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Highlights

- The effect of HCl and steam addition during carbonation and calcination using a Canadian limestone was investigated.
- The experiments were conducted using thermogravimetric analysis and fixed bed reactor testing.
- The presence of HCl was found to increase sorbent reactivity towards CO$_2$ capture when steam was injected during calcination.
- Full sorbent dechlorination can be expected under typical oxy-fuel calcination conditions.
- The decomposition of CaCl$_2$ to CaO during calcination caused changes in the particle morphology, which in turn decreased the CO$_2$ diffusional resistance during carbonation.

Abstract

Calcium looping is CO$_2$ capture technology that is considered to be technically feasible at an industrial scale using a variety of fuels such as natural gas, coals, biomass, refuse derived fuels, and biofuels. Unfortunately, many of these fuels contain significant quantities of chlorine which principally converts to gaseous HCl during combustion or gasification. To date, very few studies have examined the
effect of HCl on sorbent CO₂ capture performance using calcium-based sorbents under realistic carbonation and calcination conditions. In this work, experiments were conducted using thermogravimetric analysis and fixed bed reactor testing to determine the effect of HCl addition during carbonation and calcination over repeated cycles using a Canadian limestone. The presence of HCl was found to increase sorbent reactivity towards CO₂ capture when steam was injected during calcination. The resulting decomposition of CaCl₂ to CaO during calcination caused changes in the particle morphology, which in turn decreased the CO₂ diffusional resistance during carbonation. Fixed bed test results provided confirmation of full sorbent dechlorination under typical oxy-fuel calcination conditions. It was shown that both particle surface area and pore volume were higher during tests where HCl was present during carbonation and that greater than 99% HCl capture could be achieved without adversely affecting sorbent CO₂ capture performance when steam was present during both carbonation and calcination.
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1. Introduction

CO$_2$ emissions from fossil-fuelled power stations are believed to be a major contributor to global warming and climate change (Pachauri & Reisinger, 2007) (IEA, 2010). One method for reducing CO$_2$ emissions would be via a carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technology (Boot-Handford, et al., 2014). The rationale for the use of CCS on fossil-fuelled power plants is that, when deployed with other technologies (e.g., renewables), the overall cost of electricity would be minimized. Since the use of renewable sources of electricity (e.g., wind, solar, etc.) are intermittent, the implementation of CCS to fossil-fueled power plants would reduce the need for large-scale energy storage systems (IEA, 2014) (Fennell & Anthony, 2015). To date, many methods for CO$_2$ capture have been investigated (Abu-Zahra, Schneiders, Niederer, Feron, & Versteeg, 2007) (Elwell & Grant, 2006) (Fauth, Frommell, Hoffman, Reasbeck, & Pennline, 2005) (Resnik, Yeh, & Pennline, 2004) (Wall, Stanger, & Santos, 2011) (Yang, et al., 2008) (Hack, et al., 2012) (Suraniti, Nsakala, & Darling, 2009) (Ryden & Lyngfelt, 2006), with calcium looping emerging as one of the most promising technologies for large-scale industrial applications.

The calcium looping process is based on the reversible reaction between CaO and CO$_2$ (Shimizu, et al., 1999) and utilizes a dual-fluidized bed reactor system. CFB and/or BFB systems are particularly suited from processing large quantities of solids and reactions between gas and solid streams are significantly enhanced by superior mixing that maximizes mass and heat transfer (Davidson, Clift, & Harrision, 1985). Therefore, at appropriate temperatures and pressures, a flue gas stream can be decarbonized by being passed through a fluidized bed of calcined limestone or dolomite (CaO) to form CaCO$_3$ (carbonation, Equation 1). The carbonated material is then transferred to a second fluidized bed (calciner) where, at higher temperatures and/or lower pressures, the calcination reaction occurs (Equation 2). The resulting gas stream is concentrated CO$_2$ (>90%), which is then suitable for liquefaction and ultimate sequestration. The heat of regeneration is supplied by the combustion of a fuel, such as coal or biomass, with high purity O$_2$ and a diluent; recycle flue gas or steam. The calciner is operated as an oxy-fuel CFBC and the high temperature utilized for regeneration allows for the production of high quality steam for electrical power generation.

\[
\text{CaO(s)} + \text{CO}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(s) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CaCO}_3(s) \rightarrow \text{CaO(s)} + \text{CO}_2(g) \quad (2)
\]


Many bench-scale studies have demonstrated that naturally occurring limestone sorbents exhibit a rapid decay in CO$_2$ capture reactivity with increasing calcination/carbonation cycle number attributed mainly to thermal sintering of the particles (Grasa & Abanades, 2006) (Chrissafis, 2007)

The CO$_2$ carrying capacity of calcium based sorbents is not only reduced by thermal sintering, but also by competitive reactions with other pollutants commonly found in combustion flue gas. One of which is SO$_2$, which at normal combustor operating conditions irreversibly reacts with both CaO and CaCO$_3$ to form CaSO$_4$, limiting carbonation conversions due to pore blockage (Borgwardt, 1970) (Tullin & Ljungstrom, 1989) (Sun, Grace, Lim, & Anthony, 2006) (Arias, Cordero, Alonso, & Abanades, 2012). Many fuels such as coal, biomass, refuse derived fuel (RDF) and biofuels contain significant quantities of chlorine which principally converts to gaseous HCl during combustion or gasification. Authors Partanen et al. (2005) and Chyang et al. (2009) suggested that flue gas concentrations of HCl could reach upwards of 2000 ppm, which requires capture in order to avoid corrosion and fouling of boiler components and other downstream equipment. Calcium-based sorbents are commonly used for HCl capture in combustion or gasification systems, as shown in Equations 3 and 4.

$$\text{CaO}(s) + 2\text{HCl}(g) \leftrightarrow \text{CaCl}_2(s,l) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{CaCO}_3(s) + 2\text{HCl}(g) \leftrightarrow \text{CaCl}_2(s,l) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) + \text{CO}_2(g) \quad (4)$$

It has been shown that the optimum HCl capture capacity using CaO is achieved in the temperature range of 500-650°C (Bie, Li, & Yang, 2005) (Chyang, Han, & Zhong, 2009), with the highest capture capacity reported at 550°C (Sun, Yu, Li, Li, & Fan, 2011). Lower conversions reported at temperatures above 800°C have been attributed to the formation of molten CaCl$_2$ product phases. Therefore, it would be expected that both HCl and CO$_2$ capture would occur simultaneously with calcium-based sorbents in the calcium looping process, since typical carbonation temperatures are in the range of 650-720°C (Manovic & Anthony, 2008) (Grasa, Abanades, Alonso, & Gonzalez, 2008). Several reported investigations have examined the effect of gas atmosphere on HCl capture by calcium-based sorbents. Partanen et al. (2005) discovered that the presence of CO$_2$ resulted in a higher HCl capture capacity, although it should be noted that both Duo et al. (1996) and Chin et al. (2005) observed the opposite effect. This decrease in HCl capture capacity was attributed to an increase in diffusion resistance due to CO$_2$ reacting with CaO forming CaCO$_3$. Another major species of interest is steam, which would be present during both carbonation and calcination processes associated with calcium looping. The presence of steam has been shown to decrease the HCl capture capacity of calcium-based sorbents by as much as 50%, with this effect becoming more prominent at higher temperatures (>650°C) (Lawrence & Bu, 2000) (Partanen, Backman, Backman, & Hupa, 2005).

To date, almost all investigations just focus on the effect of CO$_2$ and steam on HCl capture and do not consider the impact of HCl on CO$_2$ capture using calcium-based sorbents. In these cases the ultimate goal is to maximize HCl capture by forming CaCl$_2$, which is subsequently removed from the
process and not repeatedly cycled as is the case with calcium looping. Wang et al. (2014) addressed this issue by performing multiple calcination/carbonation cycles in the presence of HCl at various calcination and carbonation conditions. Although this gave significant insight into the effect of HCl on the calcium looping process as a whole, the presence of steam during calcination and carbonation was not considered. Both calcination and carbonation environments would contain some level of steam, which by examining Equations 3 and 4, should significantly impact the level of CaCl$_2$ formation over repeated cycles. In this present work, the cyclic CO$_2$ capture behavior of limestone in the presence of HCl during calcination and/or carbonation under more realistic conditions was examined via thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). In addition, fixed bed reactor testing was performed to quantify the level of chlorination/de-chlorination expected in a real dual fluidized bed reactor system.

2. Experimental Details

2.1. Thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) and fixed bed reactor system

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) and fixed bed reactor systems used for this experimental test work. The TGA system was comprised of a hang-down tube reactor column made of Inconel 625 alloy with an inner diameter of 0.025m. Located centrally within the hang-down tube was a platinum sample tray suspended from a Cahn 1000 Electrobalance with a capacity of 100g and a sensitivity of 1µg. Weight measurements were sent from the balance to the control box, which was controlled by the use of customized Honeywell software. The fixed bed reactor was fabricated out of Hastelloy HT and was outfitted with a 0.013m custom ceramic tube insert capable of holding samples of up to 10g in size. Samples were held in place via high temperature ceramic meshing.

Gas cylinders containing N$_2$, CO$_2$, and HCl (balance CO$_2$) were mixed before entering the inlets to both the TGA and fixed bed reactor. Brooks mass flow controllers (0-100 and 500NmL/min) were used to accurately control the gas flow rates to obtain desired feed gas concentrations. Steam was fed to both systems via a Harvard PHD 440 syringe pump and steam generator. Feed lines containing both steam and mixed gas were insulated and electrically heat-traced to ensure no steam condensation occurred. A purge gas (N$_2$ in all cases) was top-fed through the balance of the TGA to ensure the sensitive balance electronics did not overheat and that the reaction gases did not enter the balance housing. Purge gas was fed to the outlet of the fixed bed reactor for sample gas dilution. Outlet gases from both systems were subsequently cooled, to condense steam, passed through a moisture trap, and finally vented to the atmosphere. Both reactors were heated by means of electric heaters located centrally around the sample holders and can reach temperatures up to 950°C. System temperatures were monitored using K-type thermocouples, as shown in Figure 1. Honeywell software was used to control all gas flow rates, electric heaters, and heat-tracing and was operated in automatic mode to allow for changes in operating condition set points. All temperatures, flow rates, and weight measurements were recorded every 6s and stored in Microsoft Excel files. During fixed bed reactor testing, a portable GASMET Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer was employed to measure the outlet gas composition (CO$_2$, H$_2$O, and HCl).
2.2. Experimental test conditions

The CaO source for all TGA and fixed bed experiments was a naturally occurring Canadian limestone (Cadomin). The limestone was crushed and sieved to a single particle size range of 250 to 425µm in diameter, as this represents a common particle size range used during dual fluidized bed reactor operation (Ridha, Lu, Symonds, & Champagne, 2016) (Champagne, Lu, Symonds, Macchi, & Anthony, 2016). Approximately 20 to 25mg and 5g of sorbent were used for TGA and fixed bed reactor experiments, respectively. In the case of the TGA testing, this particular sample size was chosen in order to lower potential variance in chemical composition between runs, as individual particles can display different CaO/MgO ratios. Larger fixed bed samples were utilized to allow for post-run SEM/EDX and pore structure analyses.

To determine the effect of HCl on the CO₂ capture behavior of limestone, experiments were performed with and without HCl present during both carbonation and calcination over repeated cycles. The rationale is that if an existing power generation facility utilizing a high chlorine fuel is retrofitted for post-combustion CO₂ capture using calcium looping, the same fuel would most likely be adopted as the heat source for sorbent regeneration, since the fuel is already on-site. Since the flue gas entering the carbonator would contain some level of steam, its impact on CO₂ capture and chlorination was also tested, both with and without HCl present. In each case, steam was present during calcination as would be the case in any real combustion system, except for two runs where a comparison with a pure N₂ environment was performed. For all TGA tests, a total gas flow rate of 100 NmL/min of reaction gas was used and all tests were performed at atmospheric pressure. Based on previous testing, it has been shown that these conditions limit gas diffusion limitations from the bulk gas to the particle surface (Symonds, Lu, Macchi, Hughes, & Anthony, 2009). The total gas flow rate was increased to 200
NmL/min for fixed bed reactor tests (1) to achieve a relatively quick CO₂ breakthrough and (2) to provide enough sample gas to facilitate the usage of the portable FTIR spectrometer.

As determined by Garcia-Lablano et al. (2002), the equilibrium partial pressure of CO₂, \( P_{CO_2, eq} \), over CaO at a temperature, \( T \), is given by the following equation:

\[
P_{CO_2, eq} = 4.137 \times 10^{12} \exp \left( -\frac{20474}{T} \right)
\]  

(5)

Based on Equation 5, the minimum temperature to allow for calcination of the sorbent was determined. It was decided to operate at a temperature slightly higher than equilibrium to ensure a rapid and complete calcination. Carbonation was performed at 650°C for all tests, since it has been determined to be the preferred temperature for CO₂ capture using calcium-based sorbents (Manovic & Anthony, 2008) and represents a greater than 90% CO₂ capture efficiency based on a typical coal-combustion flue gas composition (~15 vol%). The specific experimental conditions for all TGA and fixed bed reactor tests are presented in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

**Table 1: Experimental conditions – TGA tests.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Carbonation Conditions</th>
<th>Calcination Conditions</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 100 mol% N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/2000 ppm HCl/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 100 mol% N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/2000 ppm HCl/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/2000 ppm HCl/balance N₂</td>
<td>10 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Experimental conditions – fixed bed reactor tests.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Carbonation Conditions</th>
<th>Calcination Conditions</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/balance N₂</td>
<td>20 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/2000 ppm HCl/balance N₂</td>
<td>20 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>20 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15 mol% H₂O/balance N₂</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 mins, 650°C, 15 mol% CO₂/15 mol%</td>
<td>20 mins, 870°C, 60 mol% CO₂/15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the set of TGA experiments, the carbonation conversion was calculated based on the level of mass change recorded during the calcination step for each cycle, which assumes that all decreases in mass were attributed to the decomposition of CaCO₃ to CaO (Equation 2). It should be noted that all carbonation conversions were determined based on the mass change after 20 min of carbonation. Therefore, the carbonation conversion for each cycle, $X_{\text{carb},N}$, was calculated as follows:

$$X_{\text{carb},N} = \frac{m_{\text{carb},N} - m_{\text{calc},N}}{m_0} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CaO}}}{M_{\text{CO}_2}} \cdot 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $N$ is the carbonation / calcination cycle number, $m_{\text{carb}}$ is the mass of sample after carbonation, $m_{\text{calc}}$ is the mass of sample after calcination, $m_0$ is the initial sample mass, $\beta$ is the content of CaO in the initial sample, $M_{\text{CaO}}$ is the molar mass of CaO, and $M_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the molar mass of CO₂. Since the melting point of anhydrous CaCl₂ is between 772-775°C (Pradyot, 2003), any carbonation conditions that form CaCl₂ would have the potential for evaporation and sublimation during calcination. A series of preliminary tests were conducted using chlorinated limestone at 870°C under an atmospheric pressure N₂ environment to quantify the level of CaCl₂ evaporation expected during calcination. From these results it was determined that, for such a short calcination period (10 minutes), the level of CaCl₂ mass loss was negligible. This outcome is in agreement with the high temperature HCl absorption work performed by Chyang (2009), which showed a CaCl₂ mass loss of less than 0.3% over a 10 minute period at temperatures similar to this work. Consequently, the chlorination conversion for each cycle, $X_{\text{chlor},N}$, could be determined via the following equation:

$$X_{\text{chlor},N} = \frac{m_{\text{calc},N} - m_0}{m_0} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CaO}}}{M_{\text{CaCl}_2} - M_{\text{CO}_2}} \cdot 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $M_{\text{CaCl}_2}$ is the molar mass of CaCl₂.

The carbonation and chlorination conversions for the fixed bed experiments were calculated using the difference between the measured inlet and outlet flowrates of CO₂ and HCl, respectively, over the entire carbonation period, $P_{\text{carb}}$. Taking into account the initial mass and purity of the sample, the fixed bed conversions for each cycle were determined as follows:

$$X_{\text{carb},N} = \frac{\text{Mass CO}_2 \text{In} - \text{Mass CO}_2 \text{Out}}{m_0} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CaO}}}{M_{\text{CO}_2}} \cdot 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

$$X_{\text{carb},N} = \rho_{\text{CO}_2} \cdot \left(\frac{F_{\text{CO}_2,0} \cdot P_{\text{carb}} - (F_{\text{CO}_2,0} \cdot P_{\text{carb}})}{m_0} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CaO}}}{M_{\text{CO}_2}} \cdot 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

$$X_{\text{chlor},N} = \rho_{\text{HCl}} \cdot \left(\frac{F_{\text{HCl},0} \cdot P_{\text{carb}} - (F_{\text{HCl},0} \cdot P_{\text{carb}})}{m_0} \cdot \frac{M_{\text{CaO}}}{2M_{\text{HCl}}} \cdot 100$$

where $\rho_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the density of CO₂, $\rho_{\text{HCl}}$ is the density of HCl, $F_{\text{CO}_2,0}$ is the inlet flowrate of CO₂, $F_{\text{HCl},0}$ is the inlet flowrate of HCl, $F_{\text{Outlet}}$ is the total outlet flowrate, $C_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the outlet concentration of CO₂, $C_{\text{HCl}}$ is the outlet concentration of HCl, and $M_{\text{HCl}}$ is the molar mass of HCl.
2.3. Thermodynamic equilibrium predictions

FactSage software predicts equilibrium solid-liquid-gas phases and compositions based on Gibbs free energy minimization (Bale, et al., 2014). Gibbs free energy is calculated from optimized models with parameters based on empirical data with various compositions, temperatures and pressures. This information is contained within compound and solution databases. For thermodynamic equilibrium predictions in this study, the FactSage 6.4 Reaction, Equilib and Phase Diagram modules were utilized with the FactPS and FToxid databases. All gas, liquid and solid compounds were considered. For compounds found in both the FactPS and FToxid databases, preference was given to the FToxid database to have better thermodynamic consistency with the solution phases data. Note that by default some solid and liquid compound phases are not selected and had to be manually selected. All possible solution phases, neglecting immiscibility, were included in the calculations.

2.4. Sorbent characterization

Physical and chemical characterizations of the sorbent, before and after testing, were carried out using several different analysis techniques. The composition of the raw sorbent was obtained by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) following ASTM D4326 and is provided in Table 3. Specific surface areas and pore volumes were obtained from N\textsubscript{2} adsorption measurements at -196°C using a porosity analyzer (Micromeritics TriStar II-3020). Surface area was obtained from adsorption data using the multiple point Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model, while pore volume was derived from the corresponding desorption data using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model (Lowell, Thomas, & Thommes, 2004). The surfaces of particles were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi S-3400 N). Localized elemental composition was obtained with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometry on the same instrument. For both SEM and EDX analyses, the sample was coated with a thin layer of palladium (~2 nm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SiO\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>TiO\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>Na\textsubscript{2}O</th>
<th>K\textsubscript{2}O</th>
<th>LOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50.64</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>43.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* LOF = Loss on fusion

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of HCl on CO\textsubscript{2} capture without the presence of steam

It is well documented that at temperatures around 650°C, both carbonation (Equation 1) and chlorination (Equation 3) of CaO will separately proceed to form CaCO\textsubscript{3} and CaCl\textsubscript{2}, respectively. What is less understood is how the level of conversion of one species will affect the other, how this will affect the rate of CO\textsubscript{2} uptake, and what can be expected in terms of cyclic CO\textsubscript{2} capture stability over repeated cycles. A preliminary series of tests were conducted to examine the impact of the presence of HCl on the carbonation conversion over repeated cycles to provide baseline data. In these tests, calcination was performed under pure N\textsubscript{2} at 870°C and atmospheric pressure to (1) determine the extent of CaCl\textsubscript{2} evaporation and (2) compare the cyclic CO\textsubscript{2} stability to previous studies.

As indicated above, no measurable level of CaCl\textsubscript{2} evaporation was observed over the 20-minute calcination period. This conclusion was based on the fact that after calcination of CaCO\textsubscript{3} to CaO had
completed, no further weight loss was measured. It should be mentioned that CaCl$_2$ evaporation could have taken place during the calcination period, but this is very unlikely since (1) the calcination reaction was completed within a very short period (<2 minutes) and (2) no CaCl$_2$ deposits were found in the TGA system post-run, which would be expected if evaporation had occurred (Chyang, Han, & Zhong, 2009).

The carbonation conversions of the Cadomin limestone after repeated cycles in the absence and presence of HCl are shown in Figure 2. Over all 10 cycles, the carbonation conversions were lower when HCl was present, with the difference in conversion diminishing with increasing cycle number. From these results, it appears that the formation of CaCl$_2$ on the surface of the CaO particles increases the CO$_2$ diffusion resistance in comparison to that of CaCO$_3$. This result is quite plausible since the molar volume of CaCl$_2$ is approximately 1.4 times that of CaCO$_3$ (FactSage). It is also important to point out that any CaCl$_2$ formed during carbonation should increase in total cumulative conversion from cycle to cycle. This can be clearly seen in Figure 2 where the total CaO conversion to CaCl$_2$ increases from approximately 2.1 to 10.0% over 10 cycles. This is further reinforced by the CaO-CaCl$_2$ phase diagram provided in Figure 3, where high gas-to-solids ratios have been chosen to represent TGA conditions. Over a wide range of HCl and CO$_2$ concentrations (balance N$_2$) thermodynamic phase equilibrium analysis predicts that during carbonation at temperatures below approximately 770°C, only solid phase CaCl$_2$ should exist. Conversely, during calcination at temperatures above 770°C only a phase change from solid to liquid CaCl$_2$ is predicted and, assuming the rate of evaporation is negligible over such short calcination periods, no loss in CaCl$_2$ product can be expected. Figure 3 also indicates that under typical carbonation conditions the formation of CaCl$_2$ is thermodynamically favoured over the formation of CaCO$_3$. Therefore, lower carbonation conversions could be expected if carbonation time periods where increased. After several cycles the impact of the CaCl$_2$ product layer becomes less prominent since thermal sintering of the sorbent during calcination becomes the dominant CO$_2$ diffusional resistance (Lysikov, Salanov, & Okunev, 2007). It should be noted that the phase equilibrium predictions in Figure 3 are unaffected by CO$_2$ concentration ranging from 0 to 80 mol%, which covers all typical CO$_2$ concentrations in both the carbonation and calcination regimes.

Interestingly, these results are somewhat different from those found by Wang et al. (2014), where it was reported that the carbonation conversions over the first 10 cycles were higher when HCl was present during carbonation. This phenomenon was attributed to a two-step mechanism where the surface of the calcined sorbent is initially converted to CaCO$_3$, then subsequently reacted with HCl to form CaCl$_2$ (Equation 4). During this process, H$_2$O and CO$_2$ release from the product layer could create additional porosity, lowering the CO$_2$ diffusional resistance to the unreacted CaO core. Alternatively, Partanen et al. (2003) and Freidina & Fray (2000) considered the CaCl$_2$-CaCO$_3$ product layer possibly forming a molten phase during carbonation at temperatures around 700°C. This could reduce the diffusion limitations and explain the increased carbonation conversions in comparison to what is seen in Figure 2, where carbonation takes place at 650°C. To investigate this phenomenon a more detailed look at each carbonation cycle is required.

Presented in Figure 4 is a comparison of the carbonation conversion versus time data for the 3$^{rd}$ and 9$^{th}$ cycle with and without the presence of HCl. It can be observed that although the total conversion over entire carbonation period is higher without the presence of HCl, the initial rate of reaction in the kinetically controlled regime is greater when HCl is present. This result would suggest that chlorination of both CaO and CaCO$_3$ is kinetically favoured over carbonation, but the release of H$_2$O during the chlorination of CaO to CaCl$_2$ increases the available surface area for carbonation through the
creation of additional porosity as suggested by Wang et al. (2014). Figure 4 also clearly shows that the fast kinetically controlled regime period is reduced when HCl is present indicating that the formation of CaCl$_2$ eventually has a negative effect on CO$_2$ capture. This is mostly likely caused when a significant quantity of the active portion of the particle surface becomes covered in a layer of CaCl$_2$, increasing the diffusional resistance. It is interesting to note that the initial rate of reaction remains constant from the 3$^{rd}$ to the 9$^{th}$ cycles when HCl is present, whereas there is a significant drop over repeated cycles in the absence of HCl. As shown by Wang et al. (2014), the residual CO$_2$ carrying capacity should eventually be reduced to near zero over an increased number of carbonation / calcination cycles, since more and more sorbent would be irreversibly converted to CaCl$_2$ under these particular carbonation / calcination conditions.

An alternate theory that may help explain the reduced difference between carbonation conversions over repeated cycles with and without the presence of HCl is related to solid state diffusion where Cl migration may occur. The solid state diffusion of CaCl$_2$ is enhanced at the higher calcination temperature when the CaCl$_2$ is in the liquid state with the consequence that it migrates into the interior of the particle to some extent. This migration tends to occur at grain boundaries where solid state diffusion rates can be expected to be highest due to local lattice dislocations. Migration of the Cl into the interior of the particle during calcination results in a portion of the surface sites that had previously been CaCl$_2$ forming CaO, which is subsequently available for reaction with either additional HCl or with CO$_2$. Due to this effect, the pore blockage that occurs is temporary and does not result in a large degradation in carbonation conversion over a large number of cycles. Thus, after 10 calcination / carbonation cycles, the carbonation conversion both with and without the presence of HCl tend towards the same value (Figure 2). This occurs despite the fact that the sum of the molar volumes of CaCO$_3$ and CaCl$_2$ in the particles that had been exposed to HCl is greater than the molar volume of CaCO$_3$ in the particles that were not exposed to HCl. Future testing with an extended number of calcination / carbonation cycles should be explored to further reinforce this theory.
Figure 2: TGA carbonation and chlorination conversions over repeated calcination / carbonation cycles with and without the presence of HCl – Carbonation at 650°C (0 and 2000 ppm HCl, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) and calcination at 870°C (100% N₂).

Figure 3: Thermodynamic phase diagram for the CaO-CaCl₂ system – 1 atmosphere, CO₂=0 to 80 mol%, N₂ balance.
Figure 4: TGA carbonation conversion vs. time for 3rd and 9th carbonation cycle with and without the presence of HCl – Carbonation at 650°C (0 and 2000 ppm HCl, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) and calcination at 870°C (100% N₂).

3.2. Effect of HCl on CO₂ capture with the presence of steam during calcination

As shown above, the presence of HCl has a dramatic effect on the CO₂ carrying capacity of CaO based sorbents over the first 10 cycles. These results however only consider a calcination environment containing pure N₂, which would not be the case in a real system. The heat required to drive the endothermic calcination reaction would come from a carbonaceous source, meaning both CO₂ and steam would be present during calcination and should be considered when examining the impact of HCl on the calcium looping process. Figure 5 presents thermodynamic phase equilibrium analysis predictions covering a wide range of HCl concentrations for both (a) 15 mol% H₂O and (b) 30 mol% H₂O with 60 mol% CO₂ and balance N₂. These two steam concentrations represent both a dry and wet flue gas recycle common during oxy-fuel calcination operation. In comparison to calcination under pure N₂, several key differences exist when steam is present. The first is that the transition from solid phase to liquid phase CaCl₂ drops from approximately 770°C to 750°C, which could have implications on the process if higher carbonation temperatures were employed. The second major difference, which can be considered the most significant, is that for HCl concentrations below 2000 ppm, no CaCl₂ phases are predicted to exist above approximately 830°C. When the H₂O concentration is increased to 30 mol%, all phases predictions shift to the right; thus, higher HCl concentrations (>3500 ppm) are required to allow for the formation of CaCl₂. These results suggest that any CaCl₂ formed during the carbonation period, given enough time, would dechlorinate to CaO at typical calcination temperatures when steam is present.
Figure 5: Thermodynamic phase diagram for the CaO-CaCl₂ system – (a) Top - 1 atmosphere, H₂O=15 mol%, CO₂=60 mol%, N₂ balance (b) Bottom - 1 atmosphere, H₂O=30 mol%, CO₂=60 mol%, N₂ balance.
A series of TGA tests were conducted to examine the effect of the presence of steam during calcination on the cyclic CO$_2$ carrying capacity of Cadomin limestone. Carbonation conversions over repeated cycles with and without HCl present during carbonation (with steam during calcination) are depicted in Figure 6. In contrast to the results shown in Figure 2, the presence of HCl not only did not show a negative effect on carbonation conversion, but it in fact showed a positive effect from the 4$^{th}$ cycle onwards. The first point to consider when examining these results is the fact that no increase in mass was recorded after calcination from cycle to cycle. This supports the theory that any CaCl$_2$ formed during carbonation with HCl present is converted back to CaO during calcination, as predicted by thermodynamic phase equilibrium analysis (Figure 5). Therefore, chlorination conversions could not be determined for TGA tests under these conditions. Further analysis into chlorination conversions and potential residual CaCl$_2$ under these conditions will be discussed in the subsequent Section (3.4), where fixed bed testing was employed.

As expected, the carbonation conversions without the presence of HCl were lower than those where calcination was performed under a pure N$_2$ gaseous environment (Figure 2), as CO$_2$ is known to accelerate sintering of calcium based sorbents especially over the first few cycles (Barker, 1973) (Silaban & Harrison, 1995). This would explain why after the first cycle, the presence of HCl only drops the carbonation conversion by approximately 2.5 percentage points. After the first cycle, carbonation conversions begin to be at par or higher in the presence of HCl, which would suggest that dechlorination during calcination impacts the subsequent carbonation. One explanation for this phenomenon could be the difference in molar volumes between CaCl$_2$ and CaCO$_3$ which causes the formation of larger pores at the particle surface and decreases the CO$_2$ diffusional resistance during carbonation; a similar phenomenon observed during CaO hydration to Ca(OH)$_2$ (Blamey, Lu, Fennell, & Anthony, 2011). Presented in Figure 7 is a comparison of the carbonation conversion versus time data for the 6$^{th}$ cycle with and without the presence of HCl and calcination under 15 mol% steam conditions. The 6$^{th}$ cycle was selected as this is the point where the carbonation conversion with and without HCl present start to significantly diverge. The initial fast kinetically controlled regime period is significantly reduced (~40%) when HCl is present, which is further confirmation that the formation of CaCl$_2$ limits the number of active CaO sites for reaction with CO$_2$ on the particle surface. In contrast, the diffusion controlled regime period is not only extended, but there is also a substantial increase in the rate of reaction. This result would suggest that morphological changes are occurring, which are likely related to the decomposition of CaCl$_2$ to CaO during calcination. It should be noted that the increased carbonation conversion via the addition of HCl during carbonation, provided steam is present during calcination, might not be realized during operation of commercial calcium looping system depending on the type fluidized bed used for carbonation. Circulating fluidized beds have average particle residence times on the order of minutes, which result in a lower carbonation conversion based on the results observed in Figure 7. In contrast, longer residence time bubbling fluidized beds could be employed to take advantage of the increased carbonation conversion due to the formation of CaCl$_2$.

Identical carbonaceous fuel sources would most likely be used for the generation of the flue gas and for the suppling the required heat for calcination. Therefore, it was of interest to examine the effect of the presence of HCl during calcination on the CO$_2$ carrying capacity of the sorbent over repeated cycles. Under a calcination temperature of 870°C, the presence of HCl during calcination has little to no effect on carbonation conversion over 10 cycles (Figure 8). Based on the phase equilibrium predictions in Figure 5, the formation of CaCl$_2$ is only possible at significantly higher HCl concentrations.
(>3500 ppm) or at lower H₂O concentrations, both of which were not explored in this work. Since HCl concentrations above 2000 ppm and H₂O concentrations below 15 mol% are never expected under any oxy-fuel combustion operating scenario, no further attention was given to the impact of HCl during calcination.

Figure 6: TGA carbonation conversions over repeated calcination / carbonation cycles with and without the presence of HCl – Carbonation at 650°C (0 and 2000 ppm HCl, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) and calcination at 870°C (60% CO₂, 15% H₂O, balance N₂).
Figure 7: TGA carbonation conversion vs. time for 6th carbonation cycle with and without the presence of HCl – Carbonation at 650°C (0 and 2000 ppm HCl, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) and calcination at 870°C (60% CO₂, 15% H₂O, balance N₂).
Figure 8: TGA carbonation conversions over repeated calcination / carbonation cycles with and without the presence of HCl during calcination – Carbonation at 650°C (15% CO₂, balance N₂) and calcination at 870°C (0 and 200 ppm HCl, 60% CO₂, 15% H₂O, balance N₂).

3.3. Effect of HCl on CO₂ capture with the presence of steam during carbonation

Steam addition during carbonation has been shown to improve sorbent performance, with the effect becoming more pronounced after multiple cycles (Symonds, Lu, Macchi, Hughes, & Anthony, 2009) (Manovic & Anthony, 2010) (Symonds, Lu, Hughes, Anthony, & Macchi, 2009). This phenomenon has been interpreted in several ways including: catalytic improvement of the capture process through hydration of CaO at the surface of the sorbent or enhancement of CO₂ mobility due to reduction in diffusion resistance (Champagne, Lu, Macchi, Symonds, & Anthony, 2012). Numerous more recent studies on the addition of steam during carbonation have also confirmed the previous results, while indicating that steam has little to no influence on the initial reaction rate, but enhances the diffusion controlled regime of carbonation (Dou, Song, Liu, & Feng, 2010) (Arias, Abanades, Grasa, Manovic, & Anthony, 2012). Figure 9 depicts the carbonation conversions over 10 cycles with (15 mol% steam) and without steam present during carbonation. As expected, the conversions were substantially higher when steam was present, with the largest enhancements observed after the 5th cycle and onwards (~10 percentage points difference after the 10th cycle). Although not shown here, the initial fast, kinetically controlled regime was unchanged when steam was present.

Also shown in Figure 9 are the carbonation conversions over repeated cycles for a case where both steam and HCl were present during carbonation. Over all 10 cycles the measured conversions were higher than (1) without HCl and (2) just steam present. This result is in alignment with what was
observed in Figure 6, where it was proposed that the increase in carbonation conversion was caused by the formation of larger pores at the particle surface during the decomposition of CaCl₂ to CaO during calcination. It should be noted that when no steam was present during carbonation the effect of HCl was larger than when steam was present. After 10 cycles, the presence of HCl showed a 6.3 and 2.7 percentage point increase in carbonation conversion with and without steam present, respectively. Plausible explanations for this decrease in relative improvement include: (1) the steam enhancement during the diffusion controlled regime significantly outweighs any improvement to the particle morphology during calcination and (2) CaCl₂ conversion is suppressed via the presence of steam during carbonation.

Figure 9: TGA carbonation conversions over repeated calcination / carbonation cycles with and without the presence of HCl and H₂O during carbonation – Carbonation at 650°C (0 and 2000 ppm HCl, 0 and 15% H₂O, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) and calcination at 870°C (60% CO₂, 15% H₂O, balance N₂).
Figure 10: Thermodynamic phase diagram for the CaO-CaCl\(_2\) system – (a) Top - 1 atmosphere, H\(_2\)O=0 mol%, CO\(_2\)=15 mol%, N\(_2\) balance (b) Bottom - 1 atmosphere, H\(_2\)O=15 mol%, CO\(_2\)=15 mol%, N\(_2\) balance.
Figure 10 presents thermodynamic phase equilibrium analysis predictions under typical carbonation conditions, covering a wide range of HCl concentrations for both (a) 0 mol% H₂O and (b) 15 mol% H₂O with 15 mol% CO₂ and balance N₂. From this figure, several key observations can be made. The first is that at carbonation temperatures below approximately 690°C both CaCO₃ and CaCl₂ should be formed, but the creation of CaO(CaCl₂)₄ is possible at temperatures above 690°C up to melting point of CaCl₂. Although temperatures above 690°C were not explored in this work, it is believed that such higher carbonation temperatures should be avoided when HCl is present since the formation of CaO(CaCl₂)₄ would most likely limit the availability of CaO for CO₂ capture and could cause significant pore blockage. The second key observation is that the presence of steam significantly suppresses the formation of CaCl₂. When comparing Figure 10a to Figure 10b there is clear shift in the phase envelopes towards the right, and under low enough HCl concentrations (<600 ppm), it is possible to avoid any formation of CaCl₂. Increasing the steam concentration further would increase the minimum HCl concentration required for chlorination, although this might not be practical since (1) the presence of HCl has been shown to improve carbonation conversion with steam present during calcination and (2) adding additional steam to the carbonator would most likely reduce the overall process efficiency of the calcium looping process. Nevertheless, minimizing or eliminating HCl capture in the carbonator could be advantageous in cases where HCl removal from the concentrated CO₂ calciner flue gas is difficult or not cost-effective. This issue is especially important since the HCl concentrations in the calciner flue gas could be significantly higher now due to dechlorination, which could lead to corrosion and equipment failure (Hjornhede, Sotkovszki, & Nylund, 2006). In some circumstances, HCl could become the dominant species controlling acid dew point similar to SO₂/SO₃ in most typical combustion systems. For the HCl concentration utilized in this work (2000 ppm), the formation of CaCl₂ is still expected even with the presence of 15 mol% H₂O, as can be seen in Figure 10b. However, the rate of chlorination should be reduced since the difference between the HCl concentration in the bulk gas and the equilibrium has been decreased. Since all carbonation cycles shown in Figure 9 were performed at 650°C with 15 mol% CO₂ in the reaction gas, it is expected that there would be a reduction in CaCl₂ formation in comparison to without the presence of steam. The lower level of chlorination could explain why a smaller relative improvement in carbonation conversion was measured when steam was present.

3.4. Fixed bed testing and microstructure analysis

A series of fixed bed tests were performed to further explore the effect of HCl on CO₂ capture and to allow for microstructure analysis via SEM/EDX and BET/BJH. Figure 11 shows the carbonation conversions over 6 cycles both with and without HCl and steam present during carbonation at 650°C with 15 mol% CO₂. In each case, calcination was performed at 870°C under a 60 mol% CO₂, 15 mol% H₂O with balance N₂ environment. Like the TGA tests discussed earlier (Figure 6 and Figure 9), the presence of HCl improves the carbonation conversion over repeated cycles. The average increase in carbonation conversion over 6 cycles without steam present was only ~1.2 percentage points, which would indicate that the effect of HCl is limited in comparison to the TGA tests. A plausible explanation for the difference between TGA and fixed bed reactor tests could be related to the level of chlorination of the sorbent sample. Based on the results presented in Figure 2, where the chlorination conversion could be measured, a single cycle chlorination conversion is in the 1 to 2% range under the conditions utilized in this work. The maximum chlorination conversion measured for any fixed bed reactor test was approximately 0.32% for a single cycle. During calcination, this would limit the formation of larger pores at the particle surface, decreasing the enhancement to CO₂ diffusion during carbonation in comparison to cases where a higher level of CaCl₂ formation had occurred. A similar result can also be seen in Figure
for the case where steam is present during carbonation. The addition of steam improves the carbonation conversion, but the presence of HCl only results in a 0.33 percentage point increase in the average carbonation conversion over 6 cycles. It was expected that steam suppression of the chlorination reaction would have caused the limited improvement in carbonation conversion, but as was the case for all fixed bed reactor tests, the chlorination conversion and HCl capture values were nearly identical. For each carbonation cycle the chlorination conversions ranged between 0.30 and 0.32% and the HCl capture was greater than 99%. The high capture efficiencies were due the large excess of sorbent in relation to the total flowrate of HCl sent to the fixed bed reactor. Under these conditions, it is possible that the steam enhancement during the diffusion controlled regime significantly outweighs any improvement to the particle morphology during calcination via decomposition of CaCl$_2$ to CaO. It should be noted that, regardless of carbonation condition during fixed bed reactor testing (both with and without HCl and steam), the initial carbonation conversions were significantly lower than during TGA testing and essentially remained constant over all 6 cycles. This phenomenon is in alignment with previous studies which showed that, under more severe calcination conditions (higher local CO$_2$ concentrations and higher heating rates) seen during fixed bed and pilot-plant testing, carbonation conversions do not decay gradually over several cycles (Grasa & Abanades, 2006), but instead drop immediately (1$^{st}$ cycle) and remain relatively constant over repeated cycles (Champagne, Lu, Symonds, Macchi, & Anthony, 2016) (Symonds, Champagne, Firas, & Lu, 2016).

Figure 11: Fixed bed reactor carbonation conversions over repeated calcination / carbonation cycles with and without the presence of HCl and H$_2$O during carbonation – Carbonation at 650°C (0 and 2000 ppm HCl, 0 and 15% H$_2$O, 15% CO$_2$, balance N$_2$) and calcination at 870°C (60% CO$_2$, 15% H$_2$O, balance N$_2$).
Figure 12(a) and (b) present SEM images of the calcined sorbent after 6 carbonation / calcination cycles without and with the presence of HCl during carbonation, respectively. Without the presence of HCl, it was observed that there are a lot of smooth, compact, and non-porous zones on the surface of the particle. In comparison, the particle surface with the presence of HCl showed larger cracks / voids and significantly more porosity on the particle grain surfaces. This result would suggest that the particles that are exposed to HCl during carbonation should have higher surface area and pore volumes, which has been correlated to higher carbonation conversions (Sun, Lim, & Grace, 2008). To further investigate, particle surface area and pore volume measurements were made via BET and BJH analyses and are presented Table 4. Particles carbonated with HCl present showed higher surface area and pore volume after 6 carbonation / calcination cycles which support the results observed in Figure 12 and is in alignment with the hypothesis that the decomposition of CaCl$_2$ to CaO during calcination improves the particle morphology in terms of CO$_2$ capture potential. Only ~11.6% and ~28.4% increases in surface area and pore volume, respectively, were measured with the addition of HCl when steam was present. In contrast, the surface area and pore volume where roughly 39% higher (for both) in the absence of steam when HCl was added during carbonation. Interestingly, this observed increase in surface area and pore volume did not result in a significant increase in carbonation conversion (Figure 11). Similar to the results shown in Figure 7, the increase in carbonation conversion when HCl is present is only expected to be substantial during the diffusion control regime. Therefore, it is likely that higher carbonation conversion would have been achieved with HCl present if the carbonation period was extended further into this regime. It is uncertain why the surface area and pore volume increase was lower during carbonation with steam, but could be related to the higher carbonation conversion observed during the diffusion controlled regime when steam is present (Champagne, Lu, Macchi, Symonds, & Anthony, 2012). In any case, it can be concluded that, under more realistic operating conditions experienced during fixed bed reactor testing, the presence of HCl has little or no effect on the carbonation conversion over repeated cycles when the flue gas contains steam. This result is significant since (1) this would be the case in any commercial-scale calcium looping facility and (2) high HCl capture efficiencies can still be achieved, reducing up or down stream gas clean-up of the post-combustion flue gas.

Both TGA and thermodynamic phase diagram analyses showed that given enough time at appropriate calcination conditions (high temperature and steam present), any CaCl$_2$ formed during the carbonation period should decompose. Therefore, it was of interest to determine if any residual CaCl$_2$ remained after calcination for fixed bed reactor tests performed with the presence HCl during carbonation. Since it was anticipated that the majority of CaCl$_2$ formed during the carbonation period would be on the surface of the particle under these conditions, EDX analysis was performed on the surface of several particles after the final calcination. In all cases, no Cl was detected on the surface of any particle. This confirms that (1) the concentration of steam (15 mol%) is suitable for the full decomposition of CaCl$_2$, which is in alignment with FactSage phase predictions, and (2) the decomposition of CaCl$_2$ is fast enough to be completed within the CaCO$_3$ calcination time frame. This is important since calcination times are significantly shorter (~1-minute time scale) in circulating fluidized beds (CFB) systems utilized in commercial-scale operation. Any residual CaCl$_2$ not decomposed during the calcination period could severely reduce the sorbent CO$_2$ carrying capacity over repeated cycles as shown in this work and by Wang et al. (2014).
Table 4: Surface area and pore volume of calcined sorbent particles after 6 fixed bed reactor cycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Carbonation Condition</th>
<th>BET Surface Area (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>BJH Pore Volume (cm$^3$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No HCl, no steam</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.00447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HCl, no steam</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.00625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No HCl, steam</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.00504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HCl, steam</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.00647</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12: SEM images of calcined sorbent (Cadomin) particles after 6 fixed bed reactor cycles – (a) Top - carbonation at 650°C (0 ppm HCl, 0 and 15% H₂O, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) (b) Bottom - carbonation at 650°C (2000 ppm HCl, 0 and 15% H₂O, 15% CO₂, balance N₂) both calcinations at 870°C (60% CO₂, 15% H₂O, balance N₂).
4. Conclusions

The effects of HCl injection during carbonation and calcination on the CO₂ carrying capacity of Cadomin limestone (250 to 425µm) have been studied via thermogravimetric analysis and fixed bed reactor testing. Preliminary testing under a pure N₂ calcination environment at 870°C showed that the presence of HCl during carbonation led to the irreversible formation of CaCl₂, which was supported by phase equilibria analysis. This resulted in lower carbonation conversions over repeated cycles due to a significant quantity of the active portion of the particle surface becoming covered in a layer of CaCl₂, increasing the diffusional resistance. After ten calcination / carbonation cycles the carbonation conversion dropped by ~ 3 percentage points in comparison to without HCl present and is expected to continue to decrease as the number of cycles is increased.

The addition of steam during calcination provided very different results than those under pure N₂. The carbonation conversions over repeated cycles were higher with the presence of HCl, which was attributed to the decomposition of CaCl₂ to CaO during calcination, supported by phase equilibria analyses. It was hypothesized that the difference in molar volumes between CaCl₂ and CaCO₃ causes the formation of larger pores at the particle surface during calcination, decreasing the CO₂ diffusional resistance during carbonation. As expected, the addition of steam during carbonation increased the carbonation conversion over repeated cycles. The improvement to carbonation conversion caused by HCl addition was limited when steam was present as sorbent chlorination was suppressed.

Fixed bed test results confirmed that full decomposition of CaCl₂ to CaO could be expected under typically oxy-fuel calcination conditions. Additionally, the presence of HCl during carbonation increased both the surface area and pore volume of the sorbent after 6 carbonation / calcination cycles, which further reinforces the hypothesis that dechlorination during calcination results in a particle structure that increases carbonation reactivity. Fixed bed testing also showed that greater than 99% HCl capture could be achieved without adversely affecting sorbent CO₂ capture performance when steam is present during both carbonation and calcination. Unfortunately, due to CaCl₂ decomposition during calcination, HCl concentrations in the concentrated CO₂ product stream could be relatively high. This could lead to potential corrosion and equipment failure issues if not appropriately addressed.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{CO₂}</td>
<td>outlet concentration of CO₂, vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{HCl}</td>
<td>outlet concentration of HCl, vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{CO₂,0}</td>
<td>inlet flowrate of CO₂, m³/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{HCl,0}</td>
<td>inlet flowrate of HCl, m³/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{Outlet}</td>
<td>total outlet flowrate, m³/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m₀</td>
<td>initial sample mass, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_{calc}</td>
<td>mass of sample after calcination, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_{carb}</td>
<td>mass of sample after carbonation, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_{CaCl₂}</td>
<td>molar mass of CaCl₂, g/g.mol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( M_{\text{CaO}} \) molar mass of CaO, g/g.mol
\( M_{\text{CO}_2} \) molar mass of \( \text{CO}_2 \), g/g.mol
\( M_{\text{HCl}} \) molar mass of HCl, g/g.mol
\( N \) cycle number, dimensionless
\( P_{\text{carb}} \) carbonation period, min
\( P_{\text{CO}_2,\text{eq}} \) equilibrium partial pressure of \( \text{CO}_2 \), Pa
\( T \) temperature, K
\( X_{\text{carb},N} \) carbonation conversion at cycle N, %

Greek letters
\( \beta \) initial sample CaO content, dimensionless
\( \rho_{\text{CO}_2} \) density of \( \text{CO}_2 \), kg/m\(^3\)
\( \rho_{\text{HCl}} \) density of HCl, kg/m\(^3\)
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