# THE COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICS

CRANFIELD

AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A 40° SWEPT BACK WING OF ASPECT RATIO 4.5

by

P. S. BARNA

THE COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICS

CRANFIELD

A preliminary report on the
aerodynamic characteristics
of a 40° swept back wing of
aspect ratio 4.5

- by -

P. S. BARNA, M. E.

SUMMARY

Experimental investigations have been made of the
flow characteristics on wings of moderate sweepback.
This note presents the results of the experimental inves-
tigation into the pressure distribution over a swept back
wing, having an aspect ratio 4.5 and sweep back angle 40°
at quarter chord. A half model technique has been used.
The wing section was R. A. E. 101 (symmetrical) with 6%
maximum thickness and zero camber. Measurements of
chordwise pressure distributions at a number of spanwise
sections were made in the incidence range 2 - 32°. The
experiments were performed at Reynolds numbers of 0.9×10^6
and 2×10^6 (based on geometric mean chord). From these
measurements, lift, drag and pitching moment characteristics
of the wing were calculated. Results of the experiments for
small incidences have been compared with the calculated loadings

obtained by methods proposed by Kucheman. [1] The theory
predicts higher values in the spanwise load distribution at
the root stations and a lift curve slope 8-12 percent lower
than obtained in the experiments.

TEST EQUIPMENT

The half wing was mounted in a vertical position on the turntable of the 8' x 6' low speed wind tunnel of the College of Aeronautics(Fig. 1) The tunnel floor represented the wing reflection plane. The relevant dimensions of the wing and the chordwise and the spanwise location of the pressure tappings are shown in Fig. 2. Only one side of the wing was provided with pressure tapping holes. Pressure readings were recorded at the following angles of incidence: 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 20, 24, 28 and 32°.

In order to reduce the floor boundary layer thickness in the root section of the model boundary layer suction was applied by means of a perforated plate set in the floor of the tunnel 5 ft. upstream of the centre of the turntable. The boundary layer air was returned into the tunnel at the inlet to the diffuser following the working section. An axial flow fan running at speeds up to 24, 000 r. p. m. was employed for the removal of the boundary layer. Manometers employed for the pressure measurements were the standard vertical type fitted with the standard clamping device using alcohol as indicator fluid. The air speed in the working section was obtained from the pressure difference between the settling chamber and the calibration static hole in the working section with the aid of a Betz manometer.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Preliminary tests were made to determine the effect of boundary layer suction on the wing pressure distribution. It was found that although the changes in pressure with change in boundary layer suction were small nevertheless it appeared desirable to employ suction, but that above a certain suction quantity there appeared no further improvement on the pressure distribution. As a result the tests at a Reynolds number of 0. 96 x 10^6 were with suction fan running at 24, 000 r. p. m. and the tests at a Reynolds number of 2 x 10^6 were performed with the suction fan running at

15, 000 r. p. m.

Since the wing was provided with tappings on one side
only it was first set at an incidence + α thus providing
a pressure distribution of the upper surface. The manometers
having been read, the wing was set at an incidence - α
thus providing a pressure distribution of the lower surface.

CALIBRATION OF THE TUNNEL

Prior to the experiments the tunnel was calibrated for
flow uniformity and static pressure gradient with different
boundary layer suctions, the suction fan speed varying from
zero to 24, 000 r. p. m.

CALCULATION OF TEST RESULTS

Standard procedure was adopted for the calculations
of test results.   First, at a given angle of incidence the
pressure coefficients defined by $C_p = \dfrac{p - p_0}{\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2}$
were plotted for each chordwise section at specified spanwise
positions. Values of C_p were plotted both against x/c and
z/c. where x and z were measured parallel and normal to the
wing chord. The local lift and pressure drag values were
obtained from graphical integration of the areas under the
curves using the relations

$$C_L = A_1 \cos \alpha - A_2 \sin \alpha$$

$$C_D = A_1 \sin \alpha + A_2 \cos \alpha$$

where C_L, C_D = the local lift and pressure drag
coefficients

$$A_1 = \oint C_p \, dx/c \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \oint C_p \, dz/c.$$

With these values of C_L and C_D the product $C_L c$ and $C_D c$ was obtained (c being the local chord) and subsequently the $C_L c$, $C_D c$ curves were plotted against spanwise location η. Finally, on integration of these areas, the overall lift and pressure drag coefficients were obtained from

$$\overline{C}_L = \frac{1}{\overline{c}} \int_0^1 C_L c \, d\eta$$

$$\overline{C}_D = \frac{1}{\overline{c}} \int_0^1 C_D c \, d\eta$$

where $\overline{C}$ = geometrical mean chord.

The pitching moment coefficient of the wing about the root leading edge was obtained from

$$\overline{C}_{m \; R.L.E.} = \frac{1}{\overline{c}^2} \int_0^1 C_m(h) c \, d\eta$$

where

$$C_m(h) = C_m(0) - C_N \left(\frac{h+c}{\overline{c}} \right),$$

h being the streamwise distance between leading edge and root leading edge and Cm(o) = the pitching moment about the local wing leading edge.

PRESENTATION OF TEST RESULTS

The overall lift and pressure drag coefficients plotted against geometric incidence are shown in Fig. 3, the pitching moment coefficient plotted against lift coefficient in Fig. 4, the distribution of the local lift coefficient in Fig. 5 and the local lift curve slope plotted against spanwise location in Fig. 6. The load distribution along the span is given in Fig. 7 and the spanwise position of aerodynamic centres in Fig. 8.

BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE TEST RESULTS.

The overall lift coefficient varies linearly with incidence up to 6° approximately (Fig. 3.), the lift curve slope $\overline{C}_L / \alpha$ being 3.45. This agrees fairly well with theory[1] which gives 3.33 for $\overline{C}_L / \alpha$. Above 6° the lift curve slope increases up to 8° then decreases with increasing incidence. Maximum lift is obtained between 20-22° incidence, C_L max. being 0.975 and 0.96 for the high and low Reynolds number tests. respectively. Reynolds number effects over the small range of Reynolds numbers tested appear to be small up to 20° incidence, beyond this, however, the effects become noticeable.

It has been shown from a surface flow investigation (details of which will be given in a separate report) that leading edge separation starts at approximately 6° incidence. Hence the deviation of the lift curve from a straight line is consistent with the appearance of the part span vortex. This moves inboard with increasing incidence which greatly affects the spanwise lift distribution. The local C_L max. moves inboard as shown in Fig. 5. The highest value of the local lift coefficient is approximately 1.32 and may be observed at 20° incidence at the spanwise station $\eta = 0.175$. Flow visualization tests indicate that a part span vortex still exists above 20°. It is shown in Fig. 5 that above 20° incidence the lift gradually decreases at the root although it slightly increases towards the tip.

The distributions of local lift coefficient (Fig. 5) agree fairly well for both the high and low Reynolds numbers cases except for 10° incidence. The latter disagreement may be attributed to inaccuracy of the pressure readings due to marked unsteadiness in the pressure distribution at this incidence.

The pitching moment shows a fairly linear relationship with $\overline{C}_L$ up to $\overline{C}_L = 0.75$ (Fig. 4) which corresponds to $\alpha = 12^O$ which is somewhat surprising in view of the development of the

part span vortex about 6° incidence. Above this incidence a stable type of stall occurs (nose down moment). The aerodynamic centre is 1. 90 ft. from the root leading edge as compared with the theoretical value of 2. 02.

The variation of the local lift curve slope (Fig. 6) is in fair agreement with the theory [1], and the difference between the the theoretical and experimental values is 8-12%.

The distribution of spanwise loading (Fig. 7) in the incidence range 2 - 6° agrees fairly well with the theoretical curve except near the root where the theory predicts higher values than those obtained by experiment. The positions of aerodynamic centres along the span, (Fig. 8) also agree well with theory, the experimental results being somewhat lower than the theoretical values.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Reference. (1)   A simple method of calculating the span and chordwise loading on straight and swept wings. By D. Kucheman. R. A. E. Report Aero 2476.

FIG. 1

WING SECTION SHOWING APPROXIMATE LOCATION
OF PRESSURE HOLES.

WING SECTION   R.A.E. 101

MAX. $t/c = 0.06$ at $x = 0.3c$

ASPECT RATIO 4.5

TAPER RATIO   0.4

$1/4 c$ LINE SWEEPBACK 40°

L.E. SWEEPBACK 43° 3'


FIG. 2. PLANFORM DIMENSIONS OF WING.

FIG. 3. OVERALL LIFT AND PRESSURE DRAG
COEFFICIENT.

AR = 4·5

FIG. 4. VARIATION OF OVERALL PITCHING MOMENT
WITH OVERALL LIFT COEFFICIENT.

AR=4·5

FIG. 5. DISTRIBUTION OF LOCAL LIFT COEFFICIENT C_L ALONG THE SPAN.

AR=4·5

FIG. 6. VARIATION OF LOCAL LIFT CURVE
SLOPE ALONG THE SPAN

AR=4·5

FIG. 7 SPANWISE LOAD DISTRIBUTION

AR.=4·5

FIG. 8. POSITION OF LOCAL AERODYNAMIC CENTRE ALONG SPAN.