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ABSTRACT

Increasing CO₂ emissions from the energy and industrial sectors are a worldwide concern due to the effects that these emissions have on the global climate. Carbon capture and storage has been identified as one of a portfolio of technologies that would mitigate the effects of global warming in the upcoming decades.

Calcium looping is a second generation carbon capture technology aimed at reducing the CO₂ emissions from the power and industrial sectors. This thesis assesses the improvement of the calcium looping cycle for CO₂ capture through enhanced sorbent production and testing at lab-, bench- and pilot-scale, and a new operational mode with high oxygen concentrations in the calciner through experimental campaigns in Cranfield’s 25 kWth pilot unit.

Novel biomass-templated sorbents were produced using the pelletisation technique and tested at different conditions in a thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) and a bench-scale plant comprising a bubbling fluidised bed (BFB) reactor. Moreover, the effects of sorbent poisoning by SO₂, and the influence of steam were studied in order to explore the effects of real flue gas on this type of material. In addition to the chemical performance, the mechanical strength, i.e. resistance to fragmentation of these materials was tested.

In addition, two different kinds of enhanced materials were produced and tested at pilot-scale. Namely, calcium aluminate pellets and HBr-doped limestone were used in experimental campaigns in Cranfield’s 25 kWth pilot plant comprising a CFB carbonator and a BFB calciner. The suitability of these materials for Ca looping was assessed and operation challenges were identified in order to provide a basis for synthetic sorbent testing at a larger scale.

Lastly, a new operational mode was tested, which is aimed at reducing the heat provided to the calciner through high oxygen concentration combustion of a hydrocarbon (in this case natural gas) in the calciner. This approach reduces or even eliminates the recirculated CO₂ stream in the calciner. In consequence, this results in a lower capital (reduced size of the calciner) and operational cost
(less oxygen and less fuel use). Several pilot plant campaigns were performed using limestone as solid sorbent in order to prove this concept, which was successfully verified for concentrations of up to 100% vol oxygen in the inlet to the calciner.

**Keywords:** Carbon capture, high temperature solid looping, enhanced CaO-based materials, pilot plant testing, CO₂ capture performance, fragmentation
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2DS</td>
<td>2 Degree Scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD</td>
<td>Atomic Layer Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASU</td>
<td>Air Separation Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFB</td>
<td>Bubbling Fluidised Bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaL</td>
<td>Calcium looping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFB</td>
<td>Circulating Fluidised Bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>Chemical Looping Combustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB</td>
<td>Fluidised Bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSL</td>
<td>High Temperature Solid Looping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP-OES</td>
<td>Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Internal Diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGCC</td>
<td>Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWR</td>
<td>Long-wave Radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>Monoethanolamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Pyroligneous Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Precipitated Calcium Carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHSR</td>
<td>Pressurised Heated Strip Reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>Particle Size Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research &amp; Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>International System of Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATS</td>
<td>Self-Assembly Template Synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning Electron Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWR</td>
<td>Short-wave Radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>Triethanolamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>X-Ray Diffraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and motivation

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [1], the global system is exhibiting unambiguous proof of warming; many of these alterations are unique over decades to millennia. Some examples of the consequences of climate change are: the higher average air and ocean temperatures, the augmented sea level and the decline in the quantities of terrestrial snow and ice. These changes have not only been experienced in natural systems, but are also increasingly affecting human activities.

The land and ocean temperatures and global average sea level are shown in Figure 1-1, which illustrates the extraordinary growth of the temperatures as well as the sea level over the past decades, as a consequence of climate change.

![Figure 1-1](attachment:figure1.png)

**Figure 1-1:** (a) Annually and globally averaged combined land and ocean surface temperature anomaly relative to the average over the period 1850-2012 (b) Annually and globally averaged sea level change relative to the average over the period 1900-2012 (colours indicate different data sets) [1]
These increases are attributed to the rising concentrations of greenhouse gases due to the widespread use of fossil fuels. Carbon dioxide is the gas that makes the largest contribution [2]; global CO₂ concentrations have increased from 280 ppmᵥ in the pre-industrial era to more than 400 ppmᵥ for the first time in May 2013 [3, 4] and to 403.95 ppmᵥ in January 2017 [5].

![Figure 1-2: Recent Global monthly mean CO₂ concentration over the past five years (the red line represents the monthly average, whilst the black line represents the monthly trend) [5]](image)

Mitigation measures are necessary in order to restrict the increasing concentration of greenhouse gases, specifically for CO₂ to the level of 450 ppmᵥ to avoid excessive climate change consequences. The current predicted trend is that the energy demand will increase by 34% between 2014 and 2035 [6]. As a result, it is reasonable to expect that fossil fuels will play an important role in the short- to medium-term due to the slow deployment of low-carbon and renewable technologies that can take up to 30 years to become the dominant sources of thermal energy [7].

It is essential for fossil fuel-fired power plants to be decarbonised if the CO₂ concentration is to be limited to the aforementioned level. Carbon capture and storage could provide a mitigation option for CO₂ emission from the power generation and industrial sectors, which are particularly carbon intensive. These
technologies can offer a short- to medium-term solution while the infrastructure, policies, markets and distribution networks are deployed for the widespread introduction of low carbon technologies [7]. According to the European Commission (EC), emissions in the developed countries should be reduced by 30% in 2020 and by 60-80 % in 2050 in order to reach the goal of the two degree scenario (2DS) [8]. The EC has also stated that these aims cannot be accomplished without the implementation of CCS, and suggests that CCS should account for 13 % of the cumulative CO₂ reductions, compared to 30% for renewable energy technologies [9, 10]. According to these data, the rapid deployment of the CCS technologies is of vital importance.

There are several challenges associated with CCS technologies, including the financial cost and the energy penalty associated with the whole chain. Currently, the technology that is closest to the market is post-combustion solvent scrubbing, with solvents such as monoethanolamide (MEA) [11]. Nevertheless, there are some challenges associated with this technology, namely, solvent poisoning (by SO₂ or O₂ [12-14]), solvent degradation and high solvent cost [15]. Another technology that is reasonably close to the market is oxy-fuel combustion; in this system fuel is burnt in a mixture of O₂ and CO₂, where a portion of the outlet gas is recycled to the combustion chamber in order to control the flame temperature. Unfortunately, this process requires an air separation unit (ASU) in order to provide the large quantities of O₂ demanded.

The challenges mentioned here have motivated researchers to explore other CO₂ capture technologies, such as membrane separation or high temperature solid looping cycles. The work presented in this thesis is focused on calcium looping, which utilises two reactors wherein the reversible carbonation/calcination reaction between CaO and CO₂ operates. The advantages of this process are:

- The production of high-grade heat from the carbonation reaction, which can be used to run an additional steam cycle;

- The use of CaO, which is a cheap, environmentally friendly and widely available material;
The synergy with the cement industry, as the spent sorbent can be used in the kiln as a source of CaO, potentially decarbonising both industries;

This process can be applied in post-combustion or pre-combustion scenarios for CO₂ capture; and

Fluidised–bed reactors are a mature technology.

Taking into account all the advantages mentioned above, calcium looping can result in efficiency penalty reduction and economic savings when compared to the other technologies, such as amine scrubbing or oxy-fuel combustion [16]. The main part of the research in this area is focused on sorbent deactivation and how to mitigate this reactivity decay over increasing number of cycles. Several methods and techniques have been developed to enhance natural materials, to produce new synthetic materials and to reactivate spent sorbent. Another crucial line of research is the scale-up of this technology going from TGA and lab-scale experiments to pilot- and demonstration-scale units in order to prove the concept completely before commercialisation.

1.2 Aims and objectives

The aim of this PhD is to contribute towards the body of knowledge of calcium looping for CO₂ capture focusing on scaling-up and improvement of solid sorbents as well as the effects of high oxygen concentrations in the calciner in the overall process through experimental work. In consequence, there are two linked aims taking part in this PhD project:

Aim 1: Demonstrate the capture performance and fragmentation resistance of enhanced sorbents at TGA and lab-scale.

Objective 1a Conduct a detailed review of the developments in sorbent production for the calcium looping cycle.

Objective 1b Demonstrate the scalability of the pelletisation and doping techniques for particle production.
**Objective 1c** Assess the $CO_2$ performance of biomass-templated pellets using flour as a cheap biomass source through TGA experiment and bench scale experimental campaigns.

**Objective 1d** Assess the effect of $SO_2$ and steam on the capture performance of the biomass-templated sorbents.

**Objective 1e** Evaluate the fragmentation behaviour of the produced materials as a measure of their mechanical stability.

**Aim 2:** Study the effects of high oxygen concentrations in the calciner and the performance of enhanced sorbents in Cranfield’s 25 kW$_{th}$ calcium looping pilot plant.

**Objective 2a** Assess the effect of high oxygen concentrations in the calciner in the 25 kW$_{th}$ pilot plant with limestone.

**Objective 2b** Test enhanced sorbents in the 25 kW$_{th}$ pilot plant under high oxygen concentrations.

**1.3 Novelty and linkage of project outputs**

In order to reach the objectives established for this research project, a number of contributions have been made to the scientific body of knowledge. These have been reported in four published journal publications and an unpublished manuscript; the linkage among them is presented in Figure 1-3.
As a basis for this thesis, an extensive literature review on sorbent performance was undertaken in the form of Publication 1 [17]. This publication explored the deactivation mechanisms for calcium looping sorbents, modifications of natural sorbents for enhanced performance, and the types of synthetic materials that have been recently researched. After the literature review, promising and viable solutions were identified for the production of CaO-based, improved solid materials for CO₂ capture. The results of the CO₂ capture performance experiments and the experiments on the fragmentation of the synthetic sorbents prepared can be found in Publications 2 and 3 [18, 19]. The effects of SO₂ and steam on capture performance can also be found in Publication 2. These publications are highly linked since they study almost the same materials but in
two different aspects: the reactivity and the mechanical stability of the manufactured particles.

Moreover, the results from Publications 2 and 3 led to the use of calcium aluminate pellets in the section 7.3.3 of Manuscript 1, since these showed the most promising results with regards to both reactivity and mechanical stability. Publication 4 describes the efforts to develop an operating procedure that was used for the experiments presented in Manuscript 1. In other words, these manuscripts are also highly connected as the experimental procedure used during all of the pilot plant campaigns was developed using limestone in the experiments described in Publication 4 [20].

1.4 Outline of PhD Thesis

The structure of this doctoral thesis with a brief description of the content of each chapter is detailed below:

- **Chapter 1** the background and motivation of this research project are detailed in this chapter, as well as the aims and objectives and an overview of the novelty and how the publications are linked with the aims and objectives.
- **Chapter 2** a general literature review is presented in this chapter with a focus on carbon capture and storage as a chain and more specifically on calcium looping as a post-combustion technology for CO₂ capture.
- **Chapter 3** an in-depth literature review is presented in this chapter describing the developments in calcium looping sorbents. Namely, it discusses natural sorbents, enhanced sorbents, synthetic sorbents and re-activation techniques, giving recommendations for future research.
- **Chapter 4** presents the methodology and results on CO₂ capture performance of four different types of synthetic materials in a thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) and a bench-scale fluidised-bed reactor. It also discusses the effects that SO₂ and steam have on the overall performance of these solid sorbents.
Chapter 5 describes the methodology and results with regard to particle fragmentation of three synthetic materials as well as an in-depth particle characterisation section.

Chapter 6 offers insights to the operational experience gained through several experimental campaigns in the 25 kW<sub>th</sub> calcium looping pilot plant operated with high oxygen concentration in the calciner.

Chapter 7 details the results from the experimental campaigns of Cranfield’s 25 kW<sub>th</sub> reactor configuration when using enhanced sorbents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

Chapter 8 presents a general discussion of all the work done through the course of this research linking all the chapters together.

Chapter 9 deals with concluding remarks, contributions to existing knowledge and recommendations for future research based on the outcomes detailed in this thesis.
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2 GENERAL LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Climate change and greenhouse gas emission

The IPCC refers to climate change as an alteration in the state of the climate; this variation can be recognised by changes in the variability and/or mean of climatic properties (e.g. average temperature, rain fall and weather patterns, among others). Additionally, these alternations need to persist for a long period of time, usually decades or longer, to be considered as climate change [1].

To understand how global warming works, an understanding of Earth’s radiation balance is necessary. Radiation coming, primarily from the Sun, enters the atmosphere, mostly as visible light. Since the Earth’s temperature has been relatively stable for centuries, the solar radiation entering the atmosphere should balance the outgoing radiation. As represented in Figure 2-1, around half of the incoming shortwave radiation (SWR) is absorbed by the Earth’s surface, about 30% is reflected back to space and 20% is absorbed by the atmosphere [2]. The longwave radiation (LWR) emitted by the Earth’s surface (albedo) is mainly absorbed by greenhouse gases and clouds, both of which emit infrared radiation in all directions. The LWR emitted to the Earth’s surface, by the clouds and greenhouse gases, heats the lower layers of the atmosphere, causing what we call the greenhouse gas effect. Therefore, if there is an increased concentration of these gases in the atmosphere, they will emit more LWR, consequently increasing the heat received by the Earth’s surface.
Figure 2-1: Main drivers of climate change. The radiative balance between incoming solar shortwave radiation (SWR) and outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) [2]

It is now accepted that the effects of anthropogenic global warming are the result of an increase in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions produced primarily from fossil fuel combustion. Figure 2-2 shows the rise in the concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) since 1750 (40%, 150% and 200% increase, respectively), with the increase in the concentration of CO₂ being the most rapid of the decade with 2 ppm/year since 2011 [3, 4]. However, not all of these gases have the same greenhouse potential, with N₂O and CH₄ having 265 and 28 times greater warming potential than CO₂, respectively. Nonetheless, as can be seen in Figure 2-2, they are only present at ppb-level in the atmosphere compared with the ppm-level CO₂ concentrations. Moreover, the concentration of these gases has not increased at the same rate. A representation of this rate can be seen in Figure 2-3.
Figure 2-2: Observed changes in atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations (CO$_2$, CH$_4$, N$_2$O) [3]

Figure 2-3: Global anthropogenic GHG emissions by gases from 1970-2010 [5]

According to Figure 2-3, carbon dioxide is the most emitted greenhouse gas and contributes about 76% of the total emissions. Economic and population growth are the main drivers for the increase of CO$_2$ emissions over time. However, it should be noted that population growth between 2000 and 2010 has
remained the same when compared to the previous three decades, whilst the impact of economic growth has increased considerably.

The effects of CO$_2$ on global warming were first discussed by Tyndall in 1859 [6]. Furthermore, Arrhenius did the first calculations on the repercussions of carbon dioxide emissions on global warming in 1896 [7], and he noticed that the changes of atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration may cause long-term variations in the climate system.

Climate change caused by anthropogenic emissions will have other environmental implications; these implications are given the name of climate change indicators. These include sea level increases, which will cause inland inundation of areas close to sea level. Another indicator is ocean acidification as a result of the increased concentration of CO$_2$ in sea water, which poses great risk to ocean ecosystems due to changes in nutrients and food chains. The last indicator is the ice melting, especially in the Artic, sea ice has been thinning and its volume has reduced [8, 9], which contributes to the increase in sea level as well. These effects combined with extreme weather may lead to floods, desertification and drought, which will result in crop failure, low water supplies, human migration, spreading of diseases and extinction of vulnerable species. All of this will result in greater population in the areas that are fit for human inhabitation, which will raise the stress on the resources and lead to potential human conflicts.

In conclusion, mitigation options to limit the effects of climate change are needed. It is important to note that most of the CO$_2$ emissions come from the power generation sector and the industrial sector [10]. Figure 2-4 shows the global electricity generation prediction by source. It can be seen that the total share of fossil fuel generation falls from 68% in 2012 to 55% in 2040, whilst the electricity generation increases over three-quarters between 2012 and 2040. Coal’s share drops from 41% to 31% during this period, oil’s share also decreases from 5% to 1%. However, the share of natural gas is the only one among all fossil fuels that sees an increment from 22% in 2012 to 38% in 2040. Nuclear remains almost stable with a small boost of 1% during this period, in
contrast, the share of all the renewable energy sources increases to more than double, attaining around one-third of the global electricity generation in 2040. All of this growth comes from non-hydro sources, with wind power accounting for more of half of this boost.

Figure 2-4: World electricity generation by source [11]

It is important to highlight that a portfolio of low-carbon technologies needs to be deployed in order to decarbonise the energy sector. It should be noted that more than a third of the greenhouse gases emissions in 2010 came from the power sector [12]; therefore, its decarbonisation can make a significant contribution towards achieving the 2DS emission reduction targets. However, it must also be remembered that the industrial sector accounts for one fifth of the global CO₂ emissions [13], and its decarbonisation is equally important in order to meet the specific targets agreed by governments.

2.2 Carbon capture and storage

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) involves a chain of processes designed to reduce the CO₂ emissions from large sources of combustion and other chemical reactions, e.g. large-scale power plants and industrial sources. The chain comprises the CO₂ capture, compression, transport, storage and/or utilisation and monitoring. These processes can be seen in Figure 2-5.
Figure 2-5: Schematic diagram of possible CCS systems showing the sources for which CCS might be relevant, transport of CO₂ and storage options [14]

Plants with integrated CCS systems need between 10-40% more energy to operate the CCS processes. Most of this energy is used in the CO₂ capture and compression stages. This increases CO₂ production, which can be seen in Figure 2-6, and the net result of adding the CCS system is that the CO₂ emissions drop 80-90% (CO₂ avoided) when compared with a reference plant [14].

Figure 2-6: CO₂ emitted, captured and avoided [14]
2.2.1 CO₂ capture technologies

There are three paths for CO₂ capture in the power generation systems as can be seen in Figure 2-7. These are: post-combustion, pre-combustion and oxy-fuel combustion, which are detailed below.

![Diagram of CO₂ capture processes for power generation](image)

**Figure 2-7: Schematic of CO₂ capture processes for power generation [15]**

**2.2.1.1 Post-combustion CO₂ capture**

In these processes, flue gas is treated in order to capture CO₂ after the combustion takes places. The flue gas generally contains 3-15% CO₂ (depending on the fuel used), as well as steam, nitrogen, SOₓ, NOₓ and particulates. These post-combustion CO₂ capture technologies can be retrofitted to existing plants, i.e. the CO₂ capture processes can be placed downstream of the flue gas treatment of an already built power generation unit [16]. There are various technologies that can be deployed in such systems including: chemical or physical absorption, membrane separation or adsorption.

The main disadvantage of these processes is the energy penalty associated with the low CO₂ partial pressure in the flue gas (~0.1 MPa) after combustion [14, 17]. On the other hand, it is important to highlight that these capture methods can be retrofitted to existing units, which even if it means pre-investing
in modifications for existing units, it will be cheaper than having to build new units to implement carbon capture technologies[18].

2.2.1.2 Pre-combustion CO$_2$ capture

For the pre-combustion approach, the fuel is partially oxidised in order to produce a syngas containing mainly CO and H$_2$, and then, the fuel is decarbonised before the combustion process occurs. This technology is suitable for being retrofitted in IGCC (Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle) units and is also appropriate for natural gas reforming plants. Once syngas is obtained, it enters a water-gas shift reactor where steam reacts with the CO in a catalytic chamber producing the mixture containing CO$_2$ (typically between 15-60% [14]) and H$_2$. After the catalytic reactor, the CO$_2$ can be separated from the H$_2$ by means of absorption, adsorption or membrane separation.

This approach has the potential to be the most economically viable of all the capture options [19, 20], and the efficiency penalties of this process have been calculated to be half of those for a post-combustion process. The H$_2$ produced in these plants can be used as a carbon-free fuel for production of heat and electricity. However, it is important to note that this capture path incurs energy penalties separation of oxygen needed for the gasification process [21].

2.2.1.3 Oxy-fuel combustion

In an oxy-fuel combustion unit the fuel is combusted in an oxygen-rich atmosphere to produce a flue gas comprising mainly CO$_2$ (80-98 %, depending on the fuel and system used [22]) and H$_2$O, owing to the absence of nitrogen from air. Furthermore, this means that emission of NO$_x$ is typically lower when compared with combustion in air.

The combustion temperature can be excessively high if the fuel is burnt in pure oxygen; therefore, a portion of the flue gas is recycled into the combustion chamber in order to moderate the flame temperature [22]. The oxygen used in this process is usually produced by cryogenic separation of air; however, this process has a high energy penalty [23, 24]. Thus, new air separation techniques are being investigated such as membrane separation and chemical
looping processes [14, 25]. However, even accounting for energy penalties associated with oxygen production, oxy-fuel combustion is characterised with similar costs and efficiencies to those of post-combustion capture [26, 27].

2.2.1.4 Industrial processes

It is vital to highlight that industrial processes account for one-fifth of the global CO₂ emissions (Figure 2-8); hence, their decarbonisation is crucial to meet the 2DS target. Also, it should be noted that the options to control emissions from the industrial sector are limited, with CCS being the only suitable possibility for large sources of industrial CO₂.

![Figure 2-8: CO₂ emissions by sector [28]](image)

Within the industrial sector, the cement industry is the second main CO₂ emitting sector with a total emission of 2 GtCO₂ per year in 2007 [29]. For this sector, post-combustion capture is deemed as a viable decarbonisation route due to the moderately high CO₂ concentrations in the flue gas (15-30%vol), as it can be retrofitted to current cement plants [30]. Oxy-fuel combustion in cement kilns has also been suggested as a suitable option [30]. Finally, there is a synergy between the cement industry and calcium looping; the spent sorbent from the capture process can be used as a feedstock in the cement kiln as is explained further in Chapter 2.3.2.3 [31].

The iron and steel industry is one of the most energy-intensive sectors in the world. In 2007, this sector alone accounted for 20% of the total industrial energy
consumption [32]. Two approaches can be used in this sector: the first path involves pure oxygen being injected in the blast furnace, whilst the flue gas is being recycled at the top instead of air; and the second path is pre-combustion where the fuel is decarbonised before it enters the blast furnace, the resulting hydrogen could be used to reduce the iron ore. A predicted capture efficiency of 90-95% could be achieved [14].

### 2.2.2 CO₂ transport

There are four methods for CO₂ transport; namely: pipelines, ships, trains and trucks. The means of transport is subjected to the volume of transported gas and the distance [16]. CO₂ is typically transported in supercritical phase [16], its phase diagram can be found in Figure 2-9, where the critical point can be seen at 31.1°C and 73.9 bar.

![Carbon dioxide phase diagram](image)

**Figure 2-9: Carbon dioxide phase diagram [27]**

Pipeline and ships are considered to be the most viable options [33]. The pipeline transportation is prefered, however, ships are feasible when the CO₂ volume is small or the distance is excessively long (1000-1500 km).

For pipeline transportation, the CO₂ is kept at ambient temperature at a pressure in the range of 85-150 bar [16]. Corrosion and hydrate formation are
serious issues when dealing with pipeline transportation. Purity specifications are even stricter for CO$_2$ transportation by ships, and free water is a key concern as it can freeze. Therefore, a purity of CO$_2$ of 99.7% is required to avoid this phenomenon [34]. It is important to highlight that these impurities do not only affect the CO$_2$ transport, but also the injection and storage in two different manners: by modifying thermo-physical properties [35, 36] or by altering the chemical properties of the CO$_2$ affecting material corrosion and interactions rocks in the storage reservoir [36, 37].

2.2.3 CO$_2$ storage

CO$_2$ storage is the last step in the CCS chain, and it is imperative that safe storage is assured in order to minimise the risk of leakage and other risks to the environment and population’s health [17, 38]. There are numerous approaches for CO$_2$ storage, such as geological storage, which is considered as the most viable option, ocean storage and mineral carbonation [16, 39]. It is important to note that CO$_2$ storage sites should be sensibly selected. Some of the requirements for CO$_2$ storage sites are: suitable porosity, permeability and thickness of the reservoir rocks, good sealing capability of the cap rock and stable geological environment [40]. Storage sites mainly involve three types of geological formations: depleted oil and gas reservoirs, unmineable coal beds and saline aquifers. An illustration of the different storage methods in geological formations can be found in Figure 2-10.
CO₂ can be injected into depleted oil and/or gas reservoirs in order to increase the pressure, consequently extracting residual oil and gas, whilst the CO₂ remains in the reservoir perpetually. These technologies are mature with several studies on migration simulation [41, 42], geochemical modelling [43, 44] and risk assessment [45]. Another path is to inject CO₂ into deep beds of coal in order to extract methane trapped in the porous structure of coal seams. The CO₂ is then stored in the space formed by the removal of the trapped methane. Nevertheless, there are some challenges associated with this technique such as issues related to storage capacity, storage integrity, safety and physical and chemical processes [46]. Another geological storage option is saline aquifers, which can be found extensively at sites both onshore and offshore; these aquifers are typically 700-1000 m below ground level [47]. It has been estimated that these geological formations have significant storage potential [14], although they have no commercial use. Crucial parameters for storage in deep saline aquifers include: CO₂ phase behaviour, CO₂-water-rock interaction, and CO₂ trapping mechanisms [16].

A different possibility is mineral carbonation, which is defined as an accelerated form of weathering of natural silicate rock. Here, a metal oxide reacts with CO₂
to form insoluble carbonates and heat. This reaction can take place below (in situ) or above (ex situ) the surface. When the reaction takes place below the ground (in situ), it involves the injection of CO\textsubscript{2} into reservoirs in order to promote the reaction between the CO\textsubscript{2} and the minerals [48]. For ex situ processes, the material needs to be extracted and ground to the micron level for the reaction to occur [14].

Another approach would be to inject CO\textsubscript{2} into the ocean at depths greater than 3 km; here the ocean pressure would ensure that the CO\textsubscript{2} is liquefied and remains at the bottom of the ocean owing to its higher density when compared to sea water at that depth [49, 50]. Oceans are the biggest natural CO\textsubscript{2} sink, and it has been estimated that oceans take carbon at a rate of 1.7 Gt annually, which is larger than the amount of CO\textsubscript{2} sequestered by all terrestrial vegetation [51]. For this reason, ocean storage could be a suitable potential sink for large amounts of anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2}. However, there are some issues associated with this method. Namely, injecting large amounts of CO\textsubscript{2} into the oceans may lead to ocean acidification, affecting the marine ecosystems [52].

2.3 High temperature solid loopings (HTSL)

These technologies are based on the use of high-temperature solids to transfer CO\textsubscript{2}, in the case of calcium looping (CaL), or O\textsubscript{2}, in the case of chemical looping combustion (CLC), between two interconnected fluidised-bed reactors. There is a clear advantage of HTSL processes when compared to oxy-fuel combustion. Namely, there is no requirement for an ASU, for CLC, and the ASU required for CaL is about a third the size of that for a typical oxy-fired combustion unit [53].

One of the most highlighted aspects of HSTL is the need for a suitable solid material that circulates between the reactors. These solid materials are known as oxygen carriers for CLC, and sorbents for CaL. The physical characteristics of these solid materials are alike for both processes since both are used in fluidized bed systems; however, the chemistry is different. These materials should be environmentally benign, suitable for the reverse reactions occurring over multiple cycles, and they should have sufficient carrying capacity for O\textsubscript{2} (CLC) and CO\textsubscript{2} (CaL). Moreover, these solids should be cheap in order to make
the process economically feasible, and mechanically strong and not prone to agglomeration, especially if they are to be used in fluidized bed systems.

2.3.1 Chemical looping combustion

This concept was first proposed by Lewis and Gilliland [54], where they presented a copper-based cyclic process in order to produce a pure CO₂ stream. Subsequently, it was suggested as a CO₂ capture technology by Ishida and Jin [55], who noted a potential to decrease the exergy losses associated with combustion processes. An illustration of the CLC process is provided in Figure 2-11. The solid material (oxygen carrier) is oxidised in the presence of air in the air reactor following Equation (2-1). The solids are then transferred to the fuel reactor, where they are reduced by the fuel, providing the required oxygen for the combustion; this reaction is shown in Equation (2-2). CO₂ and steam leave the fuel reactor, then, the water is condensed and a highly pure CO₂ stream is left ready for compression and storage [56]. The oxygen carrier in reduced form is transferred back to the air reactor, where the cycle starts again. The oxygen carrier oxidation is an exothermic reaction; the reduction, can be endothermic or exothermic depending on the oxygen carrier and the fuel used [57].

![Diagram of CLC process]

Figure 2-11: Typical example of a CLC scheme
\[ M_yO_{x-1} + Air \rightarrow M_yO_x \] (2-1)

\[ (2n + m)M_yO_x + C_nH_{2m} = (2n + m)M_yO_{x-1} + mH_2O + nCO_2 \] (2-2)

One of the advantages of this process is the fact that it can be utilised with different types of fuels: gases, liquids and solids; while most of the research efforts is currently on gaseous fuels usage, increasingly, research is being directed towards the use of CLC for solid fuels [58].

### 2.3.2 Calcium looping

#### 2.3.2.1 Introduction

Calcium looping, as a post-combustion CO\(_2\) capture technology, was first proposed by Shimizu et al. [53]. It is based on the reversible carbonation/calcination reaction of lime-based sorbents as it can be seen in Equation (2-3).

\[ CaO + CO_2 \leftrightarrow CaCO_3 \quad \Delta H_{25^\circ C} = -178.2 \text{ kJ/mol} \] (2-3)

The flue gas from the power plant enters the carbonator, where the CO\(_2\) reacts with the CaO, typically at 650 °C, to form CaCO\(_3\), which is an exothermic reaction. The saturated sorbent is then transferred to the calciner, where it releases CO\(_2\) at high temperature in an endothermic process, called calcination. The system usually comprises two circulating fluidised beds (CFB), but there are other configurations, such as a CFB and a BFB. In the most widely used configuration, oxygen is fed in the calciner to burn fuel in order to provide heat for the endothermic calcination reaction. Therefore, an air separation unit (ASU) is required to produce oxygen, but this ASU need only be one third of the size of an oxy-fired coal power unit [53]. A schematic of a representative CaL system is shown in Figure 2-12.
Another significant benefit of this process is that it utilises technologies that have been demonstrated at large-scale, namely, fluidised beds. Large units of up to 460MWe for both atmospheric and pressurised operation are available [53, 59].

In Figure 2-13, the temperature vs. equilibrium vapour pressure of CO₂ in the CaO/CO₂/CaCO₃ system is shown. Above the line, carbonation takes place and in the conditions below the line is where the calcination reaction occurs. The equation of Baker [60] (Equation (2-4) in SI units) was developed using experimental data for temperatures above 1170 K and CO₂ pressures above 1.01 bar, therefore, it correlates the data for these conditions extremely well, but it should be noted that there are deviations at lower temperatures and lower CO₂ partial pressures.
A key challenge for the CaL is sorbent degradation with increasing number of cycles. Natural limestone has a residual capacity of around 8-10%, this degradation during carbonation/calcination cycles is due to the sintering process that a particle undergoes mainly under calcination conditions. There are several routes to reduce this degradation, from enhancing the properties of natural sorbents to producing new synthetic sorbents, as well as reactivation for spent sorbent, and these are all potentially important to ensure the process is as economically viable as possible.

2.3.2.2 Pilot-plant testing of CaL

Another important challenge is the scale-up of the plants from bench/lab scale to pilot/demonstration scale. Currently, there are several plants demonstrating the calcium looping cycle at pilot and demonstration scale, and these include:

- **Darmstadt University of Technology (Germany)**

  The 1 MWth facility comprises two interconnected refractory-lined CFB reactors. The carbonator is 8.66 m tall with 0.59 m ID, operating at temperatures around 650°C, with internal cooling to control the temperature. The calciner is 11.35 m high and 0.4 m ID, and it operates at temperatures below 1000°C. It is important
to highlight the fact that the limestone is fed into the carbonator, where is
heated by the exothermic reaction, this configuration is meant to reduce fuel
and oxygen consumption in the calciner [62]. A schematic of the plant is given
in Figure 2-14. This unit was operated successfully for 400 h. The capture
efficiencies achieved during these tests were of up to 90%, close to the
equilibrium limits at these temperatures.

Figure 2-14: Experimental set up of the 1 MW$_{th}$ facility [62]

- Institut für Feuerungs- und Kraftwerkstechnik (IFK (Germany))

The IFK has developed a 200 kW$_{th}$ unit in order to investigate the suitability of
CaL at larger scale. A diagram of this unit and its configurations is presented in
Figure 2-15. The plant comprises two CFBs, however, the carbonator can be
used in a fast-fluidisation regime or in a turbulent regime. The calciner always
operates in a fast-fluidisation regime and is 10 m high and 0.21 m ID, and it
operates at temperatures ranging from 875 to 930°C. There are two reactors
that can serve as the carbonator, when operating in the fast fluidisation regime,
it is 10 m tall and 0.23 m ID with operating temperatures of around 650°C [63];
however, when operating as a turbulent bed it is 6 m tall and 0.33 m ID, with
operating temperatures of 650-730°C [64]. Successful operation was proven for
more than 600 h, where the facility reached up to 90% capture efficiency in the carbonator [65]. A diagram of this unit can be seen in Figure 2-15.

![Diagram of the 200 kWth unit with its two possible configurations](image)

**Figure 2-15: Diagram of the 200 kWth unit with its two possible configurations [66]**

- **Industrial Technology Research Institute (Taiwan)**

Here, a 1.9 MWth plant consists of a BFB carbonator operating in a range of 600-700°C. The calciner is a moving bed, i.e. a rotary kiln, and it operates at temperatures ranging from 800 to 900°C [67]. The CO$_2$ capture level is between 80-95%. One crucial aspect of this design is the uniform temperature distribution in the calciner due to the configuration, which results in a higher usable length that can be used for calcination. A photo illustrating the construction of this plant can be seen in Figure 2-16.
This 1.7 MWth pilot was developed comprising two interconnected circulating fluidised beds, operated usually with gas velocities of 3-5 m/s for the circulation to occur in a proper manner [68]. The carbonator is 15 m high and has an ID of 0.75 m, and it operates in a range of temperatures varying form 600-715°C, the calciner is the same height but 0.65 m ID, and it operates at 820-950°C. Here, the calciner is fed with coal with a choice of oxy- or air-firing. The sorbent is transported from one reactor to the other by double bubbling fluidised loop seals, which allows control of the solid inventory in both fluidised beds. This unit was operated for more than 380 h with a capture efficiency in the range of 40-95% [69]. It was reported that CO₂ capture in the carbonator was close to the equilibrium, if the solid inventory was properly controlled [70]. A schematic of this unit is shown in Figure 2-17.
The 75 kWth unit comprises a carbonator that can be operated under moving or bubbling bed conditions and a CFB calciner. The carbonator and calciner of this plant can be used in several heights: 2-5 m with 0.1 m ID for the carbonator, which operates at temperatures in the range of 580 to 720°C. The calciner, on the other hand, is 4.5-5 m tall with 0.1 m ID and operates at 850-950°C depending on the application [71]. This plant was operated continuously for more than 50 h. Some of the results showed CO2 capture level of up to 97% during the first cycles [72]. An illustration of this unit can be found in Figure 2-18.
The 25 kW\textsubscript{th} unit developed by Cranfield University comprises a CFB carbonator, which is 4.3 m tall and 0.1 m ID, and a BFB calciner, which is 1.2 m high and 0.165 m ID. The carbonator and the calciner are electrically heated in order to reach and maintain the desired temperatures [73]. The solids are transferred from one reactor to the other via loop seals [74]. The fresh sorbent is fed to the calciner. This plant has undergone modifications as part of this work, which can be found in Appendix C. The commissioning process of the modified unit can be found in Appendix D. Besides, new results on experimental campaigns using the modified plant can be found in Chapter 6 and Chapter 7. A schematic of the modified plant can be found in Figure 2-19.
2.3.2.3 Calcium looping applied to the cement industry

A crucial benefit of CaL systems over the competing technologies is that the spent material purged from the calciner can be used as a feedstock in the cement industry. In other words, this would allow to decarbonise both, the power and the cement units. It is well known that cement production is a particularly energy-intensive process, with around 5% of the global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions resulting from cement manufacture [75], and between 0.6 and 1 kg of CO₂ produced per kg of cement [76], with most of the energy consumption used to drive the endothermic calcination reaction in the kiln [77].

The core component of cement is CaO, obtained from calcining natural limestone or chalk. This material is ground and mixed with other ingredients such as sand, clay, bauxite or iron ore, among others. This mixture is heated and calcined, before heating further to 1400-1600 °C [76]; these high temperatures partly sinter the components and react to form clinker. The clinker is then cooled, ground and mixed with other ingredients to form what is known as cement.
Several studies have explored the possibility of using CaL spent sorbent in a cement plant. It was found that cement produced from spent sorbent had lower percentage of the alite (Ca$_3$SiO$_5$) phase than in normal Portland cement [78]. It was suggested that this was due to the addition of fuel in the calciner causing interactions between the ash and the CaL sorbent. Another study found that cement with similar properties to commercial cement could be produced from spent sorbent [79].
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Abstract

Calcium looping (CaL) is a promising technology for the decarbonation of power generation and carbon-intensive (cement, lime and steel) industries. Although CaL has been extensively researched, some issues need to be addressed before deployment of this technology at commercial scale. One of the important challenges for CaL is decay of sorbent reactivity during capture/regeneration cycles. Numerous techniques have been explored to enhance natural sorbent performance, to create new synthetic sorbents, and to re-activate and re-use deactivated material. This review provides a critical analysis of natural and synthetic sorbents developed for use in CaL. Special attention is given to the suitability of modified materials for utilisation in fluidised-bed systems. Namely, besides requirements for a practical adsorption capacity, a mechanically strong material, resistant to attrition, is required for the fluidised bed CaL operating conditions. However, the main advantage of CaL is that it employs a widely available and inexpensive sorbent. Hence, a compromise must be made between improving the sorbent performance and increasing its cost, which means a relatively practical, scalable, and inexpensive method to enhance
sorbent performance, should be found. This is often neglected when developing new materials focusing only on very high adsorption capacity.

**Keywords**: CO$_2$ capture; Calcium looping; Sorbent; Limestone; Synthetic sorbent; Sorbent modification method

**Highlights:**

- The extensive literature on Ca looping sorbents and their properties has been reviewed.

- Currently, there is a lack of experiments on doped sorbents in realistic systems.

- Most complex methods of sorbent modification appear to be prohibitively expensive for CCS applications.

- A major challenge of all sorbent modification processes is their scalability.
3.1 Introduction

The CO$_2$ concentration in the atmosphere has risen from 280 ppmv in 1750 to 400 ppmv in 2015 which is the highest level in the past 650,000 years [1]. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [2] and [3], this increase in CO$_2$ concentration is the main cause for an increase of 0.74 ± 0.18 °C in global temperature; it is also a probable reason for a rise of 0.1 to 0.2 m in sea level over the past century. The energy market still depends heavily on relatively cheap fossil fuels, which, added to the expected increase of 37% in energy demand by 2040 [4], means that fossil fuels will continue to be used during this century and possibly beyond. Hence, it is necessary to find mitigation options for CO$_2$ emissions.

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is a potential mitigation option, which consists of capturing the carbon dioxide present in a stream in order to transport it and store it in a safe location [5] and [6]. A diverse range of CCS technologies has been investigated and a number of demonstration projects have been started or planned [7], although currently the dominant CO$_2$ mitigation strategies are pre-combustion, oxy-fuel and post-combustion techniques [8].

The CCS technology that is closest to the market is post-combustion amine scrubbing, with solvents such as monoethanolamine (MEA) [9]. There are some problems associated with this process such as solvent degradation, cost, and the corrosive nature of the solvent [10-16]. Another technology that is close to the market is oxy-fuel combustion, where fuel is burned in a mixture of O$_2$ and recycled CO$_2$, but the drawback of this technology is the energy required to run the air separation unit (ASU) to produce the oxygen needed for combustion [17]. However, significantly the first large-scale deployment of CCS, an amine-based technology started its operation in October 2014 at SaskPower’s Boundary Dam Power Station (Canada) with a lignite-fired boiler [18]. Nonetheless, the relatively slow deployment of CCS technologies, is mainly caused by their associated economic penalties [19, 20], and the lack of supportive government policies [21, 22]. Therefore, these are drivers that have triggered the development of alternative CCS technologies such as second- and
third-generation carbon capture technologies aiming at lower economic and efficiency penalties.

One viable solution arising from this development is calcium looping (CaL), which is based on the reversible carbonation of lime. This second-generation technology [23] is attracting a vast amount of R&D resources with numerous demonstration projects throughout the world [24].

This work reviews the CaL process in general, taking into account its challenges, mainly sorbent reactivity decay and attrition in fluidised bed (FB) reactors. Then, it examines current research in the area of sorbent testing and modification starting with natural sorbents (limestone) and naturally-derived sorbents (dolomite among others) and discusses their properties over extended numbers of cycles. As the reactivity of these sorbents suffers from a drastic decrease while in continuous operation, enhancement options, such as hydration, are also discussed along with more complex methods that have been proposed to produce sintering- and attrition-resistant sorbents with an emphasis on preparation methods. Finally, reactivation of “spent” sorbent and its re-use are also discussed. The main objective of this study is to provide recommendations for economically viable sorbent modifications and treatments of different types of sorbents and their suitability for utilisation in commercial-scale equipment.

3.2 Process description

CaL was first proposed as a post-combustion carbon capture technology by Shimizu et al. in 1999 [25]. The schematic diagram of CaL application for post-combustion CO₂ capture is shown in Figure 3-1.
In this process the solid sorbent cycles between two interconnected FBs. The flue gas enters the carbonator where a CaO-based material reacts with the CO$_2$ present, resulting in formation of CaCO$_3$ (saturated sorbent). This carbonation reaction occurs at a fairly rapid rate between 580 and 700 °C [25-27], which is suitable for practical operation, given a trade-off between reaction kinetics and the equilibrium driving forces [28, 29]. This reaction has two stages: (i) an initial, relatively fast stage, controlled by the chemical reaction kinetics, followed by (ii) a much slower stage, which is limited by the diffusion of the reactants through the formed CaCO$_3$ product layer, which is postulated to have reached a critical level when it attains a thickness of around 50 nm [30]. The two-stage mechanism for the carbonation reaction is shown in Figure 3-2, which gives the sorbent conversion as a function of reaction time obtained in a thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) [31]. Where $X_N$ is the CaO molar conversion in each cycle, $X_K$ is the molar conversion under fast reaction regime and $X_D$ the molar conversion under diffusion-controlled regime.
Figure 3-2: Schematic illustration of sorbent conversion during carbonation [31]

It is important to define the “maximum” carbonation conversion of any sorbent as the moles of CO\(_2\) that react in the period of fast reaction, rather than compared to the stoichiometry of complete CaO to CaCO\(_3\) conversion [32]. Subsequently, the CO\(_2\)-saturated sorbent is transferred to the calciner, in which sorbent is regenerated at high temperatures and the concentrated CO\(_2\) stream is produced. This calcination reaction is typically performed at temperatures above 900 °C due to the chemical equilibrium and (practical) reaction rate requirements, while maintaining sintering at a reasonably low level [33]. Since calcination is an endothermic reaction, heat needs to be supplied, which is typically achieved by burning a fuel in this chamber using pure O\(_2\) in order to obtain a highly concentrated CO\(_2\) stream at the end of the process [25, 34]. Finally, the regenerated sorbent is transferred to the carbonator to start the cycle again.

This technology has various advantages when compared to other carbon capture options such as amine scrubbing:

- Relatively low efficiency penalty, from 7% to 8% [35, 36] with the capture step responsible only for 2–3% primarily due to oxygen requirement [37].
- Use of limestone, a widely available, inexpensive [38] and environmentally benign sorbent [20].
- The cost of CO\(_2\) avoided has been calculated to be $29–50/t-CO\(_2\), which accounts for around 50% less than for amine scrubbing [39-43].

One of the major challenges of this technology is the relatively fast sorbent reactivity decay resulting in a residual activity of 8–10% after about 20 or 30
cycles, due to sintering during calcination [32]. This phenomenon has attracted research aimed at improving the performance of natural sorbents, and synthesising sorbents with enhanced properties.

3.3 Reactivity decay over cycles

It is common knowledge that the decay in activity of CaO-based sorbents is inevitable. The main causes for this decrease of reactivity are sintering and attrition. These phenomena are described in depth in this section with the mechanisms and theories that explain particle behaviour during cycling.

3.3.1 Sintering

Sintering is the change in pore shape, pore shrinkage and grain growth that particles of CaO endure while heating. This phenomenon increases at higher partial pressures of steam and CO$_2$, and also with impurities [44]. It has been discovered that the sintering that contributes to the reactivity decay occurs mainly during the calcination of such particles [45]. However, some of the decay is connected to closure of small pores upon carbonation that do not reopen subsequently [46]. The deactivation rate escalates when increasing temperature in the calcination step and lower reactivity is associated with higher temperatures [47].

There have been several studies that have proven the bimodal pore size distribution created upon calcination [30, 45]. During calcination, small pores are formed due to the CO$_2$ release; however, larger pores are not only present in the initial material but are also formed caused by sintering, which driven by the minimization of surface energy, changes smaller pores to larger pores.

It has also been noted in several studies [48-50] that increasing carbonation time results in a sorbent with higher reactivity towards CO$_2$. The effect of this longer carbonation has been investigated by Álvarez and Abanades [30]. They suggested that although the larger pores were accessible through pores with smaller openings, they were closed at the surface. Presumably, if the slow carbonation solid diffusion reaction occurs for longer periods, the solid bulk enlarges in order to fill the larger pores in a more substantial way. This leads to
a higher CaO reactivity in the next calcination. On the other hand, this longer carbonation step may be impractical when talking about industrial operation.

A schematic way of understanding this sintering phenomenon is shown in Figure 3-3 [51]. In this diagram the course of several calcination/carbonation cycles is shown. In the first calcination, a highly porous and reactive CaO is produced. The first carbonation is not complete due to pore blocking; some of these pores do not open in the following calcination. This pattern is repeated until a substantially less reactive sorbent is recovered after a high number of cycles.

![Figure 3-3](image)

**Figure 3-3:** Transformation of the lime-based sorbent structure during carbonation/calcination (CaCO_3 phase is dark grey, CaO phase is light grey) [51]

### 3.3.2 Attrition

The mechanisms for fragmentation/attrition are as follows: primary fragmentation, takes place when the sorbent is introduced into the reactor. It is mainly caused by thermal stresses and overpressures due to CO_2 release as part of the calcination reaction. Secondary fragmentation, which is caused by mechanical stresses from impacts between the particle and the reactor; and attrition by abrasion which is also due to mechanical stresses but generates finer fragments than secondary fragmentation [52].

Interestingly, it is believed that the attrition rate is higher during the first cycles and then subsequently decreases [53, 54]. Nonetheless, attrition becomes a more significant problem when dealing with pilot-scale FBs. In one study, 30%
of the initial limestone was recovered in the cyclone after 3 cycles (<0.1 mm) and 60% after 25 cycles, whilst the initial particle size was 0.4–0.8 mm [29].

Attrition is highly dependent on the experimental set-up. Namely, it depends on the gas velocities, size and configuration of the plant. This phenomenon becomes even more important when exploring new sorbents. In the authors’ view, there should be a shift in research from only studying reactivity decay caused by sintering to a complete analysis of any new synthetic material including attrition investigations.

3.4 Natural material-based sorbent

The use of natural materials ground to a particle size distribution, suitable for FB operation, is the easiest and cheapest way of obtaining a solid CO₂ carrier due to its availability and possible re-use for the cement industry [55]. Reactivity decay is caused mainly by sintering, which decreases the specific surface area with increasing cycle number resulting in a loss of capacity. Other causes of deactivation include poisoning of the material through sulphation/sulphidation reactions and ash fouling [20, 56]. This can be reduced by boosting the Ca to C ratio in the carbonator or increasing the purge flow of spent sorbent in order to get more fresh sorbent into the reactor [57].

3.4.1 Limestone

The reversible carbonation of lime is shown in the following reaction:

\[
CaO + CO_2 \leftrightarrow CaCO_3 \quad \Delta H_{25^\circ C} = -178.2 \text{ kJ/mol}
\]  

Most of the investigations performed on natural limestone reactivity for CO₂ capture in calcination/carbonation cycles were executed using either a TGA, or less frequently a bubbling FB [50, 58], where the sorbent stays in the same reactor as opposed to being transported between two reactors. Although these tests are suitable for sorbent screening purposes, the results are less useful for numerous reasons; for example, they neglect particle attrition during solid circulation as well as phenomena such as reactions with sulphur or ash components.
In general, studies on the reactivity of natural limestone show qualitatively the same decay over a number of cycles. Grasa and Abanades [59] tested different types of limestone from different locations (Blanca from Spain, Cadomin and Havelock from Canada, Piasek from Poland and Gotland from Sweden) and a dolomite (approx. 50% MgCO3) using a TGA. The results presented in Figure 3-4 show that the decay in carbonation capacity after a number of cycles is a common feature in all types of limestone and for all process conditions. Further investigations with TGAs showed that residual limestone conversion converges to a value of $X_r = 0.07–0.08$ [34, 60, 61].

![Figure 3-4: Conversion vs. number of cycles for experiments carried out with different types of limestone. Particle size 0.4–0.6 mm. Calcination temperature 850 °C, 10 min; carbonation temperature 650 °C, 10 min; pCO$_2$ of 0.01 MPa [59]](image)

The attrition mechanisms of limestone under FB CO$_2$ capture conditions are complex [62]. Chen et al. [63] explored the most important factors that contribute to attrition in the carbonation reaction and concluded that they are (from most to least important): carbonation temperature; carbonator superficial gas velocity; exposure time; and pressure. For calcination, the key attrition parameter is temperature, with attrition rate increasing with higher temperatures. It was also noted that CO$_2$ release in this reaction had a more important role than thermal stress. Jia et al. [58] studied attrition in a small pilot-
scale circulating FB reactor and concluded that even with the limited number of limestone samples tested the results varied considerably with the type of limestone used. Another important finding was that elutriation of fines is more pronounced during the first few carbonation/calcination cycles and then decreases over cycles [52].

Arguably, the first demonstration of CO$_2$ capture in a FB reactor using limestone-derived sorbent at the pilot plant scale was performed by Lu et al. [29] using a 75 kW$_{th}$ dual FB reactor. The authors found that the capture efficiency dropped from 90% in the first cycle to 72% after 25 cycles, which they attributed to sintering. Figure 3-5 shows how the micro-porosity of the sample decreased while the macro-porosity increased when the sorbent was subjected to capture/regeneration cycles. As a result of attrition, 50% of the original sorbent was recovered in the cyclones as fines. Finally, the authors concluded that attrition, sulphation and process optimisation needed further investigation in order to understand their influence on the process. Larger and more realistic pilot plant tests have been performed subsequently; these experiments include 1800 h in the 1.7 MW$_{th}$ unit at CSIC (Spain) [64] with 170 h of stable operation and CO$_2$ capture close to the equilibrium at a given temperature [65]. Similarly, in Darmstadt University of Technology a 1 MW$_{th}$ plant ran during different testing campaigns demonstrating constant CO$_2$ capture in the carbonator of approximately 85% when maintaining 660 °C in the carbonator [66]. In IFK (Stuttgart), a 200 kW$_{th}$ plant has run for over 600 h with a capture level above 90% [67].
Manovic and Anthony [68] performed a parametric study of CO₂ capture using limestone-based sorbents. The parameters included sorbent particle size, impurities, limestone type, temperature, CO₂ concentration, carbonation/calcination duration and heating rate. It was found that increasing the carbonation temperatures had a negative outcome on long-term sorbent reactivity. The effect of particle size on CO₂ carrying capacity was negligible and the differences encountered were likely due to differences in the content of impurities in different particle size samples. It was also discovered that prolonged carbonation time has a negative impact on sorbent reactivity accelerating its decay. Manovic et al. [69] carried out further investigations on the effect of calcination conditions. This work concluded that high temperatures and CO₂ partial pressures that would be encountered in real systems had a negative effect on the sorbent carrying capacity, which is due to the change in the particle morphology caused by sintering.

### 3.4.2 Dolomite

Dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂), which contains about 20% MgO and 30% CaO [70], is another naturally occurring material that can be used as a sorbent for CaL technology, although it is less common than limestone. MgO does not undergo
carbonation under typical CaL conditions [71] and, therefore, the stoichiometric capture capacity of calcined dolomite is just 0.46 compared to 0.79 for lime.

Although dolomite shows a lower initial CO$_2$ uptake, it exhibits a higher residual activity (after 20–30 cycles). The reasons for this are that the porosity of the sorbent is preserved by the unreacted MgO and less sintering occurs due to its higher melting point. Valverde, Sanchez-Jimenez and Perez-Maqueda [72] have shown this better performance of dolomite in a TGA under realistic sorbent regeneration conditions (70% vol CO$_2$ and 950 °C).

Itskos et al. [73] performed a study in a TGA and concluded that the effect of sulphation on CO$_2$ capture activity for dolomite was not significantly different than for limestone.

### 3.4.3 Other natural materials

Deshpande and Yuh [74] studied the use of animal products as a CaL sorbent. They tested five different materials: egg shells (chicken, duck and ostrich) and sea shells (oyster and clam). The samples were treated with acetic acid and crushed. The study concluded that using these materials in CaL cycles is cost-effective, but it is unlikely that these residues can be produced in the quantities needed for the commercial deployment of CaL. Moreover, they exhibited higher capacity after water hydration techniques were employed for regeneration of spent sorbent. The results for the initial CO$_2$ capture activity can be seen in Table 3-1.

**Table 3-1: Waste animal initial CO$_2$ capture capacity (%) [75]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbent source (type of shell)</th>
<th>Initial CO$_2$ capture capacity (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken egg</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich egg</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck egg</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster shell</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clam shell</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sacia et al. [75] investigated pre-treatments using solutions of 1 and 2 M acetic acid and regeneration using pure water, 0.5 M, 2 M, 5 M, 50%, and glacial acetic acid, with regenerations performed every 5 cycles. The natural material used in this work was oyster shells. The authors concluded that the pre-treated samples behaved better in a TGA (from around 30% conversion in the 5th cycle in untreated shells to 50% conversion in the best case, 1 M acetic acid for 15 min). Moreover, the regeneration of the natural material was also successful, especially with 2 M acetic acid. It was also suggested that acetic acid regenerations tend to renew the initial porosity of the sorbent.

Chicken eggshells and mussel shells have been compared to limestone in a study performed by Ives et al. [76]. It was found that the CO$_2$ uptake of the eggshells and mussel shells was very similar to that of the limestone investigated (Purbeck) over 50 cycles. Therefore, there was no clear advantage of using these natural sorbents rather than limestone from the reactivity point of view. Shan et al. [77] have also examined eggshells mixed with bauxite tailings (BT) to see how the latter impacted the ability to capture CO$_2$. They found that the addition of BT was beneficial to the process with a carbonation conversion of 55% after 40 cycles.

There is a clear advantage in the use of limestone and other natural materials, namely their low price, availability and direct use with minimal processing. However, one of the most important aspects and justifications for limestone use is that it is a highly researched material for SO$_2$ and CO$_2$ capture. On the other hand, the decay in reactivity during the capture cycles and attrition associated with limestones require make up of fresh material, which results in reduction in the efficiency of the process and economic penalties. Also, the use of waste materials as a natural source of Ca-based sorbents (marine shells and other animal-derived materials) provides a good example of re-use of those materials which otherwise would require disposal and be subject to related costs. However, the availability and performance of these sorbents in cyclic FB operation need to be further investigated, especially taking into account that the particles are of irregular shape, which enhances their attrition.
3.5 Enhancement of natural sorbents

Although limestone is the cheapest material for the CaL process, its challenges with reactivity decay and attrition have led researchers to modify it to improve its properties whilst maintaining a low cost. These techniques represent a midpoint between the use of natural materials and utilisation of complex techniques for synthesis of sorbents and such solutions are expected to be generally less costly than the production of new sorbents.

3.5.1 Calcium hydroxide

Calcium hydroxide can be used as a sorbent for the CaL process. However, this material is extremely fragile. Wu et al. [78] performed a study in which they showed that calcium hydroxide has higher sorption capacity, with the maximum CO$_2$ uptake at 650 °C. They showed that the reason for the improved properties of the sorbent is the formation of cracks during the hydration of the material, which results in higher pore surface area and volume. This increased the conversion of CaO by 52% by the 20$^{th}$ cycle [79].

Although the morphological properties of calcium hydroxide-derived lime are more favourable than that of calcium carbonate-derived CaO, the hydrated material is typically soft and not suitable for direct utilisation in FB reactors. Therefore, some type of granulation, extrusion or other treatment would be required, before its final use under realistic CaL conditions. This step would increase the final price of the sorbent.

3.5.2 Doping

Researchers have also attempted to decrease the reactivity decay over the cycles through doping of the material to avoid or postpone the sintering.

Salvador et al. [50] investigated the addition of sodium chloride (NaCl) and sodium carbonate (Na$_2$CO$_3$) using wet impregnation. The addition of NaCl improved the capture capacity, maintaining it at 40% of overall capacity over 13 cycles due to positive changes in the pore structure. However, the addition of Na$_2$CO$_3$ had no apparent effect on capture capacity. Both of these tests were
performed using a TGA. When the doped sorbents were tested in FB conditions, the sorption capacity of both decreased as a result of pore blocking. These dopants were also studied using a wet impregnation technique by Fennell et al. [46], which consists of pouring a solution of known and very low molarity onto the sorbent. Then the mixture is stirred, sealed, decanted and dried. The samples were later tested in a small, hot FB with dilution by sand addition to reduce temperature rises due to the exothermic carbonation reaction. It appears that doping with small quantities of Na$_2$CO$_3$ showed a small improvement in the carrying capacity. However, a higher dopant quantity in the solution (more than 0.1 M) had a detrimental effect and decreased the carrying capacity of the limestone sorbent [46].

Other tests with KCl and K$_2$CO$_3$ using wet impregnation were performed using two types of limestone (Havelock and Imeco) [80]. González et al. [80] concluded that doping with lower solution concentration (0.05 M) improved the performance of both types of limestone. They also suggested that doping with KCl reduced attrition of limestone due to the crystallisation of the dopant in cracks in the particles. Al-Jeboori et al. [81] performed experiments with other inorganic salts (MgCl$_2$, CaCl$_2$ and Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$) and the Grignard reagent (isopropyl-magnesium chloride) [82]. All of these dopants produced some improvement as shown in Figure 3-6, which is in agreement with the results discussed above [46, 80]. In summary, samples doped with lower molarity solutions showed an increase and those with higher ones showed a reduction in the carrying capacity.
Manganese salts (Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ and MnCO$_3$) also improve the cyclic carbonation conversion. In a study using wet impregnation, tests in a fixed-bed reactor and a TGA showed a residual carbonation conversion of Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$- and MnCO$_3$-doped sorbents of 0.27 and 0.24, respectively, after 100 cycles. Sun et al. [83] also showed that the sorbent retained an improved pore structure, pore volume and pore size. Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$-doped CaCO$_3$ achieves the highest cyclic carbonation conversions when the Mn/Ca molar ratio is 1/100 and the optimum molar ratio of Mn/Ca for MnCO$_3$-doped CaCO$_3$ is 1.5/100.

Another suitable doping material is attapulgite (Mg$_5$Si$_8$O$_{20}$(OH)$_2$$_2$(OH)$_2$·4H$_2$O). The microstructure of the modified particle was improved by the formation of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$, Al$_2$O$_3$ and Ca$_3$Al$_{10}$O$_{18}$; these compounds were distributed in the material, enhancing the resistance to sintering during multiple cycles [84]. In this work a technique of dry mixing followed by hydration was applied. The results showed that the hydration method exhibited 128% higher CO$_2$ capture performance than undoped limestone.
Manovic et al. [85] investigated doping of pellets (calcium oxide and calcium aluminate cement) with CaBr$_2$ in the presence of steam during carbonation and calcination; natural limestone was used as a material for comparison, and both sorbents were tested in a TGA. The findings showed improved performance for both pellets and limestone when doped with low quantities of bromide of 0.2 mol%. The most improved parameter was the conversion rate during the diffusion-controlled stage of carbonation. This type of dopant has been tested in other studies in conjunction with steam addition. Al-Jeboori et al. [81] and Gonzalez et al. [86] concluded that the effects of doping and steam addition were effectively additive, at least at the levels tested.

Other types of dopants such as HCl, HNO$_3$ and HI have been tested with the quantitative wet impregnation method, and all the halogen dopants exhibited an increase in carrying capacity with Havelock doped with HCl and HBr from 0.135 to 0.259 mol% and HNO$_3$ from 0.102 to 0.205 mol%, and Longcliffe doped with HCl and HBr from 0.102 to 0.189 mol% and HI from 0.15 to 0.245 mol% [81]. The carrying capacity of Havelock (Canadian) limestone treated with these reagents is shown in Figure 3-7.

![Figure 3-7: Carrying capacity (normalised) for Havelock limestone, plotted against the number of cycles: (×) undoped, (□) 0.167 mol% HBr, (sideways open triangle) 0.167 mol% HCl, (Δ) 0.164 mol% HNO$_3$, (●) 0.167 mol% HI [81]
These results encouraged research into the use of other group 1A elements in calcined limestone, such as Li, K, Rb and Cs. The capture performance of alkali metal-doped CaO has been linked to the electro-positivity of the material [87]. The material doped with 20% Cs for instance had higher sorption in the first cycle than the other materials.

Some metal-based dopants have been further investigated, such as γ-Al₂O₃, SiO₂ sands and MgO. Sun et al. [88] also examined other dopants including TiO₂ and ZrO₂. These materials were tested in a TGA and did not exhibit very promising results.

Doping has been used as an enhancement technique due to the positive effects that some dopants have on the pore structure, pore volume and pore size (see Table 3-2). While this approach is not excessively complex, the cost of the dopants must be taken into account when assessing their economic feasibility. Also, scale-up of the impregnation techniques is a challenge that has to be resolved before their use at pilot plant- or demonstration-scale due to the large amount of sorbent that would need treatment. One especially promising approach is doping with sea water, due to its availability and low cost. This path would make doping a highly promising enhancement technique.

**Table 3-2: Summary table of doping**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl and Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>NaCl improved the sorbent by 0.15 in relative CO₂ capture capacity (gCO₂/g sorbent) when compared to limestone in TGA after 13 cycles; Na₂CO₃ had no apparent effect. Doping with lower molarity is beneficial</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl and Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td>Na₂CO₃ in small quantities had a positive effect increasing the carrying capacity by 0.14 gCO₂/g sorbent after 20 cycles. Lower molarity beneficial</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl and K₂CO₃</td>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td>KCl improved attrition resistance and reactivity by 0.15 gCO₂/g sorbent after 15 cycles when compared to limestone. Lower molarity beneficial</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂, CaCl₂ and Mg(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td>All dopants showed an improvement of around 10% after 14 cycles in carrying capacity when lower</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
molarity solutions were used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn(NO₃)₂ and MnCO₃</td>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td>Observed an optimal Mn to Ca ratio, improved capture capacity by 69% when compared to undoped limestone after 100 cycles [83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed an optimal Mn to Ca ratio, improved capture capacity by 69% when compared to undoped limestone after 100 cycles [83]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydration showed much better performance (128% increase when compared to limestone after 20 cycles) than natural limestone [84]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mixing and hydration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam addition and doping have additive positive effects on the sorbent going from 22 gCO₂/100g sorbent to 31 gCO₂/100g sorbent after 11 cycles [81,85,86]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative wet impregnation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All of them showed improvement in capacity, especially 0.167% mol HBr which went from 10% carrying capacity of undoped limestone to 25% in the doped sorbent after 14 cycles [81]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative wet impregnation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The performance has been linked to electropositivity with a sorption capacity of 50 wt%CO₂/wt%sorbent after 35 min [87]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet impregnation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃ showed promising results from 0.2 CaO conversion to CaCO₃ of 0.4 when a ratio of 1:1 CaO to Al₂O₃ after 15 cycles [88]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5.3 Thermal pre-treatment

There have been several studies that demonstrated that thermal pre-treatment was a valid method to improve the conversion of CaO in long series of cycles [89-91]. A theory to explain this behaviour was proposed by Lysikov et al. [51]. This was based on the formation of a skeleton of interconnected CaO caused by repeated carbonation/calcination cycles; the skeleton acts as an outer reactive CaO layer and stabilises the sorption capacity. Manovic and Anthony [89] followed this work testing samples in a TGA up to very high temperatures (up to 1300 °C) under a nitrogen atmosphere. These tests demonstrated that particles were highly sintered and carbonation occurred only on the surface of the solid particle. The model suggests that the pre-treatment results in the formation of an internal skeleton, which protects the integrity of the particle. When sorbents are preheated, after the CaCO₃ decomposes, ion diffusion continues, stabilises the skeleton, but the porous structure in the hard skeleton
is able to maintain significant carbonation. This model is depicted schematically in Figure 3-8.

![Schematic representation of proposed pore-skeleton model](image)

**Figure 3-8: Schematic representation of proposed pore-skeleton model [89]**

In the early cycles only the less reactive hard skeleton exists, but conversion increases as the soft skeleton develops. These studies showed that even if the pre-treated limestone exhibited lower initial sorption capacity, this capacity is augmented over many cycles owing to the softening of the hard skeleton. A drawback of this enhancement technique is that although the reactivity was increased, attrition of the particles rose substantially [92].

Thermal pre-treatment has not been successful in all types of limestone [91] and it is believed that it only works on certain varieties of the natural material. It is probable that different types of limestone require different conditions for the pre-treatment due to differences in impurity composition and internal structure [60].

With regard to synthetic materials, a study of precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC) in a slurry bubbling FB used an extra thermal pre-treatment stage for these particles [93].

There are clear advantages to this treatment; it is straightforward and inexpensive (see Table 3-3). However, it should be noted that it would require extra energy to heat up the amount of material needed before its final use. This
can result in a decrease of the power output of the power plant. Therefore, any heat integration technique used would require additional heat exchange systems.

**Table 3-3: Summary table for thermal pre-treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of material</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Rock, Cadomin, Graymont and Havelock limestone</td>
<td>1100°C</td>
<td>A model with skeleton changes is proposed to explain the reactivation of particles after pre-treatment in long series of cycles, the improvement was of 25% in carbonation conversion of untreated Kelly rock to the 24 h sample treated to 900°C after 30 cycles</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microna 3 (US limestone) and coarser limestone</td>
<td>1100°C</td>
<td>Material heated for 5 h was found to be more stable than that heated for 2 h with an increase of capacity (mmolCO₂/g sorbent) from 7 to 7.4, which is more stable than the material calcined at 900°C after 80 cycles</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Blanca and Kelly Rock</td>
<td>1000-1200°C</td>
<td>No improvement in La Blanca possibly due to composition (high purity). Not all limestone types are suitable for thermal pre-treatment</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodisperse carbonate particles (precipitated CaCO₃ with calcium nitrate and ammonium carbonate)</td>
<td>1100°C and 1300°C</td>
<td>Samples treated at 1100°C are not strong enough to resist sintering under test conditions, but samples treated at 1300°C had a stable carrying capacity of 12% after 200 cycles</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone and dolomite</td>
<td>1000°C</td>
<td>Pre-treatment resulted in benefit in terms of reactivity (improved by about 0.05 in CaO utilization efficiency after 1000 cycles) properties but attrition resistance and mechanical properties were decreased substantially</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5.4 Chemical treatment

This refers to the treatment of limestone with a chemical agent in order to achieve superior properties. However, the effect on the sorbent may ultimately produce physical changes in the sorbent morphology.

Limestone treated with acetic acid results in a modified sorbent with a high capture capacity [70, 94]. Natural limestone treated with a 50% acetic acid solution was tested in two FB reactors; the modified sorbent showed better sintering performance and also more favourable pore area and volume [70].

Ridha et al. [95] used calcium aluminate pellets as a base material; the treated synthetic material also exhibited improvement in performance. Treating dolomites with acetic acid has also been studied because of the high sintering resistance of the base material; industrial waste acid from acetate production has been proposed for this treatment in order to reduce costs [70].

Other materials besides acetic acid have been proposed as modifying agents for limestone. Ethanol-water solutions have been discussed, and were previously studied for enhancing SO$_2$ capture, which resulted in increased porosity of the treated material as a side benefit [96]. This particular treatment gave improved capture capacity, which was increased as the ethanol concentration was raised [97]. However, the high price of ethanol is a drawback for this treatment and further studies need to be done to assess the potential of the procedure.

Propionic acid has been studied for sorbent chemical pre-treatment. In a small molar ratio (4:1 CaO to propionic acid), the modified sorbent exhibited a capture capacity of 0.24 after 100 cycles, approximately four times the capacity of natural limestone [98].

Pyroligneous acid (PA) has also been investigated [99], and it produces a primary phase of calcium acetate hydrate in the modified sorbent. PA-treated limestone displayed a higher carbonation rate than natural limestone as well as improved porosity. Figure 3-9 shows the effect of such a treatment (here CD10 is the sample treated with PA and CD is the untreated limestone).
Figure 3-9: Carbon capture profile as a function of time. Calcination at 850 °C in 
N₂ for 5 min and carbonation at 650 °C in 15% CO₂ for 20 min [100]

Formic acid has also been studied, showing results in line with those exhibited 
above with higher capture capacity [100]. In addition, Ridha et al. [101] carried 
out a study of various acid treatments, which showed that the reactivity over 
cycles was enhanced albeit that the activity was found to decline in a similar 
manner to that for natural-based sorbents.

Although this treatment presents reactivity benefits such as increased pore 
volume and pore surface area, it has two drawbacks: the cost and availability of 
the acid; and the marginal increase in CO₂ uptake. It should also be noted that 
the final benefit of this procedure depends heavily on limestone type and origin, 
and the acid used (see Table 3-4). Moreover, this technique would increase the 
cost of the overall process significantly, which diverges from the main goal of 
trying to keep the capture costs low. It is expected that treated sorbent would 
react rapidly with SO₂, which would result in sorbent poisoning and would 
negate the higher reactivity achieved by this treatment.
### Table 3-4: Summary of acid pre-treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid used for treatment</th>
<th>Material treated</th>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>Twin fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 920-1100°C in 80% CO\textsubscript{2} 20% O\textsubscript{2} (%vol)</td>
<td>Treated sorbent had higher carbonation levels (0.4 carbonation conversion compared to less than 0.1 for untreated material after 20 cycles), better carbonation kinetics and delayed degradation</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>Twin fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 920-1100°C in 80% CO\textsubscript{2} 20% O\textsubscript{2} (%vol)</td>
<td>Treated sorbent had higher resistance to sintering due to smaller grain size and better pore structure with a conversion of the original limestone of 0.15 after 20 cycles and of the modified sorbent of 0.5 after 20 cycles</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>Kaolin-derived Al(OH)\textsubscript{3} pellets</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 920°C in pure CO\textsubscript{2} or 850°C in pure N\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Pellets with acetified lime showed better performance than untreated pellets and limestone and also had higher porosity but poorer CO\textsubscript{2} capture in the presence of SO\textsubscript{2} (from 18% of the treated sample to 29.2 % of natural limestone after 5 cycles)</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid waste from acetate production</td>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>Twin fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 850-1100°C in 80% CO\textsubscript{2} 20% O\textsubscript{2} (%vol)</td>
<td>Higher carbonation conversion than unmodified sorbent (from 0.2 to 0.45 after 20 cycles), improved sintering behaviour at</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>Reactant</td>
<td>Reactor</td>
<td>Calcination Temp/ % O₂/ % CO₂</td>
<td>Carbonation Temp/ % CO₂</td>
<td>Conversion Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol-water solution</td>
<td>Lime (Calcined limestone)</td>
<td>Twin fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 920°C in 80% CO₂ 20% O₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Carbonation 550-750°C in 15% CO₂</td>
<td>Carbonation conversion of modified sorbent twice as high as lime from 0.25 in the untreated sample to 0.51 in the ethanol treated sample after 15 cycles. Higher ethanol concentration in the solution enhanced resistance to sintering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>Lime (Calcined limestone)</td>
<td>Dual fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 850-950°C in 100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Carbonation 650-700°C in 15% CO₂</td>
<td>Modified limestone had faster carbonation rates and higher carbonation conversion (from 0.31 for the treated sample after 100 cycles to 0.08 for the untreated sample after 100 cycles) under realistic conditions. Modified sorbent was more resistant to sintering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 850-1000°C in 100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Carbonation 600-700°C in 15% CO₂</td>
<td>Main component of the modified limestone was calcium acetate hydrate. Modified limestone had higher carbonation conversion (from 0.078 after 103 cycles for the untreated sample to 0.33 for the treated sample) and better pore structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>Lime (Calcined limestone)</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO₂</td>
<td>Sorbent morphology was insensitive to acid solution concentration. Liquid solution performed better, it captured 67.4% more CO₂ than the natural material after 20 cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organic acids (acetic, vinegar, formic and oxalic acid)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic acids (acetic, vinegar, formic and oxalic acid)</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>TGA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The best organic acid treatment was with oxalic acid with 0.25 gCO₂/g sorbent, when compared to 0.13 gCO₂/g sorbent of limestone after 20 cycles, but all of these treated sorbents exhibited better CO₂ uptake than untreated limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.6 Synthetic sorbents**

In this section, new methods for producing synthetic sorbents will be discussed and their suitability for scale up, FB operation and cost will be assessed.

**3.6.1 Sorbents from organic-acid precursors**

Several complex procedures have been developed to synthesise new sorbents using acid treatments; these sorbents often have alumina (Al₂O₃) or other similar material as a support. The process comprises an active component integrated with an inert support diluted in an acid solution [102, 103].

Citric acid can be used for producing this type of material, for example. Aluminium nitrate is dissolved in citric acid and calcium carbonate is added. The mixture is then stirred, aged, dried, crushed, sieved, and heated in a four-step activation procedure to obtain the Ca-Al₂O₃ based sorbent [102]. The heating procedure appears to favour the formation of the porous structure in synthetic sorbents due to the mild conditions employed. The results of this study by Zhang et al. [102] revealed high sintering resistance due to the formation of Ca₃Al₂O₆ and also an increased capture capacity as shown in Figure 3-10.
A modification of this method was proposed by Li et al. [103] using glycerol and water instead of citric acid in an attempt to reduce reagent costs. The resulting sorbent also exhibited better results than raw limestone. Li et al. [104] also developed a similar technique using carbide slag instead of limestone, which exhibited better CO₂ uptake than carbide slag without modification.

A less expensive route for obtaining an alumina support utilises kaolin [105]. In this study kaolin was calcined, the CaO was dispersed in water and ethanol and then metakaolin was added at different ratios. Finally, the mixture was acid-activated with hydrochloric acid, dried and calcined. The results exhibited higher carbonation in the first few cycles, but the sintering of the particles was also higher than for natural material.

SiO₂ can be used as a support in a similar way to the alumina-based sorbents. In such a study, 12 g of limestone was diluted in water and then added to a gelatinous solution containing 0.72 g of type A gelatine and 45 g of water. Then the mixture was added to an acidified sodium silicate solution, stirred, thermally treated and activated by calcination at 600 °C [106]. The resulting sorbent was then pelletised due to the initial unsuitability for use in FBs. These samples showed an increase in the carbonation conversion rate of 25% compared to
natural sorbents after 50 cycles; this was believed to be related to the creation of a mesoporous silica framework structure.

MgO has also been proposed as a support [107] showing slightly better performance than natural dolomite, indicating that molecular level mixing of CaO and MgO can be achieved with this methodology. Finally, in a recent study carried out by Zhao et al. [108], the effect of ZrO₂ as an additive to improve stability was studied. Ca(OH)₂ was mixed with hydrolysed zirconyl nitrate under vigorous stirring, then aged, dried and calcined. The most durable composition under severe calcination conditions (950 °C and 100% CO₂) was 30% CaZrO₃/70% CaO powder with a capture capacity of 0.36 g of CO₂/g sorbent in cycle 1, declining to 0.31 by cycle 30.

In some of these studies the sorbents were exposed to heat treatment, which caused an increase in the porosity of the resulting sorbent. Moreover, if additives or supports were included in the solution the stability of the synthetic particles was improved, which resulted in an increase of reactivity (see Table 3-5). However, further studies of these materials in FB environments need to be performed in order to investigate attrition resistance and to determine the performance of the particles in long series of cycles. Also, these techniques use heat for the particle production (at high or low temperature) of the material before calcination, which adds extra cost to the process due to the high energy consumption before sorbents enter the reactor. Moreover, the precursors needed are fairly expensive materials.

Table 3-5: Summary of synthetic sorbents derived from organic-acid precursors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic-acid precursor and support</th>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid with aluminium nitrate</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N₂ (%vol) Carbonation 600°C in 20% CO₂ Calcination 850-950°C in 100% N₂ or</td>
<td>Activation of material with four-step heating (high energy consumption), better porous structure and higher sintering resistance than natural limestone (0.30 g/g after 200 cycles and 0.17 g/g after 200 for limestone)</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol-water solution and aluminium nitrate</td>
<td>Dual fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 850-950°C in 100% N₂</td>
<td>The modified structure was CaO/Ca₅Al₆O₁₆; the CO₂ uptake capacity after 50 cycles was</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hydrate (limestone) & CO$_2$ (%vol) & six times higher (0.43 g/g) than natural limestone. The sintering resistance was higher than untreated sorbent & 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycerol-water solution and aluminium nitrate hydrate (carbide slag)</th>
<th>TGA</th>
<th>Calcination 850°C in 100% N$_2$ (%vol) Carbonation 700°C in 15% CO$_2$</th>
<th>Synthetic sorbent had 2.5 times higher CO$_2$ capacity than carbide slag by the 20th cycle. The sintering of new sorbent was higher due to the mechanical support of Ca$_3$Al$_2$O$_6$</th>
<th>[104]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol-water solution and metakaolin</td>
<td>Twin fixed-bed</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N$_2$ (%vol) Carbonation 700°C in 15% CO$_2$</td>
<td>Enhanced sintering of CaO and loss of sorption during cycles, higher conversion from 0.3 to 0.65 after 25 cycles</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatine-water with acidified sodium silicate then pelletised</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N$_2$ (%vol) Carbonation 650°C in 100% CO$_2$</td>
<td>This method did not produce suitable particles for FB operation so they had to be pelletised. The carbonation conversion rate was 25% higher than limestone after 50 cycles</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous solution of Ca and Mg acetates</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 758°C in 100% He (%vol) Carbonation 758°C in 100% CO$_2$</td>
<td>Higher conversion than dolomite (53 wt% CO$_2$ after 50 cycles for the treated sample and 26 wt% CO$_2$ for dolomite) due to molecular mixing of CaO and MgO</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol with ammonium hydroxide solution and ZrO nitrate</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 800°C in air (mild conditions) 100% CO$_2$ (severe conditions) (%vol) Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO$_2$</td>
<td>The Zr-modified sorbents had more favourable performance. Under severe conditions the most durable composition was produced with a composition of 30% CaZrO$_3$/70% CaO</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6.2 Sol-gel combustion synthesis

This method was first proposed by Luo et al. [109] for CaO-based sorbents and their work was extended in a subsequent study [110]. It included the following procedure: Predetermined quantities of La(NO$_3$)$_3$.6H$_2$O or Al(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O and Ca(NO$_3$)$_3$.4H$_2$O were added to distilled water with a weight ratio of CaO to La$_2$O$_3$ of 80:20 and the mole ratio of water to metal ions of about 40:1. Citric acid was added, stirred and dried to form the sol, which was then left at ambient temperature for 18 h to form a gel. The gel was dried, and then calcined in a muffle furnace at 850 °C for 2 h. Sorbents generated in this study showed better performance than those containing mayenite (Ca$_{12}$Al$_{14}$O$_{33}$) in their structure due
to the effect of $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ in delaying sintering and absorbing extra $\text{CO}_2$ in the process. However, the problem of loss in reactivity was still present.

The process is illustrated in Figure 3-11.

![Figure 3-11: Manufacturing steps of the standard sol-gel combustion process [111]](image)

Further studies were performed by Luo et al. [111] using a simplified method. The main differences between the methods were that the duration of the process steps was shortened, and the amount of water used was significantly less. They concluded that the standard sol-gel method produced sorbents with high $\text{CO}_2$ capture under mild calcination conditions ($800 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ under 100% $\text{N}_2$) and could maintain a quite high reactivity of $0.20 \, \text{gCO}_2/\text{gsorbent}$ after 20 cycles, under more realistic calcination conditions, $950 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ in the presence of 100% $\text{CO}_2$. The porous microstructure was found to be favourable for the reaction and the sintering resistance was better than natural limestone.

Other materials have been prepared [112] following similar techniques containing primarily two phases: $\text{Ca}_9\text{Al}_6\text{O}_{18}$ and $\text{CaO}$, and Figure 3-12 provides SEM images comparing the pure $\text{CaO}$ and the modified sorbent. It can be seen how the structure of the sol-gel material is less sintered when compared to the pure $\text{CaO}$. 
Figure 3-12: SEM images of CaO SG (sol gel) and pure CaO. (a) and (b) CaO SG mild conditions; (c) pure CaO mild conditions; (d) and (e) CaO SG under severe conditions; (f) pure CaO under severe condition [112]

The stability of this sorbent was attributed to the dispersion of Ca$_9$Al$_6$O$_{18}$ in the CaO matrix, which eventually controlled sintering.

Angeli et al. [113] used triethanolamine (TEA) as a complexing agent and Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O and Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O as metal precursors. The formation of TEA-ion complexes ensured that the dispersion of Ca and Al formed a coral-like structure. The sorbent showed higher stability than previous sorbents [32]. Nonetheless, the high temperatures in calcination and the presence of CO$_2$ increased sintering.
Different supports, such as Zr, can be used in sol-gel techniques [114-116]. These showed a similar CO₂ uptake than the other particles synthesised with this procedure.

Although the porosity and stability were increased using this method in all the studies mentioned, a number of issues need to be addressed before such approaches can be applied on a large scale, including the attrition properties, the effect of gaseous impurities (SO₂), and the cost and lack of availability of large quantities of the modified sorbent.

Recently, there have been efforts to mitigate attrition of the particles by producing pellets using extrusion equipment in order to increase mechanical strength. In a recent study, the high reactivity of the sol-gel CaO powder was retained and its cyclic durability was higher than limestone and sol-gel powder [117]. However, this process needs further study with regard to attrition and durability of this sorbent in a FB environment. It is likely possible that these materials would need besides the costly preparation process including some type of granulation that would result in a cost rise and even a more complex processing procedure (see Table 3-6).

Table 3-6: Summary table for sol-gel combustion method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support precursor</th>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La(NO₃)₃ or Al(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Twin fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>La(NO₃)₃ as an additive showed better performance (0.58 g CO₂/gsorbent after 11 cycles) than Al(NO₃)₃ (0.48 g CO₂/gsorbent after 11 cycles) using SGCS (Sol-gel-combustion-synthesis method). The baseline CaO captured 0.2-0.58 g CO₂/gsorbent after 11 cycles.</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonation 850°C in 100% CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>Fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N₂ (mild conditions) or 950°C in 100% CO₂ (severe conditions) (%vol)</td>
<td>Tested under realistic conditions and high CO₂ concentrations, with 20% carbonation conversion after 25 cycles for CaO and 42% carbonation conversion for the</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonation 650°C in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La(NO₃)₃</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>850°C</td>
<td>100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>650°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium isopropoxide(Al(O-iPr)₃)</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>800, 850, 900, 930°C</td>
<td>100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>650°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium nitrate hydrate (TEA as complexing agent)</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>800°C</td>
<td>100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>690°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High aluminium-based cement</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>850°C</td>
<td>100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>650°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6.3 Precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC)

Gupta and Fan [31] performed synthesis of CO₂ sorbents using PCC. The procedure bubbled CO₂ through a Ca(OH)₂ slurry. PCC achieved high capture capacity which was accredited to the low predisposition of meso-porous sorbents to pore filling and plugging. In TGA testing, almost complete regenerability of PCC was found during the first 2–3 cycles; however, the long-term reactivity under a large number of cycles was not studied.
The design of a slurry bubble column was proposed to produce precipitated calcium carbonate using Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and Ca(OH)$_2$ slurry [118]. TGA tests with this sorbent were quite positive, showing a high conversion of 33% by the 33$^{rd}$ cycle. Nonetheless, FB reactor experiments showed that the inert support was not an effective component in comparison with unmodified PCC.

MgO was proposed as a support for the co-precipitation technique. Aqueous solutions containing Ca acetate and Mg acetate with 1 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ were precipitated, filtered, washed, dried and calcined. In this study several techniques for the addition of MgO were studied. The one that gave the worst performance was the co-precipitation technique which produced sorbents with less than 10% carrying capacity after 30 cycles [107].

The porosity of the material is increased by this procedure, but there is no in-depth study of the mechanical properties of the particles produced using this methodology, and it is expected that the particles will be mechanically weak due to the production method. Also, the scalability must be carefully investigated in order to see if this method is economically feasible at large scale (see Table 3-7).

Table 3-7: Summary table for PCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slurry bubble column for PCC TGA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonation 550, 600, and 650$^\circ$C in 100% CO$_2$</td>
<td>Natural sorbents failed to carbonate completely. The PCC sorbent achieved 90% conversion in carbonation. The cyclic studies did not show relevant sintering in PCC at 700$^\circ$C. The highest capacity was the pure PCC with 20 gCO$_2$/g sorbent (%) after 15 cycles</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclic conversion: Calcination 700$^\circ$C in 100% N$_2$(%vol) Carbonation 700$^\circ$C in 100% CO$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcination 900$^\circ$C in 15% CO$_2$(%vol) Carbonation 650$^\circ$C in 15% CO$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.6.4 Dry mixture and coating

Dry mixing of two precursors is probably the simplest way of producing a synthetic sorbent for the CaL process. This has been studied for materials with Si as an inert solid support [119, 120]. The calcium precursor and support are mixed in a ball-mill-like device, then pressed into a disc shape and calcined in N₂ atmosphere.

Ca₃SiO₄ reacts with CO₂ during the carbonation while SiO₂ acts as an inert support. The results showed a CaO conversion of 41% in the 13th cycle at 800 °C in 15% CO₂ [119]. MgO has also been physically mixed with Ca(CH₃COO)₂ using a ball mill and calcined afterwards, showing great stability and CO₂ capacity of 43% after 50 carbonation/calcination cycles [107]. Luo et al. [121] added La₂O₃ and CaCO₃ with dry physical mixing. This sorbent showed slightly better performance than natural limestone. However, such marginal improvements are likely to be overshadowed by cost issues, which may make this technique impractical for large-scale projects.

Coating is another technique that can be employed to produce suitable particles for CaL. Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) deposits thin films of functional materials using sequential, self-limiting surface reactions allowing control of the thickness deposited on the particle [122, 123]. ALD was used to coat limestone particles with amorphous silica [124]. The coated particles exhibited a stable capture capacity during tests. This indicates that the nanosilica coating acts as a thermally stable support to mitigate sintering of lime during the calcination stage.

Peng et al. [125] developed another methodology for nano/micron-particle coating called self-assembly template synthesis (SATS) which followed a procedure to coat micron-Al₂O₃ with nano-TiO₂. The material was synthesised with SATS as well as prepared by wet impregnation with CaO (80 wt%) and Al₂O₃ (20 wt%) and limestone obtained from calcium acetate monohydrate. These three resulting sorbents were tested in a TGA at 700 °C with 10 vol% CO₂ for carbonation and pure N₂ for calcination to represent mild conditions and at 900 °C in pure CO₂ to represent more realistic calcination conditions. The
SATS sorbent exhibited much better CO$_2$ capture capacity in both mild and extreme conditions with approximately 0.47 gCO$_2$/gsorbent after 30 cycles in comparison with 0.27 gCO$_2$/gsorbent for the CaO. Peng et al. [126] performed tests in a batch FB with the same materials. The findings agree with the results mentioned before with a CO$_2$ capture capacity of 0.78 molCO$_2$/molCaO after 10 carbonation/calcination cycles.

Dry mixing is the simplest and most inexpensive technique to incorporate a support material into a CaO-based matrix. However, the enhancement in performance appears to be marginal; therefore, unless other materials show a greater improvement in conversion, this method provides limited benefits.

There is a clear advantage in using ALD, which is the extremely stable material than can maintain a high reactivity over long series of cycles. Such high reactivity is caused by the insertion of a thermally stable support that delays sintering. Nonetheless, there are several drawbacks to this technique. Namely, the process of the ALD reaction, needed to coat the material, is very slow. This would be a major limitation for the production of large quantities of this sorbent. Moreover, there are several limitations on the materials that can be used as a coating agent (i.e., the precursors have to be volatile, but not decompose) [127].

**3.6.5 Granulation**

Granulation of materials for utilisation in CaL is a method of incorporating a support material into CaO to obtain a highly attrition-resistant material. However, such treatments must not adversely affect the sorbent reactivity. Thus for example, Manovic and Anthony [128] suggested that Na$_2$CO$_3$ and bentonite, although possessing the required binding properties, are not suitable due to their sintering and loss of CO$_2$-capture capacity. XRD analysis revealed that local eutectic mixtures were formed. The authors recommended the use of calcium aluminate cement as it does not enhance sintering of the material. These cements also have other benefits such as fast setting, good refractory properties, low cost and ready availability.
Pelletisation with calcium aluminate cements was further explored by Wu et al. [129]. The particles were tested in a TGA with repeated carbonation/calcination cycles at 800 °C. Further, attrition tests were performed in an atmospheric bubbling FB. The results showed better performance for the pelletised material with and without the cement binder and higher attrition resistance during fluidisation in a bubbling bed than regular limestone. Moreover, the particles containing the aluminate cement showed more stable CO₂ carrying capacity over long-term cycle tests.

Later, the acidification of these pellets was investigated [130] as an option to improve the performance of pelletised sorbent. Samples acidified with 10% acetic acid solution exhibited better behaviour than samples treated with acid vapours. However, the acid and the modification procedure are neither simple nor inexpensive and this technique, therefore, appears to offer marginal benefits. The same group also proposed using kaolin as a potential precursor for Al(OH)₃ (using a leaching method) and raw kaolin for the pelletisation of acetified limestone [131]. This procedure displayed better results than the raw kaolin due to the dispersion of α-Al₂O₃ generated by the leached Al(OH)₃ which enhanced the resistance and stability of the sorbents and the accessibility of CO₂ to the interior of the pellets.

While granulation devices are usually used for this technique, extrusion equipment can also be employed [132]. The samples in this study exhibited good attrition resistance and mechanical strength; commercial cement from Kerneos Aluminate Technology containing 37 wt% CaO and 39.8% Al₂O₃ was used as a binder and also as a support material. The difference in CaO conversion between the samples used in this investigation is shown in Figure 3-13. Carbide slag has also been used as an initial material for extruded-spheronised pellets [133]. In this work the addition of biomass and the use of cement with 50 wt% Al₂O₃ were studied as well as the effect of calcination temperature and pellet size. The results demonstrated that cement addition should be limited to 10 wt% in order to maintain a high CO₂ capture capacity.
Pellets doped with pre-washed rice husk showed better CO₂ uptake than undoped particles.

![Figure 3-13: CaO conversion of original extruded particles and crushed limestone (CC- reagent calcium hydroxide used as a precursor, HC- commercial hydrated lime used as a precursor) [132]](image)

Knight et al. [134] have performed attrition tests with pellets prepared at CanmetENERGY, Canada. Experimental results suggested that cement-bound pellets underwent attrition to a similar or greater degree than natural limestone. The pellets that showed the best results were silica-coated. However, more tests need to be performed varying the size and humidity as well as further analysis on the kinetics, economics and environmental properties of such sorbents.

Ridha et al. [135] performed attrition tests in a pilot dual FB on calcium aluminate cement pellets (90 wt% lime, 10 wt% calcium aluminate cement) using Cadomin limestone from Canada and Spanish limestones. The results showed that around 50% of the sorbent by mass was smaller than 250 μm. The authors concluded that the size distribution of the pellets indicated that the attrition tendencies were similar regardless of the type of limestone used.
Ridha et al. [136] noted that biomass was potentially a readily available and inexpensive material for increasing the porosity of the pelletised sorbent particles, and in their work the resulting sorbents demonstrated capture capacity of 0.41 gCO$_2$/g sorbent (prepared with 10% powdered leaves) after 20 cycles with 15% steam present. Before the addition of the templating material to the pelletiser, the biomass was ground and sieved to <30 μm in diameter. Pellets with leaves, cardboard, date seeds and white soft wood were studied. The most promising material was the one templated with leaves, which exhibited a 33.3% higher CO$_2$ capture than pellets without leaves. All the particles with biomass components displayed better results than those without biomass. Erans et al. [137] studied flour as a biomass-templating material in both TGA and bubbling FB. The synthetic materials displayed better performance than limestone; however, BFB testing proved that the attrition and fragmentation in biomass-templating materials is higher than for calcium aluminate pellets.

Materials used for templating pellets have been further studied by Sun et al. [138] where three different types of pellets were produced: non-shell pellets, core-in-shell with cement shells, and core-in-shell pellets with cement and lime shells. These samples were tested in a TGA (carbonation 650 °C in 15 vol% CO$_2$, calcination 900 °C in 100 vol% N$_2$). The most promising sample taking into account the capture capacity and sorbent strength was the material with 10% lime added to the cement shell, which demonstrated a capture of 0.165 gCO$_2$/g calcined sorbent after 17 cycles. The authors suggested that adding lime to the inert shell in small quantities had a beneficial effect in both the capture capacity and mechanical strength.

Granulation holds several benefits such as incorporation of a support material that stabilises the structure, the formation of pores during the pelletisation process, and the possibility of incorporating pore-forming materials to achieve a better pore structure (see Table 3-8). It is also a relatively easy technique to scale up that uses cheap materials for its production process. One of the most important benefits of pelletisation is that not only is the use of binders enabled, but it allows combining oxygen carriers and catalysts in order to make
composite materials for the integration of CaL and chemical looping combustion (CLC) [139].

Table 3-8: Summary table for granulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base material and/or binder and method</th>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentonites, calcium aluminate cements</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Bentonites enhanced sintering because of the formation of certain compounds Ca₂(SiO₄) and Ca₅(SiO₄)₂CO₃</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonation 850°C in 100% CO₂</td>
<td>Calcium aluminate cements had very promising properties due to fast setting, good refractory properties and their low cost with a 42% conversion after 30 cycles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrusion through sieve</td>
<td></td>
<td>TGA: Calcination 800°C in 100% N₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Higher CO₂ uptake in carbonation/calcination cycles than plain limestone. Higher resistance to attrition than plain material.</td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonation 800°C in 25% CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bubbling FB: 2 h 800°C in continuous fluidisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium aluminate cement (10%)</td>
<td>TGA- reactivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical pelletiser</td>
<td>Bubbling FB-attrition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcination 850°C in 100% N₂ or 920°C in 100% CO₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Acetification was a possible method of enhancing pellet performance, but attrition effects may have increased</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO₂</td>
<td>10% acetic acid solution enhanced morphology, while vinegar showed worse pore volume and surface area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcination 920°C in 100% CO₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Tests performed under mild conditions and results showed marginal benefit with an increase of 0.1 g CO₂/g_sorbent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium aluminate cement (10%)</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pellets prepared with Al(OH)₃ binders exhibited higher CO₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated with acetic acid and commercial vinegar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrusion through sieve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolin binder or Al(OH)₃ binder (obtained from</td>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Calcination 920°C in 100% CO₂ (%vol)</td>
<td>Pellets prepared with Al(OH)₃ binders exhibited higher CO₂</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Kaolin binder or Al(OH)₃ binder (obtained from | TGA | Calcination 920°C in 100% CO₂ (%vol) | Pellets prepared with Al(OH)₃ binders exhibited higher CO₂ | [131] |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>TGA:</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial cement</td>
<td>TGA: Calcination 900°C in 100% N_2 (%vol) Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO_2</td>
<td>Screw-extrusion particles displayed good attrition resistance and mechanical strength. Preparation method had no effect on the chemical performance under the tested conditions with a marginal difference of around 2% conversion after 20 cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrusion using a 16 twin-screw extruder</td>
<td>Friability tester: 2000 and 4000 rotations</td>
<td>Pellets doped with pre-washed rice husk showed better performance with 41.58% conversion for the 5% wt addition of rice husk after 25 cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extruded-spheronised</td>
<td>TGA: Calcination 850°C in 100% N_2 (%vol) Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO_2</td>
<td>Pellets experienced similar attrition to crushed limestone and were highly sensitive to humidity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed limestone</td>
<td>Air jet apparatus-attrition testing</td>
<td>Pellets experienced similar attrition to limestone. 50% of particles were recuperated as fines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium aluminate cement pellets</td>
<td>Temperature 20±3 or 500±5°C and Atmospheric pressure</td>
<td>Pellets experienced similar attrition to limestone. 50% of particles were recuperated as fines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellets without binder</td>
<td>Times 0, 1, 5, 12, 24 and 36 (h)</td>
<td>Pellets experienced similar attrition to limestone. 50% of particles were recuperated as fines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium aluminate cement</td>
<td>Velocitiy carbonator: 2-2.6 m/s T_{carb}=650°C</td>
<td>Pellets experienced similar attrition to limestone. 50% of particles were recuperated as fines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Velocitiy calciner: 0.5-0.8 m/s T_{calc}=650°C</td>
<td>Pellets experienced similar attrition to limestone. 50% of particles were recuperated as fines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass used for templating: cardboard, maple leaves, date seed and white soft wood</td>
<td>TGA: Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO_2 (15% steam in some tests)</td>
<td>Leaf-derived biomass pellets showed higher porosity than all the other types of biomass, increasing also the CO_2 uptake. Optimal content 10% biomass with a 33.3% more CO2 captured than biomass-free pellets after 20 cycles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tests with steam exhibited better performance of the biomass-templated sorbents.

Biomass used for templating: commercial wheat white flour.
Calcium aluminate cement as binder.
Doped with sea-water.

Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO₂
Calcination 850°C in N₂
Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO₂
Calcination 950°C in 100% CO₂
Carbonation 850°C in 90% CO₂
Calcination 850°C in 20% CO₂

The synthetic materials showed better performance than limestone under BFB conditions from 0.25 g/g for calcium aluminate pellets to below 0.1 g/g for limestone after 10 cycles.

Calcium aluminate, rice husk as pore-forming material and inert or semi-reactive shells.

Calcination 900°C in 100% N₂ (%vol).
Carbonation 650°C in 15% CO₂

The addition of limestone to the inert shell proved to be beneficial for the reactivity and improved the structure with a maximum with 60% lime added to the shell (0.293 g/g after 17 cycles) when compared to the inert shells (0.132 g/g).

3.6.6 Nanomaterials

Nano-CaCO₃ was investigated with a TGA, which showed a residual activity double what regular limestone would present after 100 cycles. The conversion (X) is presented in Figure 3-14, which shows a residual conversion of 20% and a first-cycle conversion of 89% [140].
Figure 3-14: Conversion (X) for nano-CaCO₃ in the first carbonation and 100th carbonation [140]

Liu et al. [141] compared the capture performance from synthetic precursors including nano-particles. All the samples were subjected to 9 carbonation/calcination cycles in a TGA. The solids obtained from nano-sized precursors exhibited a slower decay in conversion, which suggests that those materials are less susceptible to sintering [141].

Another attempt to use nano-sized calcium carbonate as a precursor for the sorbent was made by Wu et al. [142], and the results confirmed that nano-calcium carbonate is a better precursor than Ca(OH)₂ and micro CaCO₃. Wu and Zhu [143] coated the surface of nano-CaCO₃ with a nano-TiO₂ using a solution containing Ti(OH)₄. The resulting material was heated and calcined, and TGA tests showed a constant reactive sorption capacity of 5.3 mol/kg after 10 cycles (under carbonation at 600 °C in 0.02 MPa CO₂ partial pressure and calcination at 750 °C using N₂). In comparison, the uncoated material showed a capacity of 1.6 mol/kg after the same number of carbonation/calcination cycles. These results suggest that the higher melting point of the CaTiO₃ developed as the coating layer after calcination reduced sintering.

An alternative method for producing nano-particles is flame spray pyrolysis (FSP), in which precursor droplets are converted into solid nano-particles in flames. This has been proposed as an inexpensive large-scale production method for various types of reactive powders [144]. Different sorbents were
produced using this technique, doping the particles with silica, titanium, chromium oxide, cobalt oxide, zirconia and ceria [145]. Nano-CaO exhibited increased capture capacity and the performance of the sorbents generated by FSP was better than that of the sorbents prepared from regular wet methods.

Liu et al. [146] investigated wet mixing of calcium and magnesium salts of d-gluconic acid. The particles exhibited well-distributed CaO nano-particles coated with MgO, which the authors suggested acted as a barrier to avoid sintering. The TGA experiments displayed a constant CO$_2$ capture capacity over 24 cycles of 0.56 (650 °C for 30 min for carbonation using 15% CO$_2$ in the flue gas, and calcination at 900 °C for 10 min in 100% N$_2$).

An alternative to the materials mentioned above was proposed by Li et al. [147]: mixing a 2-propanol slurry containing Ca(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ and MgAl$_2$O$_4$ particles. The particles were then dried and calcined, and experiments were performed in a TGA and fixed bed. Both experiments demonstrated the superior capture capacity of the CaO/MgAl$_2$O$_4$, compared to CaO/MgO particles prepared with the same technique and natural dolomite. The thermal and mechanical properties of MgAl$_2$O$_4$ added as a support were beneficial for the particles as they interfered with the agglomeration of the nano-CaO particles, which minimised the sintering.

The production of these materials has several benefits such as the advantageous properties of supports (high melting point, stabilisation of structure), as well as the benefit of using nanoparticles on their own (slower decay in conversion). However, these methods are difficult to scale up and much more expensive than using natural limestone or granulated material; therefore, a compromise between durability, cost and adsorption capacity has to be made. Attrition also has to be studied with such materials, and generally the lack of attrition studies on new materials represents a potential major limitation for determining their suitability for calcium looping applications.
3.7 Reactivation of spent sorbent

Once the sorbent has been used for a long series of cycles, the residual reactivity is very low. For the CaL process to be feasible at commercial scale, a method should be found to re-use the potentially very large quantities of spent sorbent, thereby avoiding the necessity of disposal. Besides, the cost of synthetic sorbents is a critical parameter for the feasibility of the technology making their reactivation even more important [148].

3.7.1 Hydration

Hydration can be used not only as a pre-treatment to make limestone more reactive but also as a reactivation technique for spent sorbent. Hydration is beneficial due to the formation of cracks in the CaO particles creating paths to the interior of the particles and, therefore, improving CO₂ capture [149]. Another positive effect of hydration is the formation of larger pores, which make the particles less susceptible to pore blockage [150].

Reactivation can be achieved utilising water [151, 152], water vapour or steam [153, 154]. Generally, calcination/carbonation reactors are not designed for hydration. Therefore, a new vessel would be required so that the used sorbent can be reactivated. The hydration reaction between CaO and water is exothermic, which raises two considerations: the dehydration is endothermic, and the heat produced in the hydrator needs to be integrated with the power plant or the process in order to maintain overall efficiency [153]. It is also essential that the material that needs to be hydrated comes from the calciner, due to the fact that the material from the carbonator is likely to show minimal reactivation compared to calcined material [88, 155].

The most effective way of hydrating spent sorbent is water hydration [151, 152]. Used sorbent reactivated with water for 1 min can reach 70% of the initial conversion of natural sorbent. There are many factors that affect the hydration of synthetic sorbents, but ultrasonic hydration could be a solution for reactivating this type of material [151], which was first proposed by Wang et al. [156] to enhance hydration in sulphated sorbent. However, direct water
hydration is far from ideal due to the energy penalty caused by drying humid hydrated lime. Therefore, steam hydration is proposed as the best method for reactivation [153, 154]. Another advantage of steam over water is that spent sorbent exhibits high reactivity towards steam, allowing small pores to be produced. More investigations [155, 157] have been conducted with promising results using steam as the hydration procedure as shown in Figure 3-15 and from an industrial point of view it seems more likely that steam would be used rather than liquid water.

![Graph showing the effect of hydration on sorbent activity](image)

**Figure 3-15: Effect of hydration on sorbent activity (after the first cycle in tube furnace)**

However, the results seen in Figure 3-15 only demonstrated the effect of reactivated lime during the first cycle after the hydration, while the most important parameter for the CaL cycle is long-term reactivity. The results shown in Figure 3-16 indicate that the improvement in the reactivity in the first cycle actually continues over a relatively high number of cycles [150]. This is attributed to the enhanced rate of carbonation in the diffusion-controlled regime [158].
Figure 3-16: Effect of hydration on decay rate of CO$_2$ sorption of CaO sorbent (the hydration was performed after the 15th cycle) [150]

However, it is interesting to note that Sun et al. [88] reported poor capture performance after hydration; it was later found that if the hydrated sample was exposed to temperatures above 750 °C after reactivation, the beneficial effect was effectively eliminated [159]. The carbonation of Ca(OH)$_2$ is faster than CaO [160, 161], so it has been suggested that the hydration vessel for post-combustion operation should be positioned before the carbonator, taking special care in selecting the carbonation temperature.

While the benefits of using hydration as a means to reactivate the sorbent are numerous (e.g., low price of water as a reactivating chemical, easy procedure and scale up of the hydration technique and high reactivity of the treated sorbent), an extra reactor will increase the cost and complexity of the plant. Another drawback for the technique is the high attrition of hydrated particles, which is a challenge for FB operation [47, 149, 162]. An extra step (i.e., granulation or extrusion) would be required to overcome the mechanically weak particles, which will incur a rise in price.
3.7.2 Re-pelletisation technique

The re-pelletisation process uses water to re-bind the pellets. There is a double effect when using this method for reactivation purposes. The porosity of the particles is increased and some unreacted CaO in the core is exposed at the surface. Moreover, water used to re-pelletise the sorbent hydrates the material increasing its performance further.

This phenomenon was first studied in the field for sulphur capture for flue gas desulphurisation, where it was demonstrated that wet mixing was beneficial compared to dry mixing for re-pelletisation of spent material [163].

This technique could be used for CaL. Lu et al. [164] proposed pelletisation of hydrated lime as an acceptable solution for attrition of the hydrated material. Manovic and Anthony [165] mixed calcined limestone with calcium aluminate cement using extrusion through a sieve as a technique for obtaining pellets. These pellets were cycled 300 times, under isothermal conditions at 850 °C with 100% CO₂ for calcination and 100% N₂ for carbonation (using a tube furnace to make spent sorbent). They were then removed following calcination, before being ground and remade by addition of water and extrusion through a sieve. TGA tests showed that the fresh pellets and the ones made with spent sorbent showed very similar results exhibiting 33–34% conversion after 30 cycles at 700 °C in an atmosphere of 20% CO₂, N₂ balance for carbonation, and 950 °C in pure CO₂ for calcination.

The spent sorbent from a pilot plant was pelletised using a mechanical granulator [166]. Three types of granules were made: with no binder; with 10% calcium aluminate cement; and with a cement-free core, cement-containing mixture. This last type of pellet was prepared in a two-step process. Spent sorbent with no cement was hydrated, granulated and dried. Then, these pellets were added to the vessel with cement to be pelletised forming a cement shell around the cement–free pellet. The results for the pellets were then compared to the spent sorbent from the power plant, showing improvement in reactivity. Although the reactivity was increased, the pellets did not show the level of
conversion seen in fresh limestone due to sulphation during cycles, as can be seen in Figure 3-17.

**Figure 3-17:** CO₂ capture performance of tested sorbents: (a) CO₂ capture capacity during 30 cycles and (b) conversion profiles during the first three cycles. Conditions: carbonation in 50% CO₂ (N₂ balance) for 30 min, calcination in 100% N₂ for 10 min, isothermally at 800 °C [166]

As a reactivation technique remaking of pellets would be beneficial from the economic perspective as the materials are cheap (deactivated material and water). Moreover, the procedure would be easy to implement as it is the same as the production method explained in the granulation subsection. It has a clear advantage over hydration, as the material is hydrated and pelletised at the
same time. Therefore, the reactivity is increased but the material is less subjected to attrition.

3.7.3 Extended carbonation time and re-carbonation

To understand the benefits of this technique a more detailed explanation of the reaction of CaO with CO$_2$ is needed. The carbonation reaction has two stages: a fast kinetically-controlled stage and a slow diffusion-controlled stage as shown in Figure 3-18 [32]. The more time the solid spends in the slow diffusion stage, the more reactive the particle is in the next calcination due to the increasing volume of the particle which will result in a more porous structure, which is advantageous for the process.

![Graph showing carbonation stages](image)

**Figure 3-18: Repeated calcination/carbonation cycles of limestone in a TGA [32]**

This phenomenon was first studied for energy storage where it was noted that if the slow diffusion stage was completed then the flow of CO$_2$ during calcination would increase porosity and increase the surface area for the next cycle [48].

This was first noted for CaL by Sun, Lim and Grace [49] who showed that marginal increase in carbonation times had a positive outcome on the capture capacity over several cycles. Chen et al. [92] stated that extending the carbonation time substantially helped to recover some capture ability of the sorbents. Although this recovery decreased with increasing number of cycles,
the samples that experienced extended carbonation time showed better reactivity than the ones that did not.

Further work demonstrated that carbonation time has a robust effect on carrying capacity. If the carbonation time increased, the residual conversion also increased [31].

Arias et al. [60] proposed incorporating this into the CaL scheme with a recarbonation reactor. This would maintain a quasi-optimal carrying capacity by carbonating the solids with pure CO₂ from the calciner. This hypothesis was verified using a TGA, increasing the residual carrying capacity from 7% to 16%. The design of a reactor for this purpose was suggested recently [167], although the idea was first proposed by Salvador et al. in 2003 [50] and [168]. The results for the modelled re-carbonator are displayed in Figure 3-19, which clearly indicates the increased conversion versus recarbonation time.

![Diagram of conversion versus time curve during carbonation and recarbonation stages]

**Figure 3-19:** Example of a typical conversion versus time curve during carbonation and recarbonation stages [167]

Manovic and Anthony [68] have shown that recarbonation can have an adverse effect, further accelerating the decay of CaO conversion. This was confirmed in a recent publication where the authors suggested that this process leads to an intensification of diffusion-controlled carbonation, which causes defects due to
intense bulk stresses [169]. Further studies need to be carried out regarding the potential of this process to better understand the effects on the sorbent due to the contradictory results that can be found in the literature.

3.8 Conclusions

Although limestone would be initially preferred as a CaL sorbent due to its low cost, ready availability and possible re-use, once spent, as a feedstock for the cement industry, there are several drawbacks to its use: in particular, the reactivity decay caused by sintering, the potentially high attrition rate for many limestones, and vulnerability of limestone to sulphation in practical systems. A number of solutions have been reviewed in order to overcome these challenges. First, enhancement of natural sorbents can be achieved, reducing their reactivity decay by means of some simple procedures, such as using calcium hydroxide as a precursor, and thermal pre-treatment. In addition, novel synthesis methods have been developing during the past decade to obtain particles with upgraded properties. The techniques vary from fairly simple procedures such as granulation and dry mixing to very complex processes like sol-gel combustion synthesis and co-precipitation.

There is a need to study the scalability of these complex processes. The preferred processes would be, at least at an initial stage of deployment, techniques that are already proven in industry such as granulation or extrusion. Another important factor is the cost of the material since one of the main advantages of CaL is the low sorbent cost. The last important concern with respect to sorbents is the suitability of the particles for use in FBs. Some of the methods presented here require modifications to fine particles (nano-materials or PCC) in order for them to be usable in a reactor of this kind. Consequently, the cost of granulation of this material should be added to the overall process costs and evaluation, which risks making such approaches prohibitively costly. Moreover, reactivation techniques should also be carefully investigated to determine their appropriateness at commercial scale as an alternative approach to preparing synthetic sorbents.
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Abstract

Four types of synthetic sorbents were developed for high-temperature post-combustion calcium looping CO₂ capture using Longcal limestone. Pellets were prepared with: lime and cement (LC); lime and flour (LF); lime, cement and flour (LCF); and lime, cement and flour doped with seawater (LCFSW). Flour was used as a templating material. All samples underwent 20 cycles in a TGA under two different calcination conditions. Moreover, the prepared sorbents were tested for 10 carbonation/calcination cycles in a 68 mm-inner-diameter
bubbling fluidized bed (BFB) in three environments: with no sulphur and no steam; in the presence of sulphur; and with steam. When compared to limestone, all the synthetic sorbents exhibited enhanced CO\textsubscript{2} capture performance in the BFB experiments, with the exception of the sample doped with seawater. In the BFB tests, the addition of cement binder during the pelletisation process resulted in the increase of CO\textsubscript{2} capture capacity from 0.08 g CO\textsubscript{2} per g sorbent (LF) to 0.15 g CO\textsubscript{2} per g sorbent (LCF) by the 10\textsuperscript{th} cycle. The CO\textsubscript{2} uptake in the presence of SO\textsubscript{2} dramatically declined by the 10\textsuperscript{th} cycle; for example, from 0.22 g CO\textsubscript{2} per g sorbent to 0.05 g CO\textsubscript{2} per g sorbent in the case of the untemplated material (LC). However, as expected all samples showed improved performance in the presence of steam, and the decay of reactivity during the cycles was less pronounced. Nevertheless, in the BFB environment, the templated pellets showed poorer CO\textsubscript{2} capture performance. This is presumably because of material loss due to attrition under the FB conditions. By contrast, the templated materials performed better than untemplated materials under TGA conditions. This indicates that the reduction of attrition is critical when employing templated materials in realistic systems with FB reactors.
4.1 Introduction

It is widely accepted that CO$_2$ emissions are the most contributing factor affecting climate change. Decreasing anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions, especially from power sector, which accounted for at least one third of the total greenhouse gas emissions in 2010 [1] is one of the main goals of climate change mitigation strategies. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) has been proposed to mitigate CO$_2$ emission from power plants [2–5].

Currently, the carbon capture technology that is the closest to the market is amine scrubbing [4] with its first commercial-scale plant opened in October 2014 in Canada [6]. However, there are many problems associated with this technology such as degradation of the expensive solvent and the corrosive nature of typical solvents such as monoethanolamine (MEA) [7–13]. Due to these challenges other options are increasingly being explored for CO$_2$ capture, such as calcium looping (CaL) [14].

CaL systems are comprised of two interconnected fluidised beds which function as a carbonator and calciner respectively. The CO$_2$ is captured by a lime-based sorbent in the carbonator at 600–700 °C, and a high-purity CO$_2$ stream is produced in the calciner at 850–950 °C. This process has many advantages, such as the use of a cheap natural sorbent [15, 16] and a low energy penalty [17]. However, there are two major challenges associated with this technology: the sorbent reactivity decay over reaction cycles, and the attrition of the particles due to the mechanical and thermal stresses experienced in a fluidized bed environment. These challenges demand increasing sorbent makeup (i.e. the use of fresh sorbent) [18].

Considerable research efforts have been made towards developing new sorbents that have a more stable reactivity over many cycles, using techniques such as sol–gel combustion [19–27], organic/acid modifications [28–34], calcium carbonate precipitation [33, 35, 36], and granulation [37–44], among others. Most of these methods have proven to produce materials that have higher capture capacity than natural sorbents. However, although these modifications can result in a better sorbent performance, they are potentially
expensive due to the cost of the materials required and the use of multiple process steps [45, 46].

Granulation is a promising technique for the preparation of sorbents; it allows chemical doping and also the improvement of mechanical strength using binders such as bentonites, kaolin, alumina and calcium aluminate cements, and it appears to be easily scalable. Recently, Ridha et al. [43] explored the templating of pellets using cheap biomass sources; the resulting sorbent displayed a higher pore volume and CO₂ capture than biomass-free pellets.

Doping has also been previously explored as a means to reduce the decay of activity of natural materials. Salvador et al. [47] demonstrated that NaCl improved the relative capture capacity by maintaining it at 40% after 10 cycles due to the resulting changes in the pore structure for TGA tests. However, NaCl markedly decreased the CO₂ capture of the treated lime when tested in a fluidised bed reactor (FBR) compared to the untreated material. Other materials such as KCl and K₂CO₃ [48], manganese salts [49], MgCl and Mg(NO₃)₂ [50] and CaBr₂ [51,52] have also produced improvement in pore structure, pore volume and pore size. However, in some cases, alkali-metal precursors used as dopants, such as lithium chloride, produced poorer performance in the treated sample than that of natural limestone [53].

The reduction in reactivity over many cycles is aggravated by the presence of SO₂, due to the irreversible reaction between CaO and SO₂ to form CaSO₄ [54–56]. Unfortunately, calcium sulphate is stable at typical CaL temperatures, which leads to a dramatic decay in carbonation conversions during the cycling due to the formation of a CaSO₄ layer. Pacciani et al. [57] discovered that sulfation is enhanced in carbonation/calcination cycles for synthetic sorbents. Stanmore and Gilot [58] reported that the simultaneous capture of CO₂ and SO₂ causes more sintering than SO₂ capture alone.

The use of pelletised material in the presence of SO₂ has been studied by Manovic and Anthony [59]. They found that particles composed of lime and calcium aluminate cement exhibited a higher sulfation rate (89%) than that of natural limestone (30%). Ridha et al. [60] also studied the effect of sulfation in
pelletised particles prepared using kaolin as a binder; the results showed strong
deactivation of all sorbents tested, but it was more pronounced in the case of
the pelletised material, which is in agreement with previous results from the
same group [59].

Steam is always present in flue gas due to the combustion process, and it has
been experimentally simulated by, for example, 15 vol% steam addition during
the carbonation stages of CO₂ capture experiments [61,62]. These studies
show that the presence of steam increased the CO₂ uptake substantially in a
bench-scale FBC, possibly due to enhanced solid-state diffusion in the product
layer [63].

This work explores the effect of SO₂ and steam on calcium aluminate pellets as
cheap synthetic CaL sorbents, templated by biomass and doped with seawater.
CO₂ uptake was measured during the carbonation/calcination cycling of the
sorbent performed using a TGA, and a bubbling FB was used to provide
realistic test conditions and to allow us to study the effects of attrition.

4.2 Experimental

4.2.1 Materials

Longcal limestone from the United Kingdom was used as a lime precursor.
Commercial calcium aluminate cement, CA-14, manufactured by Almatis Inc.,
was used as a binder in the pelletisation process and as a source of Al₂O₃.
Commercial flour was used as the biomass templating material. Artificial
seawater (Complete Aquatics) was used for doping purposes; this water is
treated with NaOCl, to leave only a small additional amount of Na and Cl.

4.2.2 Pellet preparation procedure

Four types of materials were prepared: (i) 10% calcium aluminate cement and
90% calcined limestone (LC), (ii) 10% flour and 90% calcined limestone (LF),
(iii) 10% flour, 10% calcium aluminate cement and 80% calcined limestone
(LCF), and (iv) 10% flour, 10% calcium aluminate cement and 80% calcined
lime doped with seawater (LCFSW). The pellets were prepared in a Glatt TMG
1/6 granulator. The desired powder proportional quantities (1 kg batches) were introduced into the pelletizer vessel (4 L) and mixed. The mixing was carried out inside the vessel by two sets of blades (the chopper and the agitator) while continuously spraying with water (ca. 1 L during the procedure) for pelletisation. A more detailed explanation of this procedure can be found in the work done by Manovic et al. [64]. The particles were then sieved and the size fraction 0.3–0.6 mm was collected. The material was air dried for 24 h before storage and the samples produced are described in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1: Materials used in the preparation of samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lime (wt %)</th>
<th>Calcium aluminate cement (wt %)</th>
<th>Flour (wt %)</th>
<th>Type of water used in the pelletisation process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCFSW</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.3 CO₂ capture cycles

A Perkin Elmer Diamond TG/DTA thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) was used for repeated carbonation/calcination cycles. The samples were tested under two conditions; in the first, carbonation was performed for 20 min at 650 °C under 15% CO₂, while the calcination was carried out for 10 min at 850 °C under 100% N₂. In the second round of tests, the carbonation was performed for 20 min at 650 °C under 15% CO₂, and the calcination was carried out for 10 min at 950 °C under 100% CO₂. Under the latter set of conditions, during the transition between calcination and carbonation, the atmosphere was switched to 100% N₂ below 900 °C to avoid carbonation under non-desired conditions. The heating ramp was set to 40 °C min⁻¹ for the heating stage and 20 °C min⁻¹ for the cooling stage. All the sorbents underwent 20 cycles with the first calcination performed in air to allow the combustion of the biomass.

In addition to the TGA, a lab-scale bubbling fluidised bed (BFB) was used for testing carbonation/calcination cycles to ensure testing under more realistic
conditions [65]. The BFB was 68 mm ID; it was operated at atmospheric pressure and heated to the desired temperature by means of an external electric furnace. The distributor plate consists of four bubble caps and a cyclone downstream of the fluidised bed is used to avoid excessive particle concentration in the flue gas. After the cyclone, the gas was filtered and cooled. A fraction of the exhaust gas was continuously sampled to measure CO$_2$ and SO$_2$ concentration with ABB EL3020 infrared (CO$_2$ and SO$_2$) photometers in order to monitor the progress of the reactions. The layout of the experimental equipment used is exhibited in Figure 4-1.

![Figure 4-1: Overview of laboratory-scale fluidised-bed system](image)

For the BFB tests, 100 g of sorbent was diluted with 1.5 kg of silica sand to avoid excessive increase of temperature in the bed during carbonation. Due to the temperature change limitations caused by the thermal inertia of the BFB, all the experiments were performed isothermally at 850 °C with 90 vol% CO$_2$ during the carbonation and 20 vol% CO$_2$ during the calcination. The duration of both calcination and carbonation was 15 min (with the first calcination performed in air to allow the combustion of the biomass).
The effects of sulphur and steam were also investigated in the BFB following the same procedure as above with a sand-diluted bed and isothermal conditions for carbonation/calcination at 850 °C. To measure the effect of SO₂ a new series of experiments was performed. For these tests, the concentration of CO₂ was maintained at the same level as in the experiments with no SO₂. The SO₂ concentration was set to 1500 ppm for both carbonation and calcination, simulating non-desulphurised flue gas from high-sulphur-coal combustion entering the carbonator and burning high-sulphur coal in the oxy-fuel calciner. A high impact on the carbonation degree and the CO₂ uptake was expected. The concentration of oxygen during carbonation and calcination was kept constant at 3 vol%.

The effect of steam was also studied in the BFB. The steam is normally present both in the calciner and carbonator due to the moisture content in fuels and due to steam formation during combustion. The selected steam content was 15 vol% during the carbonation and calcination, in accordance with previously published work [63]. The CO₂ concentration during calcination was maintained at 20 vol% on a wet basis, and during the carbonation the CO₂ concentration was set at 80 vol% on a wet basis.

For all the fluidised-bed experiments, 10 cycles were performed while maintaining the bed velocity at 0.5 m s⁻¹ (bubbling regime) for the whole duration of each experiment.

### 4.2.4 Sample characterization

Elementary analysis of the samples was performed using ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry). Compression strength tests were performed using an FGE-5XY Digital Force Gauge. For each sample, 31 measurements were made to ensure the validity of the data for the raw material. Mercury porosimetry was performed using an AutoPore IV 9500 for analysis of the total pore area and porosity.
4.3 Results and discussion

4.3.1 Sorbent characterization

The ICP-OES and carbon analyses of the limestone and the samples are given in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2: Elementary analysis of the sorbents used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (wt %)</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>LF</th>
<th>LCF</th>
<th>LCFSW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{BaO})</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CaO})</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{K}_2\text{O})</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MgO})</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MnO}_2)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{SO}_3)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{SrO})</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{TiO}_2)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxides</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (total carbon)</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at 105°C</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at 950°C</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>102.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Raw pellets made from calcined limestone and 10% aluminate cement (LC) show the highest crushing strength resistance (Figure 4-2). It was expected that cement-containing pellets (LC and LCF) would have higher crushing strength than non-cement containing particles (LF) due to the presence of cement. However, LCFSW was also prepared with a cement containing mixture and its crushing strength is very low. It is possible that the lower crushing strength is due to the negative effects of seawater doping, probably caused by the excess
of NaCl in the dopant media [67]. Limestone was not tested for crushing strength due to the small particle size (average size of 180 mm) when compared to the size range of the materials produced (300–600 mm).

Figure 4-2: Crushing strength of non-calcined samples

In Table 4-3, the results of mercury porosimetry for LC and LCF are shown in order to compare the effect that the addition of flour has on the sorbent pore size. As expected, the addition of flour has a positive effect, increasing both the porosity and the total pore area of the resulting material.

### Table 4-3: Mercury porosimetry for LC and LCF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Total pore area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>14.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>17.173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.3.2 Reactivity tests

##### 4.3.2.1 TGA tests

The final TGA results over 20 cycles under the previously mentioned conditions are shown in Figure 4-3 (samples calcined at 850 °C in pure N₂) and Figure 4-4 (samples calcined at 950 °C in pure CO₂). The main aim of these tests was to compare the synthetic sorbents prepared using the pelletisation method in order
to assess if flour or any similar material used as a biomass-templating material would be suitable for calcium looping processes.

Figure 4-3: TGA carbonation conversion of sorbents calcined under N₂ atmosphere (10 min calcination at 850 °C in 100 vol% N₂ and 20 min carbonation at 650 °C in 15 vol% CO₂)

Figure 4-4: TGA carbonation conversion of sorbents calcined under CO₂ atmosphere (10 min calcination at 950 °C in 100 vol% CO₂ and 20 min carbonation at 650 °C in 15 vol% CO₂)

The carbonation conversion of all pellets was higher when they were calcined at 850 °C in pure N₂ (Figure 4-3) compared to the calcination at 950 °C in pure CO₂ (Figure 4-4). The use of seawater (LCFSW) instead of deionized water (LCF) during the pelletisation process had a very dramatic effect in terms of decreasing the carbonation conversion from 16% to 3% in the 20th cycle in CO₂ calcination conditions (and from 46.5% to 9.6% in the case of calcination with pure N₂; Figure 4-3). The other samples showed quite uniform behaviour for the calcination in pure CO₂ (Figure 4-4). In the tests performed under mild conditions (calcination at 850 °C in pure N₂; Figure 4-3), the biomass-templated pellets (both LF and LCF) showed better carbonation conversions than LC in
the first ten cycles, but afterwards their conversions remained only slightly higher (6%) than the conversion for LC.

4.3.2.2 BFB tests

The behaviour of the pellets compared to the original limestone in a real BFB over 10 cycles is shown in Figure 4-5. It can be seen that all of the pellets performed better than the initial limestone after the third cycle. The sorbent performance was increased from 0.03 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for the limestone to 0.22 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LC, 0.07 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LF, 0.12 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LCF and 0.05 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LCFSW in the 10$^{th}$ cycle.

![Figure 4-5: Carbonation conversion of the prepared pellets and original limestone in BFB; carbonation at 850 °C for 15 min; calcination at 850 °C for 15 min](image)

It can be observed that the addition of calcium aluminate cement has a clear effect on the biomass-doped pellets, increasing their CO$_2$ uptake by 71% (LCF compared to LF). This phenomenon can be attributed to the presence of the mesoporous Al$_2$O$_3$ phase, which increases the porosity of the sample [68], as well as the increased attrition resistance and decreased elutriation rate.

LCFSW pellets exhibited only a marginal improvement of 0.02 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent by the 10$^{th}$ cycle (compared to the limestone), indicating that doping
with seawater did not increase the performance of the sorbent. It was also apparent that the addition of biomass to LC reduced the CO$_2$ uptake from 0.22 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent to 0.12 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent by the 10$^{th}$ cycle. This suggests that flour templating of the pellets did not improve the resistance towards degradation experienced during the carbonation–calcination cycles.

Figure 4-6 shows how the sorbents behaved under high SO$_2$ concentration conditions (1500 ppm). Here, all sorbents behaved poorly when compared to the results without SO$_2$ addition (Figure 4-5). Even in the case of the best sorbent, LC, there was a significant loss of activity. In this case, the CO$_2$ capture capacity declined from 0.22 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent to 0.05 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent by the 10$^{th}$ cycle. This highlights the need to desulphurise flue gas, especially when dealing with synthetic sorbents.

![Figure 4-6: Carbonation conversion of pellets and original limestone with 1500 ppm SO$_2$; carbonation at 850 °C for 15 min; calcination at 850 °C for 15 min in BFB](image)

For the biomass-containing materials (LF, LCF and LCFSW) the capacity after 10 cycles was found to be very similar at around 5% carbonation. This also represents (when compared to Figure 4-5) a very dramatic decline, especially for LCF, which had a 31% carbonation degree in the 10$^{th}$ cycle without SO$_2$ addition. These results can be explained by the progressive build-up of a sulfate...
layer, which reduces CO₂ diffusion in the pores of the pellets and limestone particles [69].

The effect of steam addition on Xcarb is shown in Figure 4-7. The addition of steam increased the degree of carbonation for all the sorbents. In accordance with previous observations, such as those of Ridha et al. [40], the drastic decline observed in the first cycle when cycling without steam is no longer observed when the steam is introduced. In the 10th cycle, LC had a CO₂ uptake of 0.25 g CO₂ per g sorbent, which is 14% more than in the case without steam. LCF adsorbed 25% more CO₂ (0.12 g CO₂ per g sorbent to 0.15 g CO₂ per g sorbent) in the 10th cycle. The other sorbents (limestone, LF and LCFSW) also improved their capture capacity but their CO₂ uptake was still below 0.1 g CO₂ per g sorbent.

![Figure 4-7: Carbonation conversion of pellets and original limestone with 15% steam in BFB; carbonation at 850 °C for 15 min; calcination at 850 °C for 15 min](image)

**4.4 Conclusions**

This work examined the CO₂ capture performance of CaO-based sorbents (limestone, calcium aluminate pellets, biomass-doped pellets with and without binder, and biomass-templated pellets doped with seawater). All of the biomass-containing pellets were made from flour chosen as a cheap biomass source to enhance the CO₂ uptake. The biomass-free pellets showed superior
capture capacity compared with the biomass-containing pellets when cycles were performed in a BFB; the non-biomass-containing sample (LC) had the highest capacity in all cases. However, when pellets were tested in a TGA under both conditions (calcination at 850 °C in pure N₂ and calcination at 950°C, 100% CO₂), the biomass and cement-containing pellets (LCF) had the highest conversion. It appears that the biomass-containing pellets are more prone to attrition, so their mechanical strength needs to be improved during the preparation process. This increased attrition is in agreement with the crushing strength results, where the biomass-containing particles showed markedly lower results than biomass-free particles. The CO₂ uptake by the biomass-free pellets (LC) in the 10th cycle was 0.22 g CO₂ per g sorbent under normal conditions, 0.25 g CO₂ per g sorbent with 15 vol% of steam and 0.05 g CO₂ per g sorbent under 1500 ppm of SO₂ during carbonation and calcination, indicating a very dramatic effect of sulphur addition. The most important difference between the biomass-containing material (LF) and the biomass and cement containing material (LCF) is the presence of a binder (10% calcium aluminate cement in LCF), which has a great impact with a 71.4% higher CO₂ uptake for the cement-containing sample under normal conditions, an 87.5% increase of CO₂ uptake for the same pellets with 15 vol% steam, and around the same conversion under high sulphur conditions. Although biomass-templating for pelletising Ca-based sorbents could be a beneficial technique to improve reactivity, it is clear that a means of increasing mechanical strength is required, and that attrition for these systems needs to be better understood.
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Abstract

The use of biomass templating materials with a cheap production method as an enhanced sorbent for CO₂ uptake has been proposed recently. However, the attrition and fragmentation behaviour of this type of material, which is a vital parameter for calcium looping sorbents, has not yet been investigated in detail. In this work the fragmentation behaviour of biomass-templated sorbents is
Three types of materials were prepared using a mechanical pelletiser: 1. lime and cement (LC); 2. lime and flour (LF); and 3. lime, cement and flour (LCF). These samples were heat treated in a pressurised heated strip reactor (PHSR) and in a bubbling fluidised bed (BFB) and changes in particle size distribution were measured to assess fragmentation. Results indicated that the addition of biomass enhances the propensity to undergo fragmentation. Upon heat treatment in the PHSR the particle size of LC was not modified significantly; on the contrary the mean particle diameter of LF decreased from 520 μm to 116 μm and that of LCF from 524 μm to 290 μm. Fragmentation tests in the BFB confirmed the trend: 67% of the particles of LF fragmented, against 53% of LCF and 18% of LC samples. The addition of cement to the LF samples partially counteracts this performance degradation with respect to attrition. However, calcium aluminate pellets (LC) showed the lowest rate of fragmentation amongst all of the samples tested.

**Keywords:** Calcium looping; Pellets; Biomass templating; Fragmentation

**Highlights:**

- Biomass-templated materials using flour as a cheap source of biomass were produced.
- Fragmentation was tested in PHSR and BFB simulating conditions at calciner entrance.
- Biomass addition was detrimental to the fragmentation resistance of the particles.
- This effect was partially neutralised by the inclusion of cement in the production.
- Results from both PHSR and BFB agreed in terms of behaviour of all particle types.
5.1 Introduction

Calcium looping (CaL) is a second-generation carbon capture technology, which uses a lime sorbent in dual fluidised-bed reactors; this technology depends on the following reversible exothermic calcium oxide carbonation reaction:

\[ \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

The typical reactor set-up consists of two interconnected fluidised-bed reactors. In the first reactor (the carbonator) the CaO-based sorbent captures CO\(_2\) from power plant flue gas; this reaction occurs at a practical rate at 650–700 °C [1-3]. The carbonated sorbent is then transferred to the second reactor (the calciner) where CO\(_2\) is released at high temperatures (850–950 °C). The regenerated material is then returned to the carbonator for the next cycle. However, there are several challenges with CaO-based sorbent whose CO\(_2\) uptake decreases with increasing number of carbonation/calcination cycles. This decline in activity is mainly due to sintering during calcination because of the high temperatures necessary for calcination [4-8]. The CO\(_2\) capture capacity of the fresh sorbent drops quickly during the initial cycles until an asymptotic value is achieved after about 20 or 30 cycles, which then remains almost constant over subsequent cycles and adopts typical values of about 0.08 g CO\(_2\)/gsorbent in the case of limestone [9]. This reduction in performance can be partially compensated by increasing the Ca/C ratio in the reactor (by increasing the purge of spent sorbent and the make-up ratio) or by modifying the properties of the particles [10]. However, this deactivation can also be caused by sulphation or ash fouling [11].

Natural sorbents (limestone and dolomite) are attractive due to their low cost, ready availability and, in the case of limestones, the potential suitability of the CaL purge material for the cement industry [12, 13]. However, significant research efforts are being made to modify limestone sorbents or create new synthetic sorbents using techniques such as sol-gel combustion [14-18], organic acid modifications [19-23], co-precipitation [24, 25] and granulation [26-32]. Such materials exhibited higher CO\(_2\) uptake, in general, when compared to natural lime-based sorbents. However, the cost of these sorbents increases due
to such complex production procedures and the cost of the additives and may become prohibitively expensive.

One of the methods proposed to improve the performance of calcium looping sorbents was biomass templating [30]. Such biomass is potentially a cheap material for increasing the porosity of pelletised sorbents. Ridha et al. [30] observed that the capture capacity was 0.41 g CO$_2$/gsorbent after 20 cycles in the presence of 15% steam for sorbents with 10% of powdered leaves incorporated into the sorbent. This was an increase of 33.3% when compared to the untemplated materials after both samples underwent 20 cycles. The use of flour as a biomass templating material has been studied by Erans et al. [32]. These materials were tested in both a thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) and a bubbling fluidised bed (BFB), and the synthesised materials were shown to exhibit better performance than natural limestone. However, under BFB conditions the templated materials demonstrated higher rates of fragmentation and attrition compared to calcium aluminate pellets without the addition of biomass.

Attrition of lime based sorbents has been extensively investigated in previous studies [33-36]. There are several attrition/fragmentation mechanisms: primary fragmentation, which occurs when the sorbent is injected into the reactor due to thermal stresses and overpressures caused by CO$_2$ release from the calcination reaction; secondary fragmentation, which occurs due to mechanical stresses from collisions between particles and bed internals; and attrition by abrasion, which is also caused by mechanical stresses but generates finer particles when compared to secondary fragmentation [37]. It has also been reported that the attrition rate was higher during the initial cycles and then subsequently decreased [38, 39].

Previous results have shown the beneficial effect of biomass addition for the CO$_2$ uptake, as well as demonstrating the enhanced porous structure of the templated samples. However, there are discrepancies between TGA results and BFB results; and these differences are believed to be due to attrition and fragmentation. This work explores the effect of biomass templating in calcium
aluminate pellets with regard to fragmentation. Three different types of materials: one with the addition of calcium aluminate cement (LC), another with flour addition (LF) and one with both (LCF) have been tested in two different types of reactors; namely a pressurised heated strip reactor (PHSR) and bubbling fluidised bed under different conditions.

5.2 Experimental

5.2.1 Materials

Longcalc limestone from the UK was used as a lime precursor. Commercial calcium aluminate cement, CA-14, manufactured by Almantis, was used as a binder in the pelletisation process and as a source of Al$_2$O$_3$. Commercial flour was used as the biomass templating material.

5.2.2 Pellet preparation procedure

Three types of materials were produced: (i) 10% calcium aluminate cement and 90% calcined limestone (LC); (ii) 10% flour and 90% calcined limestone (LF); and (iii) 10% flour, 10% calcium aluminate cement and 80% calcined limestone (LCF). The particles were prepared introducing the desired proportional quantities in 1 kg batches into a pelletiser vessel (4 L). The mixing took place inside the vessel by means of a chopper and agitator under a continuous water spray. A more detailed explanation of this procedure can be found elsewhere [27]. After pelletisation of the samples, the particles were sieved to different particle sizes. The material was air dried for 24 h before storage. The weight percentage of materials used in each sample can be found in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1: Materials used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lime (wt %)</th>
<th>Calcium aluminate cement (wt %)</th>
<th>Flour (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.3 Fragmentation experiments

For the fragmentation experiments two experimental systems have been used: a pressurised heated strip reactor and a bubbling fluidised bed.

The apparatus used for the first round of tests (the PHSR) achieves a heating rate of 4000 °C/s. Batches of particles of 500–710 μm are placed on the strip and heated up by physical contact with the strip and by thermal radiation from the semi-spherical cover of the reactor. Further details of this reactor are available elsewhere [40]. Tests have been carried out at 1 bar and 950 °C in pure N₂. The final temperature was held for 30 s in all experiments. After the test, particles were recovered and the experiments were repeated on fresh particles numerous times (∼10–15 times) in order to collect a sufficiently large amount of material to perform further particle size analyses.

Further fragmentation tests were carried out in a lab-scale BFB in order to reproduce conditions typical of the first calcinations step. The BFB had a 40 mm ID, and was operated at atmospheric pressure and heated to the desired temperature by means of an external electric furnace. Calcination of the particles was performed under two conditions: 100 vol% air and 70 vol% CO₂/30 vol% air. The calcination time for all the experiments was 20 min to ensure complete calcination. These tests were repeated for two different particle size ranges: 500–710 μm and 250–500 μm.

For the BFB tests, 20 g of sorbent was diluted in 150 g of silica (particle size distribution of 850–1000 μm) sand to avoid excessive decrease of temperature in the bed during calcination, due to the endothermic reaction of the sorbent. All the experiments were performed isothermally at 900 °C.

It is important to note that in the present work the focus is on the first calcination step due to the fact that the highest attrition rate occurs in the first cycle rather than in the following cycles. Therefore, this first calcination is considered to provide a good indication of sorbent attrition behaviour [41, 42].
5.2.4 Sample characterisation

The biomass-containing materials (LF and LCF) were analysed by TGA in a Netzsch STA409 CD apparatus in order to investigate the effect of biomass pyrolysis and combustion throughout calcination of the templated sorbents. Two different types of tests have been carried out, namely, pyrolysis-calcination and combustion-calcination tests.

In pyrolysis-calcination tests the sample was dried in argon at 110 °C, and then the temperature was taken to 900 °C in argon with a ramp rate of 5 °C/min. At 900 °C the gas was switched from argon to air and the samples were held at isothermal conditions for 60 min, before being cooled at a rate of 20 °C/min.

In combustion-calcination tests the sample was exposed to air flow from the very beginning. It was dried at 110 °C and then taken to 370 °C with the heating rate of 10 °C/min. An isothermal step of 10 min in air was performed at 370 °C to allow combustion of biomass. Finally, the sample was heated to 900 °C at a similar heating rate and kept at this temperature for 10 min to allow calcination.

Approximately 30 mg of sample were used for each experiment, with a gas flow rate of 200 mL/min. In the case of LCF, additional tests were performed for the following particle size ranges: 710–500 μm and 250–500 μm in order to investigate the effect of particle size.

Sample morphology was observed with a FEI Inspect S Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) with 20 kV of accelerating voltage under high vacuum. The calcined samples were put in the SEM chamber together with the ceramic pan. Before the analysis, the samples were coated with gold to avoid excessive charging. The porosimetry was studied using an AutoPore IV 9500 with mercury intrusion.

The particle size distribution was measured using the Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern, UK) and acetone was used as a carrier liquid. For X-ray diffractometry analysis (XRD) a D2 Phaser (Bruker, Germany) apparatus with Cu Kα radiation (30 kV, 10 mA) was used. Scattered X-ray intensities were recorded between 2θ = 5 and 75° with a scan velocity of 0.052θ s⁻¹.
5.3 Results and discussion

5.3.1.1 Sorbent characterisation

Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2 report the mass loss profiles obtained during TGA experiments of pyrolysis-calcination and combustion-calcination.

In the case of LF a first stage of mass loss is observed between 350 and 450 °C followed by a second stage of mass loss between 650 and 700 °C, which can be associated with flour pyrolysis and sorbent calcination, respectively.
Interestingly, the mass appears to be higher under pyrolysis conditions than under combustion, possibly due to the concurrent uptake of H₂O/CO₂ from air. In the combustion-calcination test a more noticeable uptake of CO₂ is evident above 450 °C. The release of CO₂ at still higher temperature supports the similar value of 60%, which is observed for the final residue after both pyrolysis-calcination and combustion-calcination of the flour templated samples.

In the case of LCF two stages of mass loss are observed associated with flour pyrolysis and sorbent calcination. The effect of CO₂ uptake in the combustion-calcination test is less striking for LCF than for LF, due to the smaller percentage of lime in LCF.

The fact that the final residue of the combustion-calcination tests exceeds that of the pyrolysis-calcination tests by 5% suggests that some structural changes in the cement phase might have occurred in air.

Figure 5-3, Figure 5-4 and Figure 5-5 show typical SEM images of LC, LF and LCF, respectively, after calcination. There are clear differences in structure: it is evident that the LC has a more compact structure, whilst LF has a more porous surface, as can be seen in Figure 5-4, due to the addition of flour, which creates mesopores in the structure. LCF displays a mixture of both structures, and it is definitely denser than LF; however, it has smaller pores and a more porous structure than LC.
Figure 5-3: SEM images of calcined LC at 20 kV and different magnifications
Figure 5-4: SEM images of calcined LF at 20 kV and different magnifications

Figure 5-5: SEM images of calcined LCF at 20 kV and different magnifications
In Figure 5-6 the mercury intrusion pore volume (dV/dD) is shown. From these data, it can be inferred that there is a difference in the pore size distribution. In LC, there is a greater amount of larger pores (around 100 nm) than in LF and LCF and this was expected due to the addition of biomass; this addition creates smaller pores, as has been mentioned above. However, it can be seen that the total pore surface area is lower in the sample with biomass-only templating (LF) with a total pore area of 13.2 m²/g compared to 15.0 m²/g (LC). Further, this seems to be mitigated when adding cement, as the pore area is increased to 17.12 m²/g (LCF). This increase in area is believed to be related to the mesoporous Al₂O₃ phase formed by the addition of the calcium aluminate cement in the pelletisation process [43].

Figure 5-6: dV/dD pore volume vs pore diameter for calcined LC, LF and LCF

XRD analysis of the samples was also carried out for LC, LF and LCF. The results of this analysis can be seen in Figure 5-7. The differences in composition of the samples are mainly in the mayenite (Ca₁₂Al₁₄O₃₃) phase that forms from the reaction between calcium aluminate cement and lime in the production process. These outcomes have been well documented in earlier investigations [44, 45].
5.3.2 Fragmentation tests

5.3.2.1 PSHR tests

The PSHR tests were performed repeatedly with raw samples until enough material was collected to analyse particle size distribution (PSD). In Figure 5-8, the PSD for LC is shown, comparing the raw material with the material after injection into the reactor, simulating the conditions at the entrance of the calciner. It can be seen that the PSDs are similar. However, it should be noted that the fine particles present in the raw sample probably became finer still, and could not be recovered after the PHSR experiment. Nonetheless, the mean diameter is almost equal in both the raw material and the treated sample.
The same distributions are shown for LF and LCF in Figure 5-9 and Figure 5-10, respectively. For LF the difference in the particle size distribution before and after the fragmentation experiment is quite significant, with major fragmentation occurring when the particles are treated at 950 °C, and the mean diameter decreases substantially from 520 μm to 116 μm. However, for LCF the change is less pronounced, although there is some fragmentation occurring when compared to LC; the change in mean diameter in LCF is smaller than for LF with a decrease from 524 μm to 290 μm. From these results, it can be inferred that the addition of biomass has a negative effect on the mechanical strength of the particles, making them more prone to fragmentation in the early stages of calcination presumably due to thermal stresses they experience. Nevertheless, the introduction of cement seems to have a positive effect in the biomass-templated particle with respect to fragmentation.
Figure 5-9: Particle size distribution of LF before and after the PHSR tests

Figure 5-10: Particle size distribution of LCF before and after the PHSR tests

5.3.2.2 BFB experiments

Figure 5-11, Figure 5-12, Figure 5-13 and Figure 5-14 report the results of fragmentation tests in the fluidised bed reactor.
Figure 5-11: Weight distribution percentage of recovered material (500-710 µm, 900 °C in air)

Figure 5-12: Weight distribution percentage of recovered material (500-710 µm, 900 °C in 70% vol CO₂, 30% vol air)

Figure 5-11 reports the PSDs after treating the samples of LC, LF and LCF of original size 500–710 µm in the fluidised bed reactor in air. There is a clear difference in the behaviour among samples; LC undergoes less fragmentation, with 82% of the samples retaining the initial size range, as compared to LF, with 33% of the sample in the initial size range and LCF, with 47% of the sample in the initial size range. However, as noted in the PHSR experiments, cement
addition has a positive effect making the particles less susceptible to fragmentation than the biomass-only templated material (LF).

Figure 5-12 reports the weight distribution of the material after calcination of the same samples in 70% CO₂ with balance of air. It can be seen that the material that fragments the most is LF with only 30% of the particles remaining in the initial size range, followed by LCF with 43% and LC with 90%.

The results of the same tests carried out on samples of smaller particle size (250–500 μm), are reported in Figure 5-13 and Figure 5-14. For calcination in air, LF has the highest fragmentation with only 67% of particles retaining the initial size, followed by LCF with 74% and LC with 94%. It can be seen that smaller particle sizes lead to less fragmentation of the material recovered in the reactor at the end of the tests.

![Figure 5-13: Weight distribution percentage of recovered material (250-500 μm, 900 °C in air)](image)

For the smaller size particles (250–500 μm) calcined in 70% CO₂ with balance of air, the results were qualitatively similar to the other results. Nevertheless, the particles that remained in the reactor underwent less fragmentation than LF with 62% of particles in the initial size range, as was expected. The amount of fines (53–125 μm and 125–180 μm) is higher for LF (0.9 wt%) and LCF (0.08 wt%) than for LC (0.04 wt%), due to increased fragmentation created by biomass addition.
The percentage of material loss in the course of the BFB experiments is reported in Table 5-2. It can be seen that around 45% weight of LF was lost in the first calcination for smaller particles and around 30% for larger particles. This loss would be unacceptable for any real system. For LCF the losses were less significant for larger particles (between 4% and 8%), whilst for the smaller particles LCF behaved very similar to LF. The material that performed best was LC with a loss of around 3% for the smaller sample and 30% for the larger sample. Interestingly, the gas composition used in the calcination process did not affect the results significantly.

Table 5-2: Loss in sample weight during the BFB experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Loss of mass in weight (%) for 100% vol air</th>
<th>Loss of mass in weight (%) for 70% vol CO₂ and 30% vol air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250-500 µm</td>
<td>500-710 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has been noted above that LCF and LF have similar behaviour for the smaller particle range; this could be due to the different composition in the larger LCF particles, which might incorporate more cement during the pelletisation process. This hypothesis was supported by comparing the results of pyrolysis-calcination TGA experiments carried out on LCF samples of different size cuts. The TG curves, reported in Figure 5-15 show a smaller water content for the larger particles which suggests that they contained more calcium aluminate cement.
than the smaller size range particles. However, it should be noted that the particle size effect observed in Table 5-2 could be related to elutriation. The contribution of elutriation to the loss of bed material is in fact more noticeable the smaller the particle size is. Another point worth reiterating is that the main difference between both size ranges is the amount of cement present in each size cut. The effect of adding cement has been extensively studied in previous papers. There is a negative impact of adding cement because the particles have less active (CaO) material in them so that would negatively influence the CO₂ uptake, also cement reacts with lime to form mayenite (Ca₁₂Al₁₄O₃₃). However, a positive effect has also been found in which the addition of calcium aluminate cement stabilizes the structure of the particle due to a mesoporous Al₂O₃ phase that delays sintering and therefore decreases the reactivity decay over cycles [26, 44].

Figure 5-15: TG Results for LCF (250-500 µm) and LCF (500-710 µm) for the first calcination

5.4 Conclusions

Biomass-templated pellets for calcium looping appear to be a cheap and scalable alternative option to achieve high CO₂ uptake when compared with other synthetic materials for Ca looping. The reactivity of these templated materials has been previously investigated in both TGA and BFB. Here, LF
experienced the highest fragmentation in PHSR tests with a reduction in mean diameter of 404 μm compared to 234 μm for LCF and no change for LC. Moreover, in BFB, LF displayed the worst performance with a mass loss as high as 45.4 wt% for smaller particles in the air fluidisation case. The weight loss of this material was significantly higher than for LC with 31.3 wt% for the same case; this suggests that addition of biomass has a detrimental effect with regards to fragmentation. This effect is partially counteracted by the addition of calcium aluminate cement, which augments the resistance to fragmentation for LCF. However, the composition of LCF varied with particle size with the smaller range (250–500 μm) showing more elutriation of fines due to the pelletisation process, in which the larger particle size appeared to have incorporated more cement, leaving the smaller particles with a less stable structure. In consequence, smaller LCF particles were more prone to elutriate than the larger ones (500–710 μm). However, the particles that stayed in the reactor for the duration of the test underwent less fragmentation compared to the larger size range. It is clear that LC is the best material as regards fragmentation in all the cases explored, with a loss in mass as low as 3.3% for 500–710 μm particles in air calcination. The results for both techniques, BFB and PHSR, agree on the effects that biomass templating has on the fragmentation behaviour of these sorbents under calcium looping conditions, although the addition of cement partially mitigated this negative effect.
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Abstract

Calcium looping (CaL) is a post-combustion CO₂ capture technology that is suitable for retrofitting existing power plants. The CaL process uses limestone as a cheap and readily available CO₂ sorbent. While the technology has been widely studied, there are a few available options that could be applied to make it more economically viable. One of these is to increase the oxygen concentration in the calciner to reduce or eliminate the amount of recycled gas (CO₂, H₂O and impurities); therefore, decreasing or removing the energy necessary to heat the recycled gas stream. Moreover, there is a resulting increase in the energy input due to the change in the combustion intensity; this energy is used to enable the endothermic calcination reaction to occur in the absence of recycled flue gases. This paper presents the operation and first results of a CaL pilot plant with 100% oxygen combustion of natural gas in the calciner. The gas coming into the carbonator was a simulated flue gas from a
coal-fired power plant or cement industry. Several limestone particle size distributions are also tested to further explore the effect of this parameter on the overall performance of this operating mode. The configuration of the reactor system, the operating procedures, and the results are described in detail in this paper. The reactor showed good hydrodynamic stability and stable CO₂ capture, with capture efficiencies of up to 70% with a gas mixture simulating the flue gas of a coal-fired power plant.
6.1 Introduction

CO₂ emissions and the resulting global warming are critical environmental issues that have attracted a large amount of research in the past years. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) has been acknowledged as a potential technology for reducing CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere [1, 2]. The most challenging part of the CCS chain is the capture of CO₂, which is also the most costly stage [3]. In consequence, there has been a focus on developing new technologies for CO₂ capture from power plants and other industrial facilities.

CaL as a post-combustion CO₂ capture technology, was first proposed by Shimizu et al. [4] CO₂ is captured by a CaO-based sorbent at 600–700 °C in a reactor called a carbonator, and released by subsequent calcination at 850–950 °C (in a calciner) according to (6-1), to produce a high-purity CO₂ stream suitable for sequestration [5, 6]. The CaL cycle utilises fluidized beds, which represent an optimal configuration for this process, since they allow for large amounts of solids to be circulated easily from one reactor to the other [4, 7, 8].

\[
\text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 \quad \Delta H_{25\degree C} = -178.2 \text{ kJ/mol} \quad (6-1)
\]

This concept has been demonstrated at pilot scale by various groups and with different configurations and scales, such as the 0.2 MWₜₐₚ pilot in Stuttgart, the 1 MWₜₐₚ pilot in Darmstadt, the 1.7 MWₜₐₚ pilot in La Pereda and the 1.9 MWₜₐₚ unit in Taiwan [9-16]. Although this process has been proven, there are still possibilities for increasing its thermal efficiency, such as by modifying the standard operating conditions and changes in the design of the reactor configuration.

The use of heat pipes between the combustion and calciner has been studied instead of oxy-combusting fuel in the calciner: The results for the CO₂ capture performance are comparable with those of a conventional CaL pilot-plant, however, this process has higher plant efficiencies and lower CO₂ avoidance costs [17]. Martínez et al. [18] investigated the heat integration possibilities in
order to preheat the solid material entering the calciner and to reduce the heat needed in the calciner. The results showed 9% reduction in the coal consumption when compared with that of the standard case. Other studied possibilities for heat integration have also considered internal and external integration options [19].

One of the main problems of the CaL cycle from the economic point of view is to supply the energy needed in the calciner by means of fuel combustion [20]. Increasing the oxygen concentration in the calciner’s inlet is proposed in order to reduce or even avoid the need of CO₂ recycle to the calciner. This alternative reduces the capital costs (reduced size of calciner and air separation units (ASU)), which can significantly improve the competitiveness of this process. The drastic change in the combustion conditions can be attained by exploiting the endothermic calcination reaction and the large CaO/CaCO₃ flow circulating from the carbonator operating at lower temperatures (neither advantage is available with the oxy-combustion technology).

This work aims to develop a standard operating procedure for running a CaL pilot plant with a Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB) carbonator and a Bubbling Fluidized Bed (BFB) calciner with 100% O₂ concentration in the calciner's inlet. Several experimental campaigns have been run during the commissioning of the pilot plant to ensure proper operation as the oxygen concentration increased. Also, three limestone particle size distributions (100–200 μm; 200–300 μm; 300–400 μm) were studied to investigate how this parameter affects the elutriation of particles and capture efficiency in this operating mode.

6.2 Operating protocol

6.2.1 Material preparation

1. Sieve the limestone (around 50 kg of raw material) to the desired particle size distribution (300-400 μm or some other choice depending on the experiment) using a mechanical shacker. Put the sieved material in pots next to the calciner for feeding during the test.
2. Prepare the material in batches to be introduced in the reactor, the batches are generally 0.5 or 1 L (note 1 L of limestone is roughly 1.5 kg), but this can vary depending on the operating parameters.

6.2.2 Start-up procedure (Caution extremely high temperatures. Use suitable PPE such as gloves, eye glasses, laboratory coat and safety shoes)

6.2.2.1 Heat-up of reactors

1. Start low flow of N\textsubscript{2} in carbonator (60 L/min) and calciner (20 L/min) as well as loop-seals (10 L/min) (as seen in Fig.1) in the rotameters (as seen in Figure 1).

2. Turn on the carbonator transformers manually. Set the temperature of all electrical preheaters of the carbonator at 600 °C.

3. Start acquiring data data (for gases temperatures and pressure using the recording button in the software), these data includes temperatures, pressures and gas composition of both reactors. In Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2, screenshots of the data acquisition system are shown.
Figure 6-1: Screenshot of temperature and pressure data acquisition for both reactors

Figure 6-2: Screenshot of temperature data acquisition for the preheating system
4. Turn on the calciner gas preheaters and the heater around the calciner to a temperature of 600 °C measured inside the BFB via thermocouple. Note: Data such as temperature, pressures and gas composition are already being acquired as stated in step 2.1.3.

5. Put 3 L of the sieved limestone into the BFB in the calciner. First open the top valve, introduce the material in the down-pipe and close the top valve, then open the bottom valve so that the material flows into the reactor.

6. Heat the material in the BFB to above 650 °C (by the electrical heater around the calciner). Note: This usually takes ~ 1 h, during this time check the data acquisition and the pressures in the fluidized beds.

Figure 6-3: Schematic of the 25 kWth CaL (CFB carbonator and BFB calciner): 1: carbonator; 2: calciner; 3: lower loop-seal; 4: upper loop-seal; 5: carbonator cyclone; 6: calciner cyclone
6.2.2.2 Start combustion in calciner

7. Increase the oxygen concentration in the calciner from 0 to 40% vol, making sure the concentration is stable before starting the combustion.

8. Start the stoichiometric flow of natural gas using a rotameter making sure the combustion is stable. Note: the natural gas flow should be increased carefully with checking that the data show that appropriate level of combustion reaction is occurring.

9. Increase the oxygen concentration in the calciner in 20% vol increments adjusting the natural gas flow rotameter to ensure stoichiometric combustion. Note: this process should be done with extreme care, if any suspicion arises that the combustion is not occurring as expected from preliminary calculations, stop the flow of natural gas and switch the oxygen flow for nitrogen for safe operation and identify the source of this discrepancy. The overall duration of this process is about 1 h.

10. Achieve 100% oxygen concentration natural gas combustion. Note: the temperature and gas composition data should be carefully followed throughout all the test, but especially when the combustion is taking place in 100% oxygen.

11. Add limestone in 0.5 L increments until there is 7 L in the fluidised bed. Calcine all the material in the fluidised bed of the calciner (the estimated calcination temperature is 800-850° for the batch present in the calciner and the calciner temperature for the following batches).

12. Increase the flow of N₂ in the carbonator to start the circulation. Check the circulation view port regularly to ensure proper circulation.

13. Calcine all the available limestone circulating in the rig, before starting the CO₂ capture.
6.2.3 Stable operation
1. Manually switch the carbonation gas from $\text{N}_2$ to 15% vol CO$_2$ using the rotameter, this would allow the calcined limestone to start capturing CO$_2$.

2. Adjust the flows in the calciner to achieve a stable 930-950 °C temperature in the calciner by regulating the flow of natural gas (NG) and oxygen (within optimal fluidisation regime), usually it is 100% O$_2$ with enough bed material, but it is adjusted throughout the experiment.

3. When the material starts to decline in activity (above 5% CO$_2$ concentration at the exit of the carbonator, this is acquired every second in step 2.1.3), add more limestone.

6.2.4 Shut-down procedure
1. Manually turn off the natural gas flow and decrease oxygen flow, switch the gases in both reactors to $\text{N}_2$, Turn off all the heaters (calciner and carbonator).

2. Allow the temperature of the inventory of the rig to decrease (normally overnight), empty the reactors when they are at room temperature.

3. Weigh the extracted solids and perform a standard sieve analysis, characterise the material: porosimetry, composition (X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, XRF) [21,22] and microscopic structure (scanning electron microscopy, SEM).

6.3 Representative results
The experimental set-up is shown in Figure 6-3. The plant comprises two interconnected fluidized-beds. Namely, the carbonator is a CFB with 4.3 m height and 0.1 m ID; while the calciner is a BFB with 1.2 m height and 0.165 m ID. The solid transport from one reactor to the other is controlled by two loop-seals fluidized with nitrogen. Both reactors are fed a mixture of gas through a
preheating line, both reactors are electrically heated; moreover, the calciner is fed with natural gas in order to produce by combustion the heat needed for the endothermic calcination and to heat the circulating sorbent. The carbonator distributor plate has 8 nozzles, each of them with twenty 2 mm holes, whilst the calciner has 20 nozzles with six 1 mm holes each.

Three different experiments are discussed in this section. These tests provide an overview of the process of running the pilot plant from air (~20% vol O\textsubscript{2}) to 100% vol O\textsubscript{2} at the calciner inlet. This work also explores the results of using different particle size distributions in this operational mode to see if this parameter has an impact on the overall performance of the system. The limestone used in this study has a minimum content of 98.25% CaCO\textsubscript{3}.

6.3.1 Flue gas (15% vol. CO\textsubscript{2}) with limestone (200–300 μm) 30% vol O\textsubscript{2}

This first test with limestone fraction 200–300 μm was performed with the aim of testing the rig with limestone circulating between the two reactors within the rig as a starting point for the optimisation of its performance. During this test, a capture efficiency of 45% was achieved (Figure 6-4). This capture efficiency, \( E_{\text{carb}} \), was calculated using the following formula [23]:

\[
E_{\text{carb}} = \frac{F_{\text{CO}_2} - F_{\text{carb}}}{F_{\text{CO}_2}}
\]  

(6-2)

Where, \( F_{\text{CO}_2} \) is the molar flow rate of CO\textsubscript{2} entering the carbonator and \( F_{\text{carb}} \) is the molar flow rate of CO\textsubscript{2} leaving the carbonator.
Figure 6-4: Concentration of CO₂ at inlet and at outlet of the carbonator and capture efficiency (E_{carb}) for 200–300 μm limestone with 30% O₂

The inferior capture efficiency during this experimental run was caused mainly by the supply of an insufficient quantity of heat to calcine all the limestone residing in the BFB. This caused a decrease of the CaO/CaCO₃ ratio in the carbonator feed. Another probable reason was the deactivation and carry-over of the lime particles from the calciner, which reduced the total bed inventory and the amount of sorbent present in the system.

After the experiment, a material balance of the inventory of the reactor was performed (Table 6-1). A shift towards smaller fractions can be observed, because of the attrition of the material in both fluidised beds.

Table 6-1: Weight balance of the material input and outputs for 200–300 μm limestone with 30% O₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Limestone in Calciner+Carbonator</th>
<th>Cyclone Calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone Carbonator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total mass (g)</td>
<td>9100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-300 μm</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-250 μm</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-212 μm</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.2 Flue gas (15% CO₂) with limestone (100–200 μm) 100% O₂

In this test, the main aim was to explore the use of smaller limestone particles in order to investigate their possible beneficial effect on performance of the system. The secondary aim was to provide more heat to the calcination process in the BFB calciner by combusting the NG in highly concentrated oxygen, ideally up to 100% at the inlet.

During this test, we successfully tested the possibility of using pure O₂ at the inlet of the calciner, which offers the possibility of completely eliminating the recycle of off-gas needed for a standard oxy-fuel process. This is made possible by the heat consumption in the form of a circulating fluidised bed material and the continuous calcination reaction.

The use of smaller particles did not prove to have a beneficial effect on the carbonation process, which might have been expected based on the higher contact area between particles and the gas. However, there is some controversy in this matter as it appears that smaller particles have shown decreased reactivity due to higher content of impurities [24]. Practically all the added limestone with the size <150 μm was very quickly elutriated from the calciner to the downstream cyclone. Therefore, it was very difficult to maintain the necessary inventory of lime in the rig needed to achieve higher capture efficiency.

The results of the capture efficiency can be seen in Figure 6-5.
Figure 6-5: CO$_2$ concentration at inlet and at outlet of the carbonator and corresponding capture efficiency (E$_{\text{carb}}$) for 100–200 μm limestone with 100% O$_2$

When the balance of the inputs and outputs of the solids was performed after the test (Table 6-2), it was discovered that most of the material introduced into the rig during the experiment ended up in the cyclone of the calciner, which was probably the main cause of the low measured capture efficiency during this test.

Table 6-2: Balance of recovered material and its sieve analysis for 100–200 μm limestone with 100% O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Limestone</th>
<th>Carbonator</th>
<th>Calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone carbonator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total mass (g)</td>
<td>19000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>8700</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;212-212 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-150 μm</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-125 μm</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-63 μm</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-63 μm</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To conclude, the possibility of using pure O$_2$ at the inlet of the calciner was successfully tested, which offers the possibility to completely eliminate the
recycle of the off-gas needed for a standard oxy-fuel process. This is possible due to the heat sink provided in the form of circulating fluidised bed material and the continuous calcination reaction. However, the small particle size distribution (100-200 µm) was not beneficial for the capture process, due to the elutriation of the particles. It was extremely difficult to maintain the bed inventory needed to obtain a higher capture efficiency. Therefore, it was decided to investigate the use of bigger particles in the next experimental campaign.

6.3.3 Flue gas (15% CO₂) with limestone (300–400 µm) 100% O₂

During this trial, the performance of the fraction (300–400 µm) was tested so as to reduce the high material losses from the fluidised bed of the calciner as seen in previous runs. This was expected to enable retention of the necessary lime/limestone inventory needed for its efficient circulation and carbon capture. Due to use of an appropriate amount of sorbent circulating in the rig and sufficient heat provided by the combustion of natural gas in pure oxygen (releasing 18 kW), a stable capture efficiency of ca. 70% was achieved for more than 3 h, which is a very good result when considering the relatively short carbonator reactor tube and the consequent short contact time between the sorbent and CO₂. The concentration of CO₂ at the outlet of the carbonator was maintained below 5% vol. and fresh limestone (in 0.5 L batches) was added to the calciner when the concentration of CO₂ at the outlet of the carbonator exceeded this value. A stable experimental run was achieved with optimised conditions.

The process was begun using a standard procedure; i.e., first the reactor was heated up to 700°C, then 2.9 L of limestone was added into the calciner and heated up. The temperatures and gas concentrations in the calciner are shown in Figure 6-6. (Note that the numbers below correspond to those steps in Figure 6-6)

1) The air flow was replaced by the flow of a mixture of 40% O₂ and 60% N₂ and the combustion of NG in the fluidised bed was initiated (9.1 kW). The
limestone in the fluidised bed was heated above 800°C and 3 more batches of limestone (1 L) were added to the calciner.

2) While the limestone was calcining in the fluidised bed, the circulation of the lime/limestone was started by flowing preheated N₂ through the carbonator (at a velocity of 2.5 m/s at 650 °C). 0.9 L more limestone was added and a fresh O₂ cylinder was connected to the inlet of the calciner.

4) After reconnecting oxygen, the combustion was initiated again, this time in an inlet O₂ concentration of 70% (and 30% N₂), which led to a consumption of 14 kW of NG to reach an O₂ concentration at the outlet of around 5% (in wet gas).

5) Pure O₂ was introduced at the inlet of the calciner, which led to the heat release of 18 kW into the calciner and the carbonation was initiated in the carbonator by injecting 15% of CO₂. The efficiency of the carbonation (Figure 6-7) was the highest experienced yet on this reactor design (around 70%).

7) The gas velocity flowing through the bubbling fluidised bed of the calciner had to be lowered to 0.30 m/s (required by the desired temperature) to be able to maintain the temperature of about 930°C generated by the combustion of natural gas in pure O₂ (while maintaining the O₂ concentration in the off-gas to an industrially acceptable level below 5% vol.).
Figure 6-6: Temperature of the FB of the calciner and temperature and composition of the off-gas at its exit.

The temperature in the fluidised bed was maintained constant during the test by regulating the flow rate of NG and consecutively adjusting the flow rate of \( \text{O}_2 \) to achieve a calciner velocity of around 0.30 m/s. During the constant operation, the following energetic balance was observed: In the calciner, the oxygen was preheated to only 300 °C for safety reasons; hence, providing 0.5 kW in latent heat. Around 15.5 kW was released by the combustion of NG in the fluidised bed: only 5.3 kW was needed for a continuous calcination (when no fresh material was added), 3.6 kW to cover the latent heat of the off-gas
and ca. 7 kW for heating the circulating adsorbent and to cover the heat losses. In the carbonator, 3.2 kW was provided by the preheating of the gas (to 350 °C), and 5.4 kW was released by the carbonation process. Almost 5 kW was carried out of the carbonator as latent heat of the off-gas and 3.6 kW needed to be dissipated by heat losses to cover the heat released by the exothermic reaction while maintaining the temperature at 650 °C. When the carbonation process starts (and is effective) the temperature in the carbonator approaches 700 °C, which shifts the attainable thermodynamic equilibrium concentration of the outlet gas to higher values. This theoretical minimum concentration, dependent on the temperature in the carbonator, is depicted alongside the concentration measured during testing in Figure 6-7.

The carbonation process was initiated with ca. 6.7 L of limestone being present in the rig. An additional 0.54 L at the beginning of the carbonation (13:45 in Figure 6-7) resulted in the decrease of residual CO₂ in the gas below 5% vol. and this level was maintained throughout the test by additions of 0.5 L limestone batches (when the concentration of CO₂ at the exit of carbonator exceeded 5%). The time intervals between the additions of fresh limestone to the calciner were 15 min, 20 min, 50 min, 45 min and 50 minutes. Therefore, it can be concluded that for stable operation it would be necessary to add fresh sorbent corresponding to 0.5 L (750 g) of limestone approximately every 50 minutes, which is equivalent to a make-up ratio (F₀/F₉CO₂) of 6%, as described elsewhere [25], of 6%. The make-up ratio in these experiments was mainly influenced by the limestone (reactivity decay and elutriation). The value of this parameter was chosen based on the CO₂ concentration at the carbonator outlet, i.e. adding more limestone when it reached a 5% vol CO₂.
Figure 6-7: CO$_2$ concentration at inlet and at outlet of the carbonator and corresponding capture efficiency ($E_{\text{carb}}$) for 300–400 μm limestone with 100% O$_2$

The mass and molar balances of the inputs and outputs of solids from the rig are given in Table 6-3 and Table 6-4.

Table 6-3: Mass balance of solids and sieve analysis of the inputs (limestone) and outputs (other) for 300–400 μm limestone with 100% O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Limestone in</th>
<th>Carbonator</th>
<th>Calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone Carbonator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total mass (g)</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;355 μm</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-350 μm</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-300 μm</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-250 μm</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-212 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63-150 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-63 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6-4: Molar balance estimate (10% humidity of the raw limestone, 75% wt. of the output in calcined state) for 300–400 μm limestone with 100% O$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Limestone in</th>
<th>Carbonator</th>
<th>Calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone Carbonator</th>
<th>Difference (out – in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total mol</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;355 μm</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-350 μm</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-300 μm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-250 μm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-212 μm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the sieving analysis, it can be concluded that the original fraction of limestone was retained mostly in circulation in the rig, while particles mostly <250 µm were carried over to the cyclone of the calciner. These particles result predominantly from the breakage/attrition of larger particles.

### 6.4 Discussion

The operation of the calciner with an inlet of 100% vol oxygen is achievable, based on exploiting the endothermic nature of the calcination reaction, as well as the fact that the solids circulate between the two reactors at different temperatures. This operating mode aims to make the CaL process more economically promising by reducing capital and operating costs. As the recycle of flue gas (mainly CO₂, water vapor and unreacted O₂) is reduced or even eliminated, the heat consumed to preheat this stream is lower. Therefore, less oxygen is needed and a smaller ASU would be required. As the gas flow would be lower in this configuration, the size of the calciner would be smaller for the same fluidization velocity.

A standard methodology was developed to ensure the safe operation of the high-oxygen concentration in the calciner. The results showed a capture efficiency of up to 70% in some of the performed experiments. Also, different particle size distributions were used in this reactor configuration (carbonator as a CFB; calciner as a BFB). The distributions were: 100–200 µm; 200–300 µm; 300–400 µm. For the smallest distribution (100–200 µm), however, there were elutriation issues and the majority of the bed inventory was found in the calciner’s cyclone catch-pot. The best results were achieved with the largest particle size distribution (300–400 µm): an E_{carb} of ~ 70% was maintained throughout the experiment with a make-up ratio of about 6%.

This protocol was improved by minimizing the electrical heating provided to the gas and calciner when the natural gas is being combusted, in order to protect the tubes from electrical discharges caused by the heating elements.
Also, it has been noted that the O\textsubscript{2} concentration increase can be achieved more rapidly than in initial experimental campaigns, from 20% vol to 60% vol and 100% vol. It is important to highlight that the most critical steps in this process are the start of the combustion and the increase of the oxygen concentration, which can cause increased temperatures that will turn off the natural gas source if the temperature goes higher than 980 °C. Also, the material make-up is a concern as it can lower the temperature of the reactor and stop the combustion process, and therefore, it should be added in small batches.

With this methodology applied to this experimental rig, it is possible to test new synthetic materials, as well as materials improved via doping, thermal pre-treatment, chemical pre-treatment, etc. [26]. This protocol allows these new sorbents to be tested under realistic conditions providing a standard methodology for sorbent comparison. However, there are some challenges when applying this concept at larger scale, such as the use of coal in the calciner under these operating conditions. The use of solid fuels would increase the difficulty in calciner operation due to the high temperatures, which can lead to ash agglomeration and eventually defluidizing phenomena [27]. This needs further study in order to determine the feasibility of this protocol; however, the concept was proved successful at pilot-scale in this work using natural gas.

Another limitation arising from this study is the duration of the tests, with ~ 3 h of steady state operation per test; this is due to the heating process of the plant, which is a slow process. The average number of carbonation/calcination cycles experienced by a particle when circulating between reactors is not known. It is possible that the high oxygen concentration had a negative effect causing more sintering in the limestone particles. Further investigation of these challenges would help to assess the suitability of the protocol as a novel and feasible operating mode for CaL plants at a higher scale.
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Abstract

One of the main challenges for CO₂ capture using the calcium looping cycle is the reactivity decay of CaO-based sorbents with increasing number of cycles. In order to overcome this challenge, research has moved towards the investigation of enhanced sorbents in order to mitigate the decay in carrying capacity. However, this creates other potential problems related to the scalability of these production techniques and their effect on the production cost of such sorbents, and raises the question as to whether they can be used at larger scale. In this work limestone and two enhanced materials: (i) HBr-doped limestone with a novel surface impregnation technique and (ii) calcium aluminate pellets, are tested in a 25 kWth pilotscale reactor in order to investigate their capture performance and mechanical stability under fluidised bed conditions. The unit set-up comprises a circulating fluidised bed (CFB) carbonator operating at ~650 °C and a bubbling fluidised bed (BFB) calciner operating at 900-950 °C. The HBr-doped limestone showed very promising
results in terms of both stability of the CO$_2$ uptake as well as low elutriation and attrition when compared to the pellets.

**Keywords:** carbon capture, calcium looping, CaO-based pellets, doping, pilot testing
7.1 Introduction

CO₂ emissions from power generation and industrial sectors have increased rapidly in recent decades. These emissions represent the greatest contributors to the greenhouse gas effect [1]. A portfolio of low-carbon technologies needs to be deployed in order to mitigate the effects that these emissions have in many natural systems, as well as the consequences this poses on human activities. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is part of this portfolio and has been proposed for the power generation and carbon-intensive industrial sectors as a route for their decarbonation [2, 3].

Calcium looping (CaL) is a second-generation technology for CO₂ capture, which has attracted a fair amount of research activity [4]. In its fluidised bed form, it consists of two interconnected fluidised beds and is based on the reversible carbonation of lime. In the first reactor (carbonator) CaO-based material is carbonated at ~650°C with the CO₂ present in flue gas from power generation or carbon-intensive industrial processes; the saturated sorbent is then transferred to the second reactor (calciner) where the sorbent is regenerated (calcined) at high temperatures (~900-950°C). In order to provide the energy for the calcination reaction to occur, fuel is burnt in oxy-fuel conditions in the calciner. A schematic of a typical CaL configuration is presented in Figure 7-1.

This concept has been demonstrated at scales of up to 1.9 MWth in different research institutions using different reactor configurations. Some examples of these plants are: the 200 kWth pilot plant in IFK (Stuttgart), the 1.7 MWth unit “La Pereda” developed by CSIC in Oviedo, the 1.9 MWth unit in Taiwan, the 1 MWth plant in Darmstadt, among others [5-11].
One of the main challenges of this technology is the deactivation of sorbent over the capture/regeneration cycles [12-14]. In order to overcome this issue, several approaches have been explored such as the modification of natural materials and the development of synthetic sorbents with techniques such as: sol-gel combustion [14-19], organic acid modifications [20-24], co-precipitation [25, 26] and granulation [27-33]. Another important challenge, particularly at larger scale in fluidised bed reactors, is elutriation of the sorbent from the reactors, resulting in the loss of active material.

One of the enhancement methods proposed is doping limestone in order to increase its carrying capacity. Different mineral-acid dopants have been investigated such as: HBr, HCl, HNO$_3$ and HI. Their performance has been studied during carbonation/calcination cycles [34, 35], and it has been shown that such dopants can significantly improve the performance of the sorbents. However, the experimental results are not always consistent and it appears that the extent of the improvement depends on the combination of the specific limestone and doping agent, as well as the concentration of the dopant [34, 35]. The highest residual conversion was found using Havelock and Longcliffe limestones with an HBr solution containing 0.167 mol % HBr/CaCO$_3$ [35].

This work investigates the capture performance of enhanced CaO-sorbents at the pilot scale in a CFB carbonator and BFB calciner (25 kW$_{th}$). Specifically,
Longcal limestone doped with HBr using a novel surface impregnation technique and calcium aluminate pellets (90% lime and 10% calcium aluminate cement) were tested. Operating conditions were chosen to be as close as possible to the anticipated real conditions in CaL industrial systems.

7.2 Experimental

7.2.1 Materials
Longcal limestone from the UK was used as a CaCO$_3$ source. Commercial calcium aluminate cement, CA-14, manufactured by Almatis, was used as a binder in the pelletisation process and as a source of Al$_2$O$_3$. The limestone-doping solution was prepared by diluting 57 g of 47%-wt. HBr with deionised water to 1 L to reach a concentration of 0.33 mol/L HBr.

7.2.2 Sorbent preparation procedure
Two enhanced types of sorbent were produced: (i) pellets with 10% calcium aluminate cement and 90% calcined limestone; and (ii) HBr-doped limestone. The pellets were prepared by introducing 10% wt. calcium aluminate cement and 90% wt. calcined limestone in the pelletiser vessel. A comprehensive description of this technique can be found elsewhere [28]. After pelletisation of the samples, the particles were sieved to the desired particle size range and air dried for 24 h before storage. HBr was selected as a suitable dopant based on previous work [35]. However, this doping technique was deemed less suitable for larger samples, of the order of several kilograms. Therefore, the method was modified to be suitable for larger-scale production and to maintain the same HBr concentration of 0.17 % mol HBr/mol CaCO$_3$. 1 L of 0.33 mol/L HBr solution was evenly sprayed on 20 kg of Longcliffe limestone, while mixing the sample thoroughly to obtain a uniform distribution of the solution over the sample mass. After the impregnation, the sample was spread and dried for several days at ambient conditions.
7.2.3 Pilot plant description

The pilot plant (Figure 7-2) comprises a CFB carbonator (4.2 m long and 0.1 m ID) and a BFB calciner (1.2 m long and 0.165 m ID). The fluidising gases are pre-heated by electrically-heated pipes. The carbonator gas distributor has 8 nozzles with 20 2-mm holes each, whilst the calciner gas distributor has 20 nozzles, each with 6 1-mm holes. There are two cyclones in series at the exit of the carbonator: one to separate the bulk of the particles to be recycled to the calciner and the other one to prevent remaining particles from being emitted to the environment, while the calciner has only one cyclone. The carbonator and calciner are connected by two loop seals (upper and lower), which allow the controlled circulation of sorbent between the reactors. During the tests, the loop seals were fluidised by nitrogen. The reactors are electrically heated in order to reach the temperatures needed for stable operation. In addition, in order to reach the high temperatures necessary in the calciner and to provide enough heat for calcination, natural gas (NG) is burnt directly in the fluidised bed. Two gas analysers (ADC MGA-3000 series) are used to measure the gas compositions at the carbonator exhaust (CO₂ concentration) and calciner exit (CO₂, CO, O₂, CH₄ concentrations). Additionally, a Fourier Transform Infrared analyser (FTIR) (Protea, model FTPA-002) was used at the exhaust of the calciner to monitor the quality of combustion (H₂O, CO₂, CO, NOₓ, SO₂, CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, C₂H₄, HCHO concentrations).
7.3 Results and discussion

7.3.1 Standard procedure of an experimental run and a base case result with pure Longcliffe limestone

Operation of the reactor for the experiments described here was limited to working hours. Here, as a representative case, an experimental run described in detail elsewhere is presented [36]. In Figure 7-3, the temperature of the calciner, together with the gas analysis at its outlet measured by FTIR are presented through the experimental run.

The experimental run was started as follows: the pilot plant was first heated up to close to the desired temperatures by means of electrical furnaces surrounding the preheating lines, the carbonator and the BFB of the calciner, with only a low flow of $N_2$ passing through both reactors. When the temperature of the calciner's BFB reached $600^\circ C$, the first batch of limestone (3 L) was added to the reactor and was heated to $650^\circ C$ by means of electrical

Figure 7-2: Schematic of the 25 kW$_\text{th}$ pilot plant
heating around the calciner with a flow of \( \text{N}_2 \) at velocity 0.35 m/s in the fluidised bed, i.e., under mild fluidising conditions. A portion of the \( \text{N}_2 \) was substituted by \( \text{O}_2 \) (to reach 40% vol. \( \text{O}_2 \)) at the inlet of the calciner and the combustion of natural gas was started (by its self-ignition in the FB) at slightly over stoichiometric conditions (ER (stoichiometric ratio) 1.05). This corresponds to no. 1 in Figure 7-3. The temperature of the fluidised bed was quickly increased and when the calcination reaction started, more limestone (3x1 L) was added to be calcined. A chute is located at a height of 400 mm above the distributor of the calciner. When the bubbling fluidised bed reaches this level, the surplus material overflows by this chute to the lower loop seal and then to the carbonator. During the initial calcination of the first batch of limestone in the calciner, the flow of \( \text{N}_2 \) through the carbonator is maintained at a low level to prevent its transport back to the calciner. When nearly all material in the calciner was calcined, which can be tracked by a quick increase in temperature, the circulation of the material between carbonator and calciner was initiated by increasing the flow of \( \text{N}_2 \) to the carbonator to 2.5 m/s, thereby, transporting the sorbent from the carbonator to the cyclone where it is separated from the gas flow and then allowed to recirculate back to the calciner (no. 2 in Figure 7-3). No. 3 in Figure 7-3 depicts the change of the \( \text{O}_2 \) cylinder. To accelerate the calcination process and the heating of the additional limestone, the oxygen concentration in the in-flow to the calciner was increased in steps to 100% and a stoichiometric amount of methane was combusted in the fluidised bed (as depicted by no. 4 and 5 in Figure 7-3). After all the circulating material was calcined (as monitored by a steep increase in temperature in the calciner), a portion of the feed-in gas to carbonator was substituted with \( \text{CO}_2 \) (15%) and the carbonation reaction started (no. 6 in Figure 7-3 as monitored by the outlet concentration of \( \text{CO}_2 \) from the carbonator and by the endothermic calcination reaction occurring in the calciner). The temperature in the calciner during the steady state operation was regulated to be 920–950°C by adjusting the natural gas and \( \text{O}_2 \) flow rates to maintain the concentration of unconverted \( \text{O}_2 \) in the gas leaving the calciner at a very low level (around 5% vol.). The velocity was adjusted in the calciner.
in no. 7 due to the excessive heat produced by the combustion, which caused an extreme increase in the temperature.

The performance of the carbonator is depicted in Figure 7-4. The CO\textsubscript{2} outlet concentration from the carbonator was maintained below 5% vol. by periodic addition of 0.5 L batches of fresh limestone to the calciner. This corresponds to stable capture efficiency, \( E_{\text{carb}} \), of ca. 70\%, calculated using the following formula [37]:

\[
E_{\text{carb}} = \frac{F_{\text{CO}_2} - F_{\text{carb}}}{F_{\text{CO}_2}} \tag{7-1}
\]

Where \( F_{\text{CO}_2} \) is the molar flow rate of CO\textsubscript{2} entering the carbonator and \( F_{\text{carb}} \) is the molar flow rate of CO\textsubscript{2} leaving the carbonator. In this base case, a make-up ratio of 6\% was needed to maintain this capture efficiency.

The size distribution of solids as determined after the experiment is summarised in Table 7-1, the samples recovered from the carbonator, calciner and their respective cyclones were analysed in order to measure their particle size distribution to have an understanding of the elutriation and attrition of the particles in such system.
Figure 7-3: The temperatures in the FB of the calciner and the temperature and gas composition at the outlet of the reactor in the experiment with limestone. The “other organics” designates the sum of ethane, propane, ethene, and formaldehyde concentrations.
Figure 7-4: CO₂ concentration at the inlet and at the outlet of the carbonator and the corresponding carbonation efficiency, $E_{\text{carb}}$, in the experiment with limestone.

Table 7-1: Weight balance of solids and sieve analysis of the inputs (limestone) and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Limestone in</th>
<th>Carbonator</th>
<th>Calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone Carbonator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total mass (g)</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;355 μm</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-350 μm</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-300 μm</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-250 μm</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-212 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63-150 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-63 μm</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3.2 HBr-doped sorbent tests

A test was performed with HBr-doped material, using the size fraction (300-400 μm). The setup of the test was the same as described in section 7.3.1. However, after heating 3 L of HBr-doped limestone, starting the combustion and inserting 2 more litres of limestone, there was a fault of the safety valve controlling NG flow (no. 2 in Figure 7-5). After its replacement, the NG (Natural Gas) combustion in 40 % O₂ was started and soon after this pure O₂ was used in the inlet of the calciner (no. 3, Figure 7-5).

The carbon capture from the carbonator inlet concentration (15% CO₂) was started (no. 4 in Figure 7-5) and the temperature in the fluidised bed of the
calciner was maintained at 930–950°C by adjusting the velocity of the calciner and the appropriate input of NG (15 kW) (no. 5 in Figure 7-5). Compared to the standard case with pure Longcliffe limestone (as described in 7.3.1), the CO₂ capture efficiency attained, seen in Figure 7-6, (E_{carb} = 70–80%) was higher with the same amount of limestone circulating in the rig.
Figure 7-5: Temperatures of the FB and the freeboard of the calciner (top) and the composition of the off-gas from the calciner
Figure 7-6: The residual concentration of CO$_2$ at the outlet of the carbonator compared to the inlet CO$_2$ concentration and the equilibrium CO$_2$ concentration for the experiment with HBr-doped limestone.

The sieve analysis (Table 7-2) revealed that the doped material exhibited good mechanical properties with only about 400 g of material present in the cyclones out of more than 13 kg of material in the primary reaction loop. This was probably due to the lower shift to smaller particle sizes during the cycling. The X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) analyses of the samples recovered after the test are shown in Table 7-3.

**Table 7-2: Weight balance of solids and sieve analysis of the inputs (HBr-doped limestone) and outputs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction (weight %)</th>
<th>Limestone (g)</th>
<th>Carbonator</th>
<th>Cyclone carbonator</th>
<th>Calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total mass</strong></td>
<td>13,300</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3,990</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;355 μm</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-355 μm</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-300 μm</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212-250 μm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-212 μm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The fines in the cyclone at the outlet of the calciner exhibited a tendency to agglomerate, which was not observed in previous runs with undoped Longcal limestone.

Table 7-3: BET, degree of calcination and XRF of the solids inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HBr-doped limestone</th>
<th>Carbonator out</th>
<th>Calciner out</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone carbonator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BET (m$^2$/g)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of calcination *</td>
<td>57 % wt calcined</td>
<td>81% wt calcined</td>
<td>19% wt calcined</td>
<td>5% wt calcined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight %</th>
<th>Oxide +CO$_2$</th>
<th>Oxide +CO$_2$</th>
<th>Oxide +CO$_2$</th>
<th>Oxide +CO$_2$</th>
<th>Oxide +CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is interesting to note that the Br⁻ was concentrated mainly in the carbonator cyclone fraction. The smallest fraction of the limestone was found in this cyclone (see Table 7-2), and it can be reasonably hypothesised that Br⁻ is concentrated mainly at the surface of sorbent particles given the way it was originally introduced into the limestone. This surface is readily attrited during the circulation of the particles mainly due to mechanical abrasion generating very small particles [38], which are then collected in the carbonator cyclone, and these particles have the highest loading of Br⁻. This suggests that the impregnation of the surface of the particle was only partly successful as the Br⁻ penetrated the particles only to a limited extent and mainly remains on its surface. However, the behaviour of the material during the test and the fact that low overall attrition and breakage of the particles was observed suggest that the doping technique was successful in terms of achieving an improvement in limestone behaviour for the CaL process. Also, it is important to highlight the effect that the HBr-doping of the surface had on the structure of the pores. It has been suggested previously that this type of enhancement method produces CaBr₂, which modifies the structure of the pores making them more favourable for the process [39]. This suggests that this phenomenon mitigates the sintering of the surface of the particles enhancing their CO₂ uptake and delaying sintering. Moreover, this method uses less HBr solution than the previously proposed technique of impregnating the whole

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CO₂ (with CaO and MgO)</th>
<th>Before normalisation to 100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The degree of calcination is determined by calcining the material in the oven at 900°C for 2 h and is expressed as the theoretical concentration of CaO in the mixture of CaO and CaCO₃.
particle [35]. As the solution only impregnates the surface, while the amount of solution used is less, this is clearly a more cost-effective methodology for enhancement of sorbents at industrial scale.

7.3.3 Calcium aluminate pellets testing

The steps followed in this run can be seen in Figure 7-7. There were some challenges with the dosing of the material into the reactor. Namely, the pellet preparation procedure left the pellets substantially wet (18% wt. moisture). Therefore, introducing 100 g of pellets in the calciner released 42 L of steam (at 900 °C). Due to the excessive steam release during the dosing of the pellets, filling of the reactor was very slow. Therefore, after introducing 6.3 L of pellets, 4 L of doped limestone was added so that the circulation would begin. When there was enough material in the system, the oxygen concentration in the calciner was changed from 40% O\textsubscript{2} (no. 1 in Figure 7-7) to 50% O\textsubscript{2} (no. 2 in Figure 7-7), and then to 100% O\textsubscript{2} (no. 3 in Figure 7-7). There is a defluidisation of the system in no. 4 caused by changing the oxygen cylinder.

The start of carbonation is marked by no. 4, no. 5, no. 7, no. 8, no. 9 and no. 10 by the addition of 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30% and 35% vol CO\textsubscript{2} into the carbonator, respectively.

The results are summarised in the following chart (Figure 7-8). The efficiency attained for carbonation was substantially lower than in the base case with pure Longcliffe limestone and in the abovementioned case with HBr-doped limestone. The main limitation is the amount of available adsorbent to react with CO\textsubscript{2} in the carbonator. Possible solutions would be the use of a taller carbonator which would allow longer retention and contact time of the calcined sorbent particles and the gas, or the use of more limestone circulating inside the rig between calciner and carbonator.

The capture efficiency of the experimental run with pellets mixed with doped limestone was lower compared to the previous experiments with HBr-doped limestone; however, the pellets showed that they can be used in combination with limestone for the CaL process. As shown in Table 7-4 and Table 7-5, it is
clear that some of the pellets were fragmented or attrited but the bulk of them was retained within the CaL rig.

This experimental run demonstrated that the spent material after reactivation and pelletisation [40] can be potentially reused in the operation of the calcium looping rig in combination with fresh limestone. In the current experiment in total 58% wt. of the solid inventory of the rig was pellets. However, there are some difficulties related to their use, such as the excessive release of steam during their quick heating above 900°C and their tendency to make agglomerates during their dosing and heating, which could be dealt with by a slower feeding rate, at the level of make-up.

Such challenges regarding this type of material could be mitigated by previously carbonating the pellets. This can be done by means of capturing residual CO$_2$ from low-emitting sources, such as for example the residual CO$_2$ concentration that leaves the carbonator (as can be seen in Figure 7-8). The capture with this type of material has also been studied previously at room temperature [41] with successful results. This would also enhance the conversion of the pellets, due to the fact that material that is previously carbonated exhibited better results than material which has been initially calcined [42]. This would not only improve the performance of synthetic materials in real systems due to the production of less mechanical stress within the particle due to steam release, but would also mitigate the emissions from CaL plants making the overall capture of these plants higher.
Figure 7-7: Temperatures of the FB and the freeboard of the calciner (top) and the composition of the off-gas from the calciner calciner for the experiment with pellets mixed with doped limestone
Figure 7-8: The residual concentration of CO$_2$ at the outlet of the carbonator compared to the inlet CO$_2$ concentration and the minimal thermodynamically achievable CO$_2$ residual concentration for the experiment with pellets mixed with doped limestone

Table 7-4: Weight balance of solids and sieve analysis of the inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction (weight %)</th>
<th>Limestone (g)</th>
<th>Pellets (g)</th>
<th>Carbonator (g)</th>
<th>Cyclone carbonator (g)</th>
<th>Calciner (g)</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total mass</strong></td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>9100</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;710 μm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-710 μm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-600 μm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425-500 μm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355-425 μm</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-355 μm</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7-5: BET, degree of calcination and XRF of the solids inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pellets in</th>
<th>Carbonator out</th>
<th>Calciner out</th>
<th>Cyclone calciner</th>
<th>Cyclone carbonator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BET (m²/g)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of calcination *</td>
<td>58% wt calcined</td>
<td>65% wt calcined</td>
<td>59% wt calcined</td>
<td>76% wt calcined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight %</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**7.4 Conclusions**

The use of enhanced materials for CaL is studied at pilot-scale in this work. Here, a novel technique of impregnating the surface of limestone with HBr is described as well as the use of calcium aluminate pellets (90% lime and 10% calcium aluminate cement). The HBr-doped material showed good capture performance as well as good mechanical stability during stable operation. It should be noted that the surface of the particle was modified by the enhancement technique and hence as the particles attrited, most of the Br was found in the carbonator cyclone. Nonetheless the bromine treatment still delayed sintering, allowing for superior CO$_2$ capture and suitable for large-scale operation. However, the operation with calcium aluminate pellets proved to be more challenging, especially when dosing the material to the fluidised bed due to the release of steam from both the moisture in the pellets and its
hydroxide content. A way of avoiding this excessive release of steam would be to expose the pelletised material to low-concentration CO\textsubscript{2} sources, e.g., the exhaust gas coming from the carbonator (with residual CO\textsubscript{2} of around 2\%) in order to pre-carbonate the hydroxides. As the pellet operation was more complex, some limestone was added to make the bed inventory sufficient to allow the circulation of solids. The pellets showed poorer performance when compared to the HBr limestone and their mechanical stability needs to be further explored.
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8 GENERAL DISCUSSION

It is widely accepted that carbon capture and storage is a vital technology for significantly reducing CO₂ emissions from the energy and industrial sectors; therefore, it would considerably help to mitigate the effects that these emissions have on global climate [1, 2].

Calcium looping is a second generation capture technology [3] that has attracted a great amount of research due to its benefits such as low efficiency penalties [4], and the fact that the sorbent used (limestone or Ca-based materials) is cheap, widely available and environmentally friendly [5, 6]. These reasons give this technology clear advantages when compared to the first generation of CO₂ capture technologies such as MEA scrubbing. However, there are some challenges that need to be addressed in order to deploy this technology at a large scale. A key challenge is the rapid capture capacity decay of limestone over increasing number of reaction cycles mainly due to sintering of the particles at high temperatures. Another important requirement for this technology is the reduction of heat needed in the calciner. Namely, the main energy penalty of the system is associated with the ASU, which is required in order to produce pure oxygen for the oxy-combustion of fuel in calciner needed to drive the endothermic calcination reaction. The research presented in this Thesis covers these two challenges as a way of improving the competitiveness of calcium looping. In particular, the development and testing of different materials (pelletised Ca-based materials and HBr-doped limestone), and the effects of high oxygen concentrations in the calciner have been studied in this work.

In terms of sorbent development, research has focused on the production of enhanced materials for calcium looping cycle, and the comprehensive literature review on natural, enhanced and synthetic sorbents are explored in Chapter 3. The research presented in the open literature to date was compiled in the form of a review paper, critically assessing the different production methods and the performance of the sorbents in terms of chemical reactivity and mechanical stability. It is important to highlight that areas for further research and
development are recommended, and the development of cheaper sorbents, employing simpler and scelseable methods, using widely available materials or even waste as binders and/or support for the production of enhanced Ca-based materials should be the main focus.

Several materials have been studied during the course of this investigation:

i) calcium aluminate pellets (90% calcined limestone, 10% calcium aluminate cement)

ii) biomass-templated pellets (90% calcined limestone, 10% commercial wheat flour)

iii) biomass-templated calcium aluminate pellets (80% calcined limestone, 10% commercial wheat flour and 10% calcium aluminate cement)

iv) sea-water-doped biomass-templated calcium aluminate pellets (80% calcined limestone, 10% commercial wheat flour and 10% calcium aluminate cement produced with sea water)

These materials were produced using the pelletisation technique, which served as an example of a cheap method for producing synthetic sorbents using a tabletop granulator. These materials were studied in a TGA and BFB under different conditions. In the TGA they were tested under mild and harsh (more realistic) calcination conditions. As expected, the results showed that the particles had lower carbonation conversion under realistic conditions. These sorbents were also tested in a bubbling fluidised bed (BFB) under: i) normal conditions (90% CO₂ vol carbonation at 850 °C, 20% vol CO₂ calcination at 850 °C both in balanced N₂), ii) with high concentrations of SO₂ (1500 ppm) in both carbonation and calcination, and iii) with a 15% steam in both carbonation and calcination.

The conclusion from these investigations is that the tested synthetic materials performed better than limestone under all explored conditions, which is a positive conclusion. As expected, the particles had a lower CO₂ uptake in presence of sulphur, which highlights the importance of desulphurising the flue
gas or using fuels with low sulphur content when synthetic sorbents are used. Moreover, the prepared materials had an improved CO$_2$ uptake in the presence of steam due to the enhanced solid state diffusion, which is in accordance with previous research [7]. It should be highlighted that the main novelty of this investigation is the production of novel biomass-templated materials and the experimental study of these materials in a realistic system (BFB) and under realistic conditions at pilot scale; something that cannot be found in the open literature in the case of synthetic CaO-based sorbents.

The material that showed the best performance among all of the materials produced for this study was the calcium aluminate pellets (produced with 90% calcined limestone and 10% calcium aluminate cement), followed by the biomass templated pellets with cement (80% calcined limestone, 10% flour and 10% calcium aluminate cement).

The fragmentation of these materials was also studied using two different techniques: i) PHSR (pressurised heated strip reactor) that measures the primary fragmentation due to thermal stresses in the first calcination; ii) BFB (bubbling fluidised bed), which measures the primary and secondary fragmentation due to the thermal stresses and the impacts of particles amongst each other and with the reactor walls. The results from this investigation showed that particles with biomass are more prone to fragment due to internal stresses caused by the release of combustion gases when the particles enter the reactor. This effect was partially counteracted by the addition of calcium aluminate cement with much less fragmentation when compared with the sorbent with just lime and biomass. It can be concluded from this investigation that biomass-templated materials have improved porous structure; however, their fragmentation behaviour is less desirable in terms of their use in fluidised beds. These results have been corroborated by the two experimental methods (PHSR and BFB), both of these methodologies provided the same results and are in good agreement.

Overall, it can be concluded that the pelletisation of biomass-templated sorbents is a useful technique for the production of sorbents with enhanced
morphology. However, the mechanical stability of these sorbents should be further improved in order to make them more attractive for large scale applications.

Calcium aluminate pellets and HBr-doped limestone were also tested in a 25 kWth pilot-scale unit comprising a CFB carbonator and a BFB calciner, in order to prove the scalability of these two types of materials presented in Chapter 7. The calcium aluminate pellets were produced in the same methodology described in Chapters 4 and 5, with a granulator, and using 90% lime and 10% calcium aluminate cement. For the HBr doping, a new wet surface impregnation technique was developed in order to use the lowest quantity of HBr to make this process more economically favourable. It appears that the particle surface was modified with this enhancement method and even if the particles suffer from attrition this modification is still effective. The conclusion is supported by the fact that XRF analysis showed that most of the Br was found in the cyclones due to attrition of the particles in fluidised-bed operation. This doping technique resulted in superior CO2 uptake when compared with that of natural limestone.

For the calcium aluminate pellets, there were some challenges, which should be noted; namely, feeding these materials resulted in the release of high amounts of steam. This caused problems with the backpressure in the calciner reactor, which resulted in the close-down of the combustion in preliminary tests. It was decided that the feeding should be performed in a more controlled manner, i.e. by feeding material in smaller batches. This mitigated the problems with the release of steam allowing more manageable operation. The XRF results showed that most of the pellets remained in the system, as most of the alumina content present in the samples was found in the carbonator and calciner, which indicates low elutriation of the pelletised particles.

This work also demonstrated the potential scalability of the pelletisation and doping techniques, as well as developing a new doping technique for large-scale CaL. These materials were tested at pilot scale, where several challenges were encountered for the first time, such as the difficulties with the feeding of calcium aluminate pellets. In order to solve this issue it is proposed that the
materials are carbonated first, in order to minimise the release of steam when the particles enter the reactor. This opens a wide range of possibilities such as the use of raw pellets to decarbonise low concentration CO₂ streams, such as the exhaust from the carbonator of a CaL plant. Another option would be to expose the raw pellets to the atmosphere in order to take CO₂ directly from the air (direct air capture) before feeding the material into the fluidised bed, which will decrease even further the emissions with the CaL cycle making it even more attractive to be used at industrial scale.

Another possibility investigated in this research work is the option of operating the calciner under high oxygen concentrations. The advantage of this strategy is that this operation mode can reduce or even eliminate the need for the recirculation of flue gas into the calciner as part of oxy-fired operation. It should be noted that this recirculated stream consumes heat in order to be heated up to the bed temperature in the calciner. Therefore, the proposed operation mode enables a reduction in both fuel and oxygen needed in the calciner, i.e. less the oxygen being produced by the ASU, and, consequently, a higher thermal efficiency of the process. Furthermore, this would mean a potential reduction in size of the calciner, and a reduction of capital cost (smaller calciner and ASU), which together with the reduction in operational cost (less oxygen and fuel being combusted) would result in lower economic penalties associated with the calcium looping process.

This alternative approach of improving performance has been investigated by performing various pilot-plant experimental campaigns in a 25 kWth unit comprising a CFB carbonator and a BFB calciner. In these experiments natural gas was used as a fuel and the oxygen concentration was carefully increased until burning the NG in 100% vol O₂. This concept was proved to be effective when using natural gas as a fuel; however, there might be different challenges when feeding coal into the calciner. This should be carefully studied in order to assess the viability of this operational mode.

In conclusion, improvement mechanisms for different aspects of the CaL cycle have been investigated during the course of this work. As part of this research
project new enhanced synthetic materials have been produced (pelletised sorbents and HBr-doped limestone), these materials have been tested at different scales, under different conditions and for different parameters (reactivity and mechanical stability). Moreover, a route for decreasing the heat needed in the calciner has been explored with the operation with 100% vol O2 in the calciner; this path was proven to be effective in Cranfield’s 25 kWth pilot plant.
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9 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 General conclusions

In this chapter, the main conclusions resulting from the research conducted as part of this degree are explained below.

9.1.1 Sorbent development

A range of low cost CaO-based sorbents were produced to be used in CaL systems (namely, pelletised sorbents and doped material). Their performance has been assessed using different methods: thermogravimetric analyser (TGA), bubbling fluidised bed (BFB) and pilot-plant testing to determine their CO₂ capture performance. In addition, mechanical stability of most of these particles has been investigated using: crushing strength tests, a pressurised heated strip reactor (PHSR) and a BFB.

The production of calcium aluminate pellets (LC as named in Chapters 4 and 5) led to the conclusions detailed below:

- With regard to the material characterisation: the crushing strength tests indicated good mechanical stability with a mean value of 2.1 N, the SEM also showed these materials had good porosity. As expected XRD showed the formation of mayenite (Ca₁₂Al₁₄O₃₃) due to the reaction of the calcium aluminate cement and the lime during.
- TGA results showed good stability over numerous cycles, both with harsh calcination conditions (950 °C in 100% CO₂) and mild calcination conditions (850°C in 100% N₂) with 40.5% and 18.5% conversion respectively after 20 cycles.
- BFB results showed a much higher CO₂ uptake than limestone (0.22 g CO₂ per g sorbent compared to 0.03 g CO₂ per g sorbent after 10 cycles, respectively) possibly due to the higher mechanical strength as well as the stabilised mesoporous structure caused by the presence of mayenite in the pellets.
- The test with SO₂ showed a diminished reactivity in LC going from 0.22 g CO₂ per g sorbent without SO₂ to 0.05 g CO₂ per g sorbent with SO₂,
which was expected due to the formation of CaSO₄. Furthermore, the test with steam showed enhanced capture (0.25 g CO₂ per g sorbent) after 10 cycles. It was also noted that the decline of activity is less dramatic when steam is present, which has been reported in previous work.

- Fragmentation results showed that these particles possessed good mechanical stability, namely due to the fact that there was no diameter reduction in the pressurised heated strip reactor (PHSR). Their fragmentation in a BFB was characterised by the reduction in particle size and the loss of bed material during the first calcination LC was the best material in all the cases tested.
- Pilot plant testing of LC showed some challenges when using this type of material. Specifically, the release of steam was high during the feeding process into the calciner. Some solutions have been proposed to avoid this, such as carbonating the pellets with residual CO₂ stream (like the carbonator outlet), which will promote their performance.

The production of biomass-templated sorbents (LF, LCF and LCFSW as named in Chapters 4 and 5) led to the following conclusions.

- The crushing strength testing performed on these particles showed the different behaviour depending on composition. The difference between particles is substantial with LF having an average crushing strength of 0.74 N, LCF, 1.4 N and LCFSW 0.58 N. This indicates the importance of adding cement into biomass-templated materials in order to achieve more mechanical stability.
- The capture results varied depending on the calcination conditions with conversion of 41.5 %, 46.5% and 9.6% conversion under mild conditions and 16.1 %, 19.3% and 3.0% at harsh calcination conditions for LF, LCF and LCFSW, respectively. It has been suggested that LCFSW had lower conversion than LCF due to the high sodium content present in the sample, which indicates that this pelletisation/doping method needs to be adjusted in order to achieve enhanced properties.
With regard to the BFB results the templated materials showed diminished performance when compared with calcium aluminate pellets with 0.12 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LCF, 0.07 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LF and 0.05 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent for LFSW after 10 cycles. However, all of these materials performed better than the original limestone which had 0.03 g CO$_2$ per g sorbent after 10 cycles. It is important to note that the addition of cement helped sorbent particles perform better under standard conditions. For the experiments with SO$_2$ all the sorbents showed a similar decrease in their capture capacity after 10 cycles (LF, LCF and LC at around 5% carbonation conversion). These outcomes can be explained by the progressive build-up of a sulphate layer, which reduces CO$_2$ diffusion in the pores. Steam also helped their performance with an increase of uptake with 25% in LCF, and some improvement in LF and LCFSW.

Fragmentation behaviour was found to be a key factor when dealing with this type of particles. This is mainly due to the fact that the biomass templating does not have a positive effect with regard to fragmentation, which resulted in a reduction in mean diameter of 404 μm in LF (comparing particle size distribution of the samples before and after PHSR tests). However, the addition of cement partially counteracted this phenomenon with a reduction in diameter of 234 μm in LCF. The results of the BFB experiments agreed with those in the PHSR.

The HBr-doped limestone demonstrated enhanced performance under pilot plant conditions; the conclusions obtained from this test are:

- Enhanced CO$_2$ capture is achieved, with less make-up for the same CO$_2$ capture; this is due to the enhanced porosity formed by this production method, especially at the surface of sorbent particles.
- Lower elutriation was experienced compared to the tests with limestone and substantially less material was found in the cyclone of the calciner (370 g vs. 2000 g in the previous run), as well as in the secondary cyclone of the carbonator. This appears to be due to reduced attrition of
the sorbent during the cycling between the two reactors, which means that the majority of the material resided circulating between carbonator and the calciner.

9.1.2 Pilot-plant experimental campaigns

Pilot plant campaigns have been performed during the course of this research. These included the commissioning of the modified unit and experimental campaigns with high oxygen concentrations and the use of enhanced materials for CaL.

- The modifications and the commissioning of the pilot plant were successful. Problems with the gas burners present before the construction were eliminated, allowing the material to be calcined to a higher extent at temperatures of up to 950 °C to simulate a realistic calcium looping system. This reconstruction also increased the flexibility of the plant allowing the feeding of different gas compositions in both the carbonator and the calciner.

- A standard operational procedure was developed in order to run experiments with high oxygen concentrations (up to 100% vol O₂ in natural gas). This operational mode allows reducing or even eliminating the recirculation of flue gas (mainly CO₂ and steam) in the calciner, which would reduce/eliminate the heat needed to bring this stream up to temperature. The operational mode was proved to be successful in campaigns with limestone, as well as doped-materials and calcium aluminate pellets verifying the validity of this operational procedure.

- The scalability of enhanced sorbents was demonstrated during this research project, namely, calcium aluminate pellets (90% lime, 10% calcium aluminate cement) and HBr-doped material. Pilot campaigns for both of these materials were performed, identifying the challenges that non-natural sorbents pose to the operational conditions of this unit. HBr-doped particles showed very stable capture performance throughout the tests and low elutriation when compared to the limestone used in the commissioning process. However, the properties of the calcium
aluminate pellets proved to be more challenging, especially from the point of view of feeding and the release of steam from the raw pellets, which caused problems with the bed inventory.

9.2 Recommendations for future research

The research carried out during the course of this PhD research has contributed towards the knowledge in the field of carbon capture through the investigation of novel sorbents and through the use of high oxygen concentrations in the calciner in calcium looping systems. This section deals with the limitations encountered as part of this work and possible future paths for research in these areas.

9.2.1 Sorbent development

The used of enhanced sorbents is of vital importance in the calcium looping cycle due to the rapid decay in activity experienced by natural sorbents. It is imperative to find or develop sorbents with improved properties that are cheap in order to make this process more economically viable and further increase its competitiveness with other options such as amine scrubbing.

In the author’s opinion, pelletised sorbents would be an option to reduce the cost of Ca-based synthetic materials as well as to improve the strength of any type of synthetic material produced in powder form (i.e. sol gel combustion, PCC, sorbent from organic precursors). This production method can be easily scaled-up and it is widely used in the pharmaceutical and chemical industries. Biomass-templated sorbents were proposed as a way to increase porosity; however, some challenges were encountered due to the mechanical stress experienced by the sorbent particles. It has been demonstrated that this phenomenon can be partially counteracted by the addition of calcium aluminate cement.

There are other options that need to be explored in terms of pelletised sorbents, for example doping of sorbents when they are being pelletised (as in Chapter 4, but with optimal concentrations of sea water or HBr); there are also novel supports that could be used with this technique such as spinel (magnesium
aluminate) and there is also room to explore the behaviour of pelletised natural materials such as dolomite or other natural materials (i.e. egg shells and fish bones) providing they are available in large enough quantities. These materials could be also tested at larger scale from TGA to pilot- demonstration-scale.

With regards to the novel doping of limestone with HBr, this technique could be applied to different materials, as it has been explained above (calcium aluminate pellets). Besides, this wet surface-impregnation technique can be used with other doping agents, which allows flexibility and also a decrease in the cost of previously proposed doping methods.

**9.2.2 High oxygen concentrations in the calciner**

There are several limiting factors in the pilot plant testing with high oxygen concentrations in the calciner which have to be resolved. Several new testing procedures are proposed, based on the experienced gained developing the operating procedure.

The concept of high oxygen concentrations in the calciner has been proved during the course of this work using natural gas as a fuel in the calciner. However, new challenges may arise when using coal as a fuel in this reactor, as this mode of operation needs to be done in an industrial scale CaL plant. In particular, attention has to be taken to avoid potential ash melting due to high temperatures inside the fluidised bed, which might lead to agglomeration of the bed material and eventually to defluidisation of the unit.

This phenomenon needs to be carefully investigated through the testing of different solid materials; not only coal, but co-firing biomass and coal would be interesting options. Also, this operational mode should be tested using two circulating fluidised beds as a carbonator and calciner to explore how reactor configuration, as well as parameters such as solid and gas velocities and residence time, can affect the heat consumption in the calciner.
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Abstract

Synthetic biomass-templated cement-supported CaO-based sorbents were produced by the granulation process for high-temperature postcombustion CO₂ capture. Commercial flour was used as the biomass and served as a templating agent. The investigation of porosity showed that the pellets with biomass or cement resulted in an enhancement of porosity. Four types of sorbents containing varying proportions of biomass and cement were subjected to 20 cycles in a thermogravimetric analyzer under different calcination conditions. After the first series of tests calcined below 850 °C in 100% N₂, all composite sorbents clearly exhibited higher CO₂ capture activity than untreated limestone with the exception of sorbents doped by seawater. The biomass-templated cement-supported pellets exhibited the highest CO₂ capture level of 46.5% relative to 20.8% for raw limestone after 20 cycles. However, the enhancement in performance was substantially reduced under 950 °C calcination condition.
Considering the fact that both sorbents supported by cement exhibited relatively high conversion with a maximum value of 19.5%, cement-promoted sorbents appear to be better at resisting harsh calcination conditions. Although flour as biomass-templated material generated a significant enhancement in CO$_2$ capture capacity, further exploration must be carried out to find ways of maintaining outstanding performance for CaO-based sorbents under severe reaction conditions.
CO₂ Capture Performance Using Biomass-Templated Cement-Supported Limestone Pellets

Lunbo Duan, Chenglin Su, María Erans, Yingjie Li, Edward J. Anthony, and Huichao Chen

1Key Laboratory of Energy Thermal Conversion and Control, Ministry of Education, School of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China
2Combustion and CCS Centre, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire MK43 0AL, U.K.
3School of Energy and Power Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China

ABSTRACT: Synthetic biomass-templated cement-supported CaO-based sorbents were produced by the granulation process for high-temperature postcombustion CO₂ capture. Commercial flour was used as the biomass and served as a templating agent. The investigation of porosity showed that the pellets with biomass or cement resulted in an enhancement of porosity. Four types of sorbents containing varying proportions of biomass and cement were subjected to 20 cycles in a thermogravimetric analyzer under different calcination conditions. After the first series of tests calcined below 850 °C in 100% N₂, all composite sorbents clearly exhibited higher CO₂ capture activity than untreated limestone with the exception of sorbents doped by seawater. The biomass-templated cement-supported pellets exhibited the highest CO₂ capture rate of 46.5% relative to 38.8% for raw limestone after 20 cycles. However, the enhancement in performance was substantially reduced under 950 °C calcination conditions. Considering the fact that both sorbents supported by cement exhibited relatively high conversion with a maximum value of 39.5%, cement-promoted sorbents appear to be better at resisting harsh calcination conditions. Although flour as biomass-templated material generated a significant enhancement in CO₂ capture capacity, further exploration must be carried out to find ways of maintaining outstanding performance for CaO-based sorbents under severe reaction conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic CO₂ emissions are widely considered as one main contributor to climate change, in particular power plants consuming fossil fuels produce ~40% of global CO₂ emissions. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology is one promising and emerging way to mitigate CO₂ emissions effectively. However, the cost of the CO₂ capture step contributes to ~80% of the whole cost associated with CCS. Hence, it is of great importance to develop efficient and cost-effective CO₂ capture technologies. Several relatively mature CO₂ capture technologies, however, exhibit specific drawbacks. In the case of amine scrubbing, the degradation and regeneration of expensive solvents cause large economic and efficiency penalties. In the case of oxy-fuel combustion, the air-separation unit (ASU) required is energy intensive and incurs large costs. Therefore, the majority of research in this area aims to develop novel and potentially better carbon capture technology such as calcium looping (CaL) using solid CO₂ sorbents.

The CaL process is based on two reverse reactions for the formation and decomposition of CaCO₃, where CaO-based sorbents capture CO₂ (i.e., from flue gas) in a carbonation reactor, followed by regeneration of sorbents in a calcination reactor to fulfill a cycle. Natural limestone is regarded as one of the most suitable candidates for large scale CO₂ capture, due to its abundance and low cost as well as its potentially high initial CO₂ capture capacity of 0.786 g of CO₂/g of CaO. However, two major challenges associated with this technology should be addressed: (1) the sorbent reactivity decays rapidly with increasing number of reaction cycles because of sintering; (2) the attrition of the particles in an actual working environment can be excessive. As a result, a high makeup flow is required to compensate for the deactivation and elutriation of raw limestone to maintain desired CO₂ capture efficiency, but this damages the economics of the process. Thus, considerable research efforts have been focused on improving CO₂ capture performance of natural CaO-based sorbents and developing more stable ones over multiple cycles.

Several techniques have been proposed in previous works such as precipitation methods, organic acid treatments, chemical doping, hydration, and synthesis techniques of highly efficient sorbents, i.e., the sol–gel method, carbon template, and biomass template. In terms of chemical doping method, different techniques and dopants have been used in recent work. Work carried out by González
et al. demonstrated that a 0.5 M solution of KCl enhanced long-term activity of limestone in both fluidized bed and TGA tests, in spite of decreasing reactivity for the initial cycle. Other dopants were also investigated, such as NaCl, CaBr₂, MgCl₂, and Mg(NO₃)₂. Various synthetic CO₃ sorbents have proved highly efficient. Radha et al. examined four types of biomass-templated CaO-based sorbents and noted that biomass materials improved the porosity of sorbents and biomass ash contributed to the enhancement of stabilization of pellets. Inert Al₂O₃ is now widely used as an additive to form inert support materials, i.e., Ca₃Al₂O₆ or Ca₃Al₄O₉ (C₃A₄O), which improves the stability of sorbents over multicycles. However, it should be noted that these modifications appear to be cost-ineffective because of the correspondingly high cost of materials and complex procedures, as well as potential problems for further scale up for commercialization. Granulation [19] has been proposed recently as a promising method, which allows the addition of various dopants and using kaola [20] and/or cement [21] as binders to support sorbents.

Given that multiple CO₂ capture performance tests about synthetic sorbents, especially doped with biomass were carried out under correspondingly mild calcination condition (i.e., 850 °C). In this work, synthetic sorbents were produced through pelletization process, which were supported by calcium aluminosilicate cement, templated by biomass, and also doped by seawater. Then CO₂ capture tests were performed in a TGA under mild and harsh conditions to compare the difference between observed characteristics.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials and Pelletization. In this work, a batch of powdered Longcay limestone was used as the source of the CaO precursor. Commercial calcium aluminate cement, CA-14 (73% Al₂O₃, 27% CaO), from Almatis Inc. was chosen as the binder for pelletization; >80% of the powders were <45 μm in diameter. The biomass-templated material used was commercial flour. Artificial seawater (Complete Aquatics treated with moderate NaClO) was served for doping purposes. The biomass-templated cement-supported limestone pellets were prepared by using TMG Tabletop granulator (Glatt GMS). Raw limestone was calcined at 850 °C in air for 2 h before preparation. A batch of powdered materials (~800 g in total) was loaded into the pelletizer vessel (4 L). The pelletization process was activated by the agitator and the chopper attached to the vessel with velocities of 500 and 2500 rpm, respectively. Water (~400 mL) was sprayed intermittently through a pressurized atomizing nozzle during the procedure, namely spraying for 1s at intervals of 4s. Usually, the amount of spraying water and the speed of two rotor blades play critical roles in controlling the final pellet size, it must be noted here that visual inspection of the mixture should be continuously performed, to ensure moderate water and desired particle size. More details about this pelletization procedure can be found elsewhere [22,23]. After pelletization, the pellets were air-dried and then the desired size particles of 0.1–0.6 mm were sieved out to store. Four kinds of pellets were prepared with varying proportions of different dopants and calcined limestone. Combinations of the first letter of different materials were used to denote pellets, as seen in Table 1. Raw limestone was designated as LS for comparison.

2.2. Sorbents Characterization. Elementary analysis of the samples was performed using inductively coupled plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (wt %)</th>
<th>LS</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>LF</th>
<th>LCFSW</th>
<th>LCF</th>
<th>LCSFSW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water at 850 °C</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water at 950 °C</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total oxide</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Compositions of Materials Used in Different Preparation of Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>line (wt %)</th>
<th>cement (wt %)</th>
<th>flux (wt %)</th>
<th>type of water used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>deionized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCFSW</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>seawater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Elementary Analysis of All Sorbents

measurement using an accelerated surface area and porosimetry system (Micromeritics ASAP 2020), sorbents were decomposed into CaO in a muffle furnace at 850 °C in air for 15 min which also allowed the complete combustion of biomass. The BET surface area and BJH pore size distribution were derived from N₂ adsorption isotherms obtained at ~196 °C. BET surface area distribution was derived from adsorption data, whereas the BJH pore volume distribution was derived from the corresponding desorption data. The surface morphology of pellets was observed by a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

2.3. TGA Test. The CO₂ capture tests were performed using a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) with ~15 mg samples (particles size of <180 μm) loaded to a quartz tube (i.d. 20 mm). The gas flow rate was set at 100 mL min⁻¹, which was controlled by mass flowometers. The heating rate and cooling rate were 40 and 20 °C min⁻¹, respectively. Two major conditions were studied in these tests: first, the samples were calcined at 850 °C in 100% N₂ (except for the initial cycle in air) for 3 h and then were carbonated at 650 °C under 75% CO₂ (N₂ balance) for 35 min. Second, the calcination process was carried out at 950 °C under 100% CO₂ (except for the initial cycle in air) for 5 min and then the carbonation step was performed at 650 °C under 15% CO₂ (N₂ balance) for 35 min. All the sorbents were subjected to 20 cycles. Here, the atmosphere was switched from 100% CO₂ to 100% N₂ below.
900 °C during the transition between calcination and carbonation to avoid carbonation ahead of time. In addition, the initial cycle under both calcination conditions was in air to ensure the complete combustion of biomass.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Porosity Characterization. The results of N_2 adsorption measurements are presented in Table 3. As can be seen, all the synthetic pellets presented larger BET surface area and BJH pore volume relative to untreated limestone except for LCFSW. Pellets containing flour and cement showed the highest pore surface area of 14.11 m²/g and pore volume of 0.110 cm³/g, in comparison to 900 m²/g and 0.061 cm³/g for raw materials, respectively. This result can be attributed to the enhancement effect on porosity by biomass and cement, consistent with previous work. Surprisingly, although LCFSW also contained these two types of additives, it exhibited inferior pore structure, actually far less than that of raw limestone. It is possible that the doping with too high levels of seawater produced an excess of Na⁺ ion in the sorbent, which led to more pronounced sintering owing to the presence of the lower melting point of Na₂O. Additionally, as noted by Macovic et al., Na₂O might react with CaO and CO₂ to form lower melting point compounds (Na₂Ca₃(CO₃)₄/ Na₂Ca₂(CO₃)₃), as a result, active CaO declined and sintering enhanced. This finding agrees with the previous work of Xu et al. who found that calcined limestone doped by sea salt (main component is NaCl) experienced severe sintering during the cycles.

Figure 1 displays pore surface area and pore volume distribution of sorbent pellets. It can be seen in Figure 1a that all samples showed a bimodal pattern with peaks at 3–4 nm and 30–70 nm. The phenomenon revealed pores (<100 nm in diameter), especially mesopores, were mainly contributors to surface area, which is consistent with previous work. The pore surface area profiles demonstrate that the additives used improved pore properties markedly. Similarly, there are two peaks in pore volume distribution, as can be seen in Figure 1b. However, given that the first peak at ~4 nm is very small, it is obvious that the significant increase in pore volume is attributed to the development of pores with size range of 30 nm ~100 nm. As expected, LCF exhibited the highest pore volume of 0.110 cm³/g, corresponding to 83.3% higher than that of LS followed by LF and LC except for LCFSW. Accordingly, the addition of biomass and cement enables us to enhance the porous structure of limestone.

3.2. CO₂ Capture Performance. To compare these results with early studies, a typical reaction condition (calcination at 850 °C in 100% vol N₂) was carried out in TGA, and the carbonation conversion curves of four sorbents during the first two cycles are presented in Figure 2. There is a similar tendency for all treated pellets, which displays typically carbonation patterns with fast and slow reaction stages. On the fast stage, the reaction achieved a considerable conversion quickly controlled by chemical kinetics, followed by a slower stage controlled by diffusion. It is clear that biomass and cement doped prolonged the slow reaction stage. Moreover, when two profiles of LC and LCF are compared, it is also notable that biomass doped in sorbents had an effect on the fast reaction stage, which agrees with the finding by Ridha et al.
These results are ascribed to the enhancement in porosity, as shown in Table 3, whereas the shift between two reaction stages was so abrupt that the slow stage was hardly evident in the profile of LS. This appears to be connected with the closure of most of small pores in the rapid reaction stage, given the relatively narrow range of porosity seen in Figure 1b. In addition, the SEM image shown in the next chapter also displayed a smooth and solid surface pore structure for LS, which is easy to be covered by a layer of CaCO$_3$. As a result, the resistance of CO$_2$ diffusion increased rapidly to retard the slower reaction.

The CO$_2$ capture performance of four synthetic pellets together with raw limestone shown in Figure 3. All sorbents showed monotonic declined profiles with multiple reaction cycles. In the first cycle, LF exhibited the highest conversion of 88.3%, compared to about 73.4% for LS. This can be explained by the fact that LF produced a surface area of 12.60 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, higher than that of 9.00 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for LS, which was attributed to the addition of biomass. Usually, the high surface area in sorbent means better CO$_2$ carrying capacity. LC realized a conversion of 70.5% after the initial carbonation reaction, slightly lower than that of LS. It was proposed that Al$_2$O$_3$ in the binder reacts with CaO to form mayerite ($\text{Ca}_2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_5$); however, this part of the “GaO” cannot be used to capture CO$_2$. After 20 capture cycles, LC showed a capture rate of 40.4%, compared to 20.8% of LS, corresponding to 57.3% and 28.3% of their initial conversion, respectively. It is apparent that cement can stabilize CaO-based sorbent over multiple reaction cycles, which is also likely due to the formation of inert mayerite. This result agrees with the finding in other studies that the inert material enables us to maintain sorbents with a stable capture capacity. Interestingly, it should be noted that the final conversion of LF was 41.4%, slightly lower than that of LC, which appears to result from the presence of some small amount of residue (ash) in the sorbent after biomass combustion. As was reported earlier, treating CaO-based sorbents with an aqueous solution of a lower concentration of different mineral salts, such as KCl and $K$_2$CO$_3$, can improve the cyclic performances of the sorbents. It has been shown in Table 2 that the content of potassium salt in LF is 5 times as great as that in LC. Accordingly, this probably accounts for the somewhat higher CO$_2$ uptake for LF. As expected, LCF, containing flour and cement, possessed the best CO$_2$ capture capacity, with the final conversion reaching 46.5%. By contrast, LCFWS showed rather poor capacity compared to other sorbents. This must be attributed to excessively inferior pore structure seen in Table 3. The later SEM images showed that quantities of cracks on the surface of LCFWS pellet, which means much severer sintering.

Usually, it is relatively reasonable to explore the process of CO$_2$ looping cycles under mild calcination conditions in laboratory, if the goal is to collect sufficient experimental data for carrying out fundamental studies. However, to simulate industrial conditions, further experiments using realistic conditions need to be carried out. Figure 4 shows conversion of sorbent synthesized in carbonation/calcination cycles, operated under harsh calcination conditions. In Figure 4, it is evident that the impact on capture capacity of synthesized sorbents clearly diminishes, although all synthesized sorbents exhibited higher CO$_2$ uptakes than their counterparts, if we exclude the initial cycle. This agrees with the result under prior calcination conditions. However, harsh conditions impaired the performance of sorbents. Conversion of LC was 55.3% in the first cycle, which decreased by 21.6% compared to that under 850 °C calcination condition. In a similar fashion, LF, LCF, and LS declined by 32.2%, 19.4%, and 17.2%, respectively. It is noticeable that these harsh conditions cause reduced CO$_2$ uptake. This is because severe calcination conditions cause more serious sintering, which was noted in previous studies. It is also clear that the presence of cement is beneficial as compared to only biomass-treated materials under severe conditions. Thus, the optimized porosity structure produced by adding biomass is strongly affected by severe temperature conditions. After 20 cycles, the conversion of LS sharply dropped to 13.5%, equivalent to 22.2% of its initial value. This was close to the residual conversion noted in previous work. Additionally, LC and LCF achieved almost the same conversion, 19.5% for LCF, as compared to 18.5% for LC, due presumably to the presence of an inert aluminite phase (Ca$_2$Al$_2$O$_7$). However, it should be pointed out that these levels were less than a residual activity of 28% for cement-supported pellets obtained under 800 °C isothermally by
Manovic and Anthony, clearly, severe calcination conditions account for the dramatically reduced activity. By contrast, although LF still obtained a conversion of 16.0%, this was just 2.5% over that of LS, which is not a significant advantage when compared to the much better performance than LC after 20 cycles under milder conditions. Except for the serious sintering under this harsh condition, as mentioned above, the ash remaining (especially the content of potassium salt) in sorbents may partially account for the satisfactory capacity of LF. Moderate potassium salt concentrations present in sorbent particles have proved to have positive impact on performance. Nevertheless, it must be noted here that the melting point of potassium carbonate is about 900 °C. Moreover, González et al. found that potassium carbonate would vaporize above 900 °C by comparing the concentrations of potassium ions before and after cycling. Therefore, vaporization of potassium carbonate under 950 °C might partly account for the decline of capacity by a large margin for LF. Unfortunately, for TGA test, the low amounts of material produced containing potassium salts are insufficient for further chemical analysis, and a series of tests on lab-scale bubbling fluidized bed (BF) will be performed in the future study. In addition, LCFSW showed rather poor capacity in both calcination conditions, which is set beyond the comparison of pellet capture capability.

Considering the various results observed under different calcination conditions, further explorations are necessary to examine the effect of high calcination temperature and high-concentration CO₂ atmosphere on the performance of additives. Subsequent tests at 900 °C in 100% CO₂ and at 950 °C in 100% N₂ were carried out, respectively. The experimental results obtained have been plotted in Figure 5. Figure 5a demonstrates different conversion profiles for LC. As can be seen, tests under 900 °C showed almost the same conversion with that at 950 °C in 100% CO₂ atmosphere, except for somewhat superiority in the first seven cycles. It can be concluded that the CO₂ uptake of cement-supported sorbent was clearly reduced at 900 °C, which is identical to that at 950 °C under pure CO₂ flows. LF exhibited a trend similar to that shown in Figure 5b. It has been reported that the high concentration of CO₂ during calcination may cause a dramatic decline on the reactivity of CaO-based sorbents.

To investigate the influence of different calcination atmosphere, LC and LF sorbents were subjected to reaction cycles at 950 °C in 100% N₂ to allow for comparisons. It is evident that the inert atmosphere plays a secondary role at a high calcination temperature of 950 °C, owing to the fact that the conversion of LC increased over ~3% on average through 20 cycles under pure N₂ gas flow compared with the case of a pure CO₂ atmosphere, as shown in Figure 5a. By contrast, three almost overlapping curves (seen in Figure 5b) indicate that high temperature takes up the predominant position for LF rather than the calcination atmosphere. Switching to pure inert calcination gas cannot increase CO₂ conversion at all. Accordingly, 900 °C can be regarded as extremely severe condition of damaging the reactivity of sorbents containing biomass. These results reveal that biomass-template sorbents are more sensitive to high temperature than those supported by cement.

3.3. Surface Morphology. Figure 6 shows partial surface morphology images of LS, LC, LF, and LCF following 20 cycles after calcination at 850 or 950 °C. It is clear that the sintering of LS is much worse under 950 °C conditions, given the obvious decline of mesopores seen in Figure 6b. On the contrary, Figure 6c,e,g represented the morphology of LC, LF, and LCF after calcination at 850 °C. These images demonstrated the positive effect of doping sorbents with biomass and cement on porous structure transformation. LF (only containing biomass) shows an obviously sintered structure under severe temperature, as can be seen by comparing panels e and f of Figure 6, where very long and narrow pores were found because of the coalescence of small pores. The enhanced sintering seen here confirms the reduced impact on improving performance of sorbents by adding biomass like flour, when examined in TGA under 950 °C. In contrast, cement (Al₂O₃ actually) exhibited great durability and resisted damage from these harsh temperature conditions, as can be seen from Figure 6g,h. Furthermore, the structure from LCFPSW suggests that the formations of compounds from the sea salts are detrimental for the structure of the pores. It is suggested that pores are replaced by the multiple cracks seen here as a result of formation of lower melting point compounds (Na₄Ca(CO₃)₂/Na₄Ca₂(CO₃)₃).

4. CONCLUSION

In this work, four types of sorbents containing varying proportions of biomass and cement were synthesized by the pelletization process. Relative to the untreated limestone, both additives caused improvement on porous structure. In particular, biomass-template cement-supported pellets exhibited the greatest porosity with high pore surface area and pore volume up to 14.11 m² g⁻¹ and 0.110 cm³ g⁻¹.
respectively. Whereas the sorbents doped by seawater decreased significantly in porosity, here it is possible that the lower melting point of Na₂O caused pronounced sintering owing to the excess of Na⁺ ions in this sorbent. A finding from the TGA test under a calcination temperature of 850 °C in N₂ demonstrated that all synthetic sorbents exhibited a significant increase in CO₂ uptake in comparison to raw limestone, whereas the biomass-templated cement-supported pellets produced the highest CO₂ capture rate of 46.5% relative to 20.8% for raw limestone after 20 cycles. This promising result was attributed to the enhancement of developed porosity and cyclic stability from the addition of biomass and calcium aluminate cement, respectively. However, this positive effect of biomass is substantially reduced for 950 °C calcinations after multicycles. This appears to be the result of pronounced sintering in this situation. Additionally, the vaporization of potassium carbonate under 950 °C may influence on the reduction of activity, given that it is noted that moderate potassium salt existing in pellets proved to have positive impact on performance. Further exploration to find more effective biomass dopants and/or enhancing the biomass-templated pellets will be carried out in subsequent studies.
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Abstract

An enhanced CO₂ capacity was reported recently for biomass-modified Ca-based sorbent, but undesired attrition resistance was also observed. In this study, cement was used as a support for biomass-activated calcium sorbent during the granulation process to improve the poor mechanical resistance. Attrition tests were carried out in an apparatus focused on impact breakage to evaluate how the biomass addition and cement support influence the particle strength during Ca looping. The results showed that biomass addition impairs the mechanical strength and that a cement support can improve it, as reflected in the breakage probability and size change after impact of pellets that had experienced calcination and multiple calcination/carbonation cycles. Larger-sized particles suffered more intense attrition. The mechanical strength of the sorbents declined significantly after higher-temperature calcination but increased after carbonation. After multiple cycles, the mechanical strength of particles was greatly enhanced, but more cracks emerged. A semiempirical
formula for calculating the average diameter after attrition based on Rittinger’s
surface theory was developed. Observations of the morphology of the particles
indicated that particles with more porosity and more cracks were more prone to
breakage.
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Lunbo Duan, Zhijian Yu, Maria Erans, Yingjie Li, Vasilije Manovic, and Edward J. Anthony

1Key Laboratory of Energy Thermal Conversion and Control, Ministry of Education, School of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China
2Combustion and CCS Centre, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire MK43 0AL, U.K.
3School of Energy and Power Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China

ABSTRACT: An enhanced CO2 capacity was reported recently for biomass-modified Ca-based sorbent, but undesired attrition resistance was also observed. In this study, cement was used as a support for biomass-activated calcium sorbent during the granulation process to improve the poor mechanical resistance. Attrition tests were carried out in an apparatus focused on impact breakage to evaluate how the biomass addition and cement support influence the particle strength during Ca looping. The results showed that biomass addition improves the mechanical strength and that a cement support can improve it, as reflected in the breakage probability and size change after impact of pellets that had experienced calcination and multiple calcination/carbonation cycles. Larger-sized particles suffered more intense attrition. The mechanical strength of the sorbents declined significantly after higher-temperature calcination but increased after carbonation. After multiple cycles, the mechanical strength of particles was greatly enhanced, but more cracks emerged. A semiempirical formula for calculating the average diameter after attrition based on Rittinger’s surface theory was developed. Observations of the morphology of the particles indicated that particles with more porosity and more cracks were more prone to breakage.

1. INTRODUCTION

The calcination/carbonation cycles of calcium-based sorbents (also called calcium looping, or CaL) in dual-fluidized-bed systems represent a viable method for capturing CO2 from fossil-fuel-fired power plants. However, during operation, two main problems occur: continuous reactivity decay5,6,13 due to the loss of micro- and mesopores and serious erosion5,13 resulting from the size reduction of the sorbent particles because of attrition. The latter occurs when the particles suffer wear during collisions with other particles and the reactor walls. Erosion reduces the residence time of the particles in the furnace, increasing the sorbent makeup rates and, thus, the overall costs. Solving these problems requires improvements in reactivity and mechanical stability. However, very reactive particles are often not strong enough to survive in the high-temperature turbulent flow encountered in such reactors, whereas strong particles might not be reactive enough to capture CO2 in a short time.

Many methods have been proposed to improve sorbent reactivity, as summarized by Valverde6,13 use of rigid porous materials as carriers of the Ca-based sorbents, use of additives to improve the sorbent thermal stability, reduction of the sorbent particle size to the nanometer scale, and use of synthetic precursors to produce novel sorbents with rich micropore structures. Recently, Chen et al.12 reported that a
calcium-based sorbent doped with metal oxides through the sol–gel process exhibited enhanced reactivity by distributing the metal oxide particles at the molecular scale. Chen et al. also found that pressurized carbonation was beneficial for CO$_2$ capture. Among possible additives, biomass additives provide a cheap and efficient means of elevating the reactivity of the calcium sorbent, by improving the pore structure through biomass combustion. Also, the ash after combustion can serve as a composite framework. Our recent work confirmed that biomass-activated calcium pellets (limestone + biomass) have better CO$_2$ capture performance than other tested pellets (limestone + cement and limestone + biomass + cement) in a thermogravimetric analyzer. Interestingly, the results obtained from a bubbling fluidized bed were not the same, and the biomass-activated pellet showed the poorest performance in that type of reactor. This is presumably because of material loss due to attrition under fluidized-bed (FB) conditions. It is well-known that cement is a good support for calcium-based sorbents and that the reaction between CaO and alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) to form mixed calcium–aluminum oxides (e.g., mordenite) results in the formation of an inert solid binder. The binder serves to reduce sintering of the CaO grains by providing an inert framework and helps improve the mechanical stability of the sorbent.

There are several methods for evaluating the thermal stability of sorbent materials. The most common and direct method is to carry out the reaction in a fluidized bed and measure the particle size of the materials after a number of reaction cycles. This method yields a value for attrition, but detailed information and the mechanism cannot be easily obtained because there are too many contributing factors. A Standard Test Method for the Determination of Attrition and Abstraction of Powdered Catalysts by Air Jets (D5757-00) proposed by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) has also been used to evaluate the attrition properties of calcium-based sorbents through an air jet impact tests. Although the method provides general information, obtained in a spouted bed rather than in the bubbling or fast beds that are usually used in calcium looping process. Scala and co-workers proposed a single-particle impact apparatus in which particles accelerated by the gas stream strike a target, to test different limestone after calcination, desulfurization, and hydration. This setup was focused on attrition by impact damage, which occurs frequently in fluidized beds. Although the device could not simulate the entire attrition history of particles, the attrition resistance could be evaluated more accurately by this approach.

In this work, a system similar to that of Scala and co-workers was developed to investigate the attrition behavior of different calcium-based sorbents and evaluate how the addition of biomass and use of a cement support influence the mechanical strength of the sorbents. The effects of particle size, calcination temperature, carbonation, and multiple calcination/carbonation cycles on attrition resistance of sorbents were investigated. The relationship between the morphology characteristics and strengths of the sorbents was also fully explored.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Sample Preparation. Longonal SP52 limestone from the United Kingdom, with a particle size of less than 125 μm, was calcined at 850 °C in a muffle furnace for 2 h. The product was then mixed with commercial flour (as the biomass binder used in this work), and a portion of the resulting mixture was mixed with calcium aluminate cement (CA-14 from Almatis Inc.).

The desired mixture was poured into a TMG tabletop mechanical granulator provided by Glatt GmbH to produce the sorbent pellets. The volume of the palletizer vessel was 4 L and the velocities of the agitator and chopper were 500 and 2300 rpm, respectively. About 1 L of deionized water was sprayed progressively during the operation. Then, the particles were air-dried for 12 h before being stored in a desiccator to avoid any reactions of the material with air.

Three types of pellets were prepared and are named according to their compositions: calcined limestone modified by addition of 10 wt % flour (LF), calcined limestone with addition of 10 wt % aluminate cement (LC), and the calcined limestone mixed with 10 wt % flour and 10 wt % cement (LCF). The constituents of the pellets are listed in Table 1, and the compositions measured by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) are reported in Table 2. The increase of the K$_2$O mass in the LF and LCF samples seen in Table 2 indicates that the flour contained abundant potassium, which has been reported to be beneficial for reactivity improvement. The CO$_2$ capture performances of those sorbents were reported in ref 15.

2.2. Apparatus and Procedures. Calcination, carbonation, and Cal. cycles of the samples were carried out in a bubbling fluidized-bed reactor, as shown in Figure 1. The reactor consisted of a quartz reaction vessel heated by a two-stage external-resistance furnace. The first stage was used to preheat the gas to 300 °C, and the second stage was used to control the temperature of reaction. The quartz reaction vessel had an inner diameter of 25 mm and a length of 1800 mm. The gas distributor was a sintered plate. The height from the top of the reactor to the air distributor was 1000 mm. Fluidization gas, premixed using a mass flow-meter controller, was supplied from the bottom of the reactor. The concentration of CO$_2$ during carbonation was analyzed with a nondispersive infrared analyzer (Rosemount, NGA 2000) whose CO$_2$ range and precision were 50% and 0.5%, respectively.

The impact testing of the pellets was performed in the impact apparatus shown in Figure 2. The setup, in which particles accelerated by the gas stream hit a target, takes advantage of the approach proposed by Scala and co-workers. The feeding unit was installed at the top and consisted of two valves. The first valve was closed after feeding to prevent the gas from escaping. The particles were stored in a hopper with a 1-mm-id, at the bottom section, so they stacked vertically. The second valve (gate valve) controlled the particles such that they fell into the eductor one by one. The eductor was 1.1 m in length and 10 mm in id. The gas flows into the tube from the side and the velocity were controlled by a mass flow meter. The particles, accelerated by the gas flow and gravity, impacted a stainless target at the bottom of the system. The target was set into the collection chamber 30 mm below the end of the tube and was inclined by 60° with respect to the vertical. This inclination was chosen by considering the effects
Table 2. Compositions of Sorbents (wt %) by XRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>other</th>
<th>LOI*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>43.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>42.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>5.885</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>34.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>4.512</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>44.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LOI = Loss on ignition.

Calcination was carried out at 850 °C in N₂ or at 950 °C in CO₂ for LF and LCF to decompose the biomass. Multiple Cal. cycles were carried out by calcining the sample at 850 °C for 15 min in pure N₂ and carbonating it at 650 °C for 20 min in 15% (v/v) CO₂ with a balance of N₂. The fluidization number (U/I₈) was about 4, and the fluidization velocity was 0.6 m/s. The gas volumetric flow rate through the flow meter was calibrated at different temperatures based on the equation of state of an ideal gas. The product was then sieved in the particle size range of 0.35—0.5 mm for impact tests.

About 2 g of pellets was used for each impact test at room temperature. The impact velocities were 5, 10, 15, 20, and 34 m/s, conforming to particle impact conditions near the gas distributor, in the bed, and in the cyclone. According to the calculations, the particles approached terminal velocity after accelerating through the eductor (1.1 m length). The terminal velocity was equal to the gas velocity plus the free-fall velocity of the particle. For particle sizes of 0.4 mm or less, the free-fall velocity is so small that it can be ignored in comparison to the gas velocity. If the mass of fragments collected after the impact test deviated by less than 1% from the initial mass, the test was considered to be satisfactory. Debris was sieved to determine the size distribution after each impact. Three parallel tests were performed to guarantee the reproducibility of the results for parts of the experiments.

The breakage probability, \( f \), is defined as

\[
f = \frac{n_{\text{debris}}}{m}
\]

where \( n_{\text{debris}} \) is the mass of debris whose size falls below the lower limit of the feed size interval and \( m \) is the total mass of particles. The Sauter mean diameter (\( d_{32} \)) and the size reduction of \( d_{50} \) were calculated according to the equations

\[
d_{32} = \frac{1}{\sum \frac{n}{d_{i}^{2}}}
\]

\[
I = \left( \frac{d_{0} - d_{4}}{d_{0}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

where \( n \) is the mass fraction of particles in the size interval of \( i \), \( d_{4} \) is the length of size interval of \( i \), and \( d_{0} \) is the initial mean size. Finally, the probability density function (PDF) of particle sizes was calculated as

\[
PDF = \frac{n_{i}}{d_{4}^{2}}
\]

to describe the particle size distribution size distribution (PSD).

Morphology observations and microstructure tests were also performed to study the potential relationship between structure and mechanical strength. The pore microstructure was measured by nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm tests at −196.8 °C on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020-M analyzer. The

Figure 1. Scheme of the bubbling fluidized bed.

Figure 2. Impact apparatus diagram.
morphology was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Impact Fragmentation of the Raw Sorbents. The breakage probabilities of raw samples of LF, LC, LCF, and limestone in the size range of 0.35–0.5 mm at different impact velocities are shown in Figure 3. The pellets subjected to higher speeds suffered more intense breakage because they had higher momenta. The breakage probability of LF was found to be 4.2–17.1% at impact velocities in the range of 5–34 m/s, whereas the breakage probability of LC was 0.3–6.7% over the same velocity range. Thus, although the addition of biomass is beneficial for enhancing reactivity, it decreases the pellet strength. The breakage probability of LCF was found to be 1.5–11.2% in the same range of impact velocities (5–34 m/s), indicating that the addition of cement at room temperature can significantly improve the rigidity of sorbents compared to that of LF pellets because the cement serves as only a physical binder and skeleton at this temperature. The LCF pellets, which were prepared by mixing biomass according to a commercial pelletization method, represent a possible candidate for CO2 capture because they exhibit both reliable reactivity11 and mechanical strength. The raw limestone and LC retained similar breakage probabilities at room temperature, except for a small deviation at 26–34 m/s. It appears that cement-supported sorbent can reach the strength of the original limestone.

The breakage probabilities of LC in the size ranges of 0.35–0.5, 0.5–0.6, and 0.6–0.85 mm at different impact velocities are shown in Figure 4. The fragmentation of pellets of the same material is sensitive to particle size, and particles with larger sizes suffer more intense breakage.

The critical stress of breakage can be obtained using the Griffith equation12

\[ \sigma_c = \sqrt{2E\gamma_c/\pi c} \]  

where \( \sigma_c \) is the critical breaking stress, \( E \) is the Young’s modulus, \( \gamma_c \) is the surface energy of the material, and \( c \) is the length of the crack (equal to the particle size when the particle splits entirely). \( \sigma_c \) increases as the particle size decreases because the values of \( E \) and \( \gamma_c \) are constant for a given material. For fragmentation to occur, larger particles usually require larger cracks as well as smaller critical stresses. Meanwhile, there is a minimum size below which the critical stress probability of LC at different impact velocities.

3.2. Effects of Calcination. Figure 5a displays the breakage probabilities of LF, LC, LCF, and limestone calcined at 850 and 950 °C for 15 min (simulating the first calcination during calcium looping) at different impact velocities. The reductions of the Sauer mean diameters of the same samples versus impact velocity are shown in Figure 5b. All calcined samples exhibited higher breakage probabilities than the raw pellets for all tested impact velocities. This means that the mechanical strengths of the sorbents decrease after calcination. Furthermore, the curves of all samples calcined at 950 °C were higher than those of the samples calcined at 850 °C, which indicates that Ca-based sorbents suffer more from
fragmentation at higher temperatures. The internal pressure stress, caused by the hindering of gas flow through the particle, and thermal stress usually increase at higher calcination temperatures, which generates cracks at the surfaces of samples and plays a vital role in diminution of particle strength.

At impact velocities in the range of 5–34 m/s, the breakage probability decreased from 63.0–46.1% for LF to 3.5–22.9% for LCF, and the reduction of Sauter mean size decreased from 50.8–38.9% for LF to 4.1–22.4% for LCF. Calcined LF was found to be more prone to fragmentation, whereas LCF containing cement showed much better attrition resistance. Manovic and Anthony, along with other workers, have noted that, at the calcination temperature, some of the CaO from limestone could react with cement to form calcium aluminates, such as $\text{C}_2\text{Al}_6\text{O}_{13}$ (monetite) or $\text{Ca}_6\text{Al}_2\text{O}_{13}$ for different Ca/Al ratios. The surface morphology of LCF after the first calcination at 850 °C is shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. SEM images of LCF after the first calcination at 850 °C for 15 min.

The appearance of calcium aluminite in Figure 6 is similar to that in images found elsewhere in the literature. Calcium aluminite forms a stable cross-linked nanoscale framework, and CaO grains are embedded in the framework. As a result, unconsolidated grains are connected by those chemical bonds, and a tougher structure is obtained. The porous channels generated by the decomposition of biomass and Ca(OH)$_2$ can also be observed. This property leads to the poorer attrition resistance of LCF compared to LC.

Compared to limestone, LC suffers a marginally higher breakage probability and reduction of the Sauter mean size after calcination at different temperatures. These results agree well with the worse attrition resistance of calcined cement-supported pellets than of lime tested in an air jet apparatus reported by Knight et al.35

Figure 7 reports the probability density functions of the sizes (PSDs) of LF and LCF collected after impact. For both samples, the mass of particles in the feeding size range decreased noticeably, whereas the mass of particles in size intervals of 0.2–0.3 and 0.3–0.5 mm increased. Scala et al.33 identified three types of typical breakage patterns that can be identified in the size distributions of fragments. Based on this concept, the calcined pellets of LF and LCF mostly undergo splitting (breakage into a relatively small number of fragments with sizes comparable to that of the parent particle), combined with moderate disintegration (extensive loss of particle connectivity, which results in the generation of a large number of small fragments), whereas the LCF pellets suffer less disintegration because their strength has been improved.

3.3. Effects of Multiple Calcination/Carbonation Cycles. 3.3.1. Effects of Multiple Calcinations. LCF and LC were used to carry out 5 and 11 calcination/carbonation cycles. LF was not included in these tests because of its poorer strength. To facilitate the subsequent attrition test, the final step of the last cycle was calcination. The size range of the samples on which the impact tests were performed was 0.31–0.5 mm. Figure 6a reports the breakage probabilities of samples subjected to different numbers of cycles. The breakage probabilities of LC and LCF at impact velocities in the range of 5–34 m/s were 1.3–10.9% and 3.5–22.9%, respectively, after the first calcination; 0.9–9.2% and 3.0–18.7%, respectively, after the fifth calcination; and 0.7–6.1% and 2.1–14.0%, respectively, after the 11th calcination. Figure 6b reports the corresponding reductions of the Sauter mean diameters of LCF and LC. They decreased from 4.1–22.4% and 0.6–8.6%, respectively, after the first calcination to 0.8–10.4% and 0.2–4.9%, respectively, after the 11th calcination. It can be concluded that the attrition resistance was gradually enhanced after multiple calcinations.

Carbonation causes swelling of CaO as a result of the change in the molar volume from 16.9 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for CaO to 37.0 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for CaCO$_3$. Chemical stress was obvious at the surface of the particles that experienced swelling. The calcination of CaCO$_3$ resulted in excess internal pressure stress that was greater than the critical stress of the sorbents. Therefore, cracks inside and at the surfaces of the particles emerged as effects of iterative stress. Significantly increased cracking was observed in SEM images, as presented in the next section. According to Griffith’s theory, stress concentration will occur near cracks in solid materials. Therefore, particles with more cracks will suffer more attrition. Also, the porosity caused by the decomposition of...
Figure 8. Effects of multiple calcinations on different samples: (a) breakage probability, (b) reduction of Sauter mean diameter (carbonation at 650 °C for 20 min in 15% CO₂, calcination at 850 °C for 15 min in pure N₂).

Figure 9 shows the surface morphology of LCF after the 11th calcination. Compared with Figure 6, the grains have merged together, and the number of porous channels has decreased. It should be noted that this property could be caused by the combined effects of sintering and further CaO/Al₂O₃ reaction. A more compact structure forms, and it is more difficult to split this structure into smaller particles. The strength improvement resulting from the merging of grains and the decreasing of cracks means that the effects of grains merging are more important after multiple cycles because the total attrition resistance is enhanced.

3.3.2. Effects of Multiple Carbonations. Figure 10a shows the breakage probabilities of samples after the first and 11th carbonations; the corresponding reductions of the Sauter mean diameter can be seen in Figure 10b. Compared to the results in Figure 5a, the breakage probabilities in the range of 5–54 m/s are 1.0–9.2%, 4.3–29.7%, and 3.1–19.1% for LCF, LE, and LCF, respectively, after the first carbonation, which are lower than the results obtained after calcination of 1.5–10.9%, 6.8–46.1%, and 3.5–22.9%, respectively. Recarbonation is effective in reducing the propensity toward impact damage of the sorbent because the CaCO₃ shell can resist impact and the hardness of CaCO₃ is greater than that of CaO.²⁷

The mechanical resistance of the samples was found to be enhanced after multiple carbonations. The reason is also the competitive effects between grains merging and cracks increasing.

Figure 11 shows the probability density functions of the size of LCF after the 11th carbonation and 11th calcination. The mass fraction of particles in the feeding size range decreased, whereas the particle fractions in the size ranges of 0.3–0.35 and 0.15–0.35 mm increased slightly. The breakage pattern of LCF after the 11th carbonation and calcination was mostly chipping (producing a few fragments with sizes much smaller than those of the mother particles) as a result of the enhanced strength caused by grain merging.

3.4. Modeling of Attrition. Rittinger’s surface theory²⁶ indicates that the generation of new surface area of impacted particles is proportional to the total kinetic energy consumed. Chen et al.²⁶ reported that the impact attrition of limestone particles follows this theory and proposed a three-parameter attrition model of the form

\[
J = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{D}{d} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{v}{v_i} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{c_i}{c} \right)^{3/2}
\]
\[ \frac{d_L}{d_n} = k_{imp} v^N + \gamma \]  

where \( d_L \) is average diameter of feed particles, \( d_n \) is the Sauter mean size after impact, \( k_{imp} \) is a prefactor that can reflect the strength of particles, \( v \) is the impact velocity of the particles, \( N \) is the number of impact cycles, and \( \gamma \) is a constant.

In this work, \( N = 1 \) because impact cycles were not used. Figure 12 shows the relationship between \( d_L/d_n \) and the square of the impact velocity for LCF before and after different reactions. It can be seen that \( d_L/d_n \) varies almost linearly with \( v^2 \). Least-squares fitting was performed based on eq 6, and the model coefficients of impact attrition are listed in Table 3. A confidence of 95% was employed in calculating the confidence intervals of the parameters.

The correlation coefficients \( R^2 \) from Table 3 for all conditions have an average value of 0.9747. This means that the impact attrition of both raw LCF and LCF after calculation and multiple cycles of calculation also conforms to Rittinger’s surface theory. The average diameter of the particles after impact is unknown, but velocities can be calculated by eq 6, and the accuracy can be guaranteed. According to Chen et al.’s research, smaller values of \( k_{imp} \) reflect higher particle strengths. Therefore, the raw pellets had the highest strength because their value of \( k_{imp} \) was \( 0.516 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3 / \text{g} \), which decreased after calculation to \( 2.75 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3 / \text{g} \). The \( k_{imp} \) value of the pellets after the 11th calculation was \( 0.935 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3 / \text{g} \), which means that the strength had increased.

### Table 3. Model Coefficients of Impact Attrition for LCF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( k_{imp} \times 10^3 ) (\text{cm}^3 / \text{g})</th>
<th>( v^2 ) ( (\text{cm})^2 )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
<th>Confidence Intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>raw LCF</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>1.0074</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0059 )</td>
<td>0.9956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after calcination at 850 °C</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.0430</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0102 )</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after calcination at 950 °C</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.0871</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0083 )</td>
<td>0.9926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after 1st calcination</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.0113</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0070 )</td>
<td>0.9952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after 5th calcination</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.0245</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0077 )</td>
<td>0.9942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after 11th calcination</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>1.0098</td>
<td>( \pm 0.0049 )</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*95% confidence.

### 3.5. Microstructure Analysis

Figure 13 presents the surface area and pore volume distributions of sorbents during...
Figure 14. SEM images of sorbents after calcination: (a,b) LF after the first calcination at 850 °C; (c) LCF after the first calcination at 850 °C; (d) LCF after the first calcination at 950 °C; (e) LCF after the 11th calcination at 850 °C; (f) PC after the 11th calcination at 850 °C (carbonation at 650 °C for 20 min in 13% CO₂ in the cycle test).

multiple cycles. According to Figure 13a, even though LC had a higher Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area in the initial cycle, it suffered a more severe surface area decrease after multiple reactions. Figure 13b,c suggests that the general pore volumes of both samples decreased after multiple reactions. The pore volume of LC reached a much lower level after the 11th cycle than that of LCF, which exhibited less of a decrease. Mesopores (2–50 nm) are beneficial for CO₂ capture, so the lower change in pore volume for LCF suggests a slower decay of the CO₂ capture capacity after multiple cycles than for LC, which is consistent with the results reported in section 3.1.

Although pore diameters greater than 300 nm cannot be assessed by N₂ absorption/desorption, it is apparent that grain merging occurred inside the pellets after long durations of high-temperature reaction because the CO₂ capacity declined. Also, the decrease of the porosity produced more compact structures, which can enhance the mechanical resistance.

SEM images of the sorbent pellets are presented in Figure 14. Figure 14a indicates that LF has a rugged and unconsolidated surface after the first calcination at 850 °C. Figure 14b shows that LF has ample pores of large size caused by burning out of the biomass, so the connections between grains are weak. This characteristic results in a low level of strength. The effect caused by adding cement to LF is prominent, as shown in Figure 14c. Unlike LF, the calcined LCF has a smooth and compact surface, which can improve attrition resistance. Comparison of panels c and d of Figure 14 shows that larger and more numerous cracks were generated on the sorbent surface after the first calcination at 950 °C. By comparing panels c and e of Figure 14, one can see that more cracks emerged and the average size increased after the 11th calcination; cracks are unfavorable for reducing attrition because stress concentration and breakage occur along such cracks. Biomass addition was not found to influence the morphology significantly, because the calcined LC and LCF samples showed the same surface properties; see Figure 14e,f.

4. CONCLUSIONS

All of the modified lime-based sorbents for high-temperature CO₂ capture prepared in this work were granulated with a mechanical pelletizer. Impact tests were performed on both fresh materials and the materials after multiple CaL cycles. The results showed that the introduction of biomass was detrimental to the fragmentation resistance of the pellets, but the addition of cement could enhance the otherwise unsatisfactory mechanical strength. Smaller-sized particles were found to be more resistant to fragmentation. The attrition resistances of the sorbents declined significantly after higher-temperature calcination but were elevated after re-carbonation and multiple cycles. A change in the major particle failure pattern from “splitting” after calcination to “chipping” after multiple cycles was observed. The impact attrition of LCF was also found to conform to the theory that the area of new surface generated is approximately proportional to the impact kinetic energy. Morphology analysis of the particles indicated that cracks caused by chemical stress during calcination and multiple cycles will impair the mechanical resistance, whereas compact structures with less porosity can endure higher impact loads.
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Appendix C RECONSTRUCTION OF THE 25KWTH CALCIUM LOOPING PILOT PLANT

Cranfield’s 25 kWth pilot plant underwent several modifications during the course of this research project. These upgrades are shown in Figure_Apx C-1.

a) Replacement of the carbonator and calciner gas burners by electrical preheating lines (Figure_Apx C-2, Figure_Apx C-3, Figure_Apx C-4 and Figure_Apx C-5) in order to provide a heated mixture of any desired gas composition to both reactors. These modifications broaden the use of this unit, which enable the simulation of many industrial and power generation sectors. Also, higher O₂ (for the calciner)/CO₂ (for carbonator/calciner) ratios can be used in the feed gas.

b) Deepening the bubbling fluidized bed of the calciner to ensure proper bubbling regime and a longer sorbent residence time. The calciner distributor was modified to improve the fluidization behaviour. Moreover, a high-temperature cyclone was added to the calciner’s exhaust line (Figure_Apx C-6) so as to collect material that is carried over from the bubbling fluidised bed.

c) Additional electrical heating (8 kWth) was installed around the calciner in order to achieve the temperature needed for the fuel ignition (above 650°C).

d) The fuel feeding system was put in place in order to directly inject the fuel into the calciner.

e) Trace heating (to 500°C) of the loop seals was installed combined with the preheating of nitrogen (to 200°C), which fluidises the circulating material.
Figure_Apx C-1: 25 kWth pilot plant before (a) and after (b) reconstruction
Figure_Apx C-2: Preheating tubes for calciner (up) and carbonator (down). Several inputs were included to enable us to inject steam and pollutants (SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}) into a hot gas of both calciner and carbonator.
Figure_Apx C-3: Reconstructed calciner and fabricated new parts with new control unit and furnaces ready to be transported to Cranfield University

Figure_Apx C-4: Installation of the calciner with preheating line (top). Preheating line of the carbonator with installed electrical furnaces (bottom)
Figure_Apx C-5: Preheating line of the calciner, preheating line of the carbonator, wires from the control unit

Figure_Apx C-6: New hot cyclone being installed at the exit of the calciner to collect fines carried-over from the bubbling–fluidised-bed reactor
Appendix D COMMISSIONING OF THE CALCIUM LOOPING PILOT PLANT

The first hot test in the upgraded unit was performed in order to reach the desired temperatures in the reactor needed for the calcium looping process (more than 900°C in the calciner and 650°C in the carbonator). This first heating is depicted in the following charts (Figure_Apx D-1).
Unfortunately, when the temperature of 700°C was reached in the rig, a cracking noise could be heard and gas leaking from the preheating tubes was detected. When the rig cooled down to ambient temperature, it was discovered that a discharge of electricity from the heating elements to the steel tube of the preheating occurred. This phenomenon melted a hole into the stainless steel tube (AISI 310). On closer inspection, hot spots were found under all the preheating furnaces (Figure_Apx D-2, Figure_Apx D-3 and Figure_Apx D-4).
Figure_Apx D-2: The preheating unit of the carbonator after the first hot test. The hole can be seen in second segment on closer inspection.

Figure_Apx D-3: Hot spots (left) and the melted hole into the stainless steel 310 (right)
The damaged half of the furnace B was sent back to the supplier (Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals in Prague) and the steel tube was repaired in a workshop in Cranfield University. Together with the supplier, the cause of the discharged was identified in the use of inappropriate refractory mastic (ALU-145 with composition 43% Al₂O₃, 52 % SiO₂ and 0.6% Fe₂O₃), which connects the segments of the furnaces and which becomes electrically conductive at temperatures above 700°C. This was confirmed by the measurement of its conductivity in a laboratory furnace (Figure_Apx D-5).
To prevent further problems, several measures were applied.

a) The control software was modified to be able to set different (lower) safety temperatures for different furnaces.

b) The refractory mastic was partly removed (routed) from the furnaces not to be in touch with the steel tube (Figure_Apx D-6).

c) A support for the furnaces was installed to prevent their contact with the steel tubes.

d) Under three furnaces (C, F, G) with safety temperature higher than 600°C needed, a special mica sheet insulation (COGEBI Flexible Cogemicanite 132) was installed to prevent any possible further electrical discharge (Figure_Apx D-7).

Figure_Apx D-6: Removal of refractory mastic on critical parts of the furnaces
Figure_Apx D-7: Wrapping the calciner by mica sheet (COGEBI Flexible Cogemicanite 132) to protect it from potential electrical discharge from the electrical furnace

After applying the above-stated precautions, the heating was again tested. It was concluded that the necessary temperatures in both reactors can be achieved (the temperature profile of the carbonator is depicted in Figure_Apx D-8). Unfortunately, there was another electrical discharge, this time the electricity melted safety thermocouple of the heater G due to earthing issues (placed around the calciner). This issue caused not only the destruction of the thermocouple, but also the destruction of one half of the furnace (Figure_Apx D-9), which was returned to the supplier to be repaired.
Figure_Apx D-8: Temperatures in the carbonator during the test 08/06/2016

Figure_Apx D-9: The damage of heater G by electrical discharge from heating elements to the safety thermocouple

After the installation of the fixed heater G, the heating was tested with silica sand as model non-reactive fluidised bed material. The aim was to observe its circulation between the two reactors and the performance of the loop seals.
Figure_Apx D-10: Temperatures of the preheating lines and of the calciner. TA–TG are the temperatures measured inside the rig and TAs–TGs are the safety temperatures measured on the electrical furnaces

Although the natural gas combustion temperature was not reached in the calciner, it was proven that we can adequately preheat the gases for calciner and carbonator and to carry out continuous circulation of a hot inert material between the two reactors.

The rig’s thermal insulation was improved (mainly the calciner and the connection lines between the two reactors) and in the next test this temperature was reached in order to start the NG combustion (>650°C). By the combustion of NG, silica sand was heated in the calciner to temperatures close to 900°C and the material was circulated between the two reactors and it was demonstrated that the rig can reach the desired temperatures for the calcium looping process (Figure_Apx D-11).
Figure_Apx D-11: First combustion of NG in the fluidised bed of the calciner. The noise in the data in the first chart (TG) was caused by the induction from the electrical heating of the calciner. The problem was solved by earthing the appropriate thermocouple.
After additional thermal insulation and fixing some of the issues encountered in the previous run (enabling higher supply of oxygen), another test was performed with silica sand. The calciner was heated by electrical heating to 800°C with fluidising air (65 Ln/min) and added 2 L (3,160 g) of silica sand to the calciner. After the sand was heated up to 650°C, NG (5 Ln/min, 3 kW) was added to the fluidised bed of the calciner and the sand was further heated by its combustion. After reaching almost 900°C in the FB, more sand (2x0.5 L + 0.3 L) was added progressively with care not to stop the combustion process by cooling down the FB too much. The flow of N₂ into the carbonator was increased to 320 Ln/min, which corresponds to a velocity of 2.3 m/s at 650°C. A successful circulation of the hot silica sand was observed and the temperature of 900°C could easily be maintained in the calciner. Afterwards, a first batch of limestone (0.5 L, 620g) was added to the calciner and calcination was observed. Additional 1.5 L of limestone was let to calcine and a first trial of the carbon capture in the carbonator was performed (with initial 15% CO₂) and the conditions in the calciner were switched to oxyfuel conditions (with 21% O₂, 79% CO₂).

Because of a low quantity of limestone and its small size fraction, the efficiency of the CO₂ capture was very low, ca. 15%, but the test was considered as a successful first trial of a newly reconstructed rig (Figure_Apx D-12).
Figure Apx D-12: First successful trial
Appendix E SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR CHAPTERS 6 AND 7

In this appendix supplementary data for the experiments depicted in Chapters 6 and 7 can be found. These figures show the temperatures, pressures and gas compositions in both reactors and complement the results discussed in the aforementioned Chapters. The figures correspond to experiments described, in that order, in the following sections of this thesis: 6.3.1; 6.3.2; 6.3.3 and 7.3.1; 7.3.2; 7.3.3.

Figure_Apx E-1: Temperature in the fluidised bed and gas concentration at the outlet of the experiment depicted in 6.3.1
Figure_Apx E-2: CO$_2$ concentration at the outlet of the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 6.3.1

Figure_Apx E-3: Temperatures in the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 6.3.1
Figure_Apx E-4: Temperatures in the loop-seal of the experiment depicted in 6.3.1

Figure_Apx E-5: Pressure in both fluidised beds of the experiment depicted in 6.3.1
Figure_Apx E-6: Temperature in the fluidised bed and gas concentration at the outlet of the experiment depicted in 6.3.2
Figure_Apx E-7: CO$_2$ concentration at the outlet of the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 6.3.2

Figure_Apx E-8: Temperatures in the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 6.3.2
Figure_Apx E-9: Temperatures in the loop-seal of the experiment depicted in 6.3.2

Figure_Apx E-10: Pressure in both fluidised beds of the experiment depicted in 6.3.2
Figure_Apx E-11: CO₂ concentration at the outlet of the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 6.3.3 and 7.3.1

Figure_Apx E-12: Temperatures in the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 6.3.3 and 7.3.1
Figure_Apx E-13: Temperatures in the loop-seal of the experiment depicted in 6.3.3 and 7.3.1

Figure_Apx E-14: Pressure in both fluidised beds of the experiment depicted in 6.3.3 and 7.3.1
Figure_Apx E-15: CO₂ concentration at the outlet of the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 7.3.2

Figure_Apx E-16: Temperatures in the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 7.3.2
Figure_Apx E-17: Temperatures in the loop-seal of the experiment depicted in 7.3.2

Figure_Apx E-18: Pressure in both fluidised beds of the experiment depicted in 7.3.2
Figure_Apx E-19: CO₂ concentration at the outlet of the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 7.3.3

Figure_Apx E-20: Temperatures in the carbonator of the experiment depicted in 7.3.3
Figure Apx E-21: Temperatures in the loop-seal of the experiment depicted in 7.3.3

Figure Apx E-22: Pressure in both fluidised beds of the experiment depicted in 7.3.3