

Effects of solid lubricants on wire and arc additive manufactured structures

Adeyinka Adebayo, Jörn Mehnen and Xavier Tonnellier

Abstract

This paper reports a systematic study which examines the use of solid lubricants in the sequential deposition and machining of wire and arc additive manufactured parts and characterises the effects of solid lubricants on the microstructure. This paper also describes the microstructure developed and the effect on micro hardness by manual cleaning of deposited layers with acetone. Mild steel wire consumable electrode G3Si1 with the diameter of 0.8mm was used. The use of graphite and molybdenum disulphide as solid lubricant in machining was also studied and a scanning electron microscope was used in detecting any form of lubricants contamination. A systematic study shows that a significant amount of solid lubricant contamination can be found in the deposited material. Furthermore, the results indicate that even cleaning of the wire and arc additive manufactured surfaces with acetone prior to the weld deposition can affect the microstructure of the deposited material.

Keywords

Solid lubricant; microstructure; mild steel

1. Introduction

1.1 Wire and Arc Additive Manufacturing

Additive Manufacturing (AM) can be regarded as a concept for direct production of components in a range of materials such as steel, aluminium, plastic and titanium. AM has the ability to manufacture very complicated 3D physical geometries devoid of geometric restrictions.¹ AM can be classified into Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) also known as Powder Based Additive Manufacturing (PBAM) and Wire and Arc Additive Manufacturing (WAAM), which is Wire Based Additive Manufacturing.² WAAM is an aspect of additive manufacturing in which metallic components are manufactured by welding beads in a layer-by-layer manner. The wire based technique aims at overcoming the issues of

powder based solution. WAAM deposition rates can be very high and having virtually no restriction concerning the size of the part to be manufactured.² The demand for WAAM has been on the increase over the past years. A study in the Wohler's report 2010³ reported that the request for products and services from WAAM technology has been robust for more than twenty years. This is because of its flexibility as this procedure allows the manufacture of large custom-built metal workpiece with high quality welds.³ This technology can help to realise large

Department of Manufacturing and Materials,
Cranfield University, Cranfield. MK43 0AL, UK

Corresponding author:

Jörn Mehnen, Department of Manufacturing and
Materials, Cranfield University, Cranfield, UK
Email: j.mehnen@cranfield.ac.uk

savings in the form of reduction in metal waste, reduced lead-time and elimination of tooling cost.³ The total avoidance of tooling in WAAM techniques gives design freedom and the design process can be more flexible.⁴ Nevertheless, the surface of WAAM parts has a significant surface roughness in contrast to general Numerical Control (NC) machined parts owing to the WAAM process itself.⁵ Researches^{2, 3, 7, 14} have investigated into the various aspects of manufacturing using Additive Manufacturing but little research has been done on the machining aspect of it. As part of the study on machining of Additive Manufacturing, Yang et al.,¹ concluded that owing to the dynamic effect, Layer Based Machining (LBM) could be better used in large objects devoid of thin walls.

However, various WAAM parts of intricate sizes have been produced with less concern for the machining especially when the surface finish is paramount to the requirements.^{1, 6} Moreover, the main benefit of manufacturing a part by WAAM is that the geometric complexity of the part has a considerably smaller effect on the manufacturing method than the traditional material removal processes and machining of WAAM parts is a lot less wasteful.³

1.2 Sequential WAAM

Recently, layer based machining has emerged as a promising way of integrating additive and subtractive shaping theories. Since both WAAM and MR have their own respective strengths and weaknesses, it is a good idea to realise the benefits of the two processes in an integrated system. This could allow making WAAM geometries with improved accuracy and surface finish with less necessity for complex setups of the features. If the time required to deposit a layer is presumed to be dependent of the layer thickness, and the speed of machining is assumed greater than the speed of the deposition process, then the overall speed of

the combined WAAM and MR is faster than the WAAM process alone.^{1, 6}

Although machining of WAAM walls has its unique features, it has some inherent problems using CNC machining methods. The most obvious issue is that models with hollow features or very deep cavities can be impossible to machined.¹ However, in an earlier study¹ of where WAAM and machining were not performed on the same machine, after deposition of this part with a hollow shape, the material was cut to allow the hollow parts to be machined and after the machining, the parts were glued together with the aid of an adhesive. The method presented in this paper does not involve the use of any adhesive as this involves the sequential WAAM deposition and machining on the same system.

The process analysed in this paper involves carrying out both welding and machining sequentially on a single integrated system and integrates both material deposition with material removal to arrive at the necessary surface finish. The novel integrated method can decrease the total time for the deposition and improve shape accuracy.^{7, 8}

1.3 Solid lubricants

Cutting fluids help to lubricate the machining zone thus reducing the friction between the machining tool and the workpiece and reducing the amount of frictional heat being generated. It can also help to cool the machining zone by extracting some of the generated heat. However, cutting fluids also come with disadvantages, which include cost, significant potential health and safety risks, and government legislations.⁹ These disadvantages forced companies to reduce the toxicity of all waste including cutting fluids being returned to the environment and to increase the biodegradability of their waste products.¹⁰ In addition, the cutting fluids earn a significant portion of total manufacturing cost.⁹ Consequently, there is a real need to either eliminate or significantly reduce the use of cutting fluid. As an alternative to

cutting fluids, solid lubricants such as graphite and molybdenum disulphide (MoS_2) are being currently used in machining. It does not have any pathogenic history and hence do not pose any significant health hazard to workers.¹² They are readily available and biodegradable. Due to the numerous advantages offered in terms of machining performance and ecological, solid lubricants are becoming increasingly popular as an alternative to conventional cutting fluid.¹² In this context, there is a clear interest to explore the potential for using dry and solid lubricants within abrasive machining for WAAM, developing upon the existing minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) theories in order to establish a stable and reliable machining process.

This study aims at understanding the possibility of sequential deposition and machining using solid lubricants since it is difficult to manufacture WAAM parts and machine them in an environment of lubricating liquids due to hazard of electric shocks. This paper highlights the effects of using solid lubricants in the machining of additive manufactured structures on surface integrity and material properties.

2. Materials and machine set-up

The study was conducted using the pre-set operating parameters shown in Table 1. The material used is mild steel coming as a consumable electrode G3Si1. The wire has excellent feedability and very consistent welding performance with little spatter. A Fronius Trans Pulse Synergic 5000 Cold Metal Transfer (CMT) - welding machine was used for the deposition process.

Details of the equipment are shown in Figure 1 with an ABB IRB 2400 robot coupled to the CMT machine for the motion of the arc.

3. Methodology

Graphite powder and molybdenum disulphide powder was used in the trials due to its wide usage, the ability to maintain its properties as a lubricant and the stability at

high temperature.¹¹ Widely used conventional oil based machining fluid (EcoCool machining fluid)¹² was used for the comparison with the solid lubricants.

A systematic experimental approach was used [see Table 2]. Stage 1 involves sequential deposition of walls with or without the application of lubricants. This is without any form of cleaning operation performed on the walls before or after each layer of deposition. Stage 2 involves the sequential deposition of walls with and without the application of solid lubricants as in the stage 1, however, with cleaning of the lubricant after each layer of deposition. The cleaning operation involves thorough manual cleaning of the top surface of the deposited WAAM beads with the use of cotton wool and 99% acetone. This is important because of the necessity to remove the traces of solid lubricant during and after the machining operation to avoid any contamination of the material surfaces.

Trial 1 in stage 1 consists of wall build up without cleaning after each layer of deposition while the stage 2 of Trial 1 consists of wall build up with cleaning after each layer of deposition. Trial 1 is the only trial that does not involve any form of lubricants, either solid or fluid. This experiment serves as the control in which no lubricant was applied. Apart from this trial 1, all other trials (Trials 2, 3 and 4) use either a solid lubricants or a fluid lubricant as shown in Table 2. Trial 2 involves the application of MoS_2 on the surface of every single layer deposited and in the stage 2 of this trial. In the trials 3, graphite powder was applied instead of MoS_2 as in trial 2 and the trial 4 involves the application of machining fluid. Each time, 4 ml of solid lubricants was applied over a deposited single bead of 80mm. For all the trials, the materials were allowed to cool down to room temperature.

The layout of the base plate and the deposited walls are shown in Figure 2. After the deposition, the walls were sectioned (section A - A in Figure 3) to show the

microstructure of the samples. The subsurface layer preparation was done before imaging the microstructure of the material. This process involves setting the samples on the resin and allowing to cure. Other microstructural preparation includes grinding using different grades of abrasive grinding paper, a 6µm diamond paste for polishing and then etched with 2% Nital solution for 20 seconds. This exposes the grain structure to identify the microstructure. Figure 3 shows the region of the application of lubricants. The region is the point of the application of lubricants as well as the layer of interface between each layers of deposition. The microstructures were taken at the interface of the weld (section A – A in Figure 3) which, is also the area of the application of the lubricants.

The micro hardness of the structures was examined on a Zwick micro Vickers hardness-testing machine using a load of 200g, which was applied for duration of 20 seconds. The micro hardness test was conducted three times and measurements taken across the weld interface at points Upper (U), Down (D), Left (L), Middle (M), and Right (R) (see Figure 3). For the study, also a XL 30 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) was employed for detecting any form of lubricants embedded in the material. The samples were scanned and analysed using the image processing software INCA[®]. As this research focuses on the effect of lubricants on WAAM parts only, the actual machining process has been deliberately omitted. This work provides the fundamental knowledge for the succeeding machining process of AM structures with lubricants.

4. Results and discussions

4.1 Microstructure

Figure 4 illustrates the microstructure of the deposited materials at various stages of the trials. Figure 4A shows the microstructure at the weld interface when no form of lubricant was applied (Trial 1.1). It shows that the

structure is composed of columnar proeutectoid ferrite and fine acicular pearlites intermix with a small quantity of fine acicular pearlite between the columnar dendrites. Figure 4B shows the microstructure obtained when the sample was cleaned with acetone prior to each layer deposition (Trial 2.1). The micrograph shows an anequiaxed grain and mainly consisted of massive ferrite with big grain structure. This could be attributed to the cleaning of the deposited surfaces. The microstructure of the sample during sequential deposition with MoS₂ as lubricants is shown in Figure 4C and 4D (Trial 2.1 and 2.2). Figure 4C illustrates the microstructure of the sample when the sample weld bead was not cleaned after each layer of deposition but MoS₂ used as lubricant. The microstructure here is predominantly bainite with islands of ferrite. It is known that the rate of the formation of bainite in the process of the intermediate transformation is determined by the rate of removal of carbon from the front of the growing crystallite due to the diffusion redistribution and by the number of emerging crystallization centers.²¹ The carbon redistribution during this process was affected by the inclusion of MoS₂ which was used as lubricant and thus finds its way into the molten metal during the WAAM deposition. This addition of MoS₂ promotes the formation of bainite structure.

There are some patches of large ferrite grains, which can be attributed to the contamination of the weld surface by the MoS₂. However, Figure 4D, which is Trial 2.2, shows the microstructure with cleaning of the surface of the deposited layer after the application of MoS₂. The microstructure comprises of mixed coarse grains and some amount of long-grained ferrite. Some large grains structure is also noticed in this sample.

Figure 4E and 4F (Trial 3.1 and 3.2) show the microstructure of the samples when graphite was used as the lubricant. Graphite being an allotrope of carbon can combine easily with the molten mild steel materials used in this Trial. However, in Figure 4E which is the microstructure of the sample during sequential deposition with graphite as lubricant, the graphite remains on the surface of the weld bead without cleaning. The microstructure here is characterised by the presence of ferrite with aligned and non-aligned second phases, grains boundary ferrite and a ferrite/carbide aggregate that appears to be fine pearlite. The fine pearlite is as a result of the mixture of carbon with the weld pool during deposition. Moreover, when the graphite was cleaned the microstructure (see Figure 4F) exhibits equiaxed ferrite grains and larger grains of fine pearlite and the weld has been re-austenite by subsequent weld deposit. This has the effect of refining the grain structures by eliminating the columnar grains and replacing them with relative fine polygonal ferrite surrounded by acicular ferrite.

With the sequential deposition of layers with oil-based lubricant without cleaning after each deposition (see Figure 4G - Trial 4.1), the structure shows a pearlite which reduces the hardness but tends to increase the ductility of the material. It also shows areas of a mixture of ferrite and pearlite grains. When the fluid lubricant was cleaned (see Figure 4H - Trial 4.2), the microstructure exhibits fine grains of acicular ferrite formation with some areas of coarse pearlite.

This investigation has shown the effects of using acetone to clean WAAM samples on the microstructure. Without the application of lubricants, the grain size of the microstructure tends to become larger when cleaned with acetone. When a lubricant was applied, there are areas of large grain sizes. The big grain size appears in all the microstructure that were cleaned with acetone and this can be attributed to the reaction of acetone with the weld samples.

Although the acetone was allowed to dry before depositing the next layer, it caused an increase in the grain size of the sample. The areas of large grain sizes exhibited in Figure 4D and 4F resulted because of cleaning with acetone.

Conclusively, dry machining might be the only possible way of manufacturing WAAM parts when applying sequential deposition and machining if no contamination is allowed.

4.2 Micro hardness of the weld

Figure 5 shows the comparative hardness profile of the samples. One can see through the solidification of the deposited weld zone, the parent material commonly lost its unique strength induced through strain hardening.⁵ Generally, in the event of carbon and low alloy steel development of bainite or martensitic stages in the deposited weld region encourages an upsurge of hardness. The macro hardness chart shown in Figure 5a showed the hardness of the deposited material with and without cleaning when no lubricant was applied in the interface of the layer. It has an average hardness of 219VH and the micro hardness outside the deposited layers interface has an average of 258VH when no cleaning took place. With the cleaning, the average hardness at the interface of the layers dropped to 200VH and the micro hardness outside the deposited interface of 250VH. However, Figure 5b shows the micro hardness profile with the application of MoS₂ as a lubricant. In the case, MoS₂ was applied to the interface of the layers deposited and subsequent layers deposited without cleaning as shown in Trial 2 stage 1 and with cleaning as shown in Trial 2 stage 2. The average micro hardness without cleaning at the weld interface is 213VH and for the micro hardness taken outside the weld interface, this has an average of 244VH. After the cleaning operation, the average micro hardness at the interface drops to 196VH and outside the region of the interface, the average micro

hardness of 242VH. Furthermore, when the same process was repeated with the use of graphite as a lubricant, Figure 5c shows the outcome of the results of the micro hardness with the average micro hardness of 212VH when the graphite was used without cleaning and outside the weld interface has an average micro hardness of 243VH. However, when the graphite was cleaned the average micro hardness at the weld interface also dropped to 190VH and outside this region, an average of 249VH was attained. Figure 5d shows the micro hardness profile with the use of oil-based lubricant to the interface. This is with no cleaning; the average micro hardness for the material is 202VH as compared to the region outside the weld interface with an average micro hardness of 243VH.

With cleaning of this lubricant with acetone, and allowing it to dry off the micro hardness remains virtually at the same region with when no cleaning operation. It has an average micro hardness of 203VH and outside the weld region, it has an average of 245VH.

Hardness is a critical property of engineering materials. It is a function of the microstructural grain structure.¹⁷ The hardness property of the samples where no form of lubricant is applied recorded the highest Vickers hardness. However, there is a reduction in the Vickers hardness value when cleaning was applied due to the increase in the grain size. However, with the application of both graphite and molybdenum disulphide on the sample, there is also a reduction in the Vickers hardness and this can be attributed to the effects of contamination through the application of the solid lubricants. Moreover, with the application of oil-based lubricant, the variation in the Vickers hardness is not significant between the samples with cleaning and sample without cleaning. This can be attributed to the oil based lubricant having no significant effect on the microstructure and the mechanical properties. Generally it was found that in the welding zone where no lubricant was applied, a

higher micro hardness can be observed than when cleaning took place. Also the micro-hardness outside the weld deposition zone at the surroundings has a significant higher micro-hardness values. These phenomena occur as a result of the grain size, previous study by Liu et al.,¹⁷ indicated that in general, the Hall-Petch type of linear relationship was followed between micro hardness and the grain size.

$$HV = a + bd^{-1/2}$$

Where HV is the micro hardness, d is the grain size, a and b are the parameters related to phases in materials and in one weld, they are constant. It can be seen that the smaller the grain size, the higher the degrees of micro-hardness. The grain boundaries become the main obstacle to the slip of dislocations and the zone with a smaller grain size would have higher micro hardness as more grain boundaries would impose more restriction to the dislocation movement.

4.3 Temperature effect around the welding region

In order to be mindful with the temperature around the welding zone during deposition, a three-dimensional transient thermal model was used. The software used is Abaqus 6.9-3. The model covers the heat generation by the welding arc, heat conduction in the deposited components. Heat loss through the free surfaces and through the cooling system under the base plate were included in the model. The welding arc was simulated as a volumetric heat source with a power density moving along with the torch. The Goldak double ellipsoidal heat source¹⁸ was used to apply the heat to the WAAM deposits. The power density of the region in front of the arc centre and the region behind the arc centre was defined separately.¹⁹

To simulate the material deposition process, the filler material was simulated using the “element birth technique”.²⁰ With the model

change option in Abaqus, all the elements of the deposited wall were deactivated at the initial step of the analysis, and then sections of elements were activated in successive steps to simulate the metal deposition as the weld torch travels along the base plate.

The plot of the simulation (see Figure 6) shows the temperature of the weldpool. This helps to understand what might have happened to the lubricants during and after WAAM deposition. The plot shows the temperature of the weld pool during deposition to be almost 2800°C and every other region around the welding pool lies between the 1500°C and 30°C. A review on the study of the melting point of graphite between the year 1963 to 2003 shows that graphite melts at 4527°C ± 200°C.¹⁵

With this data, the graphite used in the trial will not melt or sublime since this is above the highest temperature of the welding pool. Moreover, the graphite might have mixed with the molten material during the welding deposition. Contrary to the high melting point of graphite, the MoS₂ has a much lower melting point of 1185°C. MoS₂ sublimates above this melting point and they are moderately unreactive chemically unless physical and mechanically activated.¹⁶ At the temperature above 700°C MoS₂ may react with steel at the weld pool:



The molybdenum that resulted from this reaction can diffuse into the weld pool. Moreover, at room temperature, chemical reactions could be expected and in fact sometimes noticed between disulphide, metal surfaces, and mist air.¹⁶

4.4 Scanning Electron Microscope Analysis

The percentage of lubricants at the interface between the deposited weld, i.e. the point of the application of the lubricants, was analysed. In the analysis, SEM was used to the chemical composition (see Figure 7 and

8). The analysis indicates the elements present in the scanned area. Table 3 lists the elemental composition of the samples. The analysis shows the presence of carbon (C), potassium (K), aluminium (Al), and oxygen (O). Other elements present include magnesium (Mn) and iron (Fe). Figure 7 shows the elements present in the (Trial 1-1) sample with sequential deposition of wall without cleaning after each deposition when no lubricant was applied. This figure shows the presence of potassium (K), aluminium (Al) and oxygen (O) in Trial 1-1. Figure 8 shows the results for Trial 1-2. One can see that the elements from Trial 1-1 which includes potassium, aluminium and oxygen but are absent in the Trial 1-2. This indicates that cleaning with acetone could affect the material elemental composition by reaction with the weld surface.

In the Trial 1-1 and 1-2, the percentage of carbon present is at a high level when compared with other trials (see Table 3). Trial 1-1 has a carbon content of 10.99% by weight and the Trial 1-2 has an elemental content of carbon to be 12.20% by weight. Moreover, Figure 7 shows the elements present in the sample with sequential deposition of wall with cleaning after each deposition. The rest of the results from this trial apart from Trial 1-1 and Trial 2-1 that the presence of oxygen follow the same pattern as shown in Figure 8. Other elements might be present in the analysis but could be insignificant.

5. Conclusion

The outcomes of this work provide an insight into the use of solid lubricants in sequential machining and deposition of WAAM structures. It also provides beneficial information of using sequential machining and deposition in the machining of WAAM structures with hollow shapes. From the above work, the following conclusions were drawn:

1. The effects of using solid lubricant during sequential machining and its effects on the mechanical properties were analysed with the result that the microstructure of samples can get contaminated with the introduction of solid lubricant and that the chemical composition can be altered.
2. Cleaning of deposited wall samples with acetone during welding was discovered to have an effect on the microstructure and hardness during sequential deposition of samples. There is an increase in the grain size of the microstructure when cleaned, resulting in a reduction in the material hardness.
3. The FEM temperature modelling of the welding pool shows that solid lubricants could have reacted with molten metal during the sequential deposition and machining. Knowing the temperature distribution and the melting point of the lubricants is important for selecting the appropriate solid lubricant.
4. Scanning Electron Microscope analysis was used to determine the presence of traces of foreign elements in the samples due to the cleaning of the samples. The Scanning Electron Microscope analysis shows the existence of contaminating elements in the parent material but absence after the cleaning of the sample.

Acknowledgements

This study is supported by Education Trust Fund (ETF) in Nigeria.

References

1. Yang ZY, Chen YH and Sze WS. Layer-based machining: Recent development and support structure design, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 2002; 216 (7): 979-991.
2. Baufeld B, Biest OVD and Gault R. Additive manufacturing of Ti-6Al-4V components by shaped metal deposition. Microstructure and mechanical properties, *Materials and Design* 2010; 31(1): S106-S111.
3. Wohler A. Additive manufacturing state of the industry, Report in Wohler's associates, USA 2010. ISBN 0-9754429-9-6
4. Karunakaran KP, Suryakumar S, Pushpa V and Akula S. Low cost integration of additive and subtractive processes for hybrid layered manufacturing, *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 2010; 3: 67-77.
5. Yang ZY, Chen YH and Sze WS. Layer-based machining: Recent development and support structure design. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture* 2002; 216(7): 979-991.
6. Kulkarni P and Dutta D. On the integration of layered manufacturing and material removal processes. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Transactions of the ASME* 2000; 122(1): 100-108.
7. Hope RL, Jacobs PA and Roth RN. Rapid prototyping with sloping surfaces. *Rapid Prototyping Journal* 1997; 3(1): 12-19.
8. Cobb RC, Spenser JD and Dicken PM. Better surface finishing techniques is a must. *Proceedings of the second Scandinavian rapid prototyping conference*. Danish Technological institute, Aarhus, Denmark. 4-6 October 1993, 62-65.
9. Brinksmeier E, Heinzl C and Wittmann M. Friction, Cooling and Lubrication in Grinding. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology* 1999; 48(2): 581-598.

10. Bart WJ. Lubricants and the Environment. *Tribology International* 1998; 31(3): 35-47.
11. Koc T. The impact of ISO 9000 quality management systems on manufacturing. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 2007, 186(3): 207-213.
12. Fuchs Lubricants (UK) Plc. Ecocool Ultralife; New water soluble coolant technology 2007.
13. Krishna PV, Srikant RR and Nageswara R. Solid lubricants in machining. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J* 2011; 225(1): 213-227.
14. Williams RE and Melton VL. Abrasive flow finishing of stereolithography prototypes. *Rapid Prototyping Journal* 1998; 4(2): 56-67.
15. Savvatimskiy AI (2005), Measurements of the melting point of graphite and the properties of liquid carbon (a review for 1963–2003) 2005; 43(6): 1115-1142.
16. Farr JPG. (1975), Molybdenum disulphide in lubrication. A review, *Wear* 1975; 35(1):1-22.
17. Liu MY, Shi B, Wang C, Ji SK, Cai X and Song HW. Normal hall-petch behaviour of mild steel with submicron grains, *Materials letter* 2003; 57(5):2798-2802.
18. Goldak, J, Chakravarti A and Bibby M. New Finite Element Model for Welding Heat Sources, *Metallurgical and Material Transactions B-process Metallurgy and Materials Processing Science*, 1984; vol. 15B, 299-305.
19. Ding J, Colegrove P, Mehnen J, Ganguly S, Sequeira Almeida PM, Wang F and Williams S. Thermo-mechanical analysis of Wire and Arc Additive Layer Manufacturing process on large multi-layer parts, *Computational Materials Science*, 2011, 50 (12): 3315-3322.
20. Shan XY, Tan MJ and O'Dowd NP. Developing a realistic FE analysis method for the welding of a NET single-bead-on-plate test specimen, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2007; 192,(6): 497-503.
21. Kremnev LS, Svishchonko VV and Cheprasov DP. Structure and mechanism of the formation of granular banite in steel 20Kh2NCh. *Metal Science and Heat Treatment*, 1997; 39 (9), 367-370.

Effects of solid lubricants on wire and arc additive manufactured structures

Adebayo, Yinka

2014-04-01T00:00:00Z

Yinka Adebayo, Jörn Mehnen, Xavier Tonnellier, Effects of solid lubricants on wire and arc additive manufactured structures, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture, April 2014, Volume 228, Number 4, Pages 563-571.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0954405413502025>

Downloaded from CERES Research Repository, Cranfield University