 22 \quad -0.3184378E+00 \quad 23 \quad -0.3986375E+00 \]

Lagrange multiplier estimates
----------------------------

\[ 2 \quad 0.1908567E+00 \quad 3 \quad -0.1322685E+01 \quad 11 \quad -0.1908436E+01 \quad 4 \quad -0.6596171E-03 \quad 15 \quad 0.9248000E+00 \]

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.4768372E-06</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5960464E-07</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0000000E+00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1192093E-06</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-0.7450581E-08</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.5287752E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.1174315E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-0.7292574E-03</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3455998E-02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1350289E+00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.3043809E+00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2671763E-02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2838112E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2159834E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.6232406E-03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5390055E+00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4089998E-02</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4441679E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Norms of active constraint gradients

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5477104E+00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1695861E+01</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3196909E+01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1286765E+02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6618107E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

convergence criteria

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pdatum</td>
<td>0.3655062E+00</td>
<td>ldatum</td>
<td>0.3655062E+00</td>
<td>gdatum</td>
<td>0.2580074E-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nu</td>
<td>0.0000000E+00</td>
<td>numax</td>
<td>0.5814611E+02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unormx</td>
<td>0.5096373E-03</td>
<td>unormd</td>
<td>0.5096373E-03</td>
<td>rtol</td>
<td>0.1000000E-01</td>
<td>xtolu</td>
<td>0.1000000E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vnormx</td>
<td>0.1423888E+01</td>
<td>vnorm</td>
<td>0.1000000E+00</td>
<td>xtolv</td>
<td>0.1000000E-02</td>
<td>grdlv</td>
<td>0.5390055E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nde</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ndef</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>ndec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ncalls</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>nfuncs</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

FIG.B8 RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
end of iteration number 31

end of a successful feasibility step
number of calls made to user function so far = 2912

free variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6773027E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1485162E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.2018675E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.3520655E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.499164E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4006016E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.8006478E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.3001110E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5004749E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3970934E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3290561E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2995477E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.3996674E+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

variables fixed at or near their lower bounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3000000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

variables fixed at or near their upper bounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1000000E+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

objective function

\[ f(x) = 0.3884203E+00 \]
equality constraints
---------------------
2  0.2746289E-02  3  0.7640625E-04

active inequality constraints
-----------------------------
15  -0.7843017E-04  4  0.4768372E-03

inactive inequality constraints
-------------------------------
18  -0.9095773E+00  11  -0.9923076E-02  5  -0.3127804E+00  12  -0.9879985E-01  16  -0.6175560E+00  6  -0.3359904E+00  
7  -0.9945985E+00
8  -0.2047075E+00  14  -0.5443096E+00  9  0.7234266E-02  10  0.0000000E+00  17  -0.6186000E+00  13  -0.1426723E+00  
19  -0.3576093E+00
20  -0.2598014E+00  21  -0.1484709E+01  22  -0.3135588E+00  23  -0.3968098E+00

Lagrange multiplier estimates
----------------------------
2  -0.3682696E+00  3  0.9988662E-01  15  -0.2195863E+00  4  -0.4774231E-01

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
8 & 0.5960464E-07 & 11 & 0.3445894E-07 & 12 & 0.1862645E-08 & 18 & -0.1746230E-08 & 7 & 0.1058967E+00 & 1 & -0.1159504E+00 \\
16 & -0.2997608E-02 & & & & & & & & & \\
17 & 0.0000000E+00 & 5 & -0.3633375E-01 & 2 & 0.2989674E-01 & 4 & 0.1091263E+00 & 3 & 0.1321699E-01 & 13 & -0.4425814E-02 \\
14 & -0.1500370E-02 & & & & & & & & & \\
15 & -0.1008811E-02 & 6 & -0.1223767E+01 & 9 & 0.1423406E+00 & 10 & 0.3133123E+00 & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Norms of active constraint gradients

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 0.2043716E+00 \\
3 & 0.1142849E+01 \\
15 & 0.5351947E+01 \\
4 & 0.1225039E+02 \\
\end{array}
\]

convergence criteria

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
pdatum &=& 0.3874110E+00 \\
l datum &=& 0.3874110E+00 \\
gdatum &=& 0.7781468E-05 \\
nu &=& 0.0000000E+00 \\
umax &=& \text{infinite} \\
umorm &=& 0.4805435E-01 \\
umord &=& 0.4805435E-01 \\
rtol &=& 0.1000000E-02 \\
xtolu &=& 0.1000000E-02 \\
vnorm &=& 0.1215237E-02 \\
vtol &=& 0.1000000E-02 \\
grdl u &=& 0.1159504E+00 \\
n de &=& 0 \\
ndef &=& 95 \\
ndec &=& 25 \\
calls &=& 422 \\
funcs &=& 302 \\
\end{array}
\]

Program RQP MIN Version 1.0 for VAX

end of iteration number 41

---

end of a successful feasibility step
number of calls made to user function so far = 3300

FIG.B8 RQP MIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
free variables
-------------------

8 0.6819428E+00 10 0.9858580E+00 11 0.1462748E+01 6 0.3159353E+00 1 0.4014895E+01 7 0.1498024E+01
16 0.8001753E+01
17 0.2999441E+01 5 0.5006766E+01 2 0.3970093E+01 4 0.3293594E+01 3 0.2991575E+01 13 0.3992305E+01
14 0.2981005E+01
15 0.9992824E+00 18 0.3550712E+01 12 0.1973851E+01 9 0.9957859E+00

objective function
-------------------

f(x) = 0.3636202E+00

equality constraints
----------------------

2 -0.1857910E-03 3 0.3615625E-03

active inequality constraints
-----------------------------

4 0.1850128E-03

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
inactive inequality constraints

---------------------------

11 -0.1284885E-02 15 0.2281799E-02 18 -0.8718656E+00 5 -0.2781858E+00 12 -0.9530757E-01 16 -0.6258676E+00
6 -0.3028465E+00
7 -0.9550282E+00 8 -0.2039770E+00 14 -0.5443096E+00 9 0.7334673E-02 10 0.0000000E+00 17 -0.5658784E+00
13 -0.1506609E+00
19 -0.3602388E+00 20 -0.2598916E+00 21 -0.1530566E+01 22 -0.3065599E+00 23 -0.3948460E+00

Lagrange multiplier estimates

---------------------------

2 -0.7903465E+01 3 0.1015927E+01 4 -0.1210891E-01

Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function

---------------------------

8 -0.2980232E-07 10 -0.5308539E-07 11 -0.1629815E-08 6 0.1470608E+01 1 0.9803022E-01 7 -0.1265189E+00
16 -0.5012098E-02
17 0.0000000E+00 5 -0.3529557E-02 2 0.8029868E-01 4 0.1710619E+00 3 0.5634566E-01 13 0.6144121E-01
14 0.3218544E+00
15 -0.1647603E-02 18 0.5227668E+00 12 0.7688587E+00 9 0.9724957E-01

Norms of active constraint gradients

---------------------------

2 0.5578982E+00 3 0.1753891E+01 4 0.1225305E+02

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
convergence criteria

----------

\[ \begin{align*}
      pdatum &= 0.3654537E+00 & 1datum &= 0.3654537E+00 & gdatum &= 0.1994755E-06 \\
      nu &= 0.00000000E+00 & numax &= 0.1312339E+04 \\
      unorm &= 0.3306092E-02 & unormd &= 0.3306092E-02 \\
      vnorm &= 0.7687663E-01 & vnorm &= 0.7687663E-01 \\
      nde &= 0 & ndef &= 95 & ndec &= 35 & ncalls &= 460 & nfuncs &= 330 \\
      rtol &= 0.1000000E-02 & xtolv &= 0.1000000E-02 \\
      xtolu &= 0.1000000E-02 & grdln &= 0.1470608E+01
\end{align*} \]

Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

end of iteration number 51

----------

end of a successful feasibility step
number of calls made to user function so far = 3823

free variables

----------

\[ \begin{align*}
      &8 & 0.6816662E+00 & 11 & 0.1465574E+01 & 10 & 0.9851044E+00 & 6 & 0.3147032E+00 & 12 & 0.1977431E+01 & 7 & 0.1498024E+01 \\
      &16 & 0.8001753E+01 \\
      &17 & 0.2999441E+01 & 5 & 0.5006766E+01 & 2 & 0.3970093E+01 & 4 & 0.3293541E+01 & 3 & 0.2991575E+01 & 13 & 0.3992305E+01 \\
      &14 & 0.2981005E+01 \\
      &15 & 0.9992824E+00 & 18 & 0.3550712E+01 & 1 & 0.4014203E+01 & 9 & 0.9957859E+00
\end{align*} \]

objective function

----------

\[ f(x) = 0.3663713E+00 \]

FIG.B8 RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
equality constraints
----------------------
2 0.3222656E-05 3 0.8906250E-05

active inequality constraints
-----------------------------
4 0.5722046E-05 11 0.1992226E-03

inactive inequality constraints
-------------------------------
15 0.8461914E-02 18 -0.8751327E+00 5 -0.2814655E+00 12 -0.9467213E-01 16 -0.6261275E+00 6 -0.3052629E+00
7 -0.9579439E+00 8 -0.2040682E+00 14 -0.5443096E+00 9 0.7346528E-02 10 0.0000000E+00 17 -0.6010240E+00 13 -0.1497215E+00
19 -0.3408323E+00 20 -0.2600980E+00 21 -0.1523792E+01 22 -0.3071268E+00 23 -0.3948460E+00

Lagrange multiplier estimates
----------------------------
2 0.1455115E+01 3 -0.1573262E+01 4 0.4001669E-02 11 0.9701430E-01

FIG.B8  RQP.MIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function
-----------------------------

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.2980232E-07</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.8940697E-07</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4470348E-07</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.1676381E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1203557E-02</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.1306745E-04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1098057E-01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.3571704E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.7087208E-01</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4404449E-03</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-0.113880E+00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.4081335E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Norms of active constraint gradients
------------------------------------

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1179947E+01</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1770732E+01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1228019E+02</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3608931E+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

convergence criteria
---------------------

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pdatum = 0.3663813E+00</td>
<td>ldatum = 0.3663813E+00</td>
<td>gdatum = 0.3981208E-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nu = 0.0000000E+00</td>
<td>numax = 0.1312339E+04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unormx = 0.1007674E-03</td>
<td>unormd = 0.1007674E-03</td>
<td>rtol = 0.1000000E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vnormx = 0.7687663E-01</td>
<td>vnorm = 0.7687663E-01</td>
<td>xtolv = 0.1000000E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nde = 0</td>
<td>ndef = 95</td>
<td>grdladv = 0.1870414E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ndec = 48</td>
<td>ncalls = 528</td>
<td>nfuncs = 385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program RQPMIN Version 1.0 for VAX

FIG.B8 RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
end of iteration number 55

CONVERGENCE DETECTED [Code B] after 3972 calls to user routine

free variables

\[
\begin{align*}
8 & \quad 0.6816662E+00 & 11 & \quad 0.1465574E+01 & 10 & \quad 0.9851044E+00 & 6 & \quad 0.3147032E+00 & 12 & \quad 0.1977431E+01 & 7 & \quad 0.1498024E+01 \\
16 & \quad 0.8001753E+01 & 17 & \quad 0.2999441E+01 & 5 & \quad 0.5006766E+01 & 2 & \quad 0.3970093E+01 & 4 & \quad 0.3293541E+01 & 3 & \quad 0.2991575E+01 & 13 & \quad 0.3992305E+01 \\
14 & \quad 0.2981005E+01 & 15 & \quad 0.9992824E+00 & 18 & \quad 0.3550712E+01 & 1 & \quad 0.4014203E+01 & 9 & \quad 0.9957859E+00 \\
\end{align*}
\]

objective function

\[
f(x) = 0.3663713E+00
\]

equality constraints

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & \quad 0.3222656E-05 & 3 & \quad 0.8906250E-05 \\
\end{align*}
\]

active inequality constraints

\[
\begin{align*}
4 & \quad 0.5722046E-05 & 11 & \quad 0.1992226E-03 \\
\end{align*}
\]

FIG.B8 RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
inactive inequality constraints

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.8461914E-02</td>
<td>18 -0.8751327E+00</td>
<td>5 -0.2814655E+00</td>
<td>12 -0.9467213E-01</td>
<td>16 -0.6261275E+00</td>
<td>6 -0.3052629E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.9579439E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.2040682E+00</td>
<td>14 -0.5443096E+00</td>
<td>9 0.7346528E-02</td>
<td>10 0.0000000E+00</td>
<td>17 -0.6010240E+00</td>
<td>13 -0.1497215E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-0.3408323E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.2600980E+00</td>
<td>21 -0.1523792E+01</td>
<td>22 -0.3071268E+00</td>
<td>23 -0.3948460E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lagrange multiplier estimates

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1455115E+01</td>
<td>3 -0.1573262E+01</td>
<td>4 0.4001669E-02</td>
<td>11 0.9701430E-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Partial derivatives of Lagrangian function

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.2980232E-07</td>
<td>11 -0.8940697E-07</td>
<td>10 0.4470348E-07</td>
<td>6 -0.1676381E-06</td>
<td>12 -0.1870414E+00</td>
<td>7 0.1045093E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1203557E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.1306745E-04</td>
<td>5 0.1098057E-01</td>
<td>2 -0.3571704E-01</td>
<td>4 -0.4727623E-01</td>
<td>3 -0.1758450E-01</td>
<td>13 -0.1280005E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.7087208E-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4404449E-03</td>
<td>18 -0.1133880E+00</td>
<td>1 -0.4081335E-01</td>
<td>9 -0.3180073E-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Norms of active constraint gradients

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1179947E+01</td>
<td>3 0.1770732E+01</td>
<td>4 0.1228019E+02</td>
<td>11 0.3608931E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG.B8  RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)
convergence criteria
---------------------

| pdatum | 0.3663813E+00 |
| nu     | 0.0000000E+00 |
| unormx | 0.1007674E-03 |
| vnormx | 0.1870414E+00 |
| nde    | 0 |

| ldatum | 0.3663813E+00 |
| numax  | 0.1312339E+04 |
| unormd | 0.1007674E-03 |
| vnorm  | 0.1000000E+00 |
| ndef   | 95 |

| gdatum | 0.3981208E-07 |
| rtol   | 0.1000000E-02 |
| xtolv  | 0.1000000E-02 |
| grdlm  | 0.1870414E+00 |
| ncall  | 537 |
| nfunc  | 390 |

FIG.88 RQPMIN.RES - EXAMPLE (CONCLUDED)
APPENDIX C

AIRCRAFT LIFT-CURVE SLOPE EVALUATION
WITH REFERENCE TO INTERFERENCE
The aircraft lift-curve slope $\frac{\partial C_l}{\partial \alpha}$ (Ref. 3) is given by

$$
\left[ \frac{\partial C_l}{\partial \alpha} \right]_{a/c} = \left[ \frac{\partial C_l}{\partial \alpha} \right]_{w} \left[ KN + KB(W) + KW(B) \right] \left[ \frac{S_n}{S} \right]_{w}
$$

$$
+ \left[ \frac{\partial C_l}{\partial \alpha} \right]_{t} \left[ KW(B) + KB(W) \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \alpha} \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{\Delta q}{q} \left[ \frac{S_n}{S} \right]_{t} \frac{S_t}{S_w}
$$

(C1)

where

- $KN$ is the interference factor due to the aircraft forebody
- $KB(W)$ is the interference factor due to the interaction of the aircraft body with the wing
- $KW(B)$ is the interference factor due to the interaction of the wing with the aircraft body
- $\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \alpha}$ is the downwash gradient
- $\frac{\Delta q}{q}$ is the dynamic pressure ratio

For the forebody interference factor $KN$, we have from slender body theory for the forebody lift, $L_{fb}$

$$
L_{fb} = 2
$$

(C2)

Transforming the lift in factor form to be used in Eqn C1
\[ KN = \frac{2 \pi \left[ \frac{d}{2} \right]^2}{\left[ \frac{\partial c_l}{\partial \alpha} \right]_w S_w} \quad (C3) \]

where \( d \) is the diameter of the forebody and \( S_w \) is the surface area of the wing.

The wing-body interaction interference factors \( KB(W) \) and \( KW(B) \), are provided in a graph in Ref. 3 as functions of the ratio of the body diameter over the flying surface span.

To find an analytical expression, a polynomial is assumed of the form

\[ KB(W) = a_1 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right)^2 + a_2 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right) + a_3 \quad (C4) \]

Substituting values from the graph of Ref. 3 we find that

\[ KB(W) = 0.8 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right)^2 + 1.2 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right) \quad (C5) \]

Similarly for \( KW(B) \) we have

\[ KW(B) = 0.2 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right)^2 + 0.8 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right) + 1 \quad (C6) \]

The sum of the two factors is given by

\[ KB(W) + KW(B) = \left( \frac{d}{b} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{d}{b} \right) + 1 \quad (C7) \]
For the downwash finite wing theory is used and a tailplane position at the same height with the wing is assumed.

The velocity, \( \text{Winf} \), induced by the wing's infinite vortex is given by

\[
\text{Winf} = \frac{\Gamma_o}{2b} (\cos \beta + 1) \tag{C9}
\]

where

\[
\Gamma_o = \frac{2 V_{\infty} S_w C_l}{b \pi} \tag{C10}
\]

\( \beta \) is the angle formed between a wing vortex element and a tailplane surface element, \( \Gamma_o \) is the circulation at the origin of the wing vortex system and \( b \) the wing span.

Therefore the corresponding downwash angle, \( \epsilon_{\infty} \), is

\[
\epsilon_{\infty} = \frac{\text{Winf}}{V_{\infty}} = \frac{2 V_{\infty} S_w C_l}{b \pi 2 b} (\cos \beta + 1) \left( \frac{1}{V_{\infty}} \right) \tag{C11}
\]

\[
= \frac{C_l}{AR} (\cos \beta + 1) \tag{C12}
\]

where \( AR \) is the aspect ratio.

The velocity, \( \text{W_{bound}} \), induced by the wing's bound vortices is

\[
\text{W_{bound}} = \frac{\Gamma_o}{x_T} \sin \beta \tag{C13}
\]
Therefore

\[
\epsilon_{\text{bound}} = \frac{w_{\text{bound}}}{x_r} = \frac{2 V_\infty S_w C_l}{b \pi} (\sin \beta) \frac{1}{V_\infty} \tag{C14}
\]

\[
= \frac{C_l \ 2b}{\pi \ AR \ x_r} \sin \beta \tag{C15}
\]

The total downwash is the sum of the two, thus the downwash gradient, \( \lambda \epsilon / \lambda \alpha \), is given by

\[
\frac{\lambda \epsilon}{\lambda \alpha} = \frac{\lambda C_l}{\lambda \alpha} \frac{1}{\pi AR} \left\{ \frac{x_r^2 + b^2 + x_r \ [ (0.5 b)^2 + x_r^2 ]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{x_r \ [ (0.5 b)^2 + x_r^2 ]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\} \tag{C16}
\]

The dynamic pressure ratio, \( \Delta q/q \), is given as input data.
APPENDIX D

ROYAL AEROSPACE ESTABLISHMENT ASTOVL COMBAT AIRCRAFT BASELINE CONFIGURATION SPECIFICATIONS
Aircraft

- Single-seat, single-engine, supersonic combat aircraft
- ASTOVL with a hot remote front duct (Remote Lift System) and swivelling exhaust duct nozzles either side of the engine
- Maximum Mach number 1.6
- Thrust-to-weight ratio of 1.15 dry and 1.4 reheat (excluding RCS bleed) with reference to landing and combat mass respectively.
- Mid to high trapezoidal wing with high lift devices
- Tricycle undercarriage, if not possible, bicycle
- One wheel main undercarriage

Engine

- Mixed flow
- Fixed geometry side intakes
- Axisymmetric rear engine nozzles
- Side duct nozzles used only for landing - stowed in fuselage during take-off and forward flight; not vectored.
- Front and side duct inlets located after the turbine exit position
- Limited vectoring of the RLS front nozzle.

Weapons

- Internal bay
- Four medium and two short range air-to-air missiles
- Internal gun