Parameter Space of Atomic Layer Deposition of Ultrathin Oxides on Graphene
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ABSTRACT: Atomic layer deposition (ALD) of ultrathin aluminum oxide (AlOₓ) films was systematically studied on supported chemical vapor deposition (CVD) graphene. We show that by extending the precursor residence time, using either a multiple-pulse sequence or a soaking period, ultrathin continuous AlOₓ films can be achieved directly on graphene using standard H₂O and trimethylaluminum (TMA) precursors even at a high deposition temperature of 200 °C, without the use of surfactants or other additional graphene surface modifications. To obtain conformal nucleation, a precursor residence time of >2s is needed, which is not prohibitively long but sufficient to account for the slow adsorption kinetics of the graphene surface. In contrast, a shorter residence time results in heterogeneous nucleation that is preferential to defect/selective sites on the graphene. These findings demonstrate that careful control of the ALD parameter space is imperative in governing the nucleation behavior of AlOₓ on CVD graphene. We consider our results to have model system character for rational two-dimensional (2D)/non-2D material process integration, relevant also to the interfacing and device integration of the many other emerging 2D materials.
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INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) materials, such as graphene, offer new and improved functionalities for a wide range of applications ranging from electronics and photonics to energy conversion and storage devices. The effective properties of 2D materials are, however, extremely dependent on their environment, and hence their route to applications critically requires precise control of interfacing and integration in particular with established non-2D materials including metals, metal oxides, and polymers. Characteristics for 2D materials are their strong, predominantly covalent, intralayer bonding, contrasted by their weak out-of-plane interactions dominated by van der Waals forces. Because of these weak out-of-plane interactions, it remains extremely challenging to grow ultrathin continuous layers of such standard materials on top of 2D materials, be it as dielectric, barrier, dopant, contact, light emitter/absorber, carrier recombinator/separator, catalyst, or structural support. The properties of a 2D material interfaced with a conventional thin film are thereby not merely dictated by the quality of the components. A significant challenge is to provide an optimum interface between the 2D and 3D structure, which requires a detailed understanding of the various growth modes and of 2D/non-2D material interfacing. Almost all 2D-based electrical devices, for instance, require not only metallic contacts but also interfacing to a common dielectric. While progress has been made in the scalable process integration of chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of 2D materials with atomic layer deposition (ALD) of ultrathin metal oxides, a fundamental understanding of such interfacing remains in its infancy, hindering the rational process and device design for 2D/non-2D integration.

Here, we focus on the nucleation behavior of ALD aluminum oxide (AlOₓ) on supported CVD graphene, systematically exploring the ALD growth modes and the governing conditions for achieving either selective or conformal AlOₓ deposition on graphene that is either supported by its original growth catalyst or transferred with various levels of defects, wrinkles, and contamination. To date, the most common approaches to enhancing wetting for graphene and, hence, achieving a high AlOₓ nucleation density and more conformal coverage employ either lower deposition temperatures (Tdep) or a surface modification of the graphene using seed layers, functional groups, and a more reactive oxidant to uniformly activate the graphene surface. However, such approaches can not only degrade the AlOₓ film properties and/or the graphene but also introduce additional elements/states at the interface that can be deleterious to the device functionality. Hence, here we do not employ any additional graphene surface modification but rather focus on the details of the ALD parameter space. Because ALD depends heavily on surface saturation to achieve...
Figure 1. Schematic of the ALD process in (a) CM, (b) PM, (c) MM, and (d) SM. A denotes the oxidant, here H2O vapor or O3, and B denotes the metal precursor, here TMA. The oxidant/precursor dose is calculated from the product of the delivery pressure (P_{dep}) and the residence time (t_{purin}). All samples are loaded while the chamber is at the preset deposition temperature (T_{dep}), and the process chamber is purged with N2 for more than 10 min (t_{purin}) before the ALD process is started. The purge time between the oxidant/precursor pulses (t_{pur}) is varied between 10 and 60 s depending on T_{dep}. In PM, the samples are exposed to a series of oxidant pulses prior to the ALD process, where the pretreatment time (t_{pretreat}) is determined by the total number of pulses. In MM, each oxidant/precursor is delivered twice in quick succession with a very short time interval (t_{purin}). Thus, t_{purin} in MM can be twice as long as that in CM for the same P_{dep}. In SM, the flow in the process chamber is stopped for several seconds (t_{purin}) to allow the samples to be soaked in the oxidant/precursor. Therefore, t_{purin} in SM can be adjusted independently from P_{dep}.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

AlOx films were deposited directly by ALD on four different sets of samples: graphene grown on copper metal catalysts (G/Cu), graphene grown on germanium substrates (G/Ge), graphene transferred on SiO2 substrates (G/SiO2), and highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG; Agar Scientific, 3.5 ± 1.5 mosaic spread). These samples were selected to represent different types of supported graphene because it has been previously shown that the AlOx nucleation behavior is strongly affected by the underlying support. The G/Cu samples were grown by CVD using a H2-diluted CH4 (0.1% in argon) precursor at a partial pressure of ~10^-3 mbar and a temperature of 950–1000 °C on polycrystalline copper foils (Alfa Aesar, 25 μm thickness, 99.8% purity), which have been electrochemically polished prior to CVD using diluted H3PO4 (85% aqueous solution, further diluted in H2O with a 7:3 ratio) under a constant voltage of 2.7 V for 7–15 min. The G/Ge samples were grown by CVD on a monocrystalline germanium wafer (110) using a H2-diluted CH4 (CH4/H2 ratio of 1:52) precursor at a partial pressure of ~1 mbar and a temperature of 920 °C. The G/SiO2 samples were fabricated by transferring the graphene layer from G/Cu to SiO2 substrates (silicon wafer with a 300 nm native oxide) using a polymer support (Microchem 950PMMA A4) and wet chemical etching (0.5 M FeCl3 and 37% HCl), followed by a cleaning process in acetone and H2 annealing at a partial pressure of ~1 mbar and a temperature of 200 °C, as described in detail elsewhere. All CVD and transferred graphene samples used herein were predominantly monolayer graphene (MLG) with complete coverage over the substrates with a size of >1 x 1 cm2. To ensure that the findings in this study were consistent and not skewed by changes in the sample wettability due to adventitious carbon contamination from ambient air, ALD was performed without CVD or a transfer process for G/Cu, G/Ge, and G/SiO2 and within 15 min subsequent to mechanical cleavage for HOPG.

AlOx films were deposited on all samples by ALD (Cambridge Nanotech Savannah Si100 G1) using trimethylaluminum (TMA; purity >98%, Strem Chemicals 93-1360) as the precursor, and unless stated otherwise, the vapor of deionized water (H2O) as the oxidant was delivered alternately into the reaction chamber by 20 sccm of a N2 flow. During ALD, TMA and H2O were volatilized at a temperature of 40 °C and, when oxygen (O2) was used as the oxidant in place of H2O, it was supplied by an oxygen generator (DELOzone LG-7, ~90% power output) at room temperature. The deposition temperature (T_{dep}) was varied between 80 and 200 °C. All samples were loaded and unloaded while the chamber was at T_{purin} without bringing the temperature down to room temperature. Prior to ALD, the chamber was pumped until it reached a base pressure (P_{base}) of ~4.5 x 10^-1 Torr, while being purged with 20 sccm of a N2 flow for at least 10 min (t_{purin}). To prevent premature or CVD-like reactions, the chamber was purged after each delivery of an oxidant/precursor with 20 sccm of a N2 flow and a purging time (t_{pur}) that varied depending on T_{dep}. 60 s purge for 80 °C, 45 s purge for 120 °C, 30 s purge for 150 °C, 20 s purge for 180 °C, and 12 s purge for 200 °C. Unless stated otherwise, the total number of ALD cycles was always limited to only 12 cycles to highlight the nucleation process because a higher number of cycles usually results in a more uniform deposition. For consistency, the oxidant/precursor dose is always approximated by the product of the

the self-limiting sequential reactions, the nucleation behavior is mainly governed by three parameters: the available amount of oxidant/precursor for reaction, their mass transport to the surface, and the surface reaction kinetics. We address the choice of these parameters in detail to control AlOx deposition on CVD graphene. We show that by extending the precursor residence time, by either optimizing the pulse sequences or introducing a soaking period, we are able to overcome the otherwise heterogeneous nucleation that is limited to defect/ selective sites and highly dependent on support such as layer numbers and the underlying metal. As demonstrated herein, sub-2-nm thin continuous AlOx films can be achieved directly on graphene using standard water (H2O) and trimethyaluminum (TMA) precursors even at a high T_{dep} of 200 °C. Such a capability to directly integrate a thin continuous AlOx film, an archetypical high-k dielectric, with graphene would allow the further development of a wide range of applications that utilize graphene as the channel material.
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delivery pressure ($P_{\text{del}}$) and residence time ($t_{\text{res}}$), which are determined by the maximum and full-width at half-maximum (fwhm) values of the chamber pressure profile when the dose is delivered. We avoid the use of pulse time ($t_{\text{pul}}$) as a measurement metric because the same $t_{\text{pul}}$ may result in different $P_{\text{del}}$ and $t_{\text{res}}$ if the carrier gas flow rate, pumping speed, and amount of oxidant/precursor available for volatilization are varied.

To elucidate the effect of the ALD parameters on the AlO$_x$ nucleation behavior on graphene, ALD was performed under four distinct processes: continuous-flow mode (CM), pretreatment mode (PM), multipulse mode (MM), and stopped-flow mode (SM). Schematic representations of these processes are shown in Figure 1. CM (Figure 1a) is an ALD mode commonly used in previous literature, where H$_2$O and TMA are dosed alternatingly into the reaction chamber and separated by the purging periods. The effect of the oxidant/precursor doses was investigated by varying the H$_2$O/TMA doses between $\sim$0.14 and $\sim$2.1 Torr-s, obtained by pulsing H$_2$O ($t_{\text{pulA}}$) between 15 and 300 ms and TMA ($t_{\text{pulB}}$) between 15 and 100 ms. In CM, the doses for both H$_2$O and TMA are always set equally, while the dose for O$_3$, when it is used as the oxidant, is always kept at a constant value of $\sim$30 Torr-s. PM (Figure 1b) was used here to introduce a surface modification to the sample without the addition of seed layers but rather by exposure to a series of H$_2$O or O$_3$ pulses for a certain period of pretreatment time ($t_{\text{pretreat}}$) prior to AlO$_x$ deposition. Here, $t_{\text{pretreat}}$ is varied between 10 and 300 min for H$_2$O pretreatment and between 2 and 15 min for O$_3$ pretreatment. The oxidant dose and purging time in the pretreatment period are the same as those in the subsequent deposition period, which is performed under the same conditions as those in CM. The extended oxidant/precursor residence time is introduced herein by the use of a sequence of multiple pulses in MM and soaking periods in SM. In MM (Figure 1c), each reactant/precursor dose is delivered by a sequence of two consecutive pulses in quick succession. The time interval ($t_{\text{pul}}$) between these pulses is adjusted in such a way that $t_{\text{pul}}$ becomes the sum of the fwhm of both pulses. In SM (Figure 1d), the oxidant/precursor soaking period is introduced by stopping the flow to create a static atmosphere in the process chamber for several seconds ($t_{\text{soak}}$) right after the oxidant/precursor is dosed. Therefore, the dose in SM is controlled by two independent parameters, $t_{\text{pul}}$ and $t_{\text{soak}}$. Before the subsequent dose, the flow is continued and the chamber is purged. The effect of the oxidant/precursor residence time in MM and SM was investigated by varying the H$_2$O/TMA $t_{\text{res}}$ between $\sim$2.5 and $\sim$3.5 s while keeping all of the other ALD conditions the same as those in CM. Further details of the ALD parameters are described in the Supporting Information (section SI1).

The AlO$_x$ nucleation was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Carl Zeiss SIGMA VP) at an acceleration voltage of 2 kV and atomic force microscopy (AFM; Digital Instruments Dimension 3100) under tapping mode at a scanning frequency of 1 Hz. The AlO$_x$ surface coverage ($\theta$) was calculated based on the contrast observed in SEM images, with bright regions indicating areas of the graphene surface that are covered by AlO$_x$ films/clusters and dark regions indicating the absence of AlO$_x$. Further details of the surface coverage calculation are described in the Supporting Information (section SI2).

### RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the typical surface topography of CVD graphene on G/Cu prior and subsequent to ALD AlO$_x$ using CM (Figure 1a). Because of the nature of the CVD method used for the growth, the surface topography of G/Cu is dominated by uniaxial graphene wrinkles and Cu surface reconstructions with an average height of $\sim$50 nm and an interspacing of 200–600 nm, which is equivalent to an average feature aspect ratio of much less than unity and a root-mean-square (rms) surface roughness of $\sim$5 nm (Figure 2a). When ALD is performed in CM (Figure 1a) under typical conditions of $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C and a TMA/H$_2$O dose of $\sim$0.14 Torr-s, which is obtained by the commonly used $t_{\text{pulA}}$ and $t_{\text{pulB}}$ settings of 15–30 ms, the nucleation behavior on G/Cu is highly influenced by the presence of G/Cu surface features. For a low number of ALD cycles, in this case 12 cycles, AlO$_x$ was observed to nucleate preferentially on the ridges of these features, while the troughs are still relatively, although not entirely, free from AlO$_x$ (Figure 2b). Under these ALD conditions, AlO$_x$ deposition in the troughs occurs subsequently when G/Cu is subjected to further ALD cycles, and a high number of ALD cycles will eventually lead to complete coverage of the G/Cu surface. This behavior was observed after 100 ALD cycles, at which point the AlO$_x$ layer almost completely encapsulates the G/Cu surface, including the troughs (Figure 2c). Note that the topography of the deposited AlO$_x$ layer resembles islandlike clusters, rather than a smooth film, implying a Volmer–Weber-type nucleation mode.

The highly selective AlO$_x$ nucleation behavior on G/Cu at $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C leads to the assumption that a lower $T_{\text{dep}}$ is a
necessary condition for achieving a more homogeneous nucleation with H2O/TMA.16,17,33 Indeed, a significant change in the AlOx nucleation behavior could be achieved by simply altering the Tdep while keeping the other deposition parameters constant. As shown in Figure 3a, a significantly higher nucleation density in the troughs is observed when Tdep is decreased to 150 °C while maintaining a constant TMA/H2O dose of ~0.14 Torr-s. When Tdep is lowered further to 80 °C, AlOx nucleation becomes completely nonpreferential, nucleating almost everywhere on the G/Cu surface and yielding a surface coverage (θ) of ~98%. Note that the nonpreferential nucleation is not due to the effect of insufficient purging because a too short t pur will result in premature hydrolysis of TMA, which impedes AlOx nucleation on graphene (see also the Supporting Information, section SI3). Instead, the very smooth surface topography of the AlOx-covered graphene with a rms surface roughness of <1 nm and barely visible G/Cu surface features indicates that the troughs are covered by AlOx more than the ridges. This strongly suggests the occurrence of H2O/TMA condensation when ALD is performed at 80 °C. The use of noncondensing O3 replacing H2O as the oxidant at a Tdep of 80 °C is shown in Figure 3b. In contrast to the nucleation obtained using H2O at a Tdep of 80 °C, that using O3 yields a moderately preferential nucleation on the ridges with θ of ~65%. The correlation between θ and Tdep in CM is shown in Figure 3d. In general, a relatively constant θ at an average value of 79–82% can be achieved in CM with a Tdep of 120–180 °C using H2O/TMA. A condensing condition occurs at a Tdep of 80 °C, resulting in almost complete coverage of AlOx. On the other side of the spectrum, a Tdep of 200 °C is always observed to yield the lowest AlOx coverage with θ ~ 43%, although PM (Figure 1b) can be employed to improve θ as discussed below.

The fact that CM at a Tdep of 200 °C yields the lowest AlOx coverage gives rise to the assumption that the graphene surface needs to be uniformly activated by surface modification to obtain a more homogeneous nucleation if a H2O/TMA combination is to be used at a high Tdep.2,12,13,16,18 Because the use of an additional seed layer is undesirable because of its potential deleterious effect to the device functionality, surface modification is introduced in this study by the use of PM (Figure 1b), which is essentially an exposure to a series of H2O

Figure 3. (a) AlOx nucleation by ALD in CM using H2O/TMA at Tdep of 150 and 80 °C. At a Tdep of 80 °C, the AlOx coverage (θ) on the G/Cu surface is almost perfectly complete with a considerably smooth surface topography, suggesting condensation of the oxidant/precursor during the ALD process. (b) AlOx nucleation by ALD in CM using O3/TMA at a Tdep of 80 °C. AlOx nucleation by ALD in PM using (c) H2O/TMA with pretreatment times (tpretreat) of 60 and 300 min at a Tdep of 200 °C and using (d) O3/TMA with tpretreat of 2 and 15 min at a Tdep of 80 °C. The use of pretreatment significantly changes the selective nature of AlOx nucleation into a more homogeneous nucleation. The green dotted lines in parts c and d indicate the boundaries between MLG and BLG, where the regions enclosed by the lines represent BLG. (e) Plot of AlOx coverage (θ) as a function of Tdep based on parts a and b. The red/blue arrows in part e indicate the improvement in θ when ALD is performed in PM, as observed in parts c and d, at the same Tdep. (f) Plot of θ on the G/Cu surface by ALD in PM as a function of tpretreat as observed in parts c and d, where a tpretreat of 0 min corresponds to CM. All scale bars in parts a–d represent 500 nm, and the red parallel lines indicate the ridges of G/Cu surface features. The error bars in parts e and f indicate the standard deviation from the mean. The doses for H2O and TMA in both CM and PM are maintained at ~0.14 Torr-s, while that for O3 is set at ~28.65 Torr-s. All AlOx depositions are performed with 12 ALD cycles total.
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Figure 4. (a) AlO₃ nucleation by ALD in CM at a T_{dep} of 200 °C using increasing doses of H₂O/TMA. Although the nucleation is still highly preferential to the ridges, an increase in the H₂O/TMA dose significantly improves the AlO₃ nucleation especially on the troughs of G/Cu, which leads to a higher θ. Full AlO₃ coverage is obtained using a H₂O/TMA dose of ~2.1 Torr s (P_{tot} = ~1.05 Torr; t_{dep} = ~2 s). The typical H₂O/TMA dose used in Figures 2 and 3 is ~0.14 Torr s (P_{tot} = ~0.2 Torr; t_{dep} = ~0.7 s). A homogeneous AlO₃ nucleation on G/Cu using H₂O/TMA at a T_{dep} of 200 °C can also be achieved by performing ALD either in MM (b) or in SM (c). Under either one of these modes, the H₂O/TMA residence time (t_{dep}) could be extended to reach complete AlO₃ coverage without necessarily increasing the H₂O/TMA dose pressure (P_{tot}). Full AlO₃ coverage can be observed in part b when the H₂O/TMA dose is at ~1.65 Torr s (P_{tot} = ~0.55 Torr; t_{dep} = ~3 s) in MM and in part c at ~0.7 Torr s (P_{tot} = ~0.2 Torr; t_{dep} = ~3.5 s) in SM. The AlO₃ surface topography in part c is similar to that of as-grown G/Cu, suggesting a conformal deposition. Plot of θ on the G/Cu surface by ALD in CM, MM, and SM as a function of P_{tot} (d) and t_{dep} (e). In part d, the color of the marker indicates t_{dep} while in part e, it indicates P_{tot}. In general, the relationship between θ and t_{dep} is linear, i.e., θ ∝ t_{dep} instead of the square root, θ ∝ t_{dep}^{1/2}, until a saturation is reached at t_{dep} ≥ ~3 s. In parts a–c, all scale bars represent 500 nm and the red parallel lines indicate the ridges of G/Cu surface features, and the error bars in parts d and e indicate the standard deviation from the mean. All AlO₃ depositions are performed with 12 ALD cycles total.

or O₃ pulses for a certain period of pretreatment time (t_{pretreat}) followed immediately by a AlO₃ deposition that is similar to CM without breaking the vacuum. Aside from the additional pretreatment step, the deposition parameters in PM are set to be the same as those in the aforementioned CM, i.e., using a TMA/H₂O dose of ~0.14 Torr s at a T_{dep} of 200 °C. Figure 3c shows that a substantial shift in the AlO₃ nucleation behavior is observed when t_{pretreat} is set at 60 min, with the nucleation is no longer preferential to the graphene ridges but rather distributed evenly between both ridges and troughs. The change in the nucleation behavior is more pronounced when t_{pretreat} is prolonged further to 300 min, at which point the nucleation is significantly more homogeneous throughout the G/Cu surface. Similarly, the switch from CM to PM when O₃ is used as the oxidant not only results in a significant improvement to the nucleation density but also completely changes the nucleation behavior, as shown in Figure 3d. With a t_{pretreat} of just 2 min, the nucleation becomes completely nonpreferential and a highly conformal AlO₃ layer is observed throughout the G/Cu surface. It is also important to note that the nucleation in the troughs is always found to be much more homogeneous than that on the ridges whenever O₃ pretreatment is used. The correlation between θ and t_{pretreat} in PM is shown in Figure 3f. In general, the use of PM improves the AlO₃ coverage on G/Cu, where θ increases proportionally with an increase of t_{pretreat}. While improvement is observed regardless of whether H₂O vapor or O₃ is used as the oxidant, the effect is much more pronounced for the latter for a short t_{pretreat}. Using O₃/TMA, a significant improvement in θ to ~96% can be observed for a t_{pretreat} of just 2 min, although a further increase of t_{pretreat} to 15 min only increases θ slightly to ~97%. In contrast, using H₂O/TMA, a significant improvement in θ to ~89% can only be observed when t_{pretreat} is set to 300 min. Because all deposition parameters in PM are exactly the same as those in CM, the observed changes in the otherwise preferential AlO₃ nucleation can all be attributed to the addition of the pretreatment step.

Previous literature has already highlighted that ALD AlO₃ nucleation on MLG can be highly dependent on the underlying graphene support/substrate. Here we observe that, for few-layer graphene, it is also dependent on the number of graphene layers.
layers. As shown in Figure 3d, the nucleation density in the troughs of MLG is considerably higher than that in the troughs of bilayer graphene (BLG). While the use of PM, either with H2O or O3, results in a more homogeneous AlOx nucleation on MLG, the nucleation on BLG is still highly selective. When PM is performed using H2O with a \( t_{\text{pretreat}} \) of 60 min, AlOx shows very poor nucleation in the troughs of BLG, resulting in an extremely low \( \theta \) of \( \sim 33\% \), approximately half that on MLG (Figure 3f). A significant improvement to the AlOx nucleation on BLG can be achieved by extending \( t_{\text{pretreat}} \) to 300 min. This results not only in an increase of \( \theta \) on BLG to \( \sim 79\% \) (Figure 3f) but also in a shift of the AlOx nucleation behavior to a more homogeneous nucleation on both ridges and troughs. While a higher \( \theta \) can be, in general, achieved using O3 with a \( t_{\text{pretreat}} \) of 2 min, the AlOx nucleation density in the troughs of BLG is still much lower than that in the troughs of MLG. Note that the nucleation behavior on the ridges is unaffected by the number of graphene layers, as observed from the constant nucleation density on the ridges across the MLG–BLG boundaries.

The failure of PM to achieve conformal AlOx nucleation on G/Cu using H2O/TMA at a \( T_{\text{dep}} \) of 200 °C motivates us here to investigate in more detail the limiting parameters at such a high \( T_{\text{dep}} \). Because ALD depends heavily on surface saturation to achieve the self-limiting reactions, there is a possibility that the aforementioned selective AlOx nucleation on graphene is due to unsaturated satisfaction conditions, and it is unclear in the literature whether these conditions are always satisfied. Thus, we explore the use of higher H2O/TMA doses than the commonly used dose, with the aim of achieving surface saturation to obtain conformal AlOx nucleation on graphene. The improvement in the nucleation density under CM at a \( T_{\text{dep}} \) of 200 °C due to the use of higher H2O/TMA doses is shown in Figure 4a. While increasing the H2O/TMA dose from \( \sim 0.14 \) to \( \sim 0.65 \) Torr-s (Torr-s) substantially increases the nucleation density in the troughs, the nucleation behavior itself is relatively unaltered, i.e., is still highly preferential to the ridges, suggesting that the nucleation behavior cannot be easily altered by exclusively changing the H2O/TMA dose. Note that the AlOx nucleation on the troughs at such a higher dose always results in a crisscrossed pattern. A transition in the nucleation behavior toward nonpreferential nucleation can be observed once the H2O/TMA dose is increased further to \( \sim 1.31 \) Torr-s, and consequently an even higher H2O/TMA dose of \( \sim 2.1 \) Torr-s results in a conformal nucleation of AlOx. This finding suggests that conformal nucleation on graphene at high \( T_{\text{dep}} \) is attainable if the H2O/TMA dose is sufficient to achieve surface saturation.

Given that the oxidant/precursor dose is essentially a product of the delivery pressure \( (P_{\text{dos}}) \) and residence time \( (t_{\text{dos}}) \), a sufficiently high dose for conformal nucleation can be obtained by a higher \( P_{\text{dos}} \) and/or a longer \( t_{\text{dos}} \). Because it is not trivial to explore the effect of each parameter in CM because of the interdependence of \( P_{\text{dos}} \) and \( t_{\text{dos}} \), i.e., both are controlled by a single-parameter oxidant/precursor pulse time \( (t_{\text{pul}}) \), we introduce modifications to the ALD process, denoted herein as MM and SM, which allow us to decouple \( t_{\text{dos}} \) from \( P_{\text{dos}} \). In MM (Figure 1c), each H2O/TMA dose is delivered by a sequence of two consecutive pulses in quick succession such that \( t_{\text{dos}} \) is now controlled by the interval time between pulses \( (t_{\text{pul}}) \) rather than by \( t_{\text{pul}} \). Thus, MM allows \( t_{\text{dos}} \) to be extended to about twice as long as that in CM without changing \( P_{\text{dos}} \). In SM (Figure 1d), the sample is soaked in a H2O/TMA dose for several seconds \( (t_{\text{hold}}) \) before being purged, allowing \( t_{\text{dos}} \) to be controlled by \( t_{\text{hold}} \) rather than by \( t_{\text{pul}} \). Thus, SM allows \( t_{\text{dos}} \) to be completely independent from \( P_{\text{dos}} \) and extended virtually indefinitely. The use of MM and SM ALD to obtain a conformal AlOx nucleation on G/Cu at a \( T_{\text{dep}} \) of 200 °C is shown in Figure 4b,c. A completely nonpreferential nucleation can be easily obtained with a H2O/TMA dose of \( \sim 1.12 \) Torr-s, and a further increase in the H2O/TMA dose to \( \sim 1.65 \) Torr-s results in a highly homogeneous AlOx nucleation with complete surface coverage. Similarly, a highly homogeneous nucleation can be achieved by performing ALD in SM with a H2O/TMA dose of just \( \sim 0.7 \) Torr-s. The similarity in the surface topography between AlOx deposited under SM and bare G/Cu suggests that the deposition is highly conformal.

The correlation between \( \theta \) and \( P_{\text{dos}} \) for CM, MM, and SM is shown in Figure 4d, while the correlation between \( \theta \) and \( t_{\text{dos}} \) is shown in Figure 4e. Although the relationship between \( \theta \) and \( P_{\text{dos}} \) is observed to be approximately linear for just CM, because an increase in the dose from \( \sim 0.14 \) Torr-s (typical dose) to \( \sim 2.1 \) Torr-s results in an increase of \( \theta \) from \( \sim 44\% \) to \( \sim 99\% \), the overall correlation becomes extremely poor once the nucleation under MM and SM is taken into account. In contrast, a strong linear correlation between \( \theta \) and \( t_{\text{dos}} \) can be observed for all ALD modes because a higher \( t_{\text{dos}} \) results in a higher \( \theta \) until saturation is achieved at \( t_{\text{dos}} \geq \sim 2 \) s. It is important to note that a conformal AlOx nucleation is obtained with just 12 ALD cycles in MM and SM with a H2O/TMA dose of \( < 1.3 \) Torr-s, whereas the same dose in CM results in a nucleation behavior that is still preferential with a \( \theta \) of only \( \sim 82\% \).

Here we also explore the use of SM to achieve conformal AlOx nucleation at a \( T_{\text{dep}} \) of 200 °C on HOPG, G/Ge, and G/SiO2. These graphic surfaces are known to be much less wettable by H2O than G/Cu.©24 Comparisons in the nucleation behavior between CM and SM at the same \( T_{\text{dep}} \) on these surfaces are shown in Figure 5a–c. AlOx nucleation on HOPG under CM at a \( T_{\text{dep}} \) of 200 °C and a H2O/TMA dose of \( \sim 0.3 \) Torr-s \( (P_{\text{dos}} = \sim 0.3 \) Torr; \( t_{\text{dos}} = \sim 1 \) s) results in incomplete surface coverage with a relatively low \( \theta \) of \( \sim 68\% \). Despite the low \( \theta \), the nucleation on HOPG appears to be random and nonselective to only specific sites (Figure 5a). On the other hand, when CM is performed on G/Ge and G/SiO2 under the same conditions, AlOx nucleates selectively on specific, more highly reactive locations, resulting in an extremely low \( \theta \) of just \( \sim 47\% \) (Figure 5b) and \( \sim 38\% \) (Figure 5c), respectively. Although it is more spatially irregular than that on G/Cu, AlOx nucleation on G/SiO2 is observed to be highly selective to the randomly oriented graphene folding and defect sites (Figure 5c). Currently, the most common transfer method used leads to the removal of uniaxial surface features that occur ubiquitously on G/Cu but at the expense of introducing new reactive sites, including folding sites, defects, and contamination, to the graphene. As a result, AlOx appears to nucleate preferentially on these newly introduced reactive sites. Similarly, the absence of graphene wrinkles and folding sites on G/Ge suggests that the nucleation is now preferential to domain boundaries and defect sites (Figure 5b). On the other hand, the nucleation under SM at a \( T_{\text{dep}} \) of 200 °C and a H2O/TMA dose of \( \sim 0.7 \) Torr-s \( (P_{\text{dos}} = \sim 0.2 \) Torr; \( t_{\text{dos}} = \sim 3.5 \) s) is much more homogeneous across the entire surface, resulting in AlOx coverage with \( \theta \geq 97\% \) on all samples (Figure 5a–c). Such a homogeneous nucleation allows the formation of sub-2-nm thin continuous AlOx films, as measured by AFM (see also the Supporting Information, section S14), with just 12 ALD cycles. In terms of the dielectric quality, these continuous AlOx films exhibit capacitance values of 1.6 and 0.7 μF/cm² and...
efficient high-k dielectric in graphene electronics with EOT < 1.3 nm. The fact that the difference between CM and SM used here is only in $t_{\text{Int}}$, i.e., $t_{\text{Int}}$ in SM, more than 3 times as long as that in CM accentuates the importance of a longer $t_{\text{Int}}$ for obtaining homogeneous AlO$_x$ nucleation.

Figure 5d shows the effect of AlO$_x$ film deposition on graphene analyzed by Raman spectroscopy on G/SiO$_2$ prior and subsequent to ALD using 532 nm excitation (see also the Supporting Information, section SI6, for individual representative Raman spectra). The peak intensity ratio of the 2D and G bands ($I_{\text{2D}}/I_{\text{G}}$) is found at $\sim 2.88$ for as-transferred G/SiO$_2$ and shifts toward a higher value of $\sim 3.39$ after AlO$_x$ deposition (AlO$_x$/G/SiO$_2$) for both CM and SM. Note that here CM is performed using a H$_2$O/TMA dose of 0.3 Torr·s at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 80 °C, while SM is performed using a H$_2$O/TMA dose of 0.7 Torr·s at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C, and both yield almost complete AlO$_x$ coverage with $\theta > 98\%$ on G/SiO$_2$. The Raman peak intensity ratio between the D and G bands ($I_{\text{D}}/I_{\text{G}}$) is $\sim 0.04$ for the as-transferred G/SiO$_2$ samples and remains the same for AlO$_x$/G/SiO$_2$ regardless of the ALD mode used. For the as-transferred G/SiO$_2$, the peak frequencies of the 2D ($\omega_{\text{2D}}$) and G ($\omega_{\text{G}}$) bands are found at $\sim 2679$ and $\sim 1588$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively, with a $\omega_{\text{2D}}/\omega_{\text{G}}$ slope of $\sim 0.7$. When ALD is performed in CM, $\omega_{\text{2D}}$ and $\omega_{\text{G}}$ are found at $\sim 2677$ and $\sim 1585$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively, while when ALD is performed in SM, they are found at $\sim 2676$ and $\sim 1584$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Note that the $\omega_{\text{2D}}/\omega_{\text{G}}$ slope shifts to $\sim 2.2$ for AlO$_x$/G/SiO$_2$ regardless of the ALD mode used. The line widths of the 2D ($\Gamma_{\text{2D}}$) and G ($\Gamma_{\text{G}}$) peaks are found at 29.5 ($\pm 5.3$) and 12.8 ($\pm 1.5$) cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for the as-transferred G/SiO$_2$ and shift toward higher values after AlO$_x$ deposition. When ALD is performed in CM, $\Gamma_{\text{2D}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{G}}$ are found to be broadened to 32.3 ($\pm 6.9$) and 16.4 ($\pm 1.8$) cm$^{-1}$, respectively, while when ALD is performed in SM, they are further broadened to 33.1 ($\pm 7.7$) and 17.2 ($\pm 2.1$) cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The $\Gamma_{\text{2D}}/\Gamma_{\text{G}}$ slope is $\sim 2.2$ for all G/SiO$_2$ samples, with or without ALD AlO$_x$.

### DISCUSSION

Our data show that the deposition of AlO$_x$ on G/Cu using a typical ALD process, i.e., CM at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C and a TMA/H$_2$O dose of $\sim 0.14$ Torr·s, is strongly affected by the presence of uniaxial G/Cu surface features, where the ridges form preferential AlO$_x$ nucleation sites. These ridges are the topographically highest points on the G/Cu surface, making them more readily available sites for adsorption of the oxidant/precursor. More importantly, the high curvature of the ridges is known to present the most active sites, have been fully occupied and passivated by AlO$_x$ clusters. Similar to the nucleation on line defects and step edges, the nucleation on a graphitic ridge is found in the literature. The peak frequencies of the 2D and G bands are found at $\sim 2679$ and $\sim 1588$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively, with a $\omega_{\text{2D}}/\omega_{\text{G}}$ slope of $\sim 0.7$. When ALD is performed in CM, $\omega_{\text{2D}}$ and $\omega_{\text{G}}$ are found at $\sim 2677$ and $\sim 1585$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively, while when ALD is performed in SM, they are found at $\sim 2676$ and $\sim 1584$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Note that the $\omega_{\text{2D}}/\omega_{\text{G}}$ slope shifts to $\sim 2.2$ for AlO$_x$/G/SiO$_2$ regardless of the ALD mode used. The line widths of the 2D ($\Gamma_{\text{2D}}$) and G ($\Gamma_{\text{G}}$) peaks are found at 29.5 ($\pm 5.3$) and 12.8 ($\pm 1.5$) cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for the as-transferred G/SiO$_2$ and shift toward higher values after AlO$_x$ deposition. When ALD is performed in CM, $\Gamma_{\text{2D}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{G}}$ are found to be broadened to 32.3 ($\pm 6.9$) and 16.4 ($\pm 1.8$) cm$^{-1}$, respectively, while when ALD is performed in SM, they are further broadened to 33.1 ($\pm 7.7$) and 17.2 ($\pm 2.1$) cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The $\Gamma_{\text{2D}}/\Gamma_{\text{G}}$ slope is $\sim 2.2$ for all G/SiO$_2$ samples, with or without ALD AlO$_x$.
In terms of $T_{\text{dep}}$ it is widely known that an ideal ALD process can only occur in a very specific $T_{\text{dep}}$ window. A higher $T_{\text{dep}}$ provides sufficient thermal energy to drive the surface reaction to reach completion, although it may also lead to a higher desorption rate of oxidants/precursors from the G/Cu surface, which results in a highly selective nucleation to only the reactive sites with lower $\theta$. On the other hand, a lower $T_{\text{dep}}$ often results in not only incomplete oxidant/precursor reactions but also the condensation of oxidants/precursors across the sample. As measured by spectroscopic ellipsometry (see the Supporting Information, section S17), the refractive index of AlO$_x$ films deposited at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 80 °C is consistently lower, albeit only slightly, than that deposited at 200 °C, suggesting that a lower $T_{\text{dep}}$ results in a lower density in the AlO$_x$ films. In addition, the lower desorption rate at lower $T_{\text{dep}}$ corresponds to a longer ALD process time because of a longer purge time needed between pulses. Our data show that, in general, $\theta$ increases with a decrease of $T_{\text{dep}}$ where $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 120–180 °C yield an average $\theta$ of 79–75% and a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 80 °C yields almost complete coverage with $\theta \approx 98$% (Figure 3a). Thus, a lower $T_{\text{dep}}$ is definitely favorable if the goal is to alter the AlO$_x$ deposition behavior so that deposition occurs everywhere across the G/Cu surface. However, the fact that the resulting AlO$_x$ layer is topographically very flat yet porous implies that the deposition is far from the ideal conformal deposition and is instead due to H$_2$O condensation that takes place mostly in the troughs. The presence of H$_2$O condensation at 80 °C can be confirmed by replacing it with O$_3$ because O$_3$ will still be gaseous and not condense at this temperature (Figure 3a). In contrast to the AlO$_x$ nucleation using H$_2$O/TMA, ALD with O$_3$/TMA at the same $T_{\text{dep}}$ results in a much lower nucleation density with a $\theta$ of only $\sim$76% (Figure 3c,d). The absence of condensation is implied by the similarity in the AlO$_x$ nucleation behavior between O$_3$/TMA at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 80 °C and H$_2$O/TMA at a higher $T_{\text{dep}}$, i.e., preferential nucleation on the ridges. This implies that, as long as the noncondensing conditions are satisfied at low $T_{\text{dep}}$, the AlO$_x$ nucleation behavior on G/Cu under CM will always be selective to the most active sites, i.e., the ridges.

A modification to the graphic surface is often introduced to make it more wettable, either by adding seed layers and functional groups, e.g., Al and PTCA, or by using a more reactive oxidant, e.g., O$_3$ and NOCl. We here introduce a surface modification to the G/Cu surface by performing ALD in PM to avoid the use of an undesirable additional seed layer and without the need to use a lower $T_{\text{dep}}$. When PM is performed using H$_2$O/TMA, it has been suggested that H$_2$O molecules are physically adsorbed onto the graphene surface by van der Waals forces during the pretreatment, which then act as nucleation sites for the subsequent ALD process. A higher density of nucleation sites can be, in principle, achieved with a longer $t_{\text{pretreat}}$ because it leads to a higher concentration of adsorbed H$_2$O molecules on the G/Cu surface. However, the intermolecular attraction between the H$_2$O molecules may become increasingly dominant and exceed the van der Waals forces, resulting in island-like nucleation sites (Figure 3d). Our data indeed show that, at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C, $\theta$ increases significantly with an increase of $t_{\text{pretreat}}$ despite the fact that the entire process becomes prohibitively long, taking about 300 min of pretreatment to reach a $\theta$ of $\sim$89% (Figure 3f). An even more effective surface modification can be introduced by performing PM using O$_3$. Because of its reactivity, O$_3$ is commonly used to modify the graphene surface, either by cleaning the graphene surface or by functionalizing it with epoxide groups to ultimately change the nucleation behavior into a highly homogeneous one. Indeed, a relatively short $t_{\text{pretreat}}$ of 2 min is sufficient to completely alter the AlO$_x$ nucleation behavior completely nonselective (Figure 3f). Nevertheless, the use of O$_3$/TMA is less desirable because O$_3$ is known to have a detrimental effect on graphene, especially at a high $T_{\text{dep}}$. To minimize damage to the graphene, $T_{\text{dep}}$ is always set at 80 °C whenever O$_3$/TMA is used in this study. Nevertheless, even at such a low $T_{\text{dep}}$, the detrimental effects of O$_3$ to the graphene structure could still be observed (see also the Supporting Information, section S18). Therefore, a prolonged O$_3$ pretreatment of more than 2 min should be avoided because it not only does not significantly improve the AlO$_x$ nucleation density but also damages the graphene. In addition, the imposed upper $T_{\text{dep}}$ limit often results in a higher carbon concentration in the deposited AlO$_x$ layer due to incomplete decomposition of the formate or other carboxylate species, which ultimately leads to a lower AlO$_x$ density (see also the Supporting Information, section S17).

While the use of PM allows a much more homogeneous AlO$_x$ nucleation to be attained on monolayer G/Cu (MLG), it struggles to achieve the same nucleation density on bilayer G/Cu (BLG). Our data show that while AlO$_x$ nucleation on the ridges of the BLG is very similar to that on the ridges of the MLG, the nucleation density in the troughs of BLG is significantly lower than that of MLG. Interestingly, this behavior is always observed whether H$_2$O or O$_3$ is used as the oxidant, and although our observation is limited to only MLG and BLG, it suggests that AlO$_x$ always nucleates preferentially on the ridges regardless of the number of graphene layers. The big difference in terms of the nucleation density in the troughs may originate from the difference in polarity between MLG and BLG, where a higher number of graphene layers corresponds to a lower surface polarity. It is important to note that the effect of the number of graphene layers is stronger when O$_3$ is used as the oxidant rather than when H$_2$O is used, although the difference between $\theta$ of MLG and BLG can be minimized by increasing $t_{\text{pretreat}}$. As shown by our data, such a difference can be minimized to <10% after 300 min of pretreatment using H$_2$O and to <30% after 15 min of pretreatment using O$_3$.

As in any gas-adsorption processes, the ALD process is known to be limited by the total amount of oxidant/precursor available for the reaction, quantified by the delivery pressure ($P_{\text{del}}$), as well as their mass transport to the surface and the surface reaction kinetics, both quantified by the residence time ($t_{\text{res}}$). Thus, we hypothesize that a conformal AlO$_x$ deposition can be, in principle, obtained using H$_2$O/TMA at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C by increasing $P_{\text{del}}$ to compensate for a high desorption rate from the surface and/or by extending $t_{\text{res}}$ to account for mass transport onto the imperfectly flat surface and slow adsorption kinetics of the relatively nonreactive graphic surface. Our data indeed show that a higher H$_2$O/TMA dose in CM always results in a higher AlO$_x$ nucleation density, especially on the troughs, as reflected by an increase in $\theta$ from $\sim$44% to $\sim$82% when the dose is increased by an order of magnitude from ~0.14 to ~1.31 Torr·s (Figure 4d). Despite the significant increase in the nucleation density on the troughs due to the use of a remarkably high H$_2$O/TMA dose, the nucleation behavior remains largely the same, i.e., preferential nucleation on the ridges. It is also important to note that the AlO$_x$ nucleation in the troughs at a higher dose always results in...
a crisscrossed pattern (Figure 4a). While the origin of such a crisscrossed pattern is still unclear, we observe that one of the crisscrossed pattern axes is always aligned to the direction of the flow but independent of the direction of the graphene wrinkles and Cu surface reconstructions. This implies that the flow plays an important role in the nucleation behavior and may strongly affect oxidant/precursor mass transport to the G/Cu surface. While an increase in $t_{\text{dos}}$ in CM always yields a higher dose due to a simultaneous increase of both $P_{\text{dos}}$ and $t_{\text{dos}}$ care must be taken because the relationship between them is not linear and is highly dependent on secondary ALD parameters including the carrier gas flow rate and pumping speed.

The use of MM and SM allows us here to decouple $t_{\text{dos}}$ from $P_{\text{dos}}$ such that a prolonged $t_{\text{dos}}$ could be achieved without necessarily increasing $t_{\text{dos}}$ and consequently $P_{\text{dos}}$. Typically, a prolonged $t_{\text{dos}}$ is employed to obtain conformal deposition on a high-aspect-ratio structure because a longer $t_{\text{dos}}$ is required for the oxidant/precursor molecules to fully diffuse into the structures. In fact, it has been estimated that the required $t_{\text{dos}}$ would be proportional to the square of the aspect ratio. Given that the aspect ratio of G/Cu is much less than unity, we could argue that the diffusion of oxidant/precursor molecules onto the surface should not be a limiting factor. On the other hand, the long $t_{\text{dos}}$ may indeed be needed to account for the slow adsorption kinetics due to the inertness of the graphene surface. Our data show that, for the same $P_{\text{dos}}$ a longer $t_{\text{dos}}$ results in a higher $\theta$, while for the same $t_{\text{dos}}$ a higher $P_{\text{dos}}$ does not necessarily result in a higher $\theta$. In fact, when all data from CM, MM, and SM are combined, $\theta$ can only be correlated to $t_{\text{dos}}$ but not to $P_{\text{dos}}$. A strong correlation between $\theta$ and $t_{\text{dos}}$ is observed when $t_{\text{dos}}$ is less than a critical value of $\sim 2$ s, with $\theta$ varying linearly with $t_{\text{dos}}$, i.e., $\theta \propto t_{\text{dos}}$ instead of with the square root of $t_{\text{dos}}$, i.e., $\theta \propto t_{\text{dos}}^{1/2}$, suggesting that the ALD AIO$_x$ on G/Cu is surface-reaction-limited instead of diffusion-limited (Figure 4e).

On the other hand, a saturation is reached, i.e., $\theta \approx 100\%$, when $t_{\text{dos}} \geq 2$ s regardless of the ALD mode used. In addition, the use of SM using H$_2$O/TMA with a $t_{\text{dos}}$ of $\sim 3.5$ s allows a much more homogeneous nucleation with $\theta > 97\%$ to be obtained with just 12 ALD cycles on HOPG, G/Ge, and G/SiO$_2$ (Figure 5a–c), negating the difficulties in introducing conformal nucleation on the notoriously difficult-to-wet graphitic surfaces. It is important to note that the value of critical $t_{\text{dos}}$ may be different from one ALD system to another. It is also worth mentioning that the supporting substrates by themselves, e.g., bare Cu or SiO$_2$ without graphene, are not difficult-to-wet surfaces, and thus homogeneous AIO$_x$ nucleation could be consistently obtained with the typical parameters in CM (see also the Supporting Information, section SI9). While a conformal nucleation on these graphic surfaces could still possibly be obtained by CM, a prohibitively high amount of H$_2$O/TMA would probably be required. This finding strongly suggests that the $t_{\text{dos}}$ of H$_2$O/TMA needed to obtain conformal nucleation at a $T_{\text{dep}}$ of 200 °C on graphitic surfaces is not excessively long. More importantly, this confirms our hypothesis that $t_{\text{dos}}$ is the key parameter to account for the slow adsorption kinetics of H$_2$O/TMA on the relatively nonreactive graphic surfaces; as such, the use of a lower $T_{\text{dep}}$ and the introduction of a surface modification are not a necessity for conformal AIO$_x$ nucleation.

Raman analysis of G/SiO$_2$ before and after ALD AIO$_x$ shows that the ALD process, in either CM or SM, does not introduce additional damage to the graphene structure, as reflected from their identical $I_p/I_D$ ratios. Thus, unlike the use of O$_3$ as the oxidant, the use of H$_2$O is relatively harmless for the graphene for a range of $T_{\text{dep}}$ values from 80 to 200 °C. We also show here that $t_{\text{dos}}$ could be extended by up to 3.5 s in SM without introducing a detrimental effect to the graphene even at a high $T_{\text{dep}}$. Nevertheless, care must be taken when an extremely long $t_{\text{dos}}$ is used because TMA is highly reactive and may result in the undesirable formation of defects on the graphene (see also the Supporting Information, section SI10).

Although nucleation on the ridges has long been thought to be energetically preferable to release the strains and ultimately relax the graphene, the effect of AIO$_x$ nucleation on the mechanical strain is observed to be much less pronounced compared to its effect on charge doping of the graphene. The decrease in $\omega_{2\text{D}}$ and $\omega_{\text{Raman}}$ modes toward lower wavenumbers indicates a decrease in the graphene doping level from $\sim 3 \times 10^{12}$ to $\sim 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ when AIO$_x$ is introduced under CM at 80 °C, while the mechanical strain level remains similar in magnitude between $0.1$ and $0.2\%$ (Figure 5d). On the other hand, when AIO$_x$ is deposited under SM at 200 °C, the doping level decreases to $<10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ and the mechanical strain level decreases slightly to between $0.05$ and $0.15\%$, although the broadening in $\Gamma_{2\text{D}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{Raman}}$ indicates that the variation in the nanometer-scale strain is actually increased (Figure 5d). It has been known that the presence of hydroxyl species on the SiO$_2$ surface induces the formation of charge trap sites that contribute to the doping level and the buckling behavior of G/SiO$_2$. During ALD, the concentration of hydroxyl species on the SiO$_2$ surface is strongly reduced because of induced desorption by thermal treatments. In addition, surface saturation by H$_2$O during ALD drives the O$_2$/H$_2$O redox reaction on SiO$_2$ toward H$^+$, which results in the depletion of reactive hydroxyl and peroxide species and leads to the further removal of charge trap sites.

Thus, the difference in the doping and mechanical strain levels between CM and SM may actually be attributed to the difference in $T_{\text{dep}}$, where a higher $T_{\text{dep}}$ leads to a higher removal rate of charge trap sites and thus results in lower doping and strain levels. Note that the level of doping and mechanical strain of graphene is strongly influenced by its substrate. Thus, the changes in the doping and strain levels observed here may occur differently if the graphene is supported by substrates other than SiO$_2$. Nevertheless, this strongly suggests that the 12 ALD cycles in SM at 200 °C is a sufficient condition not only for obtaining a homogeneous AIO$_x$ film but also for decreasing the doping and mechanical strain levels of G/SiO$_2$. As mentioned earlier, the ability to homogeneously deposit ultrathin oxide films on graphene is considered critical for device integration because, for instance, it allows a strong current saturation and a significant gain in voltage and transconductance in high-frequency graphene devices. While we show that a conformal deposition on graphene is possible, its use as a barrier is yet to be investigated and its quality in terms of, for instance, the leakage current, capacitance, or gas permeation remains to be thoroughly quantified. Nevertheless, future work related to ALD on graphic surfaces should consider extending the residence time if a conformal nucleation is to be achieved.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our results show that ALD of AIO$_x$ directly on graphene using the standard H$_2$O/TMA precursors results in nucleation behavior that can be either highly selective or completely homogeneous across the entire surface depending on the deposition conditions. When ALD is performed in CM under a
Regardless of the method used, be it CM, MM, or SM, when introduced by optimization to the ALD pulse sequence and a graphene surface. Here a prolonged residence time is accounted for the slow adsorption kinetics of the relatively inert residence time because an extended residence time is needed to nucleation such that sub-2-nm thin continuous AlOx films can be achieved directly on graphene using standard H2O/TMA precursors even at a high Tdep of 200 °C. Because these results could be generally extended to ALD of any other oxides, particularly if homogeneous deposition is required, the work presented here should be considered as a model system for rational 2D/non-2D material process integration, which is relevant to the interfacing and device integration of other emerging 2D materials, including hBN and transition-metal dichalcogenides, and many other difficult-to-wet materials.
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