Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, Volume 95, 1 September 2013, Pages 1–9 DOI:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.05.022

# **1** Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms:

# 2 Current status and challenges

- 3 S. Eduok, B. Martin, R. Villa, A. Nocker, B. Jefferson, F. Coulon\*
- 4 Department of Environmental Science and Technology, School of Applied Sciences,
- 5 Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK
- 6
- 7
- 8 \*Corresponding author: Dr Frédéric Coulon, Cranfield University, Department of
- 9 Environmental Science and Technology, School of Applied Sciences, MK43 0AL, UK;
- 10 email: <u>f.coulon@cranfield.ac.uk;</u> Tel +44 (0)1234 754 981

11

12

## 15 Abstract

16 The use of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in a wide range of products is associated with an increased concern for environmental safety due to their potential toxicological and adverse 17 effects. ENPs exert antimicrobial properties through different mechanisms such as the 18 formation of reactive oxygen species, disruption of physiological and metabolic processes. 19 20 Although there are little empirical evidences on environmental fate and transport of ENPs, 21 biosolids in wastewater most likely would be a sink for ENPs. However, there are still many 22 uncertainties in relation to ENPs impact on the biological processes during wastewater treatment. This review provides an overview of the available data on the plausible effects of 23 ENPs on AS and AD processes, two key biologically relevant environments for 24 understanding ENPs-microbial interactions. It indicates that the impact of ENPs is not fully 25 26 understood and few evidences suggest that ENPs could augment microbial-mediated processes such as AS and AD. Further to this, wastewater components can enhance or 27 28 attenuate ENPs effects. Meanwhile it is still difficult to determine effective doses and 29 establish toxicological guidelines, which is in part due to variable wastewater composition 30 and inadequacy of current analytical procedures. Challenges associated with toxicity evaluation and data interpretation highlight areas in need for further research studies. 31 32



#### 35 1. Introduction

The diversity and utilisation of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in industrial processes and 36 consumer product manufacturing is rapidly increasing. Meanwhile concerns on their potential 37 adverse effects on microorganisms and the environment are gradually emerging and are not 38 yet well understood (Pan et al. 2010; Woodrow Wilson Database, 2011). With the array of 39 40 consumer products in the health and fitness sector, pharmaceuticals, food and textiles containing ENPs, it is already apparent that release of ENP-enabled waste into wastewater 41 42 treatment plant (WWTP) will sharply increase (Benn and Westerhoff, 2008; Mueller and 43 Nowack, 2008). Once in wastewater, it is assumed that ENPs will associate with organic matter in the sludge which would invariably act as a primary sink and source for waste 44 containing aged ENPs (Brar et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2010). Likewise this 45 scenario entails that the environment will be flooded with large quantities of nanowaste 46 47 gradually released from wastewater discharge (Kaegi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012b). To date, our knowledge on the effects of ENPs on biologically mediated processes such as 48 49 activated sludge (AS) and anaerobic digestion (AD) in WWTP is scarce. Any negative impact 50 in turn would adversely affect the efficiency of the biological removal process in AS and 51 biogas production during AD. On the other hand, toxicity might be mitigated by complexation of ENPs due to the presence of ligands or microbial transformation (Kim et al., 52 53 2010; Liang et al., 2010; Gondikas et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the overall effect and severity of the ENPs are difficult to predict at the moment given the lack of experimental evidence. 54 The current paucity of information on the effects of the most widely used ENPs including 55 titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>), silver oxide (Ag<sup>0</sup>), zinc oxide (ZnO), copper oxide (CuO), gold 56 (Au<sup>0</sup>), fullerene  $(C_{60})$  and nanofibres might explain the lack of regulatory guidelines on ENP 57 application and release due to risk-based policy formulation approach of 'no data, no 58 regulation' (EPA, 2007; Woodrow Wilson Centre, 2007; Weisner et al., 2006). 59

Clearly, there is a compelling need to characterise the fate, transport, behaviour and effects of
ENPs in WWTP. The elucidation of any adverse effect on microbial species will certainly
improve our understanding of the ENPs effects in WWTP and contribute to develop useful
monitoring tool.

Here to shed more light on the current knowledge status, we present an overview of plausible
effects of ENPs on AS and AD processes as two key biologically relevant environments for
understanding ENPs-microbial interaction, the challenges associated with toxicity evaluation
and data interpretation.

68

### 69 2. Fate and transport of ENPs

The novel physicochemistry of ENPs makes it essential to understand their fate when 70 intentionally or accidentally released into wastewater to minimise adverse interactions with 71 72 non-target and ecologically important organisms. Information on their mode of action, aging, interaction with other substances and biological systems in a complex matrix is rather limited 73 74 though concerted effort is being made to determine the processes and properties governing their fate and transport. Once they are released from the consumer product into wastewater, it 75 is suspected that their fate will vary and may include sorption to organic matter, biomass 76 77 and/or extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), aggregation, reaction with other compounds or microbial conversion (Kiser et al., 2010; Weisner et al., 2009). 78

79

# 80 2.1. Influence of porous media and natural organic matter (NOM) on ENPs

The transport of ENPs with a particle size < 100 nm through porous media have been predicted to have high efficiencies of movement and attraction to surfaces influenced by Brownian diffusion (Dunphy Guszman et al., 2006; Locoanet and Wiesner, 2004) and affected by environmental conditions (Petosa et al., 2010). Although sorbed particles are

85 expected to remain attached to media surfaces, recent findings have demonstrated that retained ENPs of 8 nm in low ionic strength solutions were released from saturated porous 86 media against the prediction of Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory. 87 88 Further to this, a recent study conducted by Wang et al. (2012a) on the assessment of ENPs retention and transport of different sizes using mass concentration (mg/L) and particle 89 number concentration (particles/mL) resulted in divergent conclusions. 90 91 In the aqueous environment and soils, natural organic matter (NOM) plays a significant role in the transport and fate of ENPs due to the tendency for colloidal absorption and aggregation 92 93 through hydrophobic reactions. However sorption to biosolids in a wastewater context might be hindered in the presence of surfactants in the effluent discharge (Hyung et al., 2007; Kiser 94

95 et al., 2010).

96

## 97 2.2. ENPs uptake and bioaccumulation

Bioavailable ENPs can penetrate cells or attach to the cell wall (Figure 1). They are not
known to biodegrade and microorganisms have demonstrated *in vitro* adaptation to shock

doses and contact (Lara et al., 2010; Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010). For instance,

101 internalisation of ENPs and reductive deposition of palladium nanoparticles in the

102 periplasmic space of *Shewanella oneidensis* indicates that ENPs presumably could

103 bioaccumulate and may likely biomagnify along the food chain as illustrated in Figure 2 (De

104 Windt et al., 2006). On the other hand, accumulation of nanosilver has been demonstrated as

responsible of the resistance of *Pseudomonas stutzeri* AG259 to Ag<sup>0</sup> toxicity (Klaus et al.,

106 1999). Recently, concentration-dependent uptake and internalisation of TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO have

107 been reported in *Salmonella typhimurium* and *E.coli* with induced mutagenic effect which

may potentially be transferred to higher life forms (Kumar et al., 2011; Holbrook et al., 2008;

109 Unrine et al., 2010).

#### 110 **3. Effects of ENPs on microorganisms**

Effects ENPs on microorganisms are dependent on several factors including ENP 111 physicochemistry, dose, contact time, type of organism, cultural conditions, and composition 112 of growth medium which interact in synergy to damage or lyse the microbial cells (Aruguete 113 and Hochella, 2010). Illustration of these effects on microbial cell wall within activated 114 sludge processes is shown in Figure 1. Interestingly, stabilisers and capping agents have also 115 116 been shown to exert differential effect on microorganisms (Drogat et al., 2010; Jaiswal et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2009). However, laboratory-controlled selective effect of size- and dose-117 118 dependent pristine ENPs as demonstrated by several authors does not suggest that the same size/concentration of ENPs in unaltered physicochemical state would be prevalent in 119 wastewater to exert similar acute effect. For illustration purpose, the size-dependent 120 inhibitory effect Ag<sup>0</sup> is presented in Figure 3. In addition, most ENPs are colloidal and 121 122 microorganisms lack uptake mechanism for colloidal and complex particulate materials. Therefore ENPs are suspected to exert their toxic effect by solubilised ions that enter the cell 123 by oxidative disruption of the cell membrane (Kloepfer et al., 2005). 124 Also, ENPs generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as free radicals (OH<sup>-</sup>), singlet 125 oxygen  $({}_{1}O^{2})$  and superoxides  $(O_{2}^{-})$  which exerts several adverse effects on microorganisms 126 including disruption of cell wall, damage of DNA/RNA, lipid peroxidation, oxidative stress, 127 inhibition of exopolysaccharide and biofilm formation (Pelletier et al., 2010). The mechanism 128 of action attributed to release of ions from Ag<sup>0</sup> was demonstrated with *E.coli* and found to be 129 dependent on concentration and contact time. Adverse effects included membrane leakage of 130 131 sugars and proteins, enzyme inhibition, cell disruption, and scattered vesicles which slowly dissolve thus inhibiting cellular respiration and cell growth (Wen-Ru et al., 2010). 132 Although most studies address adverse effects, exposure to ENPs have also been associated 133 with growth enhancement and increase in microbial reaction rates by ENPs which act as 134

135 catalyst (Hilderbrand et al., 2008 and 2009). Prominent examples include (1) the temperaturedependent, anaerobic reduction of nitrate under batch conditions by integrated nanoscale 136 zero-valent iron and microbial system (Shin and Cha, 2008), (2) the Shewanella oneidensis-137 palladium nanoparticle mediated dechlorination of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners 138 in sediment matrices (De Windt et al., 2006) and (3) the stimulatory effect on dehydrogenase 139 enzyme of soil microorganisms (Cullen et al., 2011). Although the mechanism of action in 140 ENPs stimulated processes is yet to be fully elucidated, these findings suggest that the use of 141 ENPs in environmental processes could enhance intrinsic metabolic potentials of indigenous 142 143 microbial species. Further compilation of evidence that ENPs can have positive effect on biological processes appears interesting and could potentially be employed to augment 144 wastewater treatment under certain conditions. 145

146

147 **4.** ENPs – microbial interactions in WWTP

Wastewater contains diverse microorganisms with different surface charges and sorption 148 potentials. Significant factors likely to determine ENPs-microbial interactions in wastewater 149 include solubility, bioavailability and bioreactivity. A schematic overview of the ENPs-150 microbial interactions occurring in AS and AD is summarised in Figure 4. ENPs-microbial 151 interactions are presumably reduced by aggregation which is likely to restrict the efficiency 152 of cellular contact and thus reduces the bioavailable dose (Depledge, 2010; Grieger et al., 153 154 2010). For instance, fullerenes  $C_{60}$  water suspension has been found to be toxic to pure cultures of B. subtilis (Lyon et al., 2006) and E. coli (Chae et al., 2009), while no observable 155 negative effect was noticed on bacterial soil communities and cellular respiration due to the 156 cultural conditions and soil NOM (Tong et al., 2007). 157

158

#### 161 *4.1. Interaction with AS microbial community*

The removal of nitrogenous material from wastewater during AS treatment can be inhibited 162 by nanosilver particles (Choi et al., 2010). The accumulation of ammonia in AS will have 163 then a negative effect on the key syntrophic microbial groups involved in AD (Kayhanian, 164 1994). Although  $Ag^0$  concentration reported in sewage ranged from 2 to 18  $\mu$ g/L (Blaser et 165 al., 2008), it is not clear if this concentration could have a detrimental effect on 166 microorganisms as a peak concentration of 750  $\mu$ g Ag<sup>0</sup>/L in activated sludge after 12 h shock 167 168 load had no observable inhibitory effect on organic removal rate by heterotrophic bacteria (Liang et al., 2010). 169 As close contact with the cell surface is assumed to be important for achieving an effect of 170 171 ENPs on microbes, a differential impact might be expected for planktonic and biofilmassociated cells. Cells within a biofilm matrix are typically embedded in a coat of 172 extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) restricting direct contact or lowering the effective dose of 173 ENPs (Liu et al., 2007). In a recent study, NOMs and EPS have been found to hinder  $C_{60}$ -174 bacterial biomass interaction in AS (Kiser et al., 2010). ENP-microbial interaction may not be 175 particle size-dependent alone and could vary in different cultural and environmental 176 conditions especially when the organisms can synthesize EPS. On the other hand, interaction 177 could be enhanced by proteins which promote disaggregation of ENP thus increasing 178 bioavailability and contact (Karanjangi et al., 2006). 179

180

#### 181 *4.2. Interaction with AD microbial community*

As mentioned above, the two key syntrophic groups involved in anaerobic digestion process are acetogenic and methanogenic microorganisms. Both are affected by accumulation of free ammonia and fatty acids (Wagner et al., 2010). The performance of anaerobic digesters

amended with ENPs would be dependent on the resilience or susceptibility of archaeal cell
wall to ENPs contact (Figure 4; Debabov, 2004). An adverse effect on any successive step of
the anaerobic process will undoubtedly reduce reaction rate with increased accumulation of
toxic metabolic products that may constitute a limiting step in the process. To date, not much
information on the interaction of ENPs-microbial community during AS and AD is available
(Kim et al., 2010).

- 191
- 192 *4.3. Influence of ENPs particle size and shape*

193 Particle size and shape are known to affect ENPs interaction in aquatic and terrestrial media (Ge et al., 2011; Pelletier et al., 2010). For instance, nanosilver particles < 30 nm were 194 cytotoxic to E. coli and S. aureus (Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010). This suggests that 195 196 nanosilver particles greater than 30 nm could be non-inhibitory to microbial processes. Of 197 particular interest is the interaction of nanosilver particles less than 5 nm in suspension capable of inhibiting nitrification in AS (Choi et al., 2010). Meanwhile the truncated 198 triangular form of nanosilver particles which can also be spherical or rod-shaped form, was 199 found to exert the strongest bactericidal effect on E. coli in both agar plate and broth cultures 200 201 (Pal et al., 2007). However, caution should be taken when extrapolating these results from pure culture to complex microbial communities in wastewater. 202

203

## 204 4.4. Influence of ions released by ENPs on bacterial cell

The effect of ions released due to the presence of ENPs in wastewater on the microbial flora is not evident due to their low concentrations and complexation reactions with organic molecules (Fabrega et al., 2009; Zhang and Chen, 2009; Gondikas et al., 2012). Bactericidal properties of nanosilver through the release of ions ( $Ag^+$ ) are dependent on the hardness and alkalinity of the medium and bacterial cell wall composition (Jin et al., 2009). For instance,

teichoic acid contained in Gram-positive bacterial cell wall is negatively charged and serves as a binding site (Kikuchi et al., 1997). Binding of ENPs to teichoic acid residues is in competition with divalent cations ( $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ) resulting in reduction of  $Ag^0$  toxicity to Grampositive organisms in the presence of divalent cations. In Gram-negative cell walls, in contrast, it is the lipopolysaccharides that restrict passage of toxic substances into the cell although the presence of divalent cations reportedly exacerbates bactericidal effect of  $Ag^0$ especially in bicarbonate deficient medium (Kucerka et al., 2008).

Apart from a mitigating effect of divalent cations, sorption to cells is in addition reduced by 217 218 electrostatic repulsion (Yamanaka et al., 2005). The repulsion is however overcome to some extent by ion bridges forming between negatively charged lipopolysaccharide surface 219 molecules and negatively charged Ag<sup>0</sup>. The distinct effect of divalent cations on ENP 220 sorption efficiency is schematically shown in Figure 5. Sorption may result in conformational 221 change of cell wall and uptake of ENPs (Sondi and Salopek-Sondi, 2004; Morones et al., 222 2005). Again, comparative studies have shown that  $C_{60}$  are more firmly associated with E. 223 coli than B. subtilis suggesting differences in sorption potential due to surface charges (Lyon 224 et al., 2005). Similarly, sorption of a regular spatial pattern of Ag<sup>0</sup> on the surface of HIV-1 225 viruses suggests preferential binding to the viral glycoprotein spikes (Elechiguerra et al., 226 2005). However, there is not yet a common consensus on the interaction between bacterial 227 cell wall and its influence on ENP effect. For instance, independent studies by Chudasama et 228 229 al., (2010) and Jin et al., (2009) are of divergent opinion on the role of cell wall in reducing/enhancing ENPs-microbial interactions. 230

231

#### **5.** Challenges in the evaluation of ENPs-microbial interactions

233 Currently, there is no generally accepted protocol for ecotoxicity tests and exposure

234 characteristics of ENPs. A number of tests suggested for consideration in addition to the

manufacturer's characterisation for ENPs, includes measurements of concentration, surface
area, zeta potential, primary particle size before dosing, impurities (if any), pre-treatment and
analytical procedure, presence of NOMs, and divalent ions in test medium (Depledge, 2010;
Grieger et al., 2010). Again, multiple interlinked transformation processes typically found in
wastewater and environmental matrices such as the presence of sulfhydryl-containing ligands
could alter reactivity and bioavailability of ENPs and give a false-positive acute or chronic
toxicity assessment (Gondikas et al., 2012).

242

#### 243 5.1. Determining ENPs inhibitory end-points

ENPs are greatly influenced by their physicochemistry which in turn may affect standard test 244 methods, octanol-water partition coefficients and bioaccumulation potential (Handy et al, 245 246 2008). Thus, the measurable chemical endpoints such as lethal concentration (LC), lowest observed effect concentration (LOEC), inhibitory concentration (IC), effective concentration 247 (EC) or no observed effect concentration (NOEC) may be inadequate to evaluate the effect of 248 ENPs on microorganisms (Crane et al., 2008). The estimation of 'safe' or 'no-effect 249 concentration' of toxicant to microbes is usually extrapolated from quantitative measurement 250 251 of cellular dysfunction. Determination of the end-points (NOEC, LOEC, LC, IC, EC) of toxicant concentration-microbial response using Point estimation technique or Hypothesis 252 253 testing could be subjective, biased and misleading because concentrations below the limits of 254 detection can exert biologically significant effect (Crane and Newman, 2000; Warne and van Dam, 2008). 255

Again, it is not clear if there is any relationship between ENPs physicochemistry and any of the biological end-points as particle size and surface area effects are not considered in most toxicological methods (Farre et al., 2009; Weisner et al., 2009). Apparently, LC, IC and EC values are estimates of toxicant adverse effect on test organisms, and it would be appropriate

260 to determine 'safe' toxicant concentrations from a microbiological perspective rather than statistical tests. This presents a difficult task for microbiologists to determine the impact level 261 that would be considered 'safe' for microbial activities in wastewater with variable 262 composition. The problem is exacerbated if the toxicant undergoes transformation or reacts 263 synergistically with other substances which could either enhance or attenuate the adverse 264 effect. Similarly, the concept of hormesis, a biphasic response characterised by low-dose 265 266 stimulation and high-dose inhibition has been generally overlooked in toxicological studies while it could play a significant role in determining the effect of ENPs on microbial cells in 267 268 AS and AD processes (Calabrese and Baldwin, 2003; Cook and Calabrese, 2006). Also, it is evident that the limited available EC data from research may not be comparable 269 270 with predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) for a significant ENP toxicity assessment 271 (Hund-Rinke and Simon, 2006; Tiede et al., 2009). The EU Directive on classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Council Directive 67/548/EEC) indicates 272 that substance concentration ranging between 10-100 mg/L without susceptibility to 273 biodegradation should be classified as harmful to aquatic organisms and may cause long term 274 adverse effect in the aquatic environment. The challenge is exacerbated due to a lack of 275 methods of ENPs characterisation (Tiede et al., 2009). Understanding ENPs behaviour and 276 their potential toxicity to microorganisms in the presence of variable wastewater 277 278 characteristics could be dependent on the nature, size and pre-treatment of ENPs (Brar et al., 279 2010). As a consequence of these uncertainties, models such as Mass balance partitioning (Mueller and Nowack, 2008) and Risk-ranking (Linkov et al., 2007) which may be used to 280 predict ENPs effect are not performing very well. However, Bayesian belief network can 281 282 satisfactorily predict uncertainties in ENPs toxic effect estimations (Borsuk et al., 2004). The model provides a graphically robust and coherent framework for probabilistic evaluation of 283 284 the relationship between complex variables. It delineates cause and effect assumptions with

complex causal chain linking actions to outcomes integrated into conditional relationships.
Besides, each relationship can be independently assessed without significantly obscuring any
variable unlike other models (Borsuk et al., 2004). An effective interpretation of ENPs
toxicity therefore would include an ENPs characterisation and a model comparing *in vitro*, *in vivo*, acute and chronic, predictive and validated bioassay data with those from relevant
environmentally aged-ENPs (Puzyn et al., 2011).

291

292 5.2. Lack of standards and guidance for ENPs toxicity evaluation

The lack of standardised guidance on dose metrics for ENP assessment exacerbates the uncertainty in toxicity data interpretation. For instance, documented *in vitro* minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values for  $Ag^0$  ranged from < 1 to 433 µg/mL for a variety of organisms under different cultural conditions (Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010). Besides the cytotoxic and mutagenic effects of different metal oxide ENPs (100 – 1600 µg/plate) on *E. coli* WP2 and *S. typhimurium* TA97 and TA100 showed a wide range of dose-dependent patterns (Warheit et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2010).

The assessment of toxicity is closely related to the determination of bioavailable dose (Crane 300 et al., 2008), therefore the interpretation of ENPs dose-contact may be problematic. Tests 301 with some metals demonstrated that short-term batch assays did not provide a true reflection 302 303 of toxicity probably due to kinetics of internalisation, dosimetry and exposure (Liang et al., 304 2010). Again, there could be bias in evaluation of data from a continuous flow and batch systems due to different hydraulic loading rate (HLR), hydraulic retention time (HRT) and 305 sludge retention time (SRT) which may increase or reduce ENP-microbial contact in 306 307 wastewater (Wei et al., 2008). Furthermore, reliance on existing regulations and guidelines for metal salts to evaluate ENPs release from wastewater without appropriate ecological 308

studies may constitute a risk because of ENPs bioreactivity (Farre et al., 2011; Wang et al.,
2012b; SCENIHR, 2007).

311

# 312 5.3. Uncertainties in determining ENP dosage and microbial contact

The determination of effective ENP concentration available for contact with microorganisms 313 in wastewater currently is a challenge, and can greatly deviate from the overall ENP 314 315 concentration. The implication is that most concentrations may not be effective for contact and toxicity assessment (French et al., 2009; El Badawy et al., 2010). ENPs homogeneity has 316 317 a strong influence on toxicity and the doses for toxicological tests are difficult to ascertain especially when the sample containing ENPs are not monodispersed (Crane et al., 2008). In 318 addition, some ENPs are good absorbents due to their special structure and electronic 319 320 properties, and could precipitate resulting in reduced bioavailability (Oberdorster et al., 2006; 321 Nowack and Bucheli, 2007).

322

#### 323 5.4. Influence of pre-treatment on ENPs dosage is uncertain

Analytical procedures and pre-treatment of the samples (e.g. drying for electron microscopy, 324 325 autoclaving for sterility) in most cases would appear controversial due to the perceived alteration of ENP physicochemistry with subsequent influence on the experimental result 326 327 (Tiede et al., 2009). In the same way, the use of dispersants or filters may denature ENP 328 characteristics resulting in size/shape variation or change their final concentration (Handy et al., 2008). Again, toxicity could either be enhanced or minimised due to dispersant-ENPs 329 interactions (Crane et al., 2008) with the possibility of re-aggregation and changes in ENPs 330 331 chemical nature with sonication or prolonged stirring (Hassellov et al., 2008).

332

#### 333 5.5. Inadequacy of available toxicity bioassay

334 The different ENPs would require formulation of specific toxicity standards based on factors such as dose, shape/size-, elemental composition/functionalization-response to assess their 335 impacts on biological systems (Harper et al., 2011). The use of standardised ecotoxicological 336 337 tests involving respirometry, Daphnia magna, bioluminescent and anaerobic toxicity tests have been suggested especially when there is paucity of information on the substance 338 (Gutierrez et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2008; Garcia et al., 2011). In comparison with 50 339 340 standardised microscale tests, Microtox® assay has been highly rated as a useful tool with 'environmental relevance' for toxicity testing (Ghirardini et al., 2009; Munkittrick and 341 342 Power, 1989). Microtox assay is based on the exposure of the bacterium V.fischeri with subsequent measurement of bioluminescence. The use of this assay is however controversial 343 because this marine species is not a representative member of sludge and requires 2% NaCl. 344 345 Such osmotic conditions obviously vary substantially from those in activated sludge 346 suggesting that extrapolation of the resulting data to a wastewater context has to be interpreted with caution (Gutierrez et al., 2002). Further to this, Ghirardini et al. (2009) and 347 references therein generally agree on the ecological relevance and reliability of the 348 Microtox® solid phase test due to its representativeness on diverse metabolic ability of 349 350 microorganisms. However they also highlighted the importance of confounding factors such as bacterial absorption, pH variation due to dilution, particle interference, redox potential 351 352 which greatly influenced Microtox response to conditions tested. Therefore relying only on 353 one bioassay test is not sufficient and it can be inferred that an adequate evaluation of ENP toxic effect on AS or AD microorganisms would require the use of multiple bioassays 354 employing species typically found in wastewater such as E. coli, ammonia- and nitrite-355 356 oxidising species and methanogens for comparative ENPs toxicity evaluation. 357

358 5.6. Interpretation of ENP toxic effects

359 ENPs effects on the cells are not fully understood and it is difficult to define a cellular target as a basis for toxicity measurement. The problem is made convoluted due to the alteration in 360 ENPs reactivity as a consequence of aggregation (Hassellov et al., 2008). To date, the 361 scarcity of this information makes it difficult to ascertain the safety or otherwise of ENPs 362 released into the environment (Grieger et al., 2010). In addition, there are no standardised or 363 reliable methods to determine and make definitive judgement on environmentally effective 364 365 ENP concentration and complexation/aggregation reactions of ENPs (Nowack and Bucheli, 2007). Until recently, aggregation was not important in the determination of ENPs toxicity 366 367 (Hassellov et al., 2008). As a consequence, the effect of an ENP is rather determined based on the interpretation and judgement of individual researchers on the observable acute 368 response of the test organism. 369

370

### 371 Conclusion

The inclusion of ENPs in consumer products has undoubtedly introduced a new and 372 expanding group of xenobiotic compounds into the ecosystem. ENPs and their transformation 373 products react differently from naturally occurring substances which hinder microbial 374 utilisation/degradation with increased potential for accumulation and toxicity. The non-375 degradability and the resulting potential for bioaccumulation render ENPs as pollutant of 376 377 more serious concern compared with other persistent organic pollutants. The lack of 378 knowledge about their ecotoxicological impact and the non-existence of adequate analytical methods, guidelines and regulations add more uncertainty. We report available information 379 on ENPs-microbial interactions restricted to varying acute effect of pristine forms, whereas 380 381 aged-ENPs and wastewater microbial interaction is at best hypothetical with confounding variables. Thus, insights on ENPs effects on wastewater microbial community will require a 382 383 case-by-case evaluation for understanding ENPs behaviour and environment-friendly

384 management of nanowaste. This review demonstrates the urgent need for further empirical evidences on the effects of pristine and aged-ENPs on wastewater microorganisms in AS and 385 AD processes. This knowledge gap is in great part caused by the lack of appropriate 386 387 analytical tools and framework to elucidate factors that positively enhance or attenuate ENPs effects. Thus, a realistic correlation in data interpretation from available acute toxicity test 388 without comparison with data from relevant environmental media could be subjective, with 389 uncertainties and bias. Future research needs would include development of relevant 390 analytical technique for ENPs characterisation in complex environment. Correspondingly, 391 392 experimental data from a pilot- and full-scale study as a relevant environmental condition and impact analysis of aged-ENPs in AS and AD would be greatly beneficial and provide 393 394 comparative empirical evidence on the toxicological implications of ENPs on microbial 395 community dynamics during wastewater treatment.

396

#### 397 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the transatlantic initiative on nanoparticles and the
environment (TINE) project co-funded by USEPA and NERC, and the Commonwealth
Scholarships.

401

# 402 **References**

403 Aruguete, D.M., Hochella, M.F., 2010. Bacteria-nanoparticle interactions and their

404 environmental implications. Environ. Chem. 7, 3-9.

Benn, T.M., Westerhoff, P., 2008. Nanoparticle silver released into water from commercially
available sock fabrics. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42(11), 4133-4139.

- 407 Blaser, S.A., Scheringer, M., MacLeod. M., Hungerbuhler, K., 2008. Estimation of
- 408 cumulative aquatic exposure and risk due to silver: contribution of nano-functionalized
  409 plastics and textiles. Sci. Tot. Environ. 390(2-3), 396-409.
- Borsuk, M., Stow, C., Reckhow, K.A., 2004. Bayesian network of eutrophication models for
  synthesis, prediction, and uncertainty analysis. Ecol. Modll. 173, 219-239.
- 412 Brar, S.K., Verma, M., Tyagi, R.D., Surampalli, R.Y., 2010. Engineered nanoparticles in
- 413 wastewater and wastewater sludge Evidence and impacts. *Waste Management*. 30(3),
  414 504-520.
- Calabrese, E.J., Baldwin, L.A., 2003. Hormesis: The dose-response revolution. Annu. Rev.
  Pharmacol. Toxicol. 43,175-179.
- 417 Chae, S.R., Wang, S., Hendren, Z., Weisner, M.R., Watanabe, Y., Gunsch, C.K., 2009.
- Effects of fullerene nanoaprticles on Escherichia coli k12 respiratory activity in aqueous
  suspension and potential use for membrane biofouling control. J. Membr. Sci. 329(1-2),
  68-74.
- 421 Choi, O., Deng, K.K., Kim, N-J., Ross Jr, L., Surampalli, R.Y., Hu, Z., 2008. The inhibitory
  422 effect of silver nanoparticles, silver ions, and silver chloride colloids on microbial
- 423 growth. Water Res. 42 (12), 3066-3074.
- 424 Choi, O., Yu, C-P., Fernandez, E., Hu, Z., 2010. Interaction of nanosilver with *Escherichia*425 *coli* cells in planktonic biofilms cultures. Water Res. 44, 6095-6103.
- 426 Christian, P., Von der Kammer, F., Baalousha, M., Hofmann, T.H., 2008. Nanoparticles:
- 427 structure, properties, preparation and behaviour in environmental media. Environ.
- 428 Toxicol. Chem. 17, 326 343.
- 429 Chudasama, B., Vala, A.K., Andhariya, N., Mehta, R.V., Upadhyay, R.V., 2010. Highly
- 430 bacterial resistant silver: synthesis and antibacterial activities. J. Nanopart Res 12,1677-
- 431 1685.

- 432 Cook, R., Calabrese, E.J., 2006. The importance of hormesis to public health. Environ.
  433 Health Perspect. 114,1631-1635.
- 434 Council Directive 67/548/EEC: COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the
- 435 approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the
- 436 classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances.
- 437 Crane, M., Handy, R. D., Garrod, J., Owen, R., 2008. Ecotoxicity test methods and
- 438 environmental hazard assessment for engineered nanoparticles. Ecotoxicology 17(5),439 421-437.
- 440 Crane, M., Newman, M.C., 2000. What level of effect is a no observed effect? Environ.
- 441 Toxicol. Chem. 19(2), 516-519
- 442 Cullen, L.G., Tilson, E.L., Mitchell, G.R., Collins, C.D., Shaw, L.J., 2011. Assessing the
- 443 impact of nano- and micro-scale zerovalent iron particles on soil microbial activities:
- 444 Particle reactivity interferes with assay conditions and interpretation of genuine
- 445 microbial effects. Chemosphere. 82,1675-1682
- 446 De Windt, W., Boon, N., Van den Bulcke, J., Rubberecht, L., Prata, F., Mast, J., Hennebel,
- 447 T., Verstraete, W., 2006. Biological control of the size and reactivity of catalytic Pd(0)
- 448 produced by Shewanella oneidensis. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 90(4), 377-389.
- 449 Debabov, V.G., 2004. Bacterial and Archaeal S-layers as a subject of nanobiotechnology.
- 450 Molecular Biology 38(4), 482-493.
- 451 Depledge, M.H., Pleasant, L.J., Lawton, J.H., 2010. Nanomaterials and the environment: The
- 452 views of the Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (UK). Environ. Toxicol.
- 453 Chem. 29(1), 1-4.
- 454 Drogat, N., Granet, R., Sol, V., Memmi, A., Saad, N., Koerkamp, C.K., Bressollier, P.,
- 455 Krausz, P., 2010. Antimicrobial silver nanoparticles generated on cellulose nanocrystals.
- 456 J. Nanopart Res. 13(4), 1557-1562.

457	Dunphy Guzman, K.A., Finnegan, M.P., Banfield, J.F. 2006. Influence of surface potential on
458	aggregation and transport of titania nanoparticles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40:7688-7693

459 El Badawy, A.M., Luxton, T.P., Silva, R.G., Scheckel, K.G., Suidan, M.T., Tolaymat, T.M.,

460 2010. Impact of environmental conditions (pH, ionic strength, and electrolyte type) on

- the surface charge and aggregation of silver nanoparticles suspensions. Environ. Sci.
- 462 Technol. 44(4),1260-1266.
- Elechiguerra, J.L., Burt, J.L., Morones, J.R., Camacho-Bragado, A., Gao, X., Lara, H.H.,
  Yacaman, M.J., 2005. Interaction of silver nanoparticles with HIV-1. J. Nanobiotechnol.
  3, 6.
- 466 Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, 2007. Nanotechnology white paper. US
- 467 Environmental Protection Agency Report, EPA 100/B-07/001, Washington.
- Fabrega, J., Fawcett, S. R., Renshaw, J.C., Lead, J.R., 2009. Silver nanoparticle impact on
  bacterial growth: Effect of pH, concentration, and organic matter. Environ. Sci. Technol
  43(19), 7285-7290.
- 471 Farre, M., Gajda-Schrantz, K., Kantiani, L., Barcelo, D., 2009. Ecotoxicity and analysis of
  472 nanomaterials in the aquatic environment. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 393,81-95.
- 473 Farre, M., Sanchis, J., Barcelo, D., 2011. Analysis and assessment of the occurrence, the fate
  474 and the behaviour of nanomaterials in the environment. Trends Anal. Chem. 30(3), 517475 527
- 476 French, R.A., Jacobson, A.R., Kim, B., Isley, S.L., Penn, R.L., Baveye, P.C., 2009. Influence
- 477 of ionic strength, pH, and cation valence on aggregation kinetics of titanium dioxide
- 478 nanoparticles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43,1354-1359.
- 479 Garcia, A., Espinosa, R., Delgado, L., Casals, E., Gonzalez, E., Puntes, V., Barata, C., Font,
- 480 X., Sanchez, A., 2011. Acute toxicity of cerium oxide, titanium oxide and iron
- 481 nanoparticles using standardized tests. Desalination 269(1-3),136-141.

- 482 Ge, Y., Schimel, J.P., Holden, P.A., 2011. Evidence for Negative effects of  $TiO_2$  and ZnO
- 483 nanoparticles on soil bacterial communities. Environ. Sci Technol 45, 1659-1664

484 Ghirardini, A.V., Girardini, M., Marchetto, D., Pantani, C., 2009. Microtox® solid phase test:

485 Effect of diluents used in toxicity test. Ecotox. Environ. Safety 72, 851-861

- 486 Gondikas, A.P., Morris, A., Reinsch, B.C., Marinakos, S.M., Lowry, G.V., Hsu-Kim, H.,
- 487 2012. Cysteine-induced modification of zero-valent silver nanomaterials: Implications
- 488 for particle surface chemistry, aggregation, dissolution, and speciation. Environ. Sci.
- 489 Technol. 46, 7037-7045.
- 490 Grieger, K.D., Baun, A., Owen, R., 2010. Redefining risk research priorities for
- 491 nanomaterials. J. Nanopart. Res. 12, 383-392.
- 492 Gutierrez, M., Extebarria, J., de las Fuentes, L., 2002. Evaluation of wastewater toxicity:
- 493 comparative study between Microtox® and activated sludge oxygen uptake inhibition.
  494 Water Res. 36(4), 919-924
- Handy, R. D., Von Der Kammer, F., Lead, J.R., Hassellöv, M., Owen, R., Crane, M., 2008.
- The ecotoxicology and chemistry of manufactured nanoparticles. *Ecotoxicology* 17(4),
  287-314.
- 498 Harper, S.L., Carriere, J.L., Miller, J.M., Hutchison, J.E., Maddux, B.L.S., Tanguay, R.L.,
- 2011. Systematic evaluation of nanomaterial toxicity: Utility of standardized materialsand rapid assays. ACS Nano 5(6), 4688-4697.
- 501 Hassellov, M., Readman, J.W., Ranville, J.F., Tiede, K., 2008. Nanopraticle analysis and
- 502 characterisation methodologies in Environmental risk assessment of engineered
- nanoparticles. Ecotoxicol. 17, 344-361.
- Hilderbrand, H., Mackenzie, K., Kopinke, F-D., 2008. Novel catalysts for wastewater
  treatment. Global NEST J. 10(1), 47-53.

- 506 Hilderbrand, H., Mackenzie, K., Kopinke, F-D., 2009. Pd/FeO<sub>4</sub> nano-catalysts for selective
- 507 dehalogenation in wastewater treatment processes-Influence of water constituents. Appl.
  508 Catal. Environ. 91, 389-396
- 509 Holbrook, R.D., Murphy, K.E., Morrow, J.B., Cole, K.D., 2008. Trophic transfer
- 510 nanoparticles in a simplified invertebrate food web. Nat. Nanotechnol. 3, 352-355
- 511 Hund-Rinke, K., Simon, M., 2006. Ecotoxic effect of photocatalytic active nanoparticles
- 512 TiO<sub>2</sub> an algae and daphnid. Environ Sci Pollut. Res. 13, 225 232.
- 513 Hyung, H., Fortner, J.D., Hughes, J.B., Kim, J.H., 2007. Natural organic matter stabilizes
- carbon nanotubes in the aqueous phase. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41,179-184.
- Jaiswal, S., Duffy, B., Jaiswal, A.K., Stobie, N., McHale, P., 2010. Enhancement of the
- 516 antibacterial properties of silver nanoparticles using  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin as a capping agent.
- 517 Int. J. Antimicrob. Agent 36, 280-283.
- Jin, T., Sun, D., Su, J.Y., Zhang, H., Sue, H.J., 2009. Antimicrobial efficacy of zinc oxide
- 519 quantum dots against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enteritidis* and *Escherichia*
- 520 *coli* 0157:H7. J. Food Sci 74(1), M46-52
- 521 Kaegi, R., Voegelin, A., Sinnet, B., Zuleeg, S., Hagendorfer, H., Burkhardt, M., Siegrist, H.,
- 522 2011. Behavior of metallic silver nanoparticles in a pilot wastewater treatment plant.
- 523 Environ. Sci. Technol. 45(9), 3902-3908.
- Karanjangi, S.S., Yang, H.C., Asuri, P., 2006. Protein-assisted solubility of single-walled
  carbon nanotubes. Langmuir 22,1392-1395
- Kayhanian, M., 1994. Performance of a high solid anaerobic digestion process under various
  ammonia concentrations. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 59, 349-352.
- 528 Kikuchi, K., Bernard, E. M., Sadownik, A. Regen, S.L. Armstrong, D. 1997. Antimicrobial
- 529 activities of squalamine mimics. Antimicrob. Agent Ch. 41:1433-1438

- Kim, B., Park, C-S., Murayama, M., Hochella Jr, M.F., 2010. Discovery and characterisation
  of silver sulphide nanoparticles in final sewage sludge products. Environ. Sci. Technol.
  44, 7509 7514
- 533 Kiser, M.A., Ryu, H., Jang, H., Hristovski, K., Westerhoff, P., 2010. Biosorption of
- nanoparticles to heterotrophic wastewater biomass. Water Res. 44, 4105-4114.
- Klaus, T., Joerger, R., Olsson, E., Granqvist, C., 1999. Silver-based crystalline nanoparticles,
  microbially fabricated. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 96(24), 13611-13614.
- 537 Kloepfer, J.A., Mielke, R.E., Nadeau, J.L., 2005. Uptake of CdSe and CdSe/ZnS quantum
- dots into bacteria via purine-dependent mechanisms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71,2548-2557.
- 540 Krishnaraj, C., Jagan, E. G., Rajasekar, S., Selvakumar, P., Kalaichelvan, P. T. and Mohan,
- 541 N. (2010) Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Acalypha indica leaf extracts and its
- 542 antibacterial activity against water borne pathogens. Colloids and Surfaces B:
- 543 Biointerfaces, 76(1):50-56.
- 544 Kucerka, N., Papp-Szabo, E., Njeh, M.P., Harroun, T.A., Schooling, S.R., Pencer, J.,
- 545 Nicholson, E.A., Beveridge, T.J., Katsaras, J., 2008. Effects of cation on the structure of
- 546 bilayers formed by lipopolysaccharides from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAOI. J. Phys.
- 547 Chem. B. 112(27), 8057-8062.
- 548 Kumar, A., Pandey, A.K., Singh, S.S., Shanker, R., Dhawan, A., 2011. Cellular uptake and
- mutagenic potential of metal oxide nanoparticles in bacterial cells. Chemos. 83(8), 112432.
- Lacoanet, H.F., Wiesner, M.R., 2004. Velocity effect on fullerene and oxide nanoparticle
  deposition in porous media. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38(16), 4377-4382.

- Lara, H.H., Ayala-Nunez, N.V., Turrent, L.C.I., Padilla, C.R., 2010. Bacterial effect of silver
  of nanoparticles against multidrug-resistant bacteria. World J. Microbiol Biotechnol
  26,615-621.
- Liang, Z., Das, A., Hu, Z., 2010. Bacterial response to a shock load of nanosilver in an
  activated sludge treatment system. Wat. Res. 44, 5432-5438.
- Linkov, I., Satterstrom, F.K., Steevens, J., Ferguson, E., Pleus, R.C., 2007. Multi-criteria
- decision analysis and environmental risk assessment for nanomaterials. J. Nanopart. Res.
  9, 543-554.
- Liu, Y., Li, J., Qui, X., Burda , C., 2007. Bactericidal activity of nitrogen-doped metal oxide
- nanocatalysts and the influence of bacterial extracellular substances (EPS). J Photochem
  Photobiol A Chem 190, 94-100.
- Lok, C., Ho, C., Chen, R., He, Q., Yu, W., Sun, H., Tam, P.K., Chiu, J. and Che, C. (2007),
  Silver nanoparticles: Partial oxidation and antibacterial activities. J. Biol Inorgan Chem
  12(4):527-534
- 567 Lyon, D.Y., Adams, L.K., Falkner, J.C., Alvarez, P.J.J., 2006. Antibacterial activity of
- fullerenes water suspensions: Effect of preparation method and particle size. Environ.
  Sci. Technol. 40(14), 4360-4366.
- Lyon, D.Y., Fortner, J.D., Sayes, C.M., Colvin, V.L., Hughes, J.B., 2005. Bacterial cell
  association antimicrobial activity of a C-60 water suspension. Environ. Toxicol. Chem
  24(11), 2757-2762.
- 573 Martinez-Castanon, G.A., Nino-Martinez, N., Martinez-Gutierrez, F., J.R. Martinez-
- 574 Mendoza, J.R., Ruiz, F., 2008. Synthesis and antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticle
- 575 with different sizes. J. Nanoparticle Res 10, 1343-8.
- 576 Martinez-Gutierrez, F., Olive, P.L., Banuelos, A., Orrantia, E., Nino, N., Sanchez, E.M.,
- 577 Ruiz, F., Bach, H., Av-Gay, Y., 2010. Synthesis, characterisation, and evaluation of

- 578 antimicrobial and cytotoxic effect of silver and titanium nanoparticles. Nanomedicine:
- 579 Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine 6, 681-688.
- 580 Morones, J.R., Elechiguerra, J.L., Camacho, A., Holt, K., Kouri, J.B., Ramirez, J.T.,
- 581 Yacaman, M.J., 2005. The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology*582 16, 2346-2353.
- Mueller, N.C., Nowack, B., 2008. Exposure modelling of engineered nanoparticles in the
  environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 4447-4453.
- 585 Munkittrick, K.R., Power, E.A., 1989. An evaluation of the sensitivity of microassays relative
- to Trout and Daphnid acute lethality tests, E.V.S. Consultants, North Vancouver, B.C.,
- report for Environment Canada, River Road Environ. Technol. Centre, Ottawa., 76 p.
- Nowack, B., Bucheli, T.D., 2007. Occurence, behaviour and effects of nanoparticles in the
  environment. Environ Pollut 150, 5-22.
- 590 Oberdorster, E., Zhu, S., Blickley, T.M., McClellan-Green, P., Haasch, M.L., 2006.
- 591 Ecotoxicology of carbon-based engineered nanoparticles: Effects of fullerene (C60) on
- aquatic organisms. Carbon 44 (6), 1112-1120.
- 593 Pal, S., Tak, Y.K., Song, J.M., 2007. Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles
- depend on the shape of the nanoparticles? A study of the gram-negative bacterium
- 595 *Escherichia coli*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73 (6),1712-1720.
- Pan, X., Redding, J.E., Wiley, P.A., Wen, L., McConnell, J.S., Zhang, B., 2010. Mutagenicity
- 597 evaluation of metal oxide nanoparticles by bacterial reverse mutation assay.
- 598 Chemosphere 79,113-116.
- 599 Pelletier, D.A., Suresh, A.K., Holton, G.A., McKeown, C.K., Wang, W., Gu, B., Mortensen,
- 600 N.P., Allison, D.P., Joy, D.C., Allison, M.R., Brown, S.D., Phelps, T.J., Doktycz, M.J.,
- 601 2010. Effects of engineered cerium oxide nanoparticles on bacterial growth and viability.
- 602 Appl Environ. Microbiol. 76(24), 7981-7989.

- Petosa, A.R., Jaisi, D.P., Quevedo, I.R., Elimelech, M., Tufenkji, N., 2010. Aggregation and
  deposition of engineered nanomaterials in aquatic environments: Role of
  physicochemical interactions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44(17), 6532-6549.
- Puzyn, T., Rasulev, B., Gajewicz, A., Hu, X., Dasari, T.P., Michalkova, A., Hwang, H-M.,
- 607 Toropov, A., Leszczynska, D., Leszczynski, J., 2011. Using nano-QSAR to predict the
- 608 cytotoxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles. Nature Nanotechnol. 6. Available at:
- 609 <u>www.nature.com/naturenanotechnology</u>
- 610 Sadhasivam S, Shanmugam P, Yun K (2010) Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles by
- 611 *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* and antimicrobial activity against medically important
- 612 pathogenic microorganisms. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces 81:325-362.
- 613 Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks, SCENIHR., 2007.
- 614 Opinion on the appropriateness of the risk assessment methodology in accordance with
- 615 the Technical Guidance Documents for new and existing substances for assessing the
- risks of nanomaterials 21-22 June 2007. European Commission, Brussels, Belgium.
- 617 Shin, K-H., Cha, D.K., 2008. Microbial reduction of nitrate in the presence of nanoscale zero-
- 618 valent iron. Chemosphere. 72, 257-262
- 619 Sondi, I., Salopek-Sondi, B., 2004. Silver nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent: a case study
- on *E. coli* as a model for Gram-negative bacteria. J Colloid Interface Sci. 275, 177-182.
- 621 Suresh, A.K., Pelletier, D.A., Wang, W., Moon, J., Gu, B., Mortensen, N.P., Allison, D.P.,
- Joy, D.C., Phelps, T.J. and Doktycz, M.J. (2010) Silver nanocrystallites: Biofabrication
- 623 using *Shewanella oneidensis*, and an evaluation of their comparative toxicity on gram-
- 624 negative and gram-positive bacteria. Environ Sci Technol 44(13): 5210-5215.
- Tiede, K., Hassellov, M., Breitbarth, E., Chaudhry, Q., Boxall, A.B.A., 2009. Considerations
- 626 for environmental fate and ecotoxicity testing to support environmental risk assessment
- 627 for nanoparticles. J. Chromat. A 1216, 503-509.
  - 26

628	Tong, Z., Bischoff, M., Niles, L., Applegate, B., Turco, R.F., 2007. Impact of fullerene
629	(C <sub>6 0</sub> ) on a soil microbial community. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 2985-2991.
630	Unrine, J.M., Tsyusko, O.V., Hunyadi, S E., Judy, J.D., Bertsch, P.M., 2010. Effect of
631	particle size on chemical speciation and bioavailability of copper to earthworms (Eisenia
632	fetida) exposed to copper nanoparticles. J. Environ. Qual. 39(6),1942-1953.
633	Verma, V.C., Kharwar, R.N. and Gange, A.C. (2010) Biosynthesis of antimicrobial silver
634	nanoparticles by the endophytic fungus Aspergillus clavatus. Nanomedicine 5(1):33-40.
635	Vertelov, G.K., Krutyakov, Y.A., Efremenkova, A.Y., Olenin, O.V., Lisichkin, G.V. (2008)
636	A versatile synthesis of highly bactericidal Myramistin® stabilized silver nanoparticles.
637	Nanotechnology 19:355707
638	Wagner, A.O., Gstrauntaler, G., Illmer, P., 2010. Utilization of single added fatty acids by
639	consortia of digester sludge in batch culture. Waste Management 30, 1822-1827.
640	Wang, C., Bobba, A.D., Attinti, R., Shen, C., Lazouskaya ,V., Wang, L-P., Jin, Y., 2012a.
641	Retention and transport of silica nanoparticles in saturated porous media: Effect of
642	concentration and particle size. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46:7151-7158.
643	Wang, Y., Westerhoff, P., Hristovski, K.D., 2012b. Fate and biological effect of silver,
644	titanium dioxide and $C_{60}$ (fullerenes) nanomaterials during simulated wastewater
645	treatment processes. J. Hazard. Mater. 201, 16 – 22.
646	Warheit, D. B., 2008. How meaningful are the results of nanotoxicity studies in the absence
647	of adequate material characterisation. Toxicol. Sci. 101(2), 183-185.
648	Warne, M. St J., van Dam, R., 2008. NOEC and LOEC data should no longer be generated
649	or used. Australasian J. Ecotox. 14, 1-5.
650	Wei, J., Mashayekhi, H., Xing, B., 2009. Bacterial toxicity comparison between nano- and
651	micro-scaled oxide paricles. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1619-1625.

652	Weisner, M.R., Lowry, G.V., Alverez, P., Dionysiou, D., Biwas, P., 2006. Assessing the risks
653	of manufactured nanomaterials. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40(14), 4336-4345.

- 654 Weisner, M.R., Lowry, G.V., Jones, K.L., Hochella Jr, M.F., Di Giulio, R.T., Casman, E.,
- Bernhardt, E.S., 2009. Decreasing uncertainties in assessing environmental exposure,
- risk, and ecological implications of nanomaterials. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 6458-6462.
- 657 Wen-Ru, L., Xiao-Bao, X., Qing-Shan, S., Hai-Yan, Z., You-Sheng, O-Y., Yi-Ben, C.,
- 658 2010. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of silver nanoparticles on *Escherichia coli*659 Appl Micobiol. Biotechnol 85, 1115-1122.
- 660 Woodrow Wilson Centre, 2007. Nanotechnology and life cycle assessment. A system
- approach to nanotechnology and the environment. Woodrow Wilson International Centre
- 662 for Scholars, Washington.
- 663 Woodrow Wilson Database, 2011. The Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies: Consumer
- 664 Products Inventory. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars Washington DC.
- 665 (<u>http://www.nanoproduct.org/inventories/consumer/</u> (Accessed September 4, 2011)
- 666 Yamanaka, M., Hara, K., Kudo, J., 2005. Bactericidal action of silver ion solution on
- 667 *Escherichia coli*, studied by energy-filtering transmission electron microscopy and

668 proteomic analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 71,7589-7593.

669 Zhang, H., Chen, G., 2009 Potent antibacterial activities of Ag/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite powders

670 synthesized by a one-pot sol-gel method. Environ Sci Technol 43:2905-10.

672	Legend of the figures
673	Figure 1: Scanning electroscopy microscope images showing ENPs sorption to cells (a,b),
674	damage to microbial cell (c,d) and aggregation to biomass (e,f) in AS (from Eduok S., PhD
675	study; data not published)
<b>CTC</b>	
0/0	
677	Figure 2: Illustration of ENP bioaccumulation in prokaryotes and trophic transfer to
678	eukaryotes and biomagnification in higher organisms.
679	
680	Figure 3: Size-dependent inhibitory effect of nanosilver particles (Ag <sup>0</sup> ) on pure cultures of
681	Escherichia coli.
682	(a) Suresh et al., 2010 (b) Zhang and Chen, 2009 (c) Suresh et al., 2010 (d) Wen-Ru et al, 2010 (e) Martinez-
683	Castanon et al., 2008 (f) Chudasama et al., 2010 (g) Choi et al., 2008 (h) Lok et al., 2007 (i) Malaiye et al., 2005
684	(j) Sondi and Salopek-Sondi, 2004 (k) Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010 (l) Verma et al., 2010 (m) Krishnaraj et
685	al., 2010 (n) Sadhasivam et al., 2010 (o) Drogat et al., 2010 (p) Martinez-Castanon et al., 2008 (q) Vertelov et
686	al., 2008 (r) Martinez-Castanon et al., 2008 (s) Lara et al, 2010 (t) Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010
687	
688	Figure 4: Schematic overview of the interactions occurring between ENP and microbial
689	biomass involved in activated sludge (AS) and anaerobic digestion (AD) processes
<b>COO</b>	
690	
691	Figure 5: Representation of the influence of divalent cations in Ag <sup>0</sup> -microbial cell wall
692	interaction
693	
694	



696 Figure 1



698 Figure 2



Figure 3



701

Figure 4

(1) Gram negative cell wall bacterium



- ! Absence of divalent cations: Electrostatic repulsion of negatively charged cell wall and negatively charged Ag<sup>o</sup>!
- (2) Gram positive cell wall



- Electrostatic repulsion of positively charged hacterial cell wall and divalent cations.!
- Figure 5

705

703



Presence of divalent cations: Facilitated adsorption/adhesion of Ag to cell wall through cation mediated ion bridges.!



Competitive aggregation/inhibition of  ${\rm Ag}^{\rm o}!$  by divalent cations

**CERES** Research Repository

School of Water, Energy and Environment (SWEE)

Staff publications (SWEE)

2013-06-14

# Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms: current status and challenges

# Eduok, Samuel

Elsevier

S. Eduok, B. Martin, R. Villa, A. Nocker, B. Jefferson, F. Coulon, Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms: current status and challenges, Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, Volume 95, 1 September 2013, Pages 1-9 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.05.022. Downloaded from CERES Research Repository, Cranfield University