Table of Contents Entry

Acid catalyst promotes transmethylation in anisole decomposition through dual electrophilic attack mechanism, lowering intrinsic energy barriers by most 60 kcal/mol.



Mechanism of transmethylation in anisole decomposition over Brønsted acid sites: Density
 Functional Theory (DFT) study
 Jiajun Zhang^{ab}, Beatriz Fidalgo^b, Athanasios Kolios^b, Dekui Shen^{a*}, Sai Gu^c
 * Corresponding author: Dekui Shen, Email: <u>101011398@seu.edu.cn</u>
 ^a Key Laboratory of Energy Thermal Conversion and Control of Ministry of Education,

Southeast University, Nanjing, China
 ^b School of Water, Energy and Environment, Cranfield University, Cranfield, United Kingdom
 ^c Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Surrey, United Kingdom

10 Abstract: In this work, the mechanism and intrinsic reaction energy barriers of 11 transmethylation, as the initial stage of the catalytic and non-catalytic anisole decomposition, 12 were investigated by Density Functional Theory (DFT). Molecule analyses indicated that 13 methyl free radical transfer happened in the absence of catalyst, and the catalytic 14 transmethylation over Brønsted acid sites was considered based on the dual electrophilic 15 attack mechanism with protonation and carbocation substitution respectively. Reactions 16 modelling for the formation of methyl-contained compounds in both non-catalytic and catalytic 17 anisole decomposition indicated that the energy barriers were significantly decreased in the 18 presence of catalyst by 60 kcal/mol at the most in the case of o-cresol. The results also 19 revealed that the intrinsic transmethylation orientation preferred the ortho- and para-positions 20 on the acceptor compounds contained oxygen-rich substituents due to its large 21 electronegativity, and the lowest energy barrier was observed in the case of transmethylation 22 towards the para-position of the cresol molecule (54.1 kcal/mol).

23

Key words: lignin; catalytic decomposition; model compound; modelling; phenolic
 compounds; substituent

26 **1. Introduction**

Lignin is an abundant aromatic-rich bio-resource; approximately 63 million tones are extracted annually mainly from the pulp and paper industry ^{1,2}. The fast pyrolysis of lignin has been investigated since the late 1970s, and is accepted as a feasible and viable route to convert

lignin into value added fuel additives ^{3–5}. However, the primary bio-oil produced from fast 30 31 pyrolysis cannot be directly used in fuel applications. This is because of its inadequate 32 properties, such as acidity, low calorific value, and low stability, which are a consequence of 33 its high oxygen content in composition. The effective removal of the oxygen by catalytic 34 upgrading is therefore crucial for making the bio-oil compatible with the existing fossil fuel infrastructure and for widening its use ^{6,7}. Catalytic cracking of bio-oil is one of the conversion 35 36 routes usually suggested for deoxygenation, and zeolites with dispersed Brønsted acid sites, such as HZSM-5, have been proven as suitable catalysts for this process ^{8–11}. 37

38 The methoxy group is an oxygen containing functional group which abundantly exists in 39 components present in the bio-oil obtained from the fast pyrolysis of lignin, such as anisole, 40 guaiacol, syringol and their derivatives ¹². Understanding the reactivity of the methoxy group 41 is required to properly assess the complete catalytic upgrading process of these lignin-derived 42 aromatic compounds. Anisole is often used as a model compound to investigate the reactivity 43 of lignin-derived compounds containing the methoxy functional group, because this is the only functional group present in the molecule ¹³. Transmethylation reaction has been observed to 44 45 be the primary reaction taking place in anisole decomposition, leading to the prominent production of phenolic compounds ^{14–18}. 46

47 Catalytic transmethylation over acid sites has been reported for phenol alkylation in the presence of methanol ¹⁹⁻²³. A few authors have described the transmethylation in the 48 49 decomposition of anisole over acid sites consisting of isomerization, dealkylation, and intermolecular methyl transfer ^{24,25}. However, available literature mainly focused on the 50 51 general study of pathways and kinetic parameters for the transmethylation reactions, with little 52 details on catalysis mechanisms despite their importance to understand the entire catalytic 53 process ²⁶. Although it is widely accepted that Brønsted acid sites play a dominant role in anisole decomposition ^{14,19,24}, the precise mechanism for transmethylation over the acid sites 54 55 is still controversial. Different, and sometimes hardly consistent, reaction pathways and 56 mechanisms have been proposed for explaining the same chemical process in previous studies ^{19–23}. This might attributed to that transition state is key to understanding chemical 57 58 reaction mechanism, but it is extremely unstable and hard to capture by means of experimental studies ²⁷. Wang et al. ¹⁶ have proposed hydrolysis as the first stage of the 59

60 anisole conversion, with little interaction of the acid sites, followed by the alkylation of phenol with methanol. Thilakaratne et al. ²⁸ proposed the transmethylation mechanism based on the 61 62 formation of a methenium ion during anisole decomposition on the Brønsted acid site. There 63 has been other study suggesting the formation of a methyl carbocation directly by the methyl 64 group in the anisole molecule ^{14,24,25,28,29}, nevertheless, the studies have seldom addressed 65 how the carbocation is formed and to what extent it affects the transmethylation reaction. In 66 most previous studies, further evidence to prove the proposed mechanism regarding the 67 transmethylation, or to evaluate the reactions based on the mechanism were not provided.

68 Despite experimental results being highly valuable to understand the overall reaction and 69 products distribution at a macroscopic level, they present limitations in unravelling the 70 reaction mechanism at molecular level. Density Functional Theory (DFT) modelling is based 71 on the calculation of electrons interactions, and has been widely used as a systematic and 72 convincing approach in explaining molecular properties and mechanisms for many reactions 73 ^{30–34}. Compared to experimental approach, DFT calculation can provide intrinsic information 74 of reactions regarding to detailed interaction between molecules and acid site, independently 75 of the very short life span of the transition states, radicals and ions existing in the reactions. 76 The microscale modelling of catalysis by DFT can also disregard complex impacts of 77 macroscale factors (e.g. framework effects) and allows focusing on the reaction regarding its 78 intrinsic properties. However, DFT calculation for transmethylation and related reactions has 79 little been reported in the literature.

80 The aim of this work is to investigate by means of DFT modelling the mechanism of 81 transmethylation as a primary reaction of the non-catalytic and catalytic decomposition of 82 anisole, and to identify the effects of Brønsted acid sites on transmethylation. Compounds 83 such as phenol, benzene, toluene, anisole, cresol, xylenol and tri-methyl phenol were 84 investigated. The transfer orientation preference of the electrophilic substituents on relevant 85 molecules was also studied. In addition, various possible reaction pathways of the 86 transmethylation reaction were evaluated to address energy barriers during formation of 87 major product compounds.

88 2. Computational method

89 The first-principles density functional theory plus dispersion (DFT-D) calculations were implemented in the DMol³ module available in Materials Studio 2016 from BIOVIA ^{35,36}. The 90 91 double numerical plus polarization (DNP) basis set was used to calculate the valence orbital 92 of all the atoms, including a polarization p-function on all hydrogen atoms. The numerical basis sets in DMol³ minimize or even eliminate basis set superposition error (BSSE), in 93 contrast to Gaussian basis sets, in which BSSE can be a serious problem ^{37,38}. Calculations 94 95 used the generalized gradient corrected approximation (GGA) ³⁹ treated by the 96 Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation potential with long-range dispersion correction via Grimme's scheme ⁴⁰. The self-consistent field (SCF) procedure was used with a 97 convergence threshold of 10^{-6} au on the energy and electron density. Geometry optimizations 98 were performed with a convergence threshold of 0.002 Ha/Å on the gradient, 0.005 Å on 99 100 displacements, and 10^{-5} Ha on the energy. The real-space global cut-off radius was set to 5 Å. 101 In this study, no symmetry constraints were used for any cluster models. The transition state 102 was completely determined by the LST/QST method, and confirmed by the unique imaginary 103 frequency as shown in Table S1 in the supplementary information and Intrinsic reaction 104 coordinate (IRC) calculation. Milliken charges were assigned to each bond to address the 105 bond order, and Hirshfeld charges were assigned to each atom for the function selected as the Fukui field ⁴¹. Radical Fukui analysis was applied to the phenol molecule to establish its 106 107 reactivity to free radical attack in non-catalytic reactions. Electrophilic Fukui analysis was 108 applied to anisole and phenol molecules to determine their reactivity to carbocation attack in 109 catalytic reactions. The same computation condition was applied for both catalytic and non-110 catalytic modellings; in the case of catalytic reactions modelling, mainly Brønsted acid was 111 considered. The initial configuration of the ZSM-5 catalysts was obtained from the siliceous 112 ZSM-5 crystal, and an 8T model was used to simulate the performance of a Brønsted acid site ^{31,32}. The energy barrier for transmethylation reaction was determined by the difference 113 114 between the transition state and reactant energies. The relative energy of the transition state 115 and product was defined as the energy difference with the reactant respectively. All the 116 energies were calculated at 0K to investigate the intrinsic reactions of transmethylation.

117 **3.** Results and discussions

118 **3.1** Mechanism for transmethylation in anisole decomposition

The weakest bond in anisole molecule was observed for C_{SP}^{3} -O (as shown in Fig S2(a), Bond ID C8-O7), indicating that both the non-catalytic and catalytic thermal decomposition of anisole is preferably initiated at this site ²⁹.

122 In the case of the non-catalytic decomposition of anisole, the molecule is subsequently 123 cracked into free radicals, with a methyl radical being formed, which substitutes the hydrogen molecule on a phenol molecule to produce cresols ²⁹, and the free radical substitutions are 124 more likely to occur at the ortho-position and para-position of the phenol molecule (based on 125 126 radical Fukui analysis (Fukui (0)) to phenol molecule, shown in Fig S3(a)). A previous experimental work by the group of J. Zhang et al ⁴² concluded the preferential formation of 127 128 cresols at temperatures lower than 650°C during the non-catalytic decomposition of anisole. It 129 should be noticed that due to there is no obvious intermediate compound existing in the non-130 catalytic transmethylation reactions, they are more likely to occur as one step reactions.

131 In the case of the catalytic decomposition of anisole over Brønsted acid sites, it has been 132 largely recognized that the transmethylation reaction is induced by a proton that dissociates 133 from the acid site and launches an electrophilic attack on the reactant ^{14,43–46}. The 134 transmethylation mechanism is proposed to proceed through carbocation transfers in the 135 case of catalytic decomposition of anisole, as shown in Fig 1.



136

137 Fig 1. Dual electrophilic attack mechanism of catalytic transmethylation

138 The catalytic process of transmethylation can be divided into two steps. The first step consists 139 of the methyl group cleavage in the anisole molecule; an initial electrophilic attack is launched 140 by the proton dissociated from the catalyst acid site to the O atom (based on the electrophilic 141 Fukui (Fukui (-)) analysis to anisole molecule, as shown in Fig S2(b)), and the methyl 142 carbocation is released. A second electrophilic attack is launched by the methyl carbocation 143 group; the group is likely to substitute the hydrogen atom at the o- and p-positions on the 144 phenol ring (based on the electrophilic Fukui analysis (Fukui (-)) to phenol molecule, as 145 shown in Fig S3(b)). The displaced free proton simultaneously interacts with the catalyst to 146 recover the Brønsted acid site and maintain the catalytic activity throughout the reaction. 147 Transition state compounds normally exist for a very short time due to instability; however, the 148 methyl carbocation attached to the active site during the transmethylation process is a 149 relatively stable structure with zero valent. Consequently, it can be considered as an 150 intermediate compound, rather than a transition state compound, therefore it is possible to 151 consider the methyl carbocation cleavage and the carbocation substitution reactions as 152 separate steps in the catalytic transmethylation. The mechanism described in Fig 1 shows 153 that the use of Brønsted acid catalyst replaces the one-step reaction of direct methyl free 154 radical transfer observed for the non-catalytic reaction by a two-step process [25]. The 155 mechanism also shows constant maintenance of acid sites in the catalyst by proton recovery 156 throughout the reaction. Further reaction modelling was carried out considering the 157 mechanism proposed here.

158 It is worth noting that in both non-catalytic and catalytic decomposition of anisole, the methyl 159 group transfers not only to phenol but also to other compounds such as benzene, toluene, 160 and even non-decomposed anisole present in the reaction media ⁴². All the transmethylation 161 processes are initiated from methyl cleavage.

162 **3.2** Modelling of non-catalytic and catalytic transmethylation of anisole to phenol

The transmethylation reactions with a phenol molecule in the non-catalytic and catalytic decomposition of anisole were modelled. Both non-catalytic and catalytic transmethylation models were built by locating equidistantly the reactant molecules (about 3Å) to minimize any possible position-related errors. The catalytic transmethylation was modelled based on the 167 dual electrophilic attack mechanism proposed in Fig 1, considering the system containing 168 methyl carbocation on the acid site as the intermediate compound (see Fig 2). The modelling 169 was implemented in two stages: methyl carbocation cleavage from anisole over the catalyst 170 active site, and transfer of the carbocation to the surrounding molecules. The transition states 171 for both stages are denoted as TS1 and TS2 respectively. The non-catalytic transmethylation 172 model was built according to the free radical mechanism, and the transition state of the 173 reaction is denoted as TS. The cleavage energy of the carbocation from the anisole molecule 174 (for TS1) and the energy barriers for the methyl carbocation transfer to ortho-, meta-, and 175 para-positions of phenol (for TS2) during the catalytic transmethylation of anisole to form 176 cresol via phenol, as predicted by the model, are shown in Fig 3. The transition state (TS) and 177 corresponding energy barriers for the non-catalytic transmethylation of anisole to form n-178 cresol are shown for comparison.

179 As can be seen in Fig 3, the transmethylation to the ortho-position of phenol presented a 180 lower energy barrier than the meta-position and para-position transfers both in non-catalytic 181 and catalytic decomposition. This result indicates that ortho-position transmethylation is more 182 likely to occur to the phenol molecule, which agrees with the experimental observations found elsewhere ⁴². In short, experiments showed that o-cresol was formed at a lower temperature 183 184 (550°C) than p-cresol (600°C) in non-catalytic anisole decomposition, and most multi-methyl 185 phenolic compounds presented the ortho-position occupied by a methyl group in the catalytic anisole decomposition ⁴². In addition, the model pointed to the highest energy barrier for the 186 187 meta-position transfer. This is in agreement with experimental results, which exhibited no evidence of m-cresol formation ⁴². However, it should be noted that the results in this study 188 189 show the intrinsic properties of the reaction, and the experimental yields obtained are 190 normally subjected to other effects, such as the framework topology effects of different 191 zeolites. For example, shape selectivity of microporous zeolites plays a key role in the 192 catalyst promoting the production of para-cresol²⁵.

Transition state compound of catalytic methyl cleavage (TS1)





(b)

195 196

193 194

Fig 2. (a) CSP3-O bond (C8-O7) cleavage and carbocation formation; (b) Methyl carbocation
transfer to ortho-position of phenol (transfers to meta- and para-positions are not shown here).
Atoms are colored as follows: carbon atom (grey), hydrogen atom (light grey), oxygen atom
(red), silica atom (yellow) and aluminum atom (pink).

201 The model also predicted that the energy barrier for the methyl cleavage in the presence of 202 the catalyst was 66.4 kcal/mol, which is much lower than the energy barrier values of the non-203 catalytic process. Moreover, compared to the non-catalytic process, the energy barrier for 204 catalytic transmethylation to ortho-position decreased from 105.5 kcal/mol to 60.7 kcal/mol, and those for para- and meta-positions dropped from 107.3 kcal/mol to 66.1 kcal/mol and 205 206 from 118.0 kcal/mol to 67.2 kcal/mol respectively. These results are also in line with experimental data which showed that a lower temperature (approximately by 150°C) was 207 208 required to achieve a similar conversion ratio during the catalytic decomposition of anisole 209 compared to non-catalytic decomposition ⁴².





Fig 3. Energy barriers for transmethylation reactions of anisole to cresol (via phenol). (C
 denotes to catalytic transmethylation; NC denotes to non-catalytic transmethylation)

213 **3.3** Modelling of non-catalytic and catalytic transmethylation of anisole to other

214 acceptor molecules

Besides the cresol, the transmethylation process also gives rise to other methyl substituted compounds ⁴². Therefore, transmethylation reactions with other acceptor molecules were modelled to assess the reactivity of these intermediate compounds, and the selectivity of the resulting products. The formation of toluene, methyl anisole, xylene, xylenol, and trimethylphenol due to the addition of a methyl group to benzene, anisole, toluene, cresol and xylenol respectively were also modelled. The energy barriers for the different reactions pathways in non-catalytic and catalytic decomposition of anisole are shown in Table 1.

In the case of non-catalytic decomposition, the energy barriers of transmethylation changed significantly, depending on the acceptor molecules. This is related to the fact that the substituents on the molecule affect the electron distribution in the aromatic ring, giving rise to the site migration of substituted reactions ⁴⁷. Anisole, toluene and phenolic compounds showed energy barrier values between 105.7 and 121.1 kcal/mol in the non-catalytic transmethylation (Table 1), and the energy barrier for the methyl transfer to benzene in the non-catalytic reaction was the highest for 126.4 kcal/mol.

It is found the molecules containing branch chain substituents, especially oxygen-rich chainssuch as hydroxy and methoxy functional groups, are more readily to accept methyl radicals.

The branch chains may have impact on the π -bond of the benzene ring, making the ring more susceptible to methyl attack especially at the ortho- and para-positions, while the benzene ring without branch chains may have smaller electron density, so that is more stable to radical attack ⁴⁸.

235 It was observed that transmethylation to phenol and o-cresol exhibited intrinsic preference in 236 the ortho- and para-positions, which is in line with the results from the Fukui analyses for 237 electrophilic attack to phenol molecule (Fig S3(b)). On the other hand, toluene and anisole 238 showed moderate difference (within 4.1 kcal/mol) in position preference for non-catalytic transmethylation. This is because the free pair of electrons in the oxygen of the hydroxyl 239 240 group are more likely to move to the ring, and consequently affect the ring property; while less 241 electron migration and less impact onto the ring occurs with the methyl group attached either directly to the ring (in the case of toluene) or to the oxygen (in the case of anisole) ⁴⁹. 242

Table 1. Energy barrier for the different reaction pathways of transmethylation in non-catalyticand catalytic decomposition of anisole

		Product	- Orientation	Energy Barrier (kcal/mol)		
Reactant	Via			Non-catalytic (TS)	Catalytic	
					Cleavage (TS1)	Methyl cation transfer (TS2)
	Phenol	Cresol	Ortho	105.5		60.7
			Meta	118.0		67.2
Anisole			Para	107.3		66.1
	o-Cresol	Xylenol	Ortho	107.2	66.4	60.4
			Meta	121.1		61.8
			Para	107.0		54.1
	2,4- Xylenol	2,4,6- Phenol	Ortho	114.3	00.4	60.4
	2,6- Xylenol	2,3,6- Phenol	Meta	110.0		59.9
	Benzene	Toluene	-	126.4		73.5
	Toluene	Xylene	Ortho	108.1		71.2
			Meta	112.2		68.3

		Para	109.5	70.8
		Ortho	106.9	63.3
Anisole	Methyl- anisole	Meta	105.7	67.0
		Para	108.3	71.7

245 In the case of catalytic reactions, the presence of the acid catalyst decreased notably the 246 energy barrier values, exhibiting a big influence on promoting transmethylation. The 247 decreases in the energy barrier was observed range from 36.6 kcal/mol (transmethylation to 248 para position of anisole) to 59.3 kcal/mol (transmethylation to meta position of o-cresol). The 249 transmethylation to benzene is found had the highest energy barrier for 73.5 kcal/mol, even 250 though it has been diminished by roughly 53 kcal/mol compared to the non-catalytic process, 251 this indicates the stability of the benzene ring to electrophilic attack compared to other branch 252 chain contained compounds. In the case of the transmethylation to toluene, the model also 253 predicted a decrease in the energy barrier value for each of the position transfers when using 254 a catalyst (ranging between 68.3kcal/mol and 71.2kcal/mol), but the predicted energy barriers are higher than those for most oxygen contained compounds, regardless of the position 255 256 transfer. It is also noted that transmethylation to anisole at the ortho-position to produce 257 methyl-anisole exhibited a similar energy barrier value to other phenolic intermediate 258 compounds (63.3 kcal/mol). This result suggests that the presence of sole methyl group 259 attached to the aromatic ring has limited effect on the molecules to accept electrophilic 260 substitution by methyl carbocation, this may attribute to the lower electronegativity of the methyl group than that of the oxygen contained functional groups ⁵⁰. In other words, hydroxyl 261 and methoxyl groups are the most likely ones to promote the reactivity of the aromatic ring, 262 263 followed by methyl group. Benzene molecule itself is the least reactive compound among the 264 evaluated molecules in the catalytic transmethylation over the Brønsted acid sites. At a 265 macroscopic level, it can be inferred that in the catalytic decomposition of anisole, majority of 266 toluene and xylene are probably produced from the deoxygenation of cresols and xylenols, 267 rather than from the transmethylation to benzene over the Brønsted acid sites.

268 Compared to AHs, methyl phenolic compounds, i.e. phenol, cresol and xylenol, are found to 269 be prone to accept electrophilic substitution at all positions, even though a slight preference 270 (values difference lower than 8 kcal/mol) for ortho- and para-positions were observed in the 271 case of phenol and cresol. Among all the evaluated compounds, these molecules accept 272 methyl carbocation at the lowest energy barrier values. Transmethylation for cresol into 273 xylenol presented energy barriers ranging from 54.1 kcal/mol (p-position transfer) to 61.8 274 kcal/mol (m-position transfer). Transmethylation to convert xylenol into 2,3,6-methyl phenol 275 and 2,4,6-methyl phenol exhibited similar energy barriers at around 60 kcal/mol. These 276 results well illustrate the experimental results during catalytic decomposition of anisole in 277 which the abundant production of multi-methyl phenolic compounds and the typical position preference was observed ⁴². The formation of these multi-methyl phenolic compounds from 278 279 anisole depends on the initial formation of cresol.

280 4 CONCLUSION

281 This work presents the DFT modelling of the transmethylation as the primary reaction taking place in both non-catalytic and catalytic anisole decomposition. Methyl radical cleavage led to 282 283 the transmethylation process in non-catalytic transmethylation, which primarily took place with 284 the methyl free radical transfer. In catalytic transmethylation, reactants interacted with the 285 Brønsted acid sites present in the catalyst. The catalytic transmethylation was initiated by the 286 Brønsted acid proton electrophilic attack at the oxygen atom of anisole, followed by a 287 carbocation substitution. A dual electrophilic attack mechanism was proposed for the catalytic 288 transmethylation. Transmethylation reactions modelling, based on the proposed mechanism, 289 proved that the Brønsted acid catalyst could significantly lower the reaction energy barrier for 290 all reactant compounds investigated due to changes in the reaction pathways. Most of the 291 energy barriers for the evaluated transmethylation reactions decreased more than 40 kcal/mol 292 when considering the catalytic effect, the highest decrease being observed in the case of o-293 cresol (around 60 kcal/mol). Furthermore, both non-catalytic and catalytic transmethylation 294 exhibited target molecule preference, depending on the original substituents of the acceptor, 295 and transmethylation to most compounds showed preference for the ortho- and para-296 positions. Non-catalytic transmethylation to compounds with oxygen-rich substituents 297 generally showed lower energy barriers. In the catalytic decomposition of anisole, the 298 presence of oxygen-rich substituents also enhanced the reactivity of the ring, especially for 299 phenolic compounds at the ortho- and para-positions. The lowest energy barrier was

- 300 observed in the case of transmethylation towards the para-position of the cresol molecule
- 301 (54.1 kcal/mol).
- 302 AUTHOR INFORMATION
- 303 Corresponding Author
- 304 D.S.: 101011398@seu.edu.cn
- 305 Author Contributions
- 306 All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.
- 307 Notes
- 308 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

309 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

- 310 The authors would like to acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science
- 311 Foundation of China (project references: 51476034 and 51628601), Natural Science
- 312 Foundation of Jiangsu Province (project reference: BK20161423), and the FP7 Marie Curie
- 313 iComFluid (project reference: 312261).

314 **REFERENCES**

- 315 1 W. Boerjan, J. Ralph and M. Baucher, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 2003, 54, 519–546.
- 316 2 C. S. Lancefield and N. J. Westwood, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 4980–4990.
- 317 3 A. V. Bridgwater, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2012, **38**, 68–94.
- A. V. Bridgwater and G. V. C. Peacocke, *Renew. Sustain. energy Rev.*, 2000, 4, 1–73.
- 5 K. A. Jung, S. H. Woo, S.-R. Lim and J. M. Park, Chem. Eng. J., 2015, 259, 107–116.
- 320 6 L. Zhang, R. Liu, R. Yin and Y. Mei, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 2013, **24**, 66–72.
- 321 7 C. Liu, H. Wang, A. M. Karim, J. Sun, Y. Wang, M. Karim Ayman, J. Sun and Y. Wang,
 322 *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7594–7623.
- S. Vichaphund, D. Aht-ong, V. Sricharoenchaikul and D. Atong, *Renew. Energy*, 2014,
 65, 70–77.
- 325 9 C. Mukarakate, J. D. McBrayer, T. J. Evans, S. Budhi, D. J. Robichaud, K. lisa, J. ten

- 326 Dam, M. J. Watson, R. M. Baldwin and M. R. Nimlos, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 4217–
 327 4227.
- C. Mukarakate, X. Zhang, A. R. Stanton, D. J. Robichaud, P. N. Ciesielski, K. Malhotra,
 B. S. Donohoe, E. Gjersing, R. J. Evans, D. S. Heroux, R. Richards, K. Iisa and M. R.
 Nimlos, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 1444.
- G. Yildiz, M. Pronk, M. Djokic, K. M. Van Geem, F. Ronsse, R. Van Duren and W.
 Prins, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2013, **103**, 343–351.
- 333 12 D. K. Shen, S. Gu, K. H. Luo, S. R. Wang and M. X. Fang, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2010,
 334 101, 6136–6146.
- 335 13 S. J. Hurff and M. T. Klein, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.*, 1983, **22**, 426–430.
- 336 14 Q. Meng, H. Fan, H. Liu, H. Zhou, Z. He, Z. Jiang, T. Wu and B. Han, *ChemCatChem*,
 337 2015, **7**, 2831–2835.
- T. Prasomsri, A. T. To, S. Crossley, W. E. Alvarez and D. E. Resasco, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2011, **106**, 204–211.
- K. Wang, X. Dong, Z. Chen, Y. He, Y. Xu and Z. Liu, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*,
 2014, **185**, 61–65.
- 342 17 J. Cornella, E. Gómez-Bengoa and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1997–
 343 2009.
- 344 18 C. Mackie, R. Doolan and F. Nelson, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 1989, **93**, 664–670.
- 345 19 M. E. Sad, C. L. Padró and C. R. Apesteguía, *Catal. Today*, 2008, **133–135**, 720–728.
- 346 20 J. Xu, A. Z. Yan and Q. H. Xu, *Appl. Catal.*, 1999, **10**, 983–986.
- W. Wang, P. L. De Cola, R. Glaeser, I. I. Ivanova, J. Weitkamp and M. Hunger, *Catal. Commun.*, 2004, **94**, 119–123.
- M. Bregolato, V. Bolis, C. Busco, P. Ugliengo, S. Bordiga, F. Cavani, N. Ballarini, L.
 Maselli, S. Passeri and I. Rossetti, *J. Catal.*, 2007, **245**, 285–300.
- 351 23 K. G. Bhattacharyya, A. K. Talukdar, P. Das and S. Sivasanker, *J. Mol. Catal. A*352 *Chem.*, 2003, **197**, 255–262.

- 353 24 M. E. Sad, C. L. Padró and C. R. Apesteguía, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.*, 2010, **327**, 63–
 354 72.
- 355 25 X. Zhu, R. G. Mallinson and D. E. Resasco, Appl. Catal. A Gen., 2010, 379, 172–181.
- N. Ballarini, F. Cavani, L. Maselli, A. Montaletti, S. Passeri, D. Scagliarini, C. Flego
 and C. Perego, *J. Catal.*, 2007, **251**, 423–436.
- J. H. Baraban, P. B. Changala, G. C. Mellau, J. F. Stanton, A. J. Merer and R. W.
 Field, *Science (80-.).*, 2015, **350**, 1338–1342.
- R. Thilakaratne, J.-P. Tessonnier and R. C. Brown, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 2231–
 2239.
- 362 29 G. Li, L. Li, L. Shi, L. Jin, Z. Tang, H. Fan and H. Hu, *Energy & Fuels*, 2014, 28, 980–
 363 986.
- 364 30 Z. Geng, M. Zhang and Y. Yu, *Fuel*, 2012, **93**, 92–98.
- 365 31 Y. Huang, X. Dong, M. Li, Y. Yu, J. Gao, Y. Zheng, G. B. Fitzgerald, J. de Joannis, Y.
 366 Tang, I. E. Wachs, S. G. Podkolzin, Y. Huang, X. Dong, M. Li, M. Zhang and Y. Yu,
 367 *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 1093–1105.
- 368 32 Y. Huang, X. Dong, M. Li, M. Zhang and Y. Yu, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 14573.
- 369 33 J. Gao, Y. Zheng, G. B. Fitzgerald, J. de Joannis, Y. Tang, I. E. Wachs and S. G.
 370 Podkolzin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 4670–4679.
- 371 34 Z. K. Li, Z. M. Zong, H. L. Yan, Y. G. Wang, X. Y. Wei, D.-L. Shi, Y. P. Zhao, C. L.
 372 Zhao, Z. S. Yang and X. Fan, *Fuel*, 2014, **120**, 158–162.
- 373 35 B. Delley, J. Chem. Phys., 1990, **92**, 508–517.
- 374 36 B. Delley, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, **113**, 7756.
- 375 37 M. Elanany, M. Koyama, M. Kubo, P. Selvam and A. Miyamoto, *Microporous*376 *mesoporous Mater.*, 2004, **71**, 51–56.
- 377 38 B. Kalita and R. C. Deka, *Eur. Phys. J. D*, 2009, **53**, 51–58.
- 378 39 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865–3868.
- 379 40 S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem., 2006, 27, 1787–1799.

- 380 41 F. L. Hirshfeld, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1977, **44**, 129–138.
- 381 42 J. Zhang, B. Fidalgo, D. Shen, R. Xiao and S. Gu, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2016, **122**,
 382 323–331.
- 383 43 J. F. Haw, B. R. Richardson, I. S. Oshiro, N. D. Lazo and J. a. Speed, *J. Am. Chem.*384 Soc., 1989, **111**, 2052–2058.
- 385 44 B. R. Richardson, N. D. Lazo, P. D. Schettler, J. L. White and J. F. Haw, *J. Am. Chem.*386 Soc., 1990, **112**, 2886–2891.
- 45 E. J. Munson, T. Xu and J. F. Haw, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1993, 75–76.
- 388 46 E. J. M. and J. F. H. Teng Xu, Jinhua Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 1994, 2733–2735.
- 389 47 F. L. Lambert, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1958, **35**, 342–343.
- 390 48 T. Phuong, J. Catal., 1986, **102**, 456–459.
- 391 49 X. Zhu, L. L. Lobban, R. G. Mallinson and D. E. Resasco, J. Catal., 2011, 281, 21–29.
- 392 50 F. De Proft, W. Langenaeker and P. Geerlings, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 1826–1831.

Supplementary Information

Table S1: Unique imaginary frequency identified for each transition state of the reactions for both non-catalytic and catalytic transmethylation

	Product	Orientation	Imaginary frequency (Frequency(1/cm); Intensity(km/mol))			
Reactant Via				•		
				Non-catalytic (TS)	Catalytic	
					Cleavage (TS1)	Methyl cation transfer (TS2)
			Ortho	-15.49/0.03		-352.36/6.86
	phenol	Cresol	Meta	-11.96/0.14		-285.63/7.12
			Para	-10.15/0.11		-435.58/5.90
			Ortho	-304.25/658.68		-345.63/7.72
	o-cresol	Xylenol	Meta	-144.6/1.40		-311.78/158.17
			Para	-270.32/163.89		-343.56/192.81
	2,4-xylenol	2,4,6-phenol	Ortho	-237.38/453.09		-171.58/6.64
anisole	2,6-xylenol	2,3,6-phenol	Meta	-229.42/129.61	-331.54/99.74	-511.45/499.08
	benzene	Toluene	-	-686.39/37.85		-233.06/16.67
			Ortho	-244.71/129.42		-138.44/101.67
	toluene	Xylene	Meta	-240.01/87.44		-320.08/188.36
			Para	-36.49/27.64		-302.45/185.02
			Ortho	-283.22/185.03		-98.98/4.73
	anisole	methyl-anisole	Meta	-6823.26/7.10		-313.60/67.33
			Para	-267.68/159.39		-309.34/2.79

	Bond ID	Mulliken Bond order
	C8-07	0.515
	C5-O7	0.668
	C8-H14	0.798
	C8-H15	0.799
	C6-H13	0.813
	C4-H12	0.814
	C3-H11	0.821
	C1-H9	0.824
	C2-H10	0.825
	C8-H16	0.826
	C4-C5	1.003
9 13	C3-C4	1.025
	C5-C6	1.030
	C1-C2	1.032
	C1-C6	1.054
	C2-C3	1.063
(a)		
	Atom	Fukui (-) index
	Atom O7	Fukui (-) index 0.129
	Atom O7 C2	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125
	Atom 07 C2 C6	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075
	Atom 07 C2 C6 C5 C4	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13 H9	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046 0.044
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13 H9 H12	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046 0.044 0.040
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13 H9 H12 H15	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046 0.044 0.040 0.038
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13 H9 H12 H15 H14	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.044 0.040 0.038 0.037
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13 H9 H12 H15 H14 H16	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.044 0.040 0.038 0.037 0.036
	Atom O7 C2 C6 C5 C4 C3 C1 H10 H11 H13 H9 H12 H15 H14 H16 C8	Fukui (-) index 0.129 0.125 0.086 0.075 0.072 0.071 0.061 0.057 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.044 0.040 0.038 0.037 0.036 0.035

Fig S2. (a) Mulliken bond order of the anisole molecule for the transmethylation reaction; (b) Fukui indices of anisole atoms under electrophilic attack (Fukui (-)). Isovalue 0.035. Atoms are colored as follows: carbon atom (grey), hydrogen atom (white) and oxygen atom (red)

Fig S3. Fukui indices for (a) radical attack on phenol molecule (Fukui (0)), and (b) electrophilic attack on phenol molecule (Fukui (-)). Isovalue 0.035. Atoms are colored as follows: carbon atom (grey), and hydrogen atom (white) and oxygen atom (red)

	Atom	Fukui (0) index
13	C4	0.105
	07	0.103
	C2	0.102
	C6	0.100
	C1	0.099
	C3	0.095
	C5	0.073
	H8	0.057
	H10	0.056
	H11	0.056
	H9	0.055
9 10	H12	0.055
	H13	0.044

(a)		
	Atom	Fukui (-) index
(13)	07	0.152
	C2	0.136
	C4	0.089
	C5	0.089
	C6	0.083
	C1	0.073
	C3	0.068
	H9	0.061
	H13	0.055
	H11	0.050
	H8	0.049
(9) 10	H10	0.048
V V	H12	0.048

(b)

CERES Research Repository

School of Water, Energy and Environment (SWEE)

Staff publications (SWEE)

Mechanism of transmethylation in anisole decompostion over Brønsted acid sites: Density Functional Theory (DFT) study

Zhang, Jiajun

2017-08-15 Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International

Zhang J, Fidalgo B, Kolios A, Shen D, Gu S, Mechanism of transmethylation in anisole decomposition over Brønsted acid sites: Density Functional Theory (DFT) study, Sustainable Energy & Fuels, Vol. 1, Issue 8, 2017, pp. 1788-1794 http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C7SE00280G Downloaded from CERES Research Repository, Cranfield University