Data Fusion Strategy for Precise Vehicle Location for Intelligent Self-Aware Maintenance Systems

M. Bevilacqua
Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, MK430AL, United Kingdom
m.bevilacqua@cranfield.ac.uk

A. Tsourdos, A. Starr, I. Durazo-Cardenas
Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, MK430AL, United Kingdom
{a.tsourdos, a.starr, i.s.durazocardenas}@cranfield.ac.uk

Abstract— Nowadays careful measurement applications are handed over to Wired and Wireless Sensor Network. Taking the scenario of train location as an example, this would lead to an increase in uncertainty about position related to sensors with long acquisition times like Balises, RFID and Transponders along the track. We take into account the data without any synchronization protocols, precisely for increase the accuracy and reduce the uncertainty after the data fusion algorithms. The case studies, we have analysed, derived from the needs of the project partners: train localization, head of an auger in the drilling sector localization and the location of containers of radioactive material waste in a reprocessing nuclear plant. They have the necessity to plan the maintenance operations of their infrastructure basing through architecture that taking input from the sensors, which are localization and diagnosis, maps and cost, to optimize the cost effectiveness and reduce the time of operation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays careful measurement applications are handed over to Wired and Wireless Sensor Network. In most of these tasks sensor nodes work together to recognize the data fusion process. Synchronization is a critical element in this scenario. Nodes have to be regulated to a common clock and regulated among them. Many synchronization algorithms can be found in literature and some of them have been intentionally established for low cost structural designing where efficient memory management and reduced computational burden are important constraints.

However, the synchronization protocols slow down the elaboration process in order to align the timing of data supply at the source with the slowest sampling time. Taking the scenario of train location as an example, this would lead to an increase in uncertainty about position related to sensors with long acquisition times like Balises, RFID and Transponders along the track.

For this reason we take into account the data without any synchronization protocols, precisely for increase the accuracy and reduce the uncertainty after the data fusion algorithms we will implement and develop.

The data fusion of unsynchronized data sources achieve the goal we have set for the localization scenarios, this, however, involves a more complex management of the resources and requires a clear and precise definition of a strategy for data fusion related to the type of environment and sensors involved in every different scenario.

We can give a definition of unsynchronized sensor data: “Data provided by a sensor of which the output is without any correlation with other sensors in the same net, with different sampling time, period and phase.”

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Correct decision making (taking) in the security sector mainly depends on information, received from multiple sources. Often, the information is insufficient, unreliable and contradictive.

Sensor fusion is the combining of sensory data such that the resulting information is in some sense better than would be possible when these sources were used individually, better means: more accurate, more complete, or more dependable.

The first and the most important remark is that fusion process is necessary most of all to reduce (to filter) input information through its integration (merging) and generalization.

Fusion process is necessary to improve accuracy and reduce uncertainty [1].

A number of authors [2-5] have comprehensively reviewed data fusion models and architectures.

In the following table some different data fusion strategies are shown:
TABLE I. DATA FUSION MODELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Fusion Model</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JDL data fusion model</td>
<td>The sources provide information ranging from sensor data to a priori information from databases to human input. Source pre-processing enables fusion process to concentrate on the data most pertinent, reducing the processing load. The database management system’s task is to monitor, evaluate, add, update and provide information for the fusion processes. Human-computer Interaction provides an interface for human input and communication.</td>
<td>- It does not address multi-image fusion problems - Sensors involving multiple components not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasarathy's functional model</td>
<td>Levels of abstraction • Data • Feature • Decision – Categorization of data fusion functions in terms of the type of data level at input/output.</td>
<td>No particular problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfall fusion process model</td>
<td>- Fusion process in stages - Omission of feedback data flow is the major limitation</td>
<td>No particular problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyd Loop</td>
<td>OODA cycle Comparative OODA – JDL: Observe: source pre-processing Orientate: levels 1 to 3 Decide: level 4</td>
<td>Act: no direct counterpart in the JDL model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomopoulos’ Fusion Model</td>
<td>An architecture based on three data processing levels: the signal level, the level of evidence and the level of dynamics.</td>
<td>Mathematical model that describes the process from which data is collected must be known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durrant-Whyte architecture</td>
<td>An architecture oriented towards robot systems. Common Representation Format The data from all the sensors is converted to this CRF and fused by a high-level fusion model</td>
<td>Each sensor must perform its own conversion, what makes necessary a sensor model.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The “Omnibus” process model [17] Model defines the ordering of processes and makes the cycle explicit Provides a much more fine-grained structuring of the processing levels than the Boyd loop. No particular problems

Endsley's Situation Awareness [18,19] The model that has two main parts: - The situation awareness core - Various sets of factors affecting the core. No particular problems

In the following table some mathematical solution commonly used for the data fusion are compared in terms of advantages and disadvantages [1]:

TABLE II. MATHEMATICAL SOLUTION FOR DATA FUSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mathematical Solution for Data Fusion</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian Network [20-24]</td>
<td>A Bayesian network is a probabilistic graphical model (a type of statistical model) that represents a set of random variables and their conditional dependencies via a directed acyclic graph (DAG).</td>
<td>Conditional probability distribution for each variable must be known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalman Filter [25-28]</td>
<td>Incorporate noise effects (both measurement and modelling) Recursive computational structure Explicit description of process and observations allows different sensor models to be incorporated within the basic KF algorithm. Use of statistical measures of uncertainty makes it possible to quantitatively evaluate the role each sensor plays in the overall system performance using error covariance matrix P</td>
<td>The Kalman filter in general is not an optimal estimator if the initial estimate of the state is wrong, or if the process is modeled incorrectly, the filter may quickly diverge, owing to its linearization. The estimated covariance matrix tends to underestimate the true covariance matrix and therefore risks becoming inconsistent in the statistical sense without the addition of &quot;stabilizing noise&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information filter [29-31]</td>
<td>Compared with Kalman filter, the measurement update of information filter is identical with that of Kalman filter Can be readily implemented for heterogeneous sensors Can be a measure to check observability Can easily cope with the correlation</td>
<td>Closely associated with the Fisher information measures Cannot take the correlation into account</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The fuzzy system is designed based on the fuzzy rules obtained from the input-output data designing the Fuzzy Aggregator (FA). The Fuzzy Predictor suggests choosing an appropriate structure for the system (naturally including some parameters), and then optimizing the parameters using an appropriate training algorithm. The Fuzzy system can lead to an acceptable result in many applications.

If the application requires extreme degree of certainty and accuracy, Fuzzy Predictor can be very useful but, it involves more complex calculations.

III. CASE STUDIES

The cases study we have analyzed are derived from the needs, in the scenario of localization, of the three main project partners: localization in railway network, the location of the head of an auger in the drilling sector and the last one is about the location of containers of radioactive material waste in a reprocessing nuclear plant.

The generic scheme of the case studies will later be developed it has been studied in detail and a strategy has been developed for data fusion related to the needs of our industrial partners. All partners have the necessity to be able to plan the maintenance operations of their infrastructure basing through an architecture that taking input from the sensors, which are localization and diagnosis, maps and cost, will enable them to optimize the cost effectiveness and reduce the time of operation. We think we can achieve this aims finding the best data fusion strategy to reduce the uncertainty in location scenario.

In the figure 1 the demo for the whole project are represented and in figure 2 the sensor fusion block are drown more in detail.

IV. THE PROPOSAL

Now we analyze and suggest a data fusion strategy for one of each scenario we talked in the previous paragraph.

1) Railway Industry

The positional accuracy target of the UK future rail is < 2m [37]. However; a finer resolution is required for locating faults such as damage or missing parts. A critical consideration of these requirements has been the capability to resolve train occupancy in adjacent tracks, with a high degree of confidence.

In response to these needs of the Network Rail Industry, it will be necessary to formalize and implement a demo that allows us by using the correct strategy for data fusion to obtain these objectives. We can achieve this by having as input position signals from IMU, GPS odometer, RFID, Balises and diagnostic signals from laser scanners, ultrasound combined to generate the desired output and at the end plan maintenance.

Taking into account all these parameters and sensors we can decide to use a data fusion strategy like JDL or the Omnibus process model. In figure 3 a particularization of the case is represented.
2) Nuclear Reprocessing Plant

Also with regard to the maintenance schedule in the operations of reprocessing of fissile material, the choice of the best technique of data fusion can make it more secure and reduce costs, which, starting from the analysis of the monitoring signals of the systems involved can generate the required output in the minimum time.

The main Sellafield challenges that must be overcome can be enumerated here:

- Pond characterization – remote robots to measure, vacuum up sludge
- Tank characterization – hand held monitors.
- Building char – “suck” the radioactive contamination from structures
- Contaminated ground – moles underground to target and extract intermediate level waste to avoid contamination in ground water
- Monitoring drums and packing – stores patrolled by intelligent robots able to monitor, repair, remove failed drums etc.

Taking into account all these parameters and sensors we can decide to use a data fusion strategy like JDL or Durrant-Whyte architecture that is really feasible for underwater robots estimation problems. In figure 4 a particularization of the second case is represented.

3) Drilling

Schlumberger is one of the leading oil and gas Extraction Company, they have many problems related to the localization of the exact position of the head of their drilling in order to find, in the minimum time and without any retry, the oilfield they who are trying to reach.

The challenge is relate to the harsh environments they work on. Usually this is the typical environments: 500g, 200C +, no GPS, tight spaces. For deep drilling also 15<20km, fracking 10,000 wells/year shallow drilling; decommissioning.

They also want to go over the following problems: they want to remove as much people as they can from drilling rigs and give more intelligence to their automatics equipment. They also have really poor observed systems with lots of uncertainty, for this reason they want to find the right balance between planning and uncertainty taking into account also the cost.

Taking into account all these parameters and sensors we can decide to use a data fusion strategy like Waterfall fusion process model or JDL model using Kalman filter that is really good for this problem where we want to achieve a data fusion strategy onboard and real time. In figure 5 a particularization of the last case is represented.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

In conclusion, different data fusion techniques were studied and analyzed. The best data fusion technique was applied to the each of the three case studies based on the characteristics of the input, output and aims that each scenario requires.

The implementation of the selected fusion strategy allows achieving the minimum accuracy in location and guaranteeing the company to plan the maintenance with the minimum impact on their budget.

The next step on this project and this research it will be to apply the different schemes, which we applied before only in simulation with these demos, also with the real data, to understand if we can achieve the same performances also in real time, without interfering with the normal procedures of the partners.
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