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Abstract

Low speed wind tunnel studies have been conducted to investigate the effect of vortex flaps with a rounded leading-edge on delta wing performance. Measurements were done using the 60° delta wing at a Reynolds number based on the centre line chord of 8×10^5. Results indicated that the rounded leading-edge delta wing with 30° vortex flap deflection improves the lift/drag ratio at lift coefficients higher than 0.4, when compared with the sharp leading-edge flat delta wing. The effect of a trailing-edge flap with vortex flaps was also investigated.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Wing aspect ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Axial force coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Wing center-line chord, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Drag coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD0</td>
<td>CD at zero lift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Lift coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td>Pitching moment coefficient non-dimensionalised using mean chord and measured at 100mm upstream of trailing-edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Induced drag coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/D</td>
<td>Lift/Drag ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U∞</td>
<td>Free stream velocity, m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Wing incidence, degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δf</td>
<td>Vortex flap deflection angle measured normal to the hinge line, degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δt</td>
<td>Trailing-edge flap deflection angle, degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations

SLE Sharp Leading-edge
RLE Rounded Leading-edge
RR  Rounded Leading-edge with Roughness
00  $\delta_t=0^\circ$
30  $\delta_t=30^\circ$
/05  $\delta_t=5^\circ$
/15  $\delta_t=15^\circ$
/-15  $\delta_t=-15^\circ$

1. Introduction

A sharp leading-edge delta wing shows poor aerodynamic characteristics at low speeds. The leading-edge separation vortex formed over the wing surface produces a large suction force which increases the drag component and hence reduces the lift/drag ratio (see Fig. 1a).

One of the solutions to improve the low speed characteristics of the delta wing is to deploy a leading-edge vortex flap (LEVF). The LEVF is a full span deflectable surface at the leading-edge. A leading-edge separation vortex can be formed over the forward facing flap surface that produces a suction force as shown in Fig.1b. Hence the drag force is reduced and the lift/drag ratio is improved. Many tests have been done to confirm the benefits of the LEVF.

Another way of improving delta wing performance is to use a rounded leading-edge. A leading-edge suction force is produced around the rounded leading-edge and that reduces the drag acting on the wing. According to reference 7, the maximum lift/drag ratio of a 60° rounded leading-edge delta wing is more than 16 at a Reynolds number based on the wing mean chord of 9.28x10^6.

This result suggested us that further improvements could be obtained by a combination of the LEVF and a rounded leading-edge. Both the suction force produced by the leading-edge separation vortex over the LEVF surface and
the rounded leading-edge suction force can act to reduce the drag force of the
delta wing (Fig.1c). Some experiments\textsuperscript{9-11} on the effect of a rounded
leading-edge on vortex flap performance were conducted at the College of
Aeronautics earlier using a similar aerofoil cross section wing model to that
used in Ref.7. These showed that a rounded nose leading-edge vortex flap
offers some improvements in the lift/drag ratio.

In this paper, experiments were conducted to gain more understanding of the
benefits of rounded leading-edge vortex flaps. The delta wing model tested is
the same as that used in Refs.9-11. Three component force measurements
and oil flow visualisations were made for the sharp and rounded leading-
edge 60° delta wing with and without vortex flap deflection.

The combined effect of sharp leading-edge vortex flaps and trailing-edge
flaps was examined in Refs. 3 and 12. These results showed additional
benefits at higher lift coefficients. Here, the effect of the trailing-edge flap
with sharp and rounded leading-edge vortex flaps is also investigated.

In summary, the purpose of this research is
1) to confirm the benefit of rounded leading-edge vortex flaps,
2) to investigate the combined effect of the LEVFs and trailing-edge flaps.

2. Experimental Details

Fig.2 shows the model details. This model is the same one that was tested in
Refs. 9-11. The rounded leading-edge delta wing model is a 60° delta wing
that has an uncambered aerofoil section with a maximum thickness/chord
ratio of 10% located at the 35% chordwise position. The centre line chord
length \( C_r \) is 395.95mm. A linear variation of spanwise thickness is used from
root to tip on the model. This wing geometry is the same one tested in Ref.7.
The aerofoil section data are shown in Ref.7. The model is made of wood.
The model has interchangeable vortex flaps. The LEVF hinge lines run from
the wing apex to 75% of the trailing-edge semispan station. The vortex flap undeflected ($\delta_T=0^\circ$) and deflected ($\delta_T=30^\circ$) configurations were tested. The flap deflection angle $\delta_T$ is defined as the angle between the mean line of the inboard wing and that of the LEVF, measured in the plane normal to the hinge line. The leading-edges from the wing apex to the 0.082$Cr$ chordwise station are not deflectable because of the difficulty in manufacturing them. Any gaps or irregularities at the 0.082$Cr$ station and along hinge lines due to the flap deflection were carefully blended by using Plasticine. Sharp leading-edge vortex flaps were also tested in this study. The sharp and rounded LEVF used in this study are shown in Fig.2.

Trailing-edge flaps were attached to the wing in order to test the effect of the trailing-edge flaps. These flaps were simple extension to the trailing-edge that were made of 1mm thickness aluminum plate (Fig.2). The flap deflection angles $\delta_T$ of 5°, 15° and -15° measured from the wing root chord line were tested.

The experiments were done in the 1m x 0.69m low-speed open-jet wind tunnel. Lift, drag and pitching moment measured around the point 100mm upstream from the trailing-edge (see Fig.2) were measured using a T.E.M. three-component wind tunnel balance. Data were stored by the tunnel microcomputer data acquisition system. Measurements were made at a tunnel speed of $U_\infty=30$m/s. The Reynolds number based on the wing centreline chord was 8x10$^5$. The incidence $\alpha$ of the model was increased from -6° to 40°. The model was mounted on twin shielded struts with a tail sting for incidence control.

The T.E.M. balance was recently refurbished and rewired by the manufacturer. It was also calibrated before the experiments. The linearity of the output was excellent in the measuring range for all three components. The aerodynamic coefficients were obtained by the same tunnel boundary correction methods described in Ref.5. The aerodynamic coefficients for the LEVF tests were obtained based on the datum wing area with no LEVF
deflection. The coefficients with the trailing-edge flap deflection were based
on the datum wing area plus the trailing edge flap area when $\delta_T = 0^\circ$ (Fig.2). The pitching moment coefficient was normalised by the mean chord.

Oil flow surface visualisation tests were also conducted to describe the flow around the wing model.

Supplementary tests were made to examine the Reynolds number effect by adding roughness to the leading-edge of the model with rounded leading-edge only. The roughness used was a No.120 sand paper strip whose width was 5mm. These strips were attached on both upper and lower surfaces using double sided tape. The height including the double sided tape is 0.45mm. These strips were attached 5mm from the leading-edge. According to the estimation method based on Ref.13, the strips used here would be sufficient to cause transition to turbulent flow at the roughness position.

In this paper, a notation for sharp and rounded leading-edge wings with and without flap deflection is used. For example, SLE00 represents sharp leading-edge wing without flap deflection ($\delta_f = 0^\circ$), RLE30 represents rounded leading-edge with flap deflection ($\delta_f = 30^\circ$). The notation used to define the rounded leading-edge wing with roughness added is RR00 ($\delta_f = 0^\circ$) or RR30 ($\delta_f = 30^\circ$). A notation for wings with trailing-edge flaps is also used: for example, SLE00/05 (Sharp edge, $\delta_f = 0^\circ$, $\delta_T = 5^\circ$) or RLE30/-15 (Rounded edge, $\delta_f = 30^\circ$, $\delta_T = -15^\circ$).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Repeatability and Comparisons with Other Experiments

Two sets of measurements were made for each wing configuration to check the repeatability of the tests. Figs.3a-3d show one of the results for the rounded leading-edge model with no flap deflection (RLE00). These figures
show that good repeatability is achieved (run No.1 and run No.2).

Figs.3 also show the results measured in Refs.10 and 11 that used the same wind tunnel model at the same wind tunnel speed using the same tunnel balance and the same wind tunnel. The lift and drag curves in Ref.10 show similar distributions to the present results except at higher incidence. However, the results from Ref.11 show different distributions for the lift, drag and moment curves. Ref.11 reported that the TEM balance showed a non-linear output. Non-linear calibration of the balance was applied in Ref.11. This might be the reason why this discrepancy in Figs.3 has occurred. Since the TEM balance has been refurbished completely recently, it could be believed that present results are measured with good accuracy.

Figs.3a-3c also show the results measured in Ref.7. The measurements in Ref.7 were made using the same cross section delta wing model at a Reynolds number based on the root chord of 1.85x10^7. Since the measurements were made at a higher Reynolds number, the drag curve (Fig.3b) shows much smaller values than the present results and the lift/drag ratio (Fig.3c) is much higher. This indicates that a higher leading-edge suction force acts at higher Reynolds number and that there is a strong Reynolds number effect for the rounded leading-edge delta wing.

3.2 Effect of Rounded Leading-Edge with and without Flap Deflection

Three Component Balance Measurements

Figs.4a-4d show the lift, drag, lift/drag ratio and moment curves for sharp and rounded leading-edge models with and without flap deflection (SLE00, SLE30, RLE00, RLE30).

The $C_L$ vs. $\alpha$ curves in Fig.4a shows that there are no major differences between sharp and rounded leading-edge wings at $\delta_c=0^\circ$ and $30^\circ$. The $C_L$
decreases as the LEVF is deflected 30° downwards for both sharp and rounded wings. This trend is the same as reported for sharp edge wings previously (e.g. Ref.6). For RLE30, at incidences between 25° and 30°, a major kink is seen. Although tests of this configuration were repeated several times, this kink was observed every time. The reason for this kink is discussed later.

Fig.4b shows the $C_D$ vs. $\alpha$ curves. A high drag reduction for the rounded leading-edge model is seen both for $\delta_l=0^\circ$ and $\delta_l=30^\circ$, compared with the sharp leading-edge model. This decrease in $C_D$ clearly demonstrates the suction effect of the rounded leading-edge even with the vortex flap deflected 30°. When the flap is deflected, the $C_D$ decreases for most of the positive incidence region both for the sharp and rounded leading-edge models. This was also observed in previous papers$^{5,6}$.

Fig.4c shows the lift to drag ratio ($L/D$) versus $C_L$. It is seen there are great $L/D$ benefits for RLE00 compared with the datum wing (SLE00). The absolute maximum $L/D$ was attained for RLE00. However, as was stated in the previous section, the maximum value of $L/D$ measured here is much smaller than the one in Ref.7 measured at higher Reynolds numbers.

Deployment of the vortex flap for the sharp edge model (SLE30) improves the $L/D$ compared with the datum one (SLE00), which is also the usual behaviour of the LEVF. The maximum $L/D$ value of RLE30 is almost identical to that of the datum wing and does show a little improvement in $L/D$ compared with RLE00 at low $C_L$. However at higher $C_L$ when $C_L>0.4$, RLE30 shows the best value of $L/D$ compared with the other configurations.

Fig.4d shows the pitching moment curves versus $C_L$. The LEVF and Rounded edge has little effect on $C_m$. The aerodynamic centre position measured from the $C_m$-$C_L$ slope is about $0.58C_r$ for all cases. Similar results were found in Ref.6.
Surface Flow Patterns

Fig. 5 shows the surface flow patterns sketched from oil flow pictures. The flow patterns on the upper surface of the left wing are mainly shown. In some cases flow patterns around the leading-edge of the lower surface are also shown. In these figures, H.L. denotes the flap hinge line. The patterns define the vortex positions on the wing and flap surfaces. In this figure, the geometrical incidence as measured from the tunnel centre line is used to define the incidence angle.

At $\alpha=4^\circ$, the formation of the leading-edge separation vortex is seen both on SLE00 and RLE00. For the flap deflected SLE30 and RLE30, the vortex is not seen on the upper surface. However the vortex is formed on the lower surface of RLE30.

At $\alpha=10^\circ$, both SLE30 and RLE30 achieved the maximum $L/D$ for each configuration. Fig. 5 shows that for SLE30 no major separation region is observed on either top or bottom surfaces. This observation agrees with the results in Refs. 5 and 6 that the maximum $L/D$ is attained for the $60^\circ$ sharp-edged delta wing when the flow attaches on the flap surface without forming any large separation. However, for RLE30, a separated region is observed inboard of the flap hinge line even though the $L/D$ is an optimum for the rounded LEVF at this incidence.

At $\alpha=16^\circ$, the leading-edge separation vortex is formed over the entire wing for SLE00 and RLE00. For SLE30 and RLE30, two different separation vortices are formed over the flap surface and inboard of the flap hinge line.

At $\alpha=24^\circ$ and $28^\circ$, a large separation vortex is formed for all cases. For SLE30, it seems that two different separation vortices are formed at some chordwise stations of the flap and wing surfaces. But at the other stations, these two vortices merge and forms a single separated region. This complicated behaviour of separation might have caused the kink on the $C_L-\alpha$
curve in Fig.4a.

**$C_D - C_L$ Curves and Cross Flow Patterns**

Figs. 6a and 6b show some $C_D$ vs. $C_L$ curves together with the corresponding flow pattern sketches in the transverse plane. These were deduced from surface oil flow visualisations in Fig.5. In Fig. 6a, sharp leading-edge wing results are shown. As noted before, SLE30 attains its maximum $L/D$ at $\alpha=10^\circ$ (again the geometrical incidence is used) when there is no large separation on either of the surfaces. At higher incidence ($\alpha=14^\circ$, $16^\circ$) a separation region is formed over the flap surface. This region induces a suction force which results in the decrease in $C_D$. The behaviour of the sharp leading-edge wing in Fig 6a is similar to that reported in Refs. 5 and 6.

Fig. 6b shows $C_D$ vs. $C_L$ curves for the rounded leading-edge wings. The $C_D$-$C_L$ curve measured in Ref. 7 is also shown. At $\alpha=4^\circ$, because of the formation of the separated region on the lower surface, the $C_D$ value of RLE30 is much higher than that of RLE00. At $\alpha=10^\circ$, the Maximum $L/D$ is attained for RLE30. Because of the formation of the separation region inboard the flap hinge line, the $C_D$ is still higher than that of RLE00. This explains the reason why the benefit in $L/D$ for RLE30 was not observed at lower $C_L$ region. At higher incidence ($\alpha=16^\circ$), although the separated region is still seen inboard of the hinge line, another separated region is formed over the flap surface. With the combination of the suction effect caused by this separated region over the flap surface and the suction force around the rounded leading-edge at these high incidences, the $C_D$ of RLE30 shows a smaller value than that of RLE00 at higher incidences. This probably explains the reason why RLE30 showed the best $L/D$ values at $C_L>0.4$ in Fig. 4c. The results in Ref. 7 show a much smaller $C_D$ for the whole $C_L$ range. At $C_L=0$, $C_L$ is almost half of that in RLE00. This large decrease in friction drag due to the high Reynolds number effect is the main reason for the higher $L/D$ values shown in Fig. 3a.
Effect of Leading-Edge Suction Force

Figs. 7a and 7b shows the effect of the leading-edge suction force recoverable through rounded leading-edge deployment. The maximum drag which corresponds to 0% leading-edge suction is:

\[ C_D = C_{D0} + C_L \tan \alpha, \]

where \( C_{D0} \) is the zero lift drag.

The drag which corresponds to 100% leading-edge suction that may be achieved with a well rounded leading-edge is:

\[ C_D = C_{D0} + K C_L^2/(\pi \ AR), \]

where \( AR \) is the aspect ratio. \( K = 1.014 \) is estimated from ESDU data sheets\(^{14} \).

Using the measured \( C_{D0} \), the \( C_D \) vs. \( C_L \) curves are plotted for SLE00 (Fig.7a) and RLE00 (Fig.7b). Fig.7a shows that the sharp leading-edge delta wing (SLE00) behaves as though it had 0% leading-edge suction, as was reported in Refs.5 and 6. Fig.7b shows that the rounded leading-edge delta wing (RLE00) results are far better than the 0% leading-edge suction case, which confirms that some of the leading-edge suction is recovered by deploying the rounded leading-edge. In Fig.7b, the results in Ref.7 are also shown. These also show \( C_D \) values which are much smaller than those of RLE00.

Axial Force Distributions

Fig.8 shows the axial force coefficients \( C_A \) versus \( C_L \) curves. The \( C_A \) is defined by

\[ C_A = C_D \cos \alpha - C_L \sin \alpha. \]

It is noted that the negative value of the \( C_A \) is produced not only by the leading-edge suction force but also by the pressures acting on the positive slope area on the upper surface near the leading-edge. Even the SLE00 wing has a negative value of \( C_A \) at \( C_L \) higher than 0.3. But the suction component of \( C_A \) for the RLE00 wing is much larger than that of the SLE00, as
expected.

The \( C_A \) distributions for the wing with vortex flaps show that the strong suction force is acting on the wing at \( C_L \) higher than 0.2, even for the sharp edge wing (SLE30). The minimum \( C_A \) is attained for the RLE30 wing. This clearly corresponds to the fact that the RLE30 attained the maximum \( L/D \) at high \( C_L \).

In figure 8, the results from Ref.7 are also shown. Ref.7’s results almost coincide with those of the SLE30. It is of interest that the sharp-edged wing with vortex flaps achieves almost the same axial suction force as that by the rounded leading-edge delta wing at high Reynolds number.

### 3.3 Effect of Roughness

Figs.9a-d show the results for the wings with roughness-type boundary layer trips. Data for the rounded leading-edge wings with and without roughness (RR00, RLE00) and rounded leading-edge with \( \delta_e=30^\circ \) with and without roughness (RR30, RLE30) are shown. Applying the roughness results in no major changes to the lift coefficients, as shown in Fig.9a. But the kink observed for RLE30 at about \( \alpha=25^\circ-30^\circ \) is not seen for RR30.

Drag curves (Fig.9b) show that the \( C_D \) of the wing with LEVF deflected with roughness (RR30) is greater than that of the wing without roughness (RLE30). The lift/drag ratio curves (Fig.9c) indicate that \( L/D \) with roughness is always smaller than that without roughness.

These results suggest that there is no benefit in deploying the roughness in this experiments. The main aim of roughness is to see the sensitivity of the results to Reynolds number by simulating the turbulent boundary layer that is expected at higher Reynolds number. The present results suggest that the present model configurations are not sensitive to the Reynolds number.
3.4 Effect of Trailing-edge Flaps

Trailing-edge flaps were tested and the results are shown in Figs. 10-13. $C_L$ curves for the sharp leading-edge wing without vortex flap deflection (Fig. 10a) shows that as the trailing-edge flap is deflected downwards the $C_L$ increases. The $C_D$ (Fig. 10b) also increases as the flap is deflected downwards over a wide range of incidence. The $L/D-C_L$ curves (Fig. 10c) show that the benefit in $L/D$ is attained only at $\delta_t=15^\circ$ and $C_L>0.4$. The $C_m-C_L$ curves (Fig. 10d) show that there is a large trim change when the trailing-edge flap is deployed.

For the rounded leading-edge wing with $\delta_t=0^\circ$, similar trends are seen in Figs. 11a-11d. When the vortex flap is deflected 30°, the $C_L-\alpha$ curves (Figs. 12a and 13a), the $C_D-\alpha$ curves (Figs. 12b and 13b), the $C_m-C_L$ curves (Figs. 12d and 13d) once again indicate similar trends to those in Figs. 11. However, the $L/D-C_L$ curves (Figs. 12c and 13c) show that the benefit of the trailing-edge flap in $L/D$ is only seen at $C_L$'s $>0.75$ with $\delta_t=15^\circ$.

As described in Ref. 3, the effect of the trailing-edge flap is not to improve the $L/D$, but to increase the relative angle between the flow and the wing. The best performance of the rounded leading-edge vortex flap is attained at high $C_L$ i.e. at high incidence, as was shown before. By combining the trailing-edge flap, it is expected that similar improvements in $L/D$ can be attained at much lower incidence, because of the increase in the relative angle. However, Figs. 12c and 13c indicate that the benefits of trailing-edge flaps are very small.

4. Conclusions

1) The rounded leading-edge delta wing without vortex flap deflection offers significant improvements in $L/D$ relative to the sharp edged delta wing at low to moderate lift coefficients.
2) The maximum $L/D$ for the sharp leading-edge delta wing with 30° vortex flap deflection is attained when the flow attaches on the flap surface without any large separation. This observation agrees with those in Refs. 5 and 6.

3) The rounded leading-edge delta wing with 30° vortex flap deflection offers the best $L/D$ distribution at $C_L$'s higher than 0.4. This is due to the combined effects of vortex flap and rounded leading-edge.

4) Roughness on the leading-edge of the wing showed no significant changes in the $L/D$ ratio.

5) The trailing-edge flap improves the $C_L$, but does not improve the $L/D$ ratio. A significant pitching moment change was observed when the trailing-edge flap was deployed.

Further work is required to find the optimum LEVF deflection angle. The effect of the different rounded leading-edge radii also needs to be investigated. Delta wing aircraft often fly at supersonic speeds. Therefore, a study of a rounded leading-edge vortex flap at supersonic speeds would be of interest.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor J. L. Stollery for his beneficial advice in conducting the present study. He also expresses his gratitude to members of the workshop at the College for their help in performing the wind tunnel tests.
References


14) ESDU. Subsonic Lift-Dependent Drag due to the Trailing Vortex Wake for Wings without Camber or Twist, Engineering Sciences Data Unit Item, No.74035, London, 1974.
a) Flat Delta Wing

b) Delta Wing with Sharp L.E. Vortex Flap

 Attached Flow  
L.E. Suction

c) Delta Wing with Rounded L.E. Vortex Flap

Fig. 1 Concept of Vortex Flap and Rounded Leading-edge
Fig. 2 Delta Wing Model with LEVF
Fig. 3a) $C_L - \alpha$

Fig. 3 Repeatability and Comparisons for Rounded L.E., $\delta_f=0^\circ$ (RLE00)
Fig. 3b) $C_D - \alpha$

Fig. 3 Repeatability and Comparisons for Rounded L.E., $\delta_l=0^\circ$ (RLE00)
Fig. 3c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 3 Repeatability and Comparisons for Rounded L.E., $\delta_f=0^\circ$ (RLE00)
Fig. 3d) $C_m - \alpha$

Fig. 3 Repeatability and Comparisons for Rounded L.E., $\delta_r=0^\circ$ (RLE00)
Fig. 4 Effect of Rounded L.E. with and without flap deflection

$\alpha$ - $\alpha$

$C_l$ - $C_l$
Fig. 4c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 4 Effect of Rounded L.E. with and without flap deflection
Fig. 4d) $C_m - C_L$

Fig. 4 Effect of Rounded L.E. with and without flap deflection
Fig. 5 Surface Flow Patterns
Fig. 6a) Sharp L.E.

Fig. 6 $C_D$ vs. $C_L$ and Cross Flow Patterns
Fig. 6b) Rounded L.E.

Fig. 6 $C_D$ vs. $C_L$ and Cross Flow Patterns
Fig. 7a) Sharp L.E., $\delta_t = 0^\circ$

Fig. 7 Effect of Leading-Edge Suction Force on $C_D$
Fig. 7b) Rounded L.E., $\delta = 0^\circ$

Fig. 7 Effect of Leading-Edge Suction Force on $C_D$
Fig. 8 Axial Force $C_A$ vs. $C_L$
Fig 9b) $C_D - \alpha$

Fig 9 Effect of Roughness
Fig. 9c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 9 Effect of Roughness
Fig. 9d) $C_m - C_L$

Fig. 9 Effect of Roughness
Fig. 10a) $C_L - \alpha$

Fig. 10 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_t=0^\circ$
Fig. 10b) $C_D - \alpha$

Fig. 10 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_t=0^\circ$
Fig. 10c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 10 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_f=0^\circ$
Fig. 10d) $C_m - C_L$

Fig. 10 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_r=0^\circ$
Fig. 11a) $C_L - \alpha$

Fig. 11 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_f=0^\circ$
Fig. 11b) $C_D - \alpha$

Fig. 11 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_t = 0^\circ$
Fig. 11c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 11 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_t=0^\circ$
Fig. 11d) $C_m - C_L$

Fig. 11 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_r = 0^\circ$
Fig. 12a) $C_L - \alpha$

Fig. 12 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_f = 30^\circ$
Fig. 12b $C_D - \alpha$

Fig. 12 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_f = 30^\circ$
Fig. 12c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 12 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_f = 30^\circ$
Fig. 12d) $C_m - C_L$

Fig. 12 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Sharp L.E., $\delta_f=30^\circ$
Fig. 13b) $C_D - \alpha$

Fig. 13 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_f=30^\circ$
Fig. 13c) $L/D - C_L$

Fig. 13 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_f=30^\circ$
Fig. 13d) $C_m - C_L$

Fig. 13 Effect of Trailing-Edge Flap on Rounded L.E., $\delta_t=30^\circ$