

A Novel Qualification Procedure to Manufacture and Repair Aerospace Parts with Electron Beam Melting

L. Portolés^{b,*}, O. Jordá^b, L. Jordá^b, A. Uriondo^{a,*}, M. Esperon-Miguez^a,
S. Perinpanayagam^a

^aIVHM-Boeing Centre, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire MK43 0AL, United Kingdom

^bAIMME-Instituto Tecnológico Metalmecánico, Parque Tecnológico, Avd. Leonardo Da Vinci, 38, 46980 Valencia, Spain

Abstract

This paper is focused on Qualification Procedures for metal parts manufactured using new Additive Manufacturing (AM) techniques in the aerospace industry. The main aim is to understand the interaction between these technologies and the stringent regulatory framework of this industry in order to develop correct Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures in accordance with the certification process for the technology and spare parts. These include all the testing and validation necessary to implement them, as well as to maintain their capability throughout their life-cycle, specific procedures to manufacture or repair parts, work-flows and records amongst others. An entire novel Qualification Procedure for Electron Beam Melting (EBM) to reproduce and repair an aerospace part has been developed and it is presented in this paper. These will be part of the future Quality Assurance and Quality Management systems of those aerospace companies that implement AM in their supply chain.

Keywords: Quality Assurance, Qualification, Quality, Electron Beam Melting, Aerospace Industry, Certification

[☆]RepAIR.

^{*}Corresponding authors

Email addresses: lportoles@aimme.es (L. Portolés), a.uriondo@cranfield.ac.uk (A. Uriondo)

Contents

	1 Introduction	2
	2 Qualification Procedure for EBM and SLM	4
	2.1 Process specification development	5
5	2.2 Identification of key variables and parameters	7
	2.3 Influence of various critical parameters and variables	8
	2.4 Allowable range	8
	3 Use case	8
	3.1 Qualification Procedure: building platform	10
10	3.2 Qualification Procedure studies	11
	3.2.1 Study 1-Validation of recycled powder	11
	3.2.2 Study 2-Correlation between powder and bulk material in terms of chemical composition	11
	3.2.3 Study 3-Bulk material characterisation into the key factors	12
	3.2.4 Studies 4 and 5-Post processes	14
15	3.2.5 Study 6-Demo part validation	14
	3.2.6 Study 7-Process reproducibility	16
	3.2.7 Study 8-Material interface analysis	17
	3.2.8 Study 9- Machining the part and surface preparation . . .	17
	4 Results	18
20	5 Conclusions	22

1. Introduction

There is broad consensus on the potential applications of Additive Manufacturing (AM) technologies for repairing and manufacturing parts in the aerospace industry. There are many studies on the capability of this technology for designing parts in this industry [1–3]; repairing and manufacturing parts for turbo engines [4]; in the spare part supply chain in MRO processes [5–7]; amongst others.

The main characteristics that make this technology attractive for this industry include optimal raw material usage, reduced raw material stock size, fewer

30 machine operations, reduced hard tooling requirements and reduced lead times
when compared to other conventional manufacturing processes like forging, cast-
ing or machining. The buy-to-fly ratio is a measure of the material efficiency in
terms of the amount of raw material needed for manufacturing the final part.
In contrast with traditional machining methods, which have buy-to-fly ratios
35 between 5 and 20 [8], AM can achieve values close to one [9]. Groneck [10]
highlights some advantages in terms of cost and cycle-time savings by switching
from multi-piece built-up assembly to a single-piece.

In the aerospace sector, AM processes must be developed to meet the indus-
try's stringent requirements and to ensure that products can achieve the robust
40 performance levels established by traditional manufacturing methods, as well
as, comply with the regulation framework.

Requirements for commercial aircrafts parts are mainly based on the regu-
lations of the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) and regulations of the
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). These regulations are extensive and
45 detailed, but the single most pertinent regulations in the context of AM can be
found in CS-25, Book 1, Subpart D, Subsections CS 25.603 and CS 25.605 [11].

1. **CS 25.603 Materials.** The suitability and durability of materials used
for parts, the failure of which could adversely affect safety, must:

- be established on the basis of experience or tests;
- 50 • conform to approved specifications, that ensure their having the strength
and other properties assumed in the design data (See AMC 25.603(b));
and
- take into account the effects of environmental conditions, such as
temperature and humidity.

55 2. **CS 25.605 Fabrication methods.**

- Methods of fabrication used must produce a consistently sound struc-
ture. If a fabrication process (such as gluing, spot welding, or heat
treating) requires close control to reach this objective, the process
must be performed under an approved process specification; and
- 60 • each new aircraft fabrication method must be substantiated by a test
programme

With the lack of technology maturity in terms of design, qualification, process specifications and standardisation, it is difficult for the aerospace industry to develop a single specification and associated database for AM of a given alloy.

65 The AM process itself is not sufficient to produce an airworthy component. Heat treatments, such as stress relief or Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP), are required to improve structural properties [12, 13]. Machining the surface is required to reduce roughness, increase dimensional accuracy, and to prevent the initiation of surface cracks [14]. Therefore, process specifications for each aircraft component

70 should be defined from the beginning.

The Qualification Procedure (QP) is an important issue for implementing AM in the aerospace market. This can be defined as a methodology by which all critical parameters and their allowance ranges are identified, and the repeatability of the process is also guaranteed. In other words, the QP is the

75 method used for the assessment of all the variables/factors suitable to influence both technical requirements of the final part and process reproducibility. The QP requires the assessment and control of key raw materials, consumables, and process parameters; the development of a fixed practice for each AM component; the verification of each fixed practice via NDI and destructive testing; and

80 part-specific acceptance testing (both NDI and destructive testing) to ensure the integrity of parts.

This paper presents a novel QP for EBM and SLM to reach the reproducibility of the results. This result would be the basis for future QA/QC procedures.

2. Qualification Procedure for EBM and SLM

85 This novel Qualification Procedure (QP) presents a methodology to assess all the variables/factors that can influence both, technical requirements of the final part and process reproducibility. It takes into account potential dependencies between different process variables in the specification procedure. Figure 1 outlines all QP steps.




Figure 1: General description of the Qualification Procedure

2.1. Process specification development

In this step, a process specification has to be developed for manufacturing/repairing each aircraft' component. As part of the process specification, the AM process and post-processes must be established based on technical requirements (see Table 1).

Therefore, the process specification for manufacturing or repairing a component should be established based on all the information previously gathered. Each process specification should include at least:

- **Manufacturing technologies.** All the manufacturing/repairing techniques used for achieving the final part.
- **Data of raw material.** The specifications for the powder, the powder handling guidelines, the recyclability of the powder, the ageing allowed for the powder, and the powder blend procedure.
- **AM process plan.** It includes all geometries to be built per cycle. Each cycle is constituted by an individual build platform. Depending on the processes' specifications, it can be necessary to build different test samples per cycle to check the mechanical properties, chemical composition and microstructure. The location of the parts in the building platform and the manufacturing orientation must be considered as well.
- **Post-processing plan.** It provides relevant information about any post-processes required to meet the technical requirements.

Table 1: Technical requirements and aspects related with AM

Technical Requirements	Aspect
Material(s) Specification(s)	Material standards establish the proper chemical, composition, and some conditions for purchasing, storing, handling or processing the material. They usually reference other standards related to testing methods to assess mechanical properties. Special attention has been given to the standards published by the ASTM F-42 and TC-261 standardisation groups.
Geometry	Apart from 3D models, dimensional, geometric and surface tolerances must be specified. In order to have better fatigue behaviour, the roughness of the surface is crucial. The surface has to be machined, which means that all the extra material needed must be in the 3D solid model file.
Operating Conditions	It provides information related to its use, such as loads, environmental conditions, temperature range, pressure range, humidity range, and interactions with other parts or systems amongst others.
Faiulure Modes	It provides information about the possible failure modes such as type, frequency, location, and mean repair/replace time. This is often captured in FMECAs.
Traceability	It provides information and documentation about the process-history. It includes information about raw materials, consumables, sub-processes, personnel, NDT testing, machinery and technologies, and post-processes amongst others.




Figure 2: AM process parameter classification

- **Assessment plan.** It establishes a set of studies to conduct the assessment of the part and the process via Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) and destructive tests.
- **Process reproducibility description.** It establishes the required number of butches and samples to be produced and tested in order to guarantee the established requirements.

2.2. Identification of key variables and parameters

During the manufacturing or repairing process it is important to determinate the potential dependencies between all involved variables and parameters. It is also important to take into consideration variables from other manufacturing or repairing processes that directly affect the final part. These variables and parameters can be classified into various groups depending on their nature. Figure 2 shows this group classification with its relevant aspects.

2.3. Influence of various critical parameters and variables

125 Taking into account results from various EBM/SLM applications [15–19],
the following QP studies (see Table 2) have been used to characterise the effect
of various critical parameters and variables during the manufacturing process.

Figure 2 correlates these studies with the previous parameter classification.
Parts can be repaired using AM by removing the material of the damaged area
130 and reconstructing the part using the undamaged remaining material as a base.
Some additional studies would be considered in a repair process. This occurs
due to the “hybrid” nature of the final part. When a part has been repaired
adding material on a substrate, both can be different in terms of microstructure
and chemical compositions. The “transition zone” between both materials will
135 have its own characteristics. For these reasons, studies 7 and 8 must be added
into the QP for a repair operation.

2.4. Allowable range

Finally, once key factors and their allowed ranges have been fixed, it is pos-
sible to determine if the manufacturing process has been successful. Allowed
140 range-factors to make the relevant decisions to achieve the desired quality level.
The evaluation of key factors must be implemented in the quality control pro-
cedures.

3. Use case

The selected use case is a bracket from one Original Equipment Manufacturer
145 (OEM). The design material for this part is Ti6Al4V, which is also available
in the AM sector. The dimensions are approximately $180 \times 110 \times 40$ mm . Its
modes of failure can be due to overload, a fatigue failure or a creep distortion.
The basis of the QP is the standard ASTM 2924-14 “Standard Specification
for Additive Manufacturing Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium with Powder
150 Bed Fusion” [20]. The QP platform has been designed taking into account all
previous considerations. In order to meet Study 7 requirements, it is necessary
to build the same platform three times and compare their results.

Table 2: Short description of each study

Study type	Description
Study 1 -Validation of recycled powder	Some powder parameters change if the powder has been recycled, like flow rate, chemical composition, and morphology shape amongst others.
Study 2 -Correlation between powder and bulk material in terms of chemical composition	During the manufacturing process, various phenomena, which directly affect the mechanical and chemical properties of the material occur. This study will characterise those dependencies.
Study 3 - Bulk material characterisation into the key factors limits	The result of this study constitutes the operating windows in terms of the allowable range of each manufacturing variable.
Studies 4 - Influence of HIP process in the microstructure and tensile properties	Through this study, the influence of HIP on mechanical and microstructure properties have been characterised.
Studies 5 - Influence of the roughness and HIP process in fatigue life	Through this study, the influence of HIP and surface machining on the fatigue behaviour have been characterised.
Study 6 -Demo part validation	The aim of this study is to demonstrate that the sample part has similar mechanical and chemical properties as witness specimens.
Study 7 -Process reproducibility	The aim of this study is to determinate all factors during the QP that can set up reproducibility problems.
Study 8 - Material interface analysis	The aim of this study is to characterise the quality of the interface between the bulk and added material.
Study 9 - Machining the part and surface preparation	This study takes into consideration all necessary mechanical and chemical operations to prepare the damaged part for the repair process.




Figure 3: QP aspects to produce the OEM bracket with EBM.

3.1. Qualification Procedure: building platform

Components properties depend on its position, in terms of orientation, and its location on the build platform. One of the key aspect during the design of the building platform is the support structure of each part. These do not only hold up the weight of the material during the manufacturing process, but also it works as a heat conductor between the component and the building platform. Residual stresses and deformations after this manufacturing process comes mainly from those thermal gradients and cooling rates in the part [21]. Support structures can be designed in order to minimise the level residual stresses and distortions on the component. The building platform is shown in Figure 4.

Taking into consideration the size of the building platform, it is possible to manufacture two parts at the same time. Only one of them will be HIP processed. This will allow characterising the influence of thermal post-processes on the final part.

In order to identify key building variables and their influence on the final part, the following test samples have been positioned on the building platform (see Table 3).

Table 3: Witness specimen description

Test sample	Description
Chemical composition	Four different groups of spherical four samples are located in the first layers (most critical area) in order to measure the oxygen content in different locations. Each group has been built in a different corner of the building platform to evaluate the pick up oxygen-process along x and y directions. Three spheres with the same diameter as the ones mentioned before (5 mm) are linked to the on side of the part.
Micro-structure	Eight test samples have been defined to evaluate the final micro-structure in different orientations. The geometry of each witness specimen is a cylinder with a diameter of 14 mm and a length of 10 mm.
Static mechanical properties	Following AM machine manufacture guidelines, specimens and parts are located at 15 mm from the building platform. Four tensile specimens in each orientation are included to verify that the location in the build platform is not crucial.

170 Some parts shall be HIP treated to improve fatigue behaviour. For this reason witness specimens, except chemical composition samples, shall be HIP treated to achieve the same mechanical properties and microstructure as the final HIP processed part.

3.2. Qualification Procedure studies

175 3.2.1. Study 1- Validation of recycled powder

Standard ASTM F2924-14 [20] considers the feedstock as the most important parameter to be controlled during the manufacturing process. Metal powder




Figure 4: QP building platform

shall contain a maximum amounts of inclusions and impurities. This document establishes an admissible range for each element presented in the alloy. From a chemical composition point of view, mixed powder is allowed as long as its content meets with the cited standard. Other relevant parameters to characterise the powder degradation during the AM build cycles are the flow rate, particle shape, tap density, size distribution, and entrapped porosity. Table 4 correlates this powder characterisation properties with the corresponding standard.

3.2.2. Study 2-Correlation between powder and bulk material in terms of chemical composition

While Study 1 is based on powder characterisation, Study 2 determines the chemical composition of the bulk material. The chemical composition mentioned in the standard ASTM F2924 refers to the processed material.

It is very important to characterise the powder's recyclability. For this purpose, it is important to correlate, in terms of chemical composition, powder and bulk material, both before and after the building process. The chemical tests presented in Table 4 were carried out on the bulk material.

3.2.3. Study 3-Bulk material characterisation into the key factors

The aim of this study is to set up the behaviour of AM parts in the limits of the material. In the case, of Ti6Al4V, the oxygen content is the criterion to

Table 4: Powder tests based on ASTM Standards [20]

Test Required	Test Standard
Chemical Analysis	<p><i>ASTM E2371.</i> Test method for analysis of titanium and titanium alloys by direct current plasma and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry.</p> <p><i>ASTM E1409.</i> Test method for determination of oxygen and nitrogen in titanium and titanium alloys by inert gas fusion.</p> <p><i>ASTM E1447.</i> Test method for determination of hydrogen in titanium and titanium alloys by inert gas fusion thermal conductivity/infrared detection method.</p>
Flow Rate	<i>ASTM B213.</i> Test method for flow rate of metal powders using the hall flow-meter funnel.
Tap Density	<i>ASTM B212.</i> Test method for apparent density of free-flowing metal powders using the Hall flow-meter funnel
Powder Morphology	<p><i>ASTM E3.</i> Guide for preparation of metallographic specimens.</p> <p><i>ASTM E407.</i> Practice for micro-etching metals and alloys</p>
Particle Size	<i>ASTM B822.</i> Test method for particle size distribution of metal powders and related compounds by light scattering.

distinguish between grade 5 for aircraft application and grade 23 for health device application. Therefore, oxygen content defines the allowance bulk-material range.

200 For the use case, the material has been provided with an oxygen content of 0.14 %, which is in accordance with the maximum content proposed by the ASTM F2924 [20]. Table 5 describes all relevant testing for this study.

3.2.4. Studies 4 and 5-Post processes

205 Taking into consideration the high technical specification from the aerospace sector, final components will be subjected to heat treatments, like HIP, to release internal stresses, to improve the mechanical properties and to avoid fatigue issues. The HIP process on AM parts is essential to reduce the porosity as well as to eliminate internal stresses. Good fatigue behaviour is required for aircraft components. The porosity and the roughness typical of AM processes could be
210 a risk for fatigue performance.

The aim of this study is to know the influence of HIP treatment in the micro-structure and the tensile stress of Ti6Al4V grade 5. In addition, the powder bed location and build orientation of the witness specimens have been considered.

3.2.5. Study 6-Demo part validation

215 The aim of this study is to validate a demo part process by AM. To evaluate the conformity of the part, some assessments that have to be considered include:

- Chemical composition
- Dimensional analysis
- Visual inspection
- 220 • Non Destructive Testing (NDT)
 - Computerised Tomography scan (CTs)
 - Dye-penetrant inspection
- Mechanical tests to assess the needed mechanical properties for the Boeing bracket

Table 5: Bulk material tests

Test required	Test Standard
Chemical Analysis	<p><i>ASTM E2371</i>. Test method for analysis of titanium and titanium alloys by direct current plasma and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry.</p> <p><i>ASTM E1409</i>. Test method for determination of oxygen and nitrogen in titanium and titanium alloys by inert gas fusion.</p> <p><i>ASTM E1447</i>. Test method for determination of hydrogen in titanium and titanium alloys by inert gas fusion thermal conductivity/infrared detection method.</p> <p><i>ASTM E1941</i>. Test method for determination of carbon in refractory and reactive metals and their alloys by combustion analysis.</p> <p><i>EN 3976</i>. Chemical analysis for the determination of hydrogen content.</p>
Micro-structure	<p><i>ASTM E3</i>. Guide for preparation of metallographic specimens.</p> <p><i>ASTM E407</i>. Practice for micro-etching metals and alloys.</p> <p><i>ASTM E112</i>. Test methods for determining grain size.</p> <p><i>EN 2003-4</i>. Determination of surface contamination (Part:9).</p>
Static Mechanical Properties	<p><i>ASTM E8M</i>. Test methods for tension testing of metallic materials.</p> <p><i>ISO 6892</i>. Tensile testing - Part 1: Method of test at room temperature.</p>
Fatigue	<p><i>ASTM E466</i>. Standard practice for conducting force controlled constant amplitude axial fatigue tests of metallic materials (high-cycle fatigue).</p> <p><i>ASTM E606</i>. Practice for strain-controlled fatigue testing (low-cycle fatigue).</p> <p><i>ASTM E647</i>. Test method for measurement of fatigue crack growth rates (fatigue crack growth).</p>

225 3.2.6. *Study 7-Process reproducibility*

The aim of this study is to demonstrate the reproducibility of the manufacturing and repair processes. Or in other words: that the characteristic parameters of all the parts produced in any given batch will be within tolerance if the same raw material is used, the same steps are followed, and the machines
230 use the same settings.

This is a four-part problem in which the first step is to determine the probability distributions of the characteristics parameters of the part (e.g.: dimensions, yield and tensile strength) assuming the process is followed correctly. These deviations are caused by factors that cannot be fully controlled and that
235 affect the final product (e.g.: temperature fluctuations of the melting pool, oscillations in the chamber pressure and temperature).

The second step is focused on establishing the correlation between the properties of the final part and those parameters that can be controlled and/or monitored during the process (e.g.: chamber temperature, beam velocity). This
240 information will be used to determine which process data have to be captured and analysed to ensure parts comply with their requirements. The reason these correlations must be understood is that some properties cannot be tested without damaging the part and rendering it unusable (e.g.: tensile strength, corrosion rate). This can be achieved by performing sensitivity analyses by using
245 models and simulations, producing multiple samples and testing them, or by a combination of both techniques.

The third step is to determine the number of samples that will have to be inspected and tested from each batch in order to guarantee with a certain level of confidence that all parts meet the requirements. Manufacturing in the
250 aerospace industry is held to particularly high standards and it is not uncommon to inspect all part from each batch. For parts being repaired the variability of the characteristics of repair mean that every part has to be inspected.

The fourth element of process reproducibility focuses on the traceability of parts and the storage and sharing of the data used and produced throughout the manufacturing or repair process. This demands for a repository that stores all
255 the information about the AM technology, material, geometry (STL and sliced files), build samples, post-processing, and the build platform. Figure 5 shows




Figure 5: References for “build samples” .

how this strategy was implemented as part of the QP for parts produced with Ti6Al4V.

3.2.7. Study 8-Material interface analysis

Before repairing a part with AM technologies, it is essential to know if the AM material is compatible with the base material of the original part. For this reason, it is important to select good weld-ability AM materials with the part base material. Test samples shall be built following the repair procedure, in order to have a macroscopic and microscopic characteristics similar to the original part.

After having reviewed all AM standards and due to the lack of specific procedures for these technologies, it has been concluded that the best way to evaluate the level of interface imperfections by applying welding standards (see Table 6).

The aim of this study is the assessment of AM material which better fits with a specific base material, and to obtain optimal AM process parameters to achieve the best joining possible.

3.2.8. Study 9- Machining the part and surface preparation

For AM repair it is necessary to control not only the position of the failure part in the platform but also the cleanliness of the surface.

All particularities of the machining of the part and some experiments of different surface preparation processes must be developed to obtain a general

Table 6: Interface testing

Test required	Test Standard
Static mechanical properties	<i>ISO 9015-1</i> . Destructive tests on welds in metallic materials - Hardness testing - Part 1: Hardness test on arc welded joints.
Impurities and defects	<i>ISO 5817</i> . Fusion-welded joints in steel, nickel, titanium and their alloys (beam welding excluded) - Quality levels for imperfections

procedure to guarantee a successful joining of two materials of different natures.

4. Results

This section presents and analyses the obtained results of the proposed studies during the designed QP for the use case. Figure 6 shows the witness specimens and parts manufactured following the designed QP process.




Figure 6: (a) A build platform. (b) Tensile bars with the microstructure and porosity coupons linked. (c) Chemical tests samples.

For Ti6Al4V grade 5, HIP process reduces almost completely the internal porosity (see Figure 7), which improves the fatigue behaviour of the part. Furthermore, HIP process increases the columnar grain size in both, width and length; and also it increases the thickness of the α phase along the build direc-

tion (see Figure 11 (a) and (b)). In general, the size of α phase colony is very small and in the majority of cases they are presented following a Widmanstätten structure (see Figure 11 (c) and (d)). Not only α phase concentration has changed after HIP, but also dislocations density in the acicular α phase grains has been reduced [22]. This improves the ductility of the material (see Figure 8 (b)). The level of impurities is below the maximum specified in the technical requirements of the part.




Figure 7: Porosity results. (a) No HIP; (b) HIP.




Figure 8: Static mechanical properties with and without HIP treatment.

Figure 10 shows there are small changes on the mechanical properties depending on the manufacturing direction. However, all of them are over the minimum established by the OEM. This feature resulting from the manufacturing process, must be taken into consideration during the design of the part to optimise its mechanical properties.

As a conclusion, HIP treatment modifies the micro-structure and reduces slightly the static mechanical properties of the part (see Figure 10). It improves the porosity level, and thus the fatigue behaviour of the part. The level of im-




Figure 9: Static mechanical properties with HIP for different specimens.




Figure 10: Horizontal/Vertical static mechanical properties with HIP.

purities has not been affected by the this process. All obtained values complain with OEM specifications (see Figure 9).




Figure 11: Vertical section view for an EBM microstructure sample. Individual columnar grains: (a) No HIP; (b) HIP. Thickness of -plates: (c) No HIP; (d) HIP.




Figure 12: (a) Repeatability of the mechanical properties with HIP. (b) % Oxygen pick up in bulk material.

305 It is important to highlight the repeatability of the mechanical properties along the difference building cycles achieved by the procedure (see Figure 12 (a)). After analysing the oxygen pickup at the tests specimens, it has been conclude that all of them are below the upper limit specified by ASTM F2924-14 (see Figure 12 (b)).

310 5. Conclusions

EBM and some other AM technologies (e.g.: Laser Cladding and WAAM) are currently used as a manufacturing process for metal parts in various industries. To implement them in the aerospace industry, it is necessary not only to demonstrate their manufacturing capabilities (the AM community is dedicating 315 significatn resources to improving their technology maturity) but also to comply with all aerospace technical and management requirements.

Incorporating AM into aerospace manufacturing or repair processes entails many issues related to "quality" that must be developed and established. Prior to developing QA/QM procedures, which include all the particularities related 320 to the nature and characteristics of the technology, the following aspects must be achieved:

- Key factors and variables of the technology
- Correlation between product and process specification
- Ensuring the reproducibility of the manufacturing process

325 To fulfil these criteria, a new QP has been developed taking into account the particularities of EBM. It t has then been applied to a real use case, the Boeing "bracket". All the achieved results are in accordance with the standards and the technical requirements of the part. Following this procedure, the correspondent key variables for reproducing this part have been identified and defined.

330 Once this QP has been designed and put into practice, General Procedures, Operational Instructions, and Control Procedures related to QA/QM procedures need to be developed for these AM processes.

This paper represents the first steps towards a consistent set of QA/QM procedures that comply with all management, control and assurance requirements

335 in the aerospace industry. However, there are quality issues that need to be resolved before implementing AM as a manufacturing or repair technology.

- Standardization is not well established. As has been mentioned, there are many committees working to overcome this challenge. The ASTM Committee is probably the most advanced in this respect
- 340 • New advanced NDT techniques capable of detecting critical defects with a high degree of certainty
- To process more complex parts new materials, like Rene 95 or N500, need to be processed with this technology. Proper operating windows for each machine and new materials must be established
- 345 • Final accuracy and surface finish must be improved in order to avoid additional post-processes. These affect the economic advantages of these technologies
- New on-process quality systems need to be implemented in order to verify in each layer some particular quality aspects, including porosity, lack of fusion and accuracy amongst others
- 350

A roadmap for Qualifying AM technologies has been described based on the knowledge of the variables which rule the process, not only the AM itself if not its relationship with after melting processes such as surface and heat treatment; on the process specification; and on the data generated according to the process specified.

355

A guide for AM QP of Ti6Al4V using full-melt powder bed fusion has been specified, including procedures for the assessment of the variables among the manufacturing or repairing process and the corresponding results. This guide is based on novel AM standards such as ASTM F2924-14.

360 This procedure has been applied to a use case provided by an OEM as demo part. The component requirements and the corresponding manufacturing plan for qualification has been specified. The assessment of the feedstock, bulk material and component properties are conducted along three build cycles. Finally, the results of the tests has been exposed.

365 This guide could be considered as reference for other metal alloys using full
melt powder bed fusion such as Selective Laser Melting (SLM).

Acknowledgements

The work presented in this paper has received funding from the European
Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agree-
370 ment number 605779. This research was also supported in part by the IVHM
Centre at Cranfield University and AIMME-Instituto Tecnológico Metalmecánico.



References

- [1] Lyons B. Additive manufacturing in aerospace; examples and research
outlook. *The Bridge* 2012;42.
- 375 [2] Wissenbach K. Flexible and near-net-shape generative manufacturing
chains and repair techniques for complex shaped aero engines parts. Tech.
Rep. 13361; European Commission; 2007.
- [3] Bourell D L, Beaman J B, Leu M C, Rosen D W. A brief history of
additive manufacturing and the 2009 roadmap for additive manufacturing:
380 Looking back and looking ahead. In: *RapidTech: US-Turkey Workshop on
Rapid Technologies*. Instabul Technical University; Tennessee Technological
University; 2011,.
- [4] Gasser A, Backes G, Kelbassa I, Weisheit A, Wissenbach K. Laser additive
manufacturing. laser metal deposition (lmd) and selective laser melting
385 (slm) in turbo-engine applications. *Laser Technik Journal* 2010;7:58–63.
- [5] Khajavi S H, Partanen J, Holmström J. Additive manufacturing in the spare
parts supply chain. *Computers in Industry* 2014;65:50–63.
- [6] Kelbassa I, Albus P, Dietrich J, Wilkens J. Manufacture and repair of
aero engine components using laser technology. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd
390 Pacific International Conference on Application of Lasers and Optics 2008*.
PICALO; 2008, p. 208.


- [7] Mellor S, Hao L, Zhang D. Additive manufacturing: A framework for implementation. *International Journal of Production Economics* 2014;149:194–201.
- 395 [8] Arcella F, Froes F H. Producing titanium aerospace components from powder using laser forming. *JOM* 2000;52:28–30. J2: JOM.
- [9] Deppe G, Koch R. Exploring the influence on an additive manufacturing integration on future mro processes in aeronautics. In: *RapidTech*. Universitt Paderborn; 2014,.
- 400 [10] Groneck D, Harmon D. Design development of unitized titanium structure. *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power* 2003;125:252–256.
- [11] EASA. Certification specifications and acceptable means of compliance for large aeroplanes cs-25. Amendment 15, July.
- [12] Chan K S, Koike M, Okabe T, Mason R L. Fatigue life of titanium alloys
405 fabricated by additive layer manufacturing techniques for dental implants. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A: Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science* 2013;44:1010–1022.
- [13] Koike M, Martinez K, Guo L, Chahine G, Kovacevic R, Okabe T. Evaluation of titanium alloy fabricated using electron beam melting system for dental applications. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*
410 2011;211:1400–1408.
- [14] Gibson I, Rosen D W, Brent S. *Additive Manufacturing Technologies : Rapid Prototyping to Direct Digital Manufacturing*. New York: Springer; 2010.
- 415 [15] Shiomi M, Osakada K, Nakamura K, Yamashita T, Abe F. Residual stress within metallic model made by selective laser melting process. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology* 2004;53:195–198.
- [16] Vrancken B, Thijs L, Kruth J P, Humbeeck J V. Microstructure and mechanical properties of a novel titanium metallic composite by selective laser
420 melting. *Acta Materialia* 2014;68:150–158.

- [17] Juechter V, Scharowsky T, Singer R F, Krner C. Processing window and evaporation phenomena for ti6al4v produced by selective electron beam melting. *Acta Materialia* 2014;76:252–258.
- 425 [18] Thijs L, Sistiaga M L M, Wauthle R, Xie Q, Kruth J-P, Humbeeck J V. Strong morphological and crystallographic texture and resulting yield strength anisotropy in selective laser melted tantalum. *Acta Materialia* 2013;61:4657–4668.
- [19] Gong X, Anderson T, Chou K. Review on powder-based electron beam additive manufacturing technology. *EDP Sciences* 2014;.
- 430 [20] F42 A C. F2924-14: Standard specification for additive manufacturing titanium-6 aluminium-4 vanadium with powder bed fusion. 2014.
- [21] Jamshidinia M, Kong F, Kovacevic R. The coupled cfd-fem model of electron beam melting. *ASME Distric F-Early Career Technical Confeence* 2013;12:163–171.
- 435 [22] Murr L E, Gaytan S M, Ramirez D A, Martinez E, Hernandez J, Amato K N, et al. Metal fabrication by additive manufacturing using laser and electron beam melting technologies. *Journal of Materials Science & Technology* 2012;28:1–14.



Figure



Figure




Figure




Figure


(a)




(b)



(c)




(d)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

**BOEING
BRACKET -
Value Stream
Qualification
Procedure
(QP)**

AM
Technology

EBM






Figure
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure



[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





LaTeX Source Files

[Click here to download LaTeX Source Files: LaTeX_AU_CU.zip](#)

A qualification procedure to manufacture and repair aerospace parts with electron beam melting

Portoles, L.

2016-08-03

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International

Portolés L, Jordá O, L. Jordá, Uriondo A, et al., (2016) A qualification procedure to manufacture and repair aerospace parts with electron beam melting. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Volume 41, October 2016, pp. 65-75

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2016.07.002>

Downloaded from CERES Research Repository, Cranfield University