IMPLEMENTATION OF A LOW-MACH NUMBER MODIFICATION FOR HIGH-ORDER FINITE-VOLUME SCHEMES FOR ARBITRARY HYBRID UNSTRUCTURED MESHES
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\textbf{Abstract.} An implementation of a novel low-mach number treatment for high-order finite-volume schemes using arbitrary hybrid unstructured meshes is presented in this paper. Low-Mach order modifications for Godunov type finite-volume schemes have been implemented successfully for structured and unstructured meshes, however the methods break down for hybrid mesh topologies containing multiple element types. The modification is applied to the UCNS3D finite-volume framework for compressible flow configurations, which have been shown as very capable of handling any type of grid topology. The numerical methods under consideration are the Monotonic Upstream-Centered Scheme for Conservation Laws (MUSCL) and the Weighted Essentially Non-Oscillatory (WENO) schemes for two-dimensional mixed-element type unstructured meshes. In the present study the HLLC Approximate Riemann Solver is used with an explicit TVD Runge-Kutta 3rd-order method due to its excellent scalability. These schemes (up to 5th-order) are applied to well established two-dimensional and three-dimensional test cases. The challenges that occur when applying these methods to low-mach flow configurations is thoroughly analysed and possible improvements and further test cases are suggested.
1 Introduction

Obtaining accurate solutions in an efficient manner for a wide-range of flow problems where the compressible Navier-Stokes are used is the main goal of the majority of the numerical methods and schemes developed in this context. The most challenging part of the development of all the high-resolution numerical schemes used is that they should be adaptive. Adaptive in the sense of identifying regions of sharp-gradients often encountered in compressible flows, and preventing or eliminating any spurious oscillations that can occur and contaminate the solution; but at the same time they should be adaptive and achieve high-order of accuracy in smooth regions of the flow. However there is a delicate balance between the two requirements and is dependent upon the spatial discretisation method, the shock-capturing algorithms, the grid types, the Riemann solvers, the time-stepping algorithms and the quadrature rules used for integration to name a few.

The first generation numerical methods for unstructured grids exhibited lower accuracy and were computationally more demanding than structured grids. However, the numerical methods for unstructured grids have matured and numerous elegant approaches and algorithms have been developed in the finite volume framework for a wide range of applications for Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD). Other state-of-the-art approaches are also available, such as the Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) and Spectral Finite-Volume (SFV) methods that have been successfully applied for CFD applications. For the finite volume framework the first class of high-resolution methods developed for unstructured grids included the ENO type schemes, followed by the WENO type schemes. In the WENO case, the high-order accuracy was achieved by non-linearly combining a series of high-order reconstruction polynomials arising from a series of reconstruction stencils. Recently, a class of WENO type methods has been successfully extended to hybrid unstructured meshes with various geometrical shapes such as tetrahedrals, hexahedrals, prisms, and pyramids. The schemes can achieve the very high order of spatial accuracy across interfaces between cells of different types, and at the same time essentially non-oscillatory profiles are produced for discontinuous solutions. This gives greater flexibility to handle complex geometrical shapes in an efficient and accurate manner.

For the majority of the finite-volume numerical methods for compressible flows their dissipation characteristics are proportional to the speed of sound, therefore the low Mach number features are damped by the numerical scheme as noted by [29]. This is particularly important at regions of the flow where the Mach number is low such as close to the wall at the boundary layer, and at vortices arising from shear layers.

In the present paper we present a modification to the output of the reconstruction, or the input of the Riemann solver in order to remove the Mach number dependence, and improve the resolution at low-Mach regions of the flow. It has to be noted that the
subject modification is different in nature from a preconditioning step used for changing the flow variables, since our main motivation is not to relax the restriction in terms of the time-step size, but rather to increase the resolution at the low-Mach regions. The original modification proposed by [29] is not directly transferable to any grid-type since different mesh elements have different dissipation characteristics, therefore a unified modification is implemented that is suitable for all element types and through the computational results presented we demonstrate the difference between the original modification, and the revised one. The nice feature of this modification is that is quite simple to implement in any compressible code, for any numerical scheme that uses a Riemann solver and the additional computational expense is negligible.

2 Governing Equations

The compressible inviscid Navier-Stokes equations are solved; written in compact form as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}(x, t)}{\partial t} + \nabla F_c(\mathbf{U}) = 0$$ (1)

where \( \mathbf{U} \) is the vector of the conserved mean flow variables; \( F_c \), is the inviscid flux vector given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{U} &= [\rho, \rho u, \rho v, \rho w, \rho E]^T, \\
F_c^x &= [\rho u, \rho u^2 + p, \rho u v, \rho u w, u(E + p)]^T \\
F_c^y &= [\rho v, \rho u v, \rho v^2 + p, \rho v w, v(E + p)]^T \\
F_c^z &= [\rho w, \rho u w, \rho v w, \rho w^2 + p, w(E + p)]^T
\end{align*}
\]

In the above equations, \( \rho \) is the density; \( u, v, w \) are the velocity components in \( x, y \) and \( z \) Cartesian directions, respectively. Calorically perfect gas is assumed where the total energy per unit mass is computed according to the equation of state as \( E = p/(\gamma - 1) + (1/2)\rho(u^2 + v^2 + w^2) \), where \( p \) is the pressure, the ratio of specific heats is set as \( \gamma = 1.4 \) for air at normal atmospheric conditions.

3 Numerical Framework

The discretization in a domain \( \Omega \) is achieved by combining conforming arbitrary shaped elements of volume \( |V_i| \). Integrating Eq. (1) over a mesh element using the finite-volume formulation the following ordinary differential equation is obtained

$$\frac{d\mathbf{U}_i}{dt} = -\frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{l=1}^{N_f} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{cp}} F_{c}^{nl,1}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}_\alpha, t)) \omega_\alpha |A_l|$$ (3)
where $U_i$ is the volume averaged conserved variables in a volume element, $N_f$ is the number of faces per element, $N_{qp}$ is the number of quadrature points used for approximating the surface integrals. $|A_i|$ is the surface area of the corresponding face, and $\alpha$ corresponds to different Gaussian integration points $x_\alpha$ and weights $\omega_\alpha$ over the face. The weight and distribution of the quadrature points depend upon the Gaussian rule order, higher integration rule will result in enhanced intercell flux approximation. The convective flux tensors are defined as:

$$F_{n^1}^e = F_e^{x} n_x + F_e^{y} n_y + F_e^{z} n_z$$

where $n_x$, $n_y$ and $n_z$ are the Cartesian components of the normal vector on the intercell surface. The intercell fluxes are computed based on volume averaged quantities which are obtained by an interpolation technique. The solution is obtained by a polynomial reconstruction from cell-averaged data. The following sections describe the methodology adopted for space and time discretization.

3.1 Spatial Discretization

The spatial discretisation is based on the approach of [8, 9], which is suitable for unstructured meshes with various types of element shapes in 2D and 3D, and it has been previously used successfully for laminar, transitional and turbulent flows [13, 30, 31, 32, 33]. Therefore, only the key characteristics of this approach are going to be described in this paper. The main objective of the reconstruction process is to build a high-order polynomial $p_i(x, y, z)$ of arbitrary order $r$, for each considered element $V_i$ that has the same average as a general quantity $U_i$. This can be formulated as

$$U_i = \frac{1}{|V_i|} \int_{V_i} U(x, y, z) \, dV = \frac{1}{|V_i|} \int_{V_i} p_i(x, y, z) \, dV. \quad (5)$$

The reconstruction is carried out in a transformed system of coordinates in order to minimize scaling effects that appear in stencils consisting of elements of different size as well as to improve the condition number of the system of equations [13, 8]. The transformation is achieved by decomposing each element into tetrahedrals. The reconstruction polynomial at the transformed cell $V'_i$ is expanded over local polynomial basis functions labeled as $\phi_k(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$, which are given by

$$p(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \phi_k(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = U_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \phi_k(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \quad (6)$$

where $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ are the coordinates in the reference system, $a_k$ are the degrees of freedom and the upper index in the summation of expansion $K$ is related to the order of the polynomial $r$ by $K = \frac{1}{6}(r+1)(r+2)(r+3) - 1$ for 3D and $K = \frac{1}{3}(r+1)(r+2) - 1$ for
2D. For computing the degrees of freedom $a_k$, a minimum of $K$ cells is required in the stencil in addition to the target cell. Using the minimum possible number of cells in the stencil, $M \equiv K$, may produce ill-conditioned systems, hence using $M = 2K$ improves the robustness of the method as described in [8, 34]. A linear least-square method is adopted to enable the system of equations of (6) for the unknown degrees of freedom $a_k$. The final form of the linear system is solved with the QR decomposition algorithm. Discontinuous solutions are often encountered in external aerodynamics, thus limiting functions are essential for maintaining numerical stability and suppress any spurious oscillations. Two approaches are assessed in this work the MUSCL and the WENO schemes.

3.1.1 MUSCL

The MUSCL scheme is employed in this work is partially based on the Barth and Jespersen slope limiter. The design of the scheme requires the minimum and maximum values in the stencil’s neighborhood, i.e., $U_{min}^i = \min(U_i, U_l)$ and $U_{max}^i = \max(U_i, U_l)$, where $l = 1, \ldots, L$; $L$ is the total number of neighbors of element $i$. The gradient of a general quantity is defined as $\nabla U_i$ and is an approximation of the solution gradient inside the element $i$. The gradient is computed during the reconstruction process by incorporating information from the entire central stencil. The scheme can be written as

$$U_{i,\alpha} = U_i^c + \phi_i \nabla U_i \cdot x_\alpha$$

where $U_i^c$ is the value for the general quantity at the element centroid and $x_\alpha$ are the coordinates of the quadrature point. The limiter seeks the minimum value of the slope limiter for all the quadrature points that satisfy the following conditions

$$\phi_i = \min(\bar{\phi}_{i,1}, \bar{\phi}_{i,2}, \ldots, \bar{\phi}_{i,M})$$

Then, the limiting function is applied, composed by three different states according to the difference of the reconstructed value at the quadrature points of the considered element $U_{i,\alpha}$ and each of its neighbors $U_l$, yielding

$$\bar{\phi}_{i,\alpha} = \begin{cases} 
\min \left( 1, \frac{U_{max}^i - U_{i,\alpha}}{U_l - U_{i,\alpha}} \right), & \text{if } U_l - U_{i,\alpha} > 0 \\
\min \left( 1, \frac{U_{min}^i - U_{i,\alpha}}{U_l - U_{i,\alpha}} \right), & \text{if } U_l - U_{i,\alpha} < 0 \\
1, & \text{if } U_l - U_{i,\alpha} = 0 
\end{cases}$$

3.1.2 WENO

WENO schemes use a non-linear combination of various reconstruction polynomials, where each polynomial is weighted according to the smoothness of its solution. The
polynomials are given by

\[ p_{\text{weno}}^i = \sum_{m=1}^{m_s} \omega_m p_m(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \]  

(10)

where \( m_s \) is the total number of WENO stencils. Substituting back to Eq. (6) for \( p_m(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \), we obtain the following expression

\[ p_m(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{m=0}^{K} a_k^{(m)} \phi_k(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \]  

(11)

Using the condition that the sum of all weights is unity, yields

\[ p_{\text{weno}}^i = U_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_s} \omega_m a_k^{(m)} \right) \phi_k(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \equiv U_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tilde{a}_k \phi_k(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \]  

(12)

where \( \tilde{a}_k \) are the reconstructed degrees of freedom; and the non-linear weight \( \omega_m \) is defined by [13, 8, 34]:

\[ \omega_m = \frac{\tilde{\omega}_m}{\sum_{m=0}^{m_s} \tilde{\omega}_m} \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\omega}_m = \frac{\lambda_m}{(\epsilon + I_m)^b} \]  

(13)

The smoothness indicator is given by

\[ I_m = \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq r} \int E_i \left( D^\beta p_m(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \right)^2 (d\xi, d\eta, d\zeta) \]  

(14)

where \( \beta \) is a multi-index, \( r \) is the polynomial’s order, \( \lambda_m \) is the linear weight, and \( D \) is the derivative operator. The smoothness indicator is a quadratic function of the degrees of freedom \( (a_k^{(m)}) \) and can be expressed as a universal mesh-independent oscillation indicator matrix as defined in [34]. The WENO reconstruction can be carried out in terms of conserved or characteristic variables. In this work, the conserved variables have been employed to assess the low-Mach number reconstruction modification. The various reconstruction polynomials arise from different sets of stencils that satisfy some geometrical conditions. The reader is referred to [9, 8] for the definition of geometrical sectors, and references therein, for a detailed explanation of the different set of geometrical conditions and WENO characteristic reconstruction.
3.2 Low-Mach Number Modification

Our low-Mach number modification follows the previous work of [29], where the left and right state of the reconstructed velocities is modified in a linear way with respect to Mach number, so when the Mach number approached zero the arithmetic mean of them is used in the following manner:

\[ u^*_L = \frac{(1 + z)u_L + (1 - z)u_R}{2} \]
\[ u^*_R = \frac{(1 + z)u_R + (1 - z)u_L}{2} \]
\[ z = \min(1, \max(M_L, M_R)) \]

The Mach numbers are calculated based on the velocity magnitude of all the velocity components independent of the normal direction in which the flux is computed. However we investigate two approaches one where all the component of the reconstructed velocities are modified as the original implementation [29], and a second one where only the velocity components normal to the face are modified, based on the findings of [29] where it was highlighted that the tangential velocities require more numerical dissipation than the normal components.

3.3 Fluxes Approximation

Having reconstructed the cell-averaged solutions, the intercell fluxes can be evaluated. The Riemann problem is solved with the approximate Harten-Lax-van Leer-Contact (HLLC) solver of Toro [35]. The HLLC solver is also employed for the convective part of the turbulence transport equation. The HLLC flux function is given by

\[
\hat{F}^{\text{HLLC}} = \begin{cases} 
\hat{F}^-, & \text{if } 0 \leq S^-,
\hat{F}^* - S^- \left( \hat{W}^* - \hat{W}^- \right), & \text{if } S^- \leq 0 \leq S^*,
\hat{F}^{*+} = \hat{F}^* + S^+ \left( \hat{W}^{*+} - \hat{W}^+ \right), & \text{if } S^* \leq 0 \leq S^{*+},
\hat{F}^+, & \text{if } 0 \geq S^+,
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[
\hat{W}^{*\pm} = \rho^{\pm} \left( \frac{S^{\pm} - u^{\pm}}{S^* - S^*} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ S^* \\ u^\pm \\ w^\pm \end{bmatrix} \left( \frac{E^\pm}{\rho^\pm} (S^* - u^{\pm}) \left( S^* + \frac{p^\pm}{\rho^\pm (S^* - u^{\pm})} \right) \right)
\]

\[
E^\pm = \frac{\rho^\pm S^*}{\rho^\pm} \left( S^* + \frac{p^\pm}{\rho^\pm (S^* - u^{\pm})} \right)
\]

\[
\rho^\pm = \rho^\pm \left( \frac{S^{\pm} - u^{\pm}}{S^* - S^*} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{p^\pm}{\rho^\pm} = \frac{p^\pm}{\rho^\pm} \left( \frac{S^{\pm} - u^{\pm}}{S^* - S^*} \right)
\]
\( \hat{W}^{\pm} \) is computed either for the considered element “−”, or for its neighbour “+” and the wave speeds are estimated according to the sign.

### 3.4 Temporal discretisation

Having constructed the numerical fluxes \( F_{n,j} \) as expressed in the semi-discrete conservative formulation, the next step involves the advancement of the solution in time. The explicit SSP Runge-Kutta 3rd-order method [36] has been employed for the time integration

\[
\begin{align*}
U_i^1 &= U_i^n + \Delta t \cdot R_i(U_i^n) \\
U_i^2 &= \frac{3}{4}U_i^n + \frac{1}{4}U_i^1 + \frac{\Delta t}{4} \cdot R_i(U^1) \\
U_i^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{3}U_i^n + \frac{2}{3}U_i^2 + \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \cdot R_i(U_i^2)
\end{align*}
\]

with \( R_i \) being the residual.

The time step \( \Delta t \) is computed as follows

\[
\Delta t = K \min_i \frac{h_i}{S_i \cdot V_i},
\]

where \( h_i \) is the radius of the inscribed sphere of each cell \( i \) and \( V_i \) its corresponding volume, \( K \leq 1/3 \) is the CFL number for unsplit finite-volume schemes [35], and \( S_i \) is the maximum propagation speed in each cell \( i \) given by

\[
S_i = sp_x \cdot n_x + sp_y \cdot n_y + sp_z \cdot n_z,
\]

where

\[
sp_x = |u + a|, \quad sp_y = |v + a|, \quad sp_z = |w + a|,
\]

with \( n = (n_x, n_y, n_z) \) being the outward unit normal vector and \( a \) is the speed of sound.

### 4 Results

This section presents the results obtained for a variety of cases to illustrate the influence of the low-mach modification compared to the previously implemented version.

#### 4.1 2D Vortex Evolution

The two-dimensional vortex evolution problem is an important case to consider for convergence and accuracy. This is a well used problem for low-Mach testing and illustrating the power of high order methods, [37].
We examine a square domain of area $\Omega = 100$, $[0,10] \times [0,10]$, with periodic boundary conditions. The mean flow of the problem is $\rho = 1$, $P = 1$, $u = 1$, $v = 1$, therefore there is a diagonal flow through the domain moving to the top right corner. The flow is perturbed by an isotropic vortex in the $u$ and $v$ fields with a temperature $T = \frac{P}{\rho}$ and no perturbation in the entropy $S = \frac{P}{\rho}$, i.e. $\delta S = 0$. The perturbations are given by

$$(\delta u, \delta v) = \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} e^{0.5(1-r^2)}(5-y, x-5)$$

$$\delta T = -\frac{(\gamma-1)\epsilon^2}{8\gamma\pi^2} e^{1-r^2}$$

$$r^2 = (x-5)^2 + (y-5)^2$$

The solution is computed at $t = 2s$ for both low-mach corrections using a 3rd order MUSCL scheme and a 5th order WENO scheme. These are compared to the initial solution $t = 0s$ on the same grid of quadrilateral elements with 32x32 elements. From Fig. 1 it can immediately been seen that the higher order WENO 5 scheme reduces the noticeable dissipation of the MUSCL scheme, with and without the low-mach corrections. The influence of the low-mach corrections on the 3rd order MUSCL simulations is higher and a clear difference can be seen in its absence resulting in less dissipation. It is noted that for this particular test case there is not a large difference between the two modifications.
Figure 2: Pressure Coefficient plots using a WENO 3rd order scheme for the flow past a 2D cylinder at $t = 200s$
4.2 2D Low Mach number past a Cylinder

Examined is the steady-inviscid problem of flow past a circular cylinder with radius \( R=1 \). This is cut out of a cylindrical computational domain of radius \( R=20 \), with a free-stream inflow of Mach number \( M_\infty = 0.1 \), density \( \rho_\infty = 1 \) and the pressure \( p_\infty = \frac{\rho_\infty}{\gamma} \), where \( \gamma = 1.4 \). The flow is initialised with a flow direction parallel to the x-axis.

The simulations were undertaken on two different mesh topologies, a completely triangular mesh with a linear piecewise construction for the cylinder and a hybrid mesh with prism cells near the wall of the cylinder before moving to triangular cells. The computations were ran until \( t = 200s \) when the initial transients would have vanished and the residuals would have converged.

The numerical simulations on the fully triangular mesh did not suffer the same dissipation that can be found in the hybrid mesh. Presented in Fig. 2 are the hybrid mesh and pressure coefficient \( C_p \) distribution on a medium grid of containing 960 quadrilateral and 3316 triangular elements, computed using a WENO 3 reconstruction scheme. It can be seen that both the simulation without any treatment and the simulation using the second treatment suffered dissipation and did not exhibit the symmetry expected from this case. The presence of the first low-mach modification gives a much more accurate solution, with a clear symmetry about \( x = 0 \).

4.3 2D Cylindrical Explosion

The presented case of an explosion in two dimension is outlined by Toro [35] as a classical Riemann solver problem. Contained within a square domain \([0, 2] \times [0, 2]\), exists a circle of radius \( r = 0.4 \) centered at \((1,1)\), whose internal initial conditions vary from it’s external initial conditions.

\[
\begin{align*}
(\rho, P) &= \begin{cases} 
(0.125, 0.1) & r > 0.4 \\
(1, 1) & r \leq 0.4
\end{cases}, 
& u = v = 0, r^2 = x^2 + y^2
\end{align*}
\]

The solution is the result of a circular shock occurring from the Riemann problem which propagates outwards from the centre, this is followed by a circular contact discontinuity that propagates in the same direction. This is turns leads to a circular rarefaction travelling in the opposite direction to the aforementioned flow features. Over time the shock wave and contact discontinuity will become weaker, resulting in the contact discontinuity to stop and travel inwards while the rarefaction wave with reflect when it reaches the centre.

The solution presented in Fig. 3 was obtained after running the solution until \( t = 0.2 \). A reference solution for density was computer by solving the 1D problem on a very fine grid. The results indicate that the solver is capable of resolving the shock within 2-3 cells on the unstructured grid and 3-4 on the structured grid, without the need for a low-mach correction. The results using the WENO 5 reconstruction demonstrate that both low-mach corrections can be implemented without inducing any other numerical errors.
5 Conclusions

The conclusion drawn from the subject study are listed below:

- A low-Mach number correction to the reconstruction of finite-volume numerical schemes improves the dissipation characteristics of the schemes.

- A direct implementation of the original low-Mach number modification of [29] can not be used for all types of elements since they have different dissipation characteristics.

- A revised modification is presented since the reconstructed tangential velocity components require more dissipation than the normal velocity components with respect to the interface between two cells.

- The subject modification is quite robust to be utilised for all Mach number flows since it is not producing any spurious oscillations at regions of high-Mach number that can contaminate the solution.
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